





# CITY OF OAKLAND CALIFORNIA

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT** 

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015



## CITY OF OAKLAND CALIFORNIA

## COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

PREPARED BY THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT

KIRSTEN LACASSE, INTERIM CONTROLLER

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

## Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Year Ended June 30, 2015

## **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTORY SECTION
Letter of Transmittal
GFOA Certificate of Achievement
Organization Chart
List of Elected and Appointed Officials
Project Team
FINANCIAL SECTION
Independent Auditor's Report
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information)
Basic Financial Statements:
Government-wide Financial Statements:
Statement of Net Position
Statement of Activities
Fund Financial Statements:
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position for Governmental Activities
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities of Governmental Activities
Statement of Fund Net Position – Proprietary Funds
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position – Proprietary Funds
Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds

## Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Year Ended June 30, 2015

## **Table of Contents (Continued)**

	Page
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	35
Required Supplementary Information (unaudited):	
Schedules of Funding Progress.	115
Defined Benefit Pension Plan Schedules	115
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund	119
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Other Special Revenue Fund	120
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	121
COMBINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES	
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	123
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	124
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds	125
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds	126
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds	127
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds	129
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds	130
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual – Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds	131
Internal Service Funds	
Combining Statement of Fund Net Position – Internal Service Funds	133
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position – Internal Service Funds	134
Combining Statement of Cash Flows – Internal Service Funds	135
Fiduciary Funds	
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position – Pension Trust Funds	137

## Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Year Ended June 30, 2015

## **Table of Contents (Continued)**

Pension Trust Funds  Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position –	
Other Private Purpose Trust Funds	
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Other Private Purpose Trust Funds	
TISTICAL SECTION	
Index to Statistical Section	
Net Position by Component	1
Changes in Net Position	2
Program Revenues by Function/Program	3
Fund Balances, Governmental Funds	4
Changes in Fund Balances, Governmental Funds	5
Γax Revenues by Source, Governmental Funds	6
Assessed Value and Estimated Value of Taxable Property	7
Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates	8
Principal Property Tax Payers	9
Property Tax Levis and Collections	10
Taxable Sales by Category	11
Direct and Overlapping Sales Tax Rates	12
Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type	13
Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding	14
Direct and Overlapping Governmental Activities Debt	15
Legal Debt Margin Information	16
Pledged Revenue Coverage, Port of Oakland, Oakland Redevelopment Agency Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency	
Demographic and Economic Statistics	18
Principal Employers	19
Full-Time Equivalent City Government Employees by Function/Program	20
Operating Indicators by Function/Program	21
Capital Asset Statistics by Function/Program	22

## INTRODUCTORY SECTION



## CITY HALL • 1 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

Office of the City Administrator Sabrina B. Landreth City Administrator (510) 238-3301 FAX (510) 238-2223

December 15, 2015

Residents of the City of Oakland The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

## The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of the City of Oakland

We are pleased to present the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report ("CAFR") of the City of Oakland, California ("City"). Controller's Bureau has prepared this report to present the financial position and the changes in net position for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, and the cash flows of its proprietary fund types for the year then ended. The basic financial statements and supporting schedules have been prepared in compliance with Section 809 of the City Charter, with California Government Code Sections 25250 and 25253, and in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) for local governments, as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

This report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the City. To provide a reasonable basis for making these representations, management has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed to protect the City's assets from loss, theft, or misuse; to compile sufficient reliable information for the preparation of the City's financial statements in conformity with GAAP, and to comply with applicable laws and regulations. As the cost of internal control should not exceed anticipated benefits, the objective is to provide reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements. We believe this CAFR to be complete and reliable in all material respects.

The City contracted with Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP, a firm of Certified Public Accountants licensed to practice in the State of California, to perform the annual independent audit. The auditors expressed an opinion that the City's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2015 are fairly stated and in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. This is the most favorable conclusion and is commonly known as an "unmodified" or "clean" opinion. The independent auditor's report is included in the Financial Section of this report.

In addition, Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP audited the City's major program expenditures of federal funds for compliance with the Federal Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Uniform Guidance regulating uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements for Single Audits, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The report of the Single Audit is published separately from this CAFR and may be obtained upon request from the City's Controller's Bureau.

## The Reporting Entity and Its Services

The City has defined its reporting entity in accordance with GAAP that provides guidance for determining which governmental activities, organizations, and functions should be included in the reporting entity. The Basic Financial Statements present information on the activities of the City and its component units.

GAAP requires that the component units be separated into blended or discretely presented units for reporting purposes. Although legally separate entities, blended component units are, in substance, part of the City's operations. Therefore, they are reported as part of the Primary Government. The discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the City's operations.

Accordingly, we have included the operations of the Oakland Municipal Employees' Retirement System (OMERS), the Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS), and the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency, as blended component units. The operations of the Port of Oakland (including the Oakland International Airport) are presented discretely. The Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Authority (Authority) is a Joint Venture owned and operated by the City and the County of Alameda. The Joint Venture agreement and operations are disclosed on Note 14 of the basic financial statements.

On February 6, 2015, the OMERS Board purchased an annuity with Pacific Life Insurance Company. The Retirement System was terminated effective March 31, 2015 and Pacific Life Insurance Company commenced payment of all future benefit payments to the remaining OMERS' retirees and beneficiaries. All excess funds were transferred to the City.

The Oakland Housing Authority, the Oakland Unified School District, and the Peralta Community College District were not included in the CAFR because they have limited relationships with the City and, therefore, did not meet the criteria for inclusion in the reporting entity. The City is also represented in six regional agencies that are excluded from the City's reporting entity. These agencies are the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART), Alameda-Contra Costa County Transit District (AC Transit), Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), East Bay Regional Park District, and the East Bay Municipal Utility District.

## **Profile of the Government**

The City of Oakland was chartered as a city in 1852. It is situated on the eastern side of the Oakland/San Francisco Bay in the County of Alameda. Its western border offers nineteen miles of coastline, while the rolling hills to the east present views of the Bay and the Pacific Ocean. In between are traditional, well-kept neighborhoods, a progressive downtown that is experiencing a tremendous surge in growth, and superior cultural and recreational amenities. It is the administrative site for the County of Alameda, the regional seat for the federal government, the district location of primary state offices, and the transportation hub of commerce for the Bay Area.

In November 1998, the citizens of Oakland passed Measure X changing the form of government from Council-City Manager to Mayor-Council through a charter amendment. Legislative authority is vested in the City Council and executive authority is vested in the Mayor. The City Administrator, appointed by the Mayor and approved by the City Council, has administrative authority to manage the day-to-day administrative and fiscal operations of the City. The City Auditor and the City Attorney are both elected officials and serve four-year terms.

The Mayor and City Council is the governing body of the City and is comprised of eight elected officials. One Council member is elected "at large", while the other seven Council members represent specific geographic districts. The Mayor and City Council are elected to serve four-year terms.

On March 2, 2004, the citizens of Oakland passed Measure P: (1) to repeal the sunset provision of Measure X passed in November 1998 to retain the Mayor-Council form of government; (2) to change the term limit for Mayor from two terms to two consecutive terms; (3) to reduce the number of votes needed for the City Council to pass an ordinance on reconsideration from six votes to five votes; (4) to eliminate the prohibition on paying the Mayor more than the City Administrator; (5) to remove the rule that the Mayor vacates his or her office by missing ten consecutive City Council meetings; (6) to require the Mayor to advise the City Council before removing the City Administrator; and (7) to change the title of the City Manager to "City Administrator".

The City provides a full range of services contemplated by statute or charter, including those functions delegated to cities under state law. These services include public safety (police and fire), sanitation and environmental health, economic development, community involvement and empowerment, public-private partnerships, library, recreational and cultural activities, public improvements, planning, zoning and general administrative services.

## **Economic Condition and Fiscal Outlook**

Despite challenging circumstances, the City has made notable, prudent investments to improve its financial situation, such as implementing the Three-Tier pension plan reform system for all labor unions (See note 15), increasing the ratio of funded pension obligations, Establishing a Vital Services Stabilization Reserve, and fully funding the General Purpose Fund reserve to 7.5 percent per City Council. The City ended fiscal year 2014-15, experiencing significant economic growth that should continue in the near term. Growth has been noteworthy in a number of revenue categories including Property Tax, Real Estate Transfer Tax, Sales Tax, Business License, and Transient Occupancy Tax.

The good news is that the Oakland's economy is showing steady signs of growth particularly in the housing, rental, and commercial property markets. Other key economic indicators are trending positively: unemployment is down, the region is experiencing rapid employment growth, and City revenues are up in most categories (e.g., property tax, sales tax, business tax, real estate tax).

The financial markets are taking notice of Oakland's judicious approach to address both short-term and long-term financial challenges: just recently, the credit-rating agency Standard & Poor's reaffirmed our AA- credit rating and noted our stable fiscal outlook.

Looking forward, the City must continue to grow revenues to restore and enhance services to the community. We have actively supported long-dormant projects which have recently sprung to life, and are working to spur progress on other projects to draw investment to Oakland, creating more jobs and more economic development. Recent progress includes:

- Nearly completed major infrastructure at the Oakland Army Base, which will enable the reuse of the base for maritime activities supporting approximately 2,000 new jobs;
- Supporting efforts to break ground in spring 2014 at the Brooklyn Basin Project, which includes 3,100 units of housing, 30 acres of open space, and 200,000 square feet of commercial space, with an approximate job count of 8,000 over the 6 to 8 year build-out of the project;
- > Completing the "10K" housing project started a decade ago and strategically positioning Oakland for "10K 2.0," which would build housing across all of Oakland's transit corridors;

- > Continuing to negotiate exciting opportunities for our sports facilities and the world-class potential of the Coliseum City development project; and
- Aggressively pursuing retail projects. Since 2011, more than 1 million square feet of new or improved leasable retail space have been completed or are in the pipeline.

As the result of the improved financial circumstances, the City Council adopted a two-year balanced FY 2015-17 policy budget that includes no layoffs, provides for enhancement of critical services and infrastructure improvements, and allowed for increases in employee compensation. The adopted budget also invests in essential services that the City Council has repeatedly expressed are its highest priorities: public safety, equitable jobs & housing, and sustainable infrastructure. This investment includes scheduling four police academies and growing the sworn police force, enhanced funding for violence prevention, funding key housing, planning and economic development initiatives, and investing in initiatives to improve childhood education and development outcomes. The City also financed some critical deferred infrastructure needs, such as replacing outdated vehicles and equipment, improved restoration of roads, bridges, and transportation infrastructure, and enhancing Information Technology infrastructure.

On June 30, 2015, the City Council adopted a balanced fiscal year 2015-2017 biennial budget. The midcycle budget focused on the following goals:

- ➤ A Safe City: that invests in Holistic Community Safety strategies.
- ➤ A Vibrant City: that makes strategic investments in infrastructure, public works and the arts to protect and enhance the quality of life for all neighborhoods.
- ➤ A Just City: that promotes equitable jobs and housing that protects and nurtures a diverse and inclusive community that cares for its youth, elderly, families, and the vulnerable.
- > A Prosperous City: that values workers and fosters a diverse economy that creates equitable economic growth, jobs, and housing.
- > Trustworthy Government: that provides quality municipal services, efficiency, transparency, and accountability, as well as respects municipal employees.

While the City continues to experience economic growth and increases in revenues, it still faces longer term financial challenges where our revenues are outpaced by our expenditures due to a variety of factors. This includes: rising health care costs, rapidly growing costs of employee pensions and frequent CalPERS pension formula changes, years of deferred equipment purchases and facility maintenance that can no longer be delayed, and the need for substantial investment in City infrastructure.

The City is also committed to securing the City's long-term financial health by taking direct actions to address unfunded liabilities. These actions include: maintaining and growing a Vital Services Stabilization Fund, the funding of Police/Fire CalPERS pensions at funding ratio of 67.9% and at 69.5% for the civilian employees at market value of assets; the City began to partially pre-fund by \$2.2 million, the annual required contribution (ARC) to the California Employer's Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) for Other Post-Employment Benefit ("OPEB); negotiating two-tier pension reform to significantly reduce long-term costs; and implementing the State's third-tier reform after that. Even with these measures, we cannot ignore the fact that rising pension costs are continuing to reduce funding for other General Purpose Fund priorities.

The City's general obligation credit ratings of Aa2\AA- and stable outlook from Moody's Investor Services, Inc. ("Moody's) and Standard and Poor's Corporation ("S&P"), respectively, continue to show

the City's fiscal prudence. The City continues to maintain strong credit ratings on the City's existing general obligation bonds from both rating agencies as its economy successfully emerges from the economic downturn. The Management and Discussion & Analysis ("MD&A") has more discussion on the City's credit ratings. The rating agencies continue to cite management's demonstrated commitment to strong fiscal management as a basis of their rationale for bestowing the City's strong ratings. These ratings translate to significant interest cost savings in the City's debt program and to the taxpayers of the City of Oakland.

## **Significant Events and Accomplishments**

Highlights of activities and accomplishments for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, include the following:

- ➤ December 12, 2014: Lonely Planet, the No. 1 selling guidebook publisher in the world, announced its roster of Top Ten U.S. Travel Destinations for 2015. Oakland ranked No. 8 on the roster. The compilation is published to highlight the best travel destinations in the U.S. for the upcoming year. Lonely Planet describes Oakland as an "incubator for adventurous restaurants" and spotlights Oakland's Art Murmur and First Fridays as a "can't-miss cultural event."
- March 2, 2015: Oakland's new employment law created by Measure FF took effect. The voterenacted ballot measure that passed with an 82% majority in November, 2014 has three key provisions:
  - Raised the minimum wage from \$9 to \$12.25/hour
  - Requires employers to provide paid sick leave
  - Requires payment of service charges to hospitality workers who provide the service
- March 27, 2015: In March 2015, SmartAsset posted its roster of the top 10 most interesting cities in America. In ranking Oakland No. 7, the group noted Oakland's diversity and "deserved recognition as a cultural capital." Other accolades bestowed upon Oakland include being ranked among the 20 best U.S. cities for basketball fans by WalletHub and No. 3 among best startup cities in America by Popular Mechanics.
- ➤ April 30, 2015: Mayor Libby Schaaf released a \$2.4 billion two-year budget proposal for the City of Oakland for fiscal years 2015-2017. The proposed two-year balanced budget will build a solid financial foundation for a vibrant, equitable Oakland that is growing responsibly. In the short-term, this budget proposal closes an estimated \$18 million annual funding gap without making any cuts to services or staffing levels.
- ▶ May 8, 2015: In April, fDi Intelligence, a division of the Financial Times Ltd and the world's premier publication for the business of globalization, released its American Cities of the Future 2015/16 roster. In the Mid-Sized American Cities category, Oakland was ranked No. 2 overall and No. 1 in Connectivity. Oakland was also well ranked in the human capital & lifestyle and economic potential categories.
- ➤ October 1, 2015: the East Oakland Sports Center (EOSC) was recognized by the East Bay Chapter of the American Institute of Architects as a 2015 Merit Award Winner.
- Dectober 13, 2015: the Tax Compliance Section of the City of Oakland's Revenue Management Bureau initiated their 2015 Non-compliant Rental Project. The Tax Compliance Section mailed approximately 25,000 notices to owners of residential rental property in Oakland. The goal of the project is to bring all of the City's residential rental property owners into compliance with Oakland Municipal Code section 5.04.420 Rental of residential property, which requires property owners to maintain a current business license.

- October 16, 2015: In October 2015, WalletHub ranked Oakland as the 4th Bess Foodie City for your Wallet in their survey of the 150 most populated U.S. cities. In ranking the cities, WalletHub analyzed 18 key metrics. Oakland ranked No. 1 in number of restaurants per capita and No. 3 in ratio of full-service restaurants to fast-food establishments. In addition, Oakland was recognized as the 9th Greenest City in America, the 2nd Most Ethno-Racially Diverse Large City, No. 7 Best Local Food Scene and 4th most pet-Friendly Rental Market.
- October 28, 2015: Mayor Schaaf delivered her inaugural State of the City address. Before, during, and after the mayor's remarks, attendees had the opportunity to visit three breakout rooms dedicated to discussions about issues facing Oakland and Mayor Schaaf's four priority areas: Holistic Community Safety, Vibrant Sustainable Infrastructure, Equitable Jobs and Housing, and Responsive Trustworthy Government.
- ➤ October 2015: Minimum Wage Increase Effective Friday, January 1, 2016, Oakland's Minimum Wage goes up to \$12.55 per hour. Measure FF, the voter-initiative ballot measure passed in November 2014, provides annual increases to Oakland's Minimum Wage based on the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W). In light of the increase, employers must be aware of the following:
  - By December 15, 2015, employers are required to provide notice to employees and prominently display posters on the new Minimum Wage;
  - On January 1, 2016, the rate goes up 30¢ to \$12.55; and
  - The other benefits mandated by Measure FF paid sick leave and payment of service charges remain the same as when the employment law took effect on March 2, 2015

## **Economic Indicators and Next Fiscal Year's Budget and Tax Rates**

The City of Oakland's primary economic indicators are highlighted in the Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section of this report.

## The Five-Year Financial Forecast

In March 2015, as part of the proposed FY2013-15 Biennial Budget, the City issued a Five-Year Financial Forecast that forecasted revenues and expenditures. The purpose of the Five-Year Financial Forecast is to help the City of Oakland make informed financial and operational decisions by better anticipating long-term future revenues and expenditures. Since that time the City has experienced a continued growth in revenues and boom in the local economy. This economic growth will be reflected in subsequent reports on City revenues and expenditures.

In February of 2017 the City will release a new Five-Year Financial Forecast in preparation for the FY 2017-19 Biennial Budget. This new forecast will address the projected future growth rates of expenditures and revenues, and any other fiscal concerns, based upon information available through December of 2016.

## **Single Audit**

As a recipient of Federal, State and County financial assistance, the City is responsible for providing assurance that adequate internal controls are in place to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. These controls are periodically evaluated by management, the City Auditor's Office (internal), and the City's independent auditors (external).

As part of the City's single audit procedures, tests are performed to determine the effectiveness of the internal controls over major federal award programs and the City's compliance with applicable laws and regulations related to these federal award programs.

## **Budget Controls**

The City's budget is a detailed operating plan that identifies estimated costs in relation to estimated revenues. The budget includes:

- > The programs, projects, services and activities to be carried out during the fiscal year;
- The estimated revenue available to finance the operating plan; and
- > The estimated spending requirements for the operating plan.

The budget represents a process where policy decisions by the Mayor and the City Council are adopted, implemented and controlled. The notes to the required supplementary information summarize the budgetary roles of various City officials and the timetable for their budgetary actions according to the City Charter. In June 2015, the City Council approved the City's FY 2015-17 Adopted Policy Budget.

The City Charter prohibits expending monies for which there is no legal appropriation. Therefore, the City is required to adopt budgets for all City funds. The level of budgetary control (that is, the level at which expenditures cannot legally exceed the appropriated amount) is established at the fund level, although for management purposes, the line item budget is controlled at the departmental level within funds. The City Administrator is authorized to administer the budget and may transfer monies from one activity, program or project to another within the same agency and fund. Supplemental appropriations or transfers of appropriations between funds or agencies must be approved by the City Council.

The City also maintains an encumbrance accounting system to provide budgetary controls for governmental funds. Encumbrances which would result in an overrun of an account balance are suspended in the system until additional funding is made available via budget change requests or withdrawn due to lack of funding. Encumbrances outstanding at June 30 and carried forward are reported as assigned of the appropriate governmental fund's fund balance since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities. Encumbrances that do not lapse but are brought forward to the new fiscal year are incorporated as part of the budget adopted by the City Council for that year.

The City Council receives quarterly reports on the City's revenues and expenditures compared to budget as a management tool to pro-actively monitor the City's fiscal condition. The City continues to meet its responsibility for sound financial management as demonstrated by the statements and schedules included in the financial section of this report.

## **Debt Management Policy**

The City's Debt Management Policy is reviewed and adopted annually by the City Council. The goal of the Debt Management Policy is to set prudent guidelines to ensure that the City's debt portfolio is fiscally stable. It is in place to maintain long-term financial flexibility while ensuring that the City's capital needs are adequately supported. The Debt Management Policy establishes the following equally important objectives:

- To achieve the lowest possible cost of capital for the City;
- > To achieve the highest practical credit rating;
- Maintain full and complete financial disclosure and reporting;

- > Ensure timely repayment of debt;
- Maintain a prudent level of financial risk
- > Utilize local and disadvantaged banking and financial firms, whenever possible
- Ensure compliance with applicable State and Federal laws.

## **Cash Management Policies and Practices**

To maximize interest income and maintain liquidity, the City pools operating cash and invests these monies in securities of various maturities. These monies and operating funds of the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency are invested pursuant to the City's Investment Policy in compliance with Section 53601 of the California Government Code, the City's Nuclear Free Zone and Linked Banking Ordinances, and the City's Tobacco Divestiture Resolution. The objectives of the Investment Policy are to preserve capital, provide adequate liquidity to meet cash disbursements of the City, and to reduce overall portfolio needs while maintaining market-average rates of return. Investments are secured by collateral as required under law, with maturity dates staggered to ensure that cash is available when needed. The City Council receives quarterly reports on the performance of the City's pooled investment program.

## **Risk Management**

To finance its risks of general liability and workers' compensation, the City maintains a program of self-insurance, supplemented with commercial insurance of limited coverage that is sufficient to protect resources at the lowest reasonable cost. The City maintains commercial fire insurance policies on all of its buildings. Additionally, the City insures for the perils of earthquake and flood on the Henry J. Kaiser Convention Center and the George F. Scotlan Memorial Convention Center.

The City Attorney represents the City in all of its legal matters, including claims investigation, civil litigation and disposition of claims and lawsuits.

Insurance to protect and indemnify the City against the risks of general liability and property damage is required in virtually all of its public works, contractor-supplied and professional services contracts.

## **Awards**

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada ("GFOA") awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City of Oakland for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report ("CAFR") for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. The Certificate of Achievement is a prestigious national award recognizing conformance with the highest standards for preparation of state and local government financial reports. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a governmental unit must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized CAFR whose contents conform to program standards. The CAFR must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

The Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. The City of Oakland has received a Certificate of Achievement the last 25 years. The City's Fiscal Year 2014-15 CAFR will be submitted to GFOA for consideration for the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting.

## Acknowledgements

Kustea

The preparation of this CAFR represents the culmination of a concerted team effort by the entire staff of the Finance Department, most particularly the Controller's Bureau, Treasury Bureau, City Administrator's Office, and other departmental staff, who have demonstrated their professionalism, dedication and efficiency in the preparation of this report. We also thank Macias, Gini & O'Connell LLP for their assistance and guidance.

Finally, we wish to express our sincere appreciation to the Mayor, and the members of the City Council for providing policy direction and their interest and continuing support in planning and conducting the City's financial operations in a fiscally responsible and progressive manner.

Respectfully submitted,

SABRINA B. LANDRETH

City Administrator

CHRISTINE DANIEL

Assistant City Administrator Finance Director

KIRSTEN LACASSE

Interim Controller



Government Finance Officers Association

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to


## City of Oakland California

For its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended

June 30, 2014

Executive Director/CEO

## CITY OF OAKLAND ORGANIZATION CHART



## LIST OF ELECTED AND APPOINTED OFFICIALS MAYOR/COUNCIL FORM OF GOVERNMENT

June 30, 2015

## **MAYOR**

Libby Schaaf

## MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Lynette Gibson McElhaney, *President (District 3)* Rebecca Kaplan, *Vice-Mayor (At Large)* 

Dan Kalb	Abel J. Guillen	Annie Campbell Washington
District 1	District 2	District 4
Noel Gallo	Desley Brooks	Larry Reid
District 5	District 6	District 7
		(President Pro Tem)

## MAYOR APPOINTED OFFICERS

Sabrina B. Landreth, City Administrator
Christine Daniel, Assistant City Administrator
Claudia Cappio, Assistant City Administrator
Stephanie Hom, Deputy City Administrator
La Tonda Simmons, City Clerk

## **ELECTED OFFICERS**

Barbara Parker, City Attorney Brenda Roberts, City Auditor

## **DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS**

Brooke Levin	Teresa Deloach Reed	Mark Sawicki	Gerald Garzon
Public Works	(Chief)	Economic & Workforce	Oakland Public Library
	Fire Department	Development	
Vacant	Sean Whent	Rachel O'Dwyer-Flynn	Michele Byrd
Finance Department	(Chief)	Planning & Building	Housing & Community
	Police Department		Development
Anil Comelo	Katano Kasaine	Sara Bedford	Audree Jones-Taylor
Human Resources	(Interim)	Human Services Department	Oakland Parks &
Management	Information Technology		Recreation

## CITY OF OAKLAND COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

## PROJECT TEAM

## AUDIT/FINANCIAL STATEMENT COORDINATOR

Kirsten LaCasse, Interim Controller

## FINANCIAL STATEMENT PREPARATION

## **Financial Statement Leaders**

Theresa Woo Connie L. Chu Financial Analyst Accountant III

## **Accounting CAFR Team**

Michelle Wong Erico Parras Andy Yang
Timothy Turner Felipe Kiocho Rogelio Medalla
Lilian Falkin Young Shin

## SPECIAL ASSISTANCE

Dawn Hort Katano Kasaine
David Jones Sharon Holman

## SPECIAL ASSISTANCE - DEPARTMENTS & OFFICES

City Administrator's Office

City Attorney's Office

Finance Department - Treasury Bureau Human Resources Department This page is intentionally left blank.

# FINANCIAL SECTION



Walnut Creek

Oakland

Los Angeles

Century City

Newport Beach

## **Independent Auditor's Report**

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Oakland, California

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

San Diego

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Oakland, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the Oakland Municipal Employees' Retirement System (OMERS) and the Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS), which collectively represent 66.1%, 413.3%, and 7.0% respectively of the assets and deferred outflows of resources, net position/fund balance, and additions/revenues of the aggregate remaining fund information as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinions, insofar as they relate to the amounts included for OMERS and PFRS, are based solely on the reports of the other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. The financial statements of OMERS and PFRS were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## **Opinions**

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Emphasis of a Matter

## Changes in Accounting Principles

As discussed in Note (1)E. to the financial statements, effective July 1, 2014, the City implemented the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27, and GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 68. Our opinions are not modified with respect to this matter.

## Other Matters

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, the schedules of funding progress related to OPEB, the schedules of changes in net pension liability and related ratios of the pension plans, the schedules of plan contributions of the pension plans, and the budgetary comparison schedules of the general fund and the other special revenue fund, as listed in the table of contents to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the GASB, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining financial statements and schedules, and statistical section, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America by us and other auditors. In our opinion, based on our audit, the procedures performed as described above, and the reports of other auditors,

the combining financial statements and schedules are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Macias Gini & O'Connell LAP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 15, 2015, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Oakland, California December 15, 2015

## Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2015

This section of the City of Oakland's (the City) Comprehensive Annual Financial Report provides an overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the additional information contained in the City's financial statements and related notes and our letter of transmittal that precedes this section.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The government-wide statement of net position for the City's governmental and business-type activities indicates that as of June 30, 2015, the total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources exceed total assets and deferred outflows of resources by \$86.3 million compared to a positive net position of \$1.2 billion at June 30, 2014:

- \$1.2 billion represents the City's investment in capital assets, less any related outstanding debt used to acquire those assets (*net investment in capital assets*). These capital assets are used to provide services to citizens and are not available for future spending.
- \$547.3 million represents resources that are subject to restrictions on their use and are available to meet the City's ongoing obligations for programs, of which \$221.3 million pertains to Low and Moderate Income Housing Redevelopment.
- \$1.8 billion represents a deficit in unrestricted net position that has primarily resulted from the underfunding of the annual other postemployment benefits cost, and other unfunded long-term liabilities (unrestricted net position). The City's total net position decreased by \$1.3 billion due to a restatement of \$1.5 billion to the beginning net position to record the City's net pension liability and related deferred outflows of resources for pension contributions made during the prior year in accordance with GASB Statements No. 68 Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27, and Statement No. 71 Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date an amendment of GASB Statement No. 68. This resulted in the recognition of a net pension liability in the amount of \$1.1 billion, the deferred outflows of resources related to pension contributions made subsequent to the measurement date of June 30, 2014 in the amount of \$92.8 million, and the deferred inflows of resources related to differences between projected and actual earnings on pension investments in the amount of \$198.4 million as of June 30, 2015. The remaining changes in net position are discussed below.
- \$71.9 million increase in net position was derived from governmental activities predominantly from increases in property tax, real estate transfer tax, transient occupancy tax, business license, and program revenues. These increases were off-set by increases in expenses \$8.6 million primarily in Public Safety.
- \$107.7 million increase is due the transfer of properties and excess tax allocation bonds from Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA) to the City. California Department of Finance (DOF) approved the bond expenditure agreement between ORSA and the City to transfer excess tax allocation bond proceeds to the City. The bond transfer was recorded as a special item in Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency's (ORSA) financial statements. Accordingly, the bond transfer of ORSA to the City was recorded as a special item in the City's governmental funds and governmental activities. The components of the special item recorded in the financial statements are discussed in Note I. part F.15.
- \$18.3 million increase in net position was derived from the Business-type activities, mainly the Sewer related activities.

The City's governmental cumulative fund balances increased by 2.6 percent or \$15.9 million to \$639.1 million compared to \$623.2 million for the prior fiscal year. This increase is primarily attributed to \$85.7 million of land purchase and property transferred from ORSA to the City as approved by DOF recorded in the Municipal

## Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Capital Improvement Fund, which was partially offset by decreases of \$69.15 million in Other Governmental Funds.

The City undesignated, uncommitted fund balance met the requirements of the City Council's 7.5% reserve policy based on the total General Purpose Fund expenditures for fiscal year 2014-15 (See note I.H).

## OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis are intended to introduce the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements consist of three components:

- Government-wide Financial Statements
- Fund Financial Statements
- Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
- Required Supplementary Information

In addition, this report also contains required and other supplementary information.

## **Government-wide Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's finances, in a manner similar to the financial statements for a private-sector business.

The *statement of net position* presents information on all of the City's assets, deferred outflows and inflows of resources, and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether or not the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating.

The *statement of activities* presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods, such as revenues pertaining to uncollected taxes and expenses pertaining to earned but unused vacation and sick leave.

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the City that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the City include general government, public safety, Community Services, community and economic development, and public works. The business-type activities of the City include the sewer service system and the parks and recreation. The government-wide financial statements does not include the fiduciary funds, which comprise of the private purpose trust funds and pension trust funds. Resources in the fiduciary funds are not available to support the City's own programs.

The government-wide financial statements include the primary government of the City and the Port of Oakland (Port), as a discrete component unit. Financial information for the Port is reported separately from the financial information presented for the primary government. Further information about the Port can be obtained from the Port Financial Services Division, 530 Water Street, Oakland, CA 94607 or visit the website at www.portofoakland.com.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

## **Fund Financial Statements**

The fund financial statements are designed to report information about groupings of related accounts that are used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City can be divided into the following three categories: *governmental funds, proprietary funds* and *fiduciary funds*.

Governmental Funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. Most of the City's basic services are reported in governmental funds. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on the near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on the balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the City's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the City's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City maintains several individual governmental funds organized according to their type (special revenue, capital projects, debt service and general fund). Information is presented separately in the governmental funds balance sheet and in the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general fund, the federal and state grant special revenue fund, the low and moderate income housing asset fund (LMIHF), the municipal capital improvement fund, and the other special revenue fund, all of which are considered to be major funds. Data from the remaining funds are combined in a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of the nonmajor governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

The City adopts an annual appropriated budget for its general fund. A budgetary comparison schedule has been provided for the general fund in the required supplementary information to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

**Proprietary Funds.** Proprietary funds are generally used to account for services for which the City charges customers, either outside customers or internal units or departments of the City. Proprietary funds provide the same type of information shown in the government-wide statements only in more detail.

## Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The City maintains the following two types of proprietary funds:

- (1) Enterprise Funds are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City uses enterprise funds to account for the operations of the Sewer Service System and the Parks and Recreation operations. The Sewer Service Fund is considered to be a major fund of the City.
- (2) Internal Service Funds are used to report activities that provide services and supplies for certain City programs and activities. The City uses internal service funds to account for its fleet of vehicles, radio and communication equipment, facilities management, printing and reproduction, central stores and purchasing. Because these services predominantly benefit governmental rather than business-type functions, they have been included within governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. The internal service funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation in the proprietary fund financial statements. Individual fund data for the internal service funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

Fiduciary Funds. Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of employees and parties outside the City. The Oakland Municipal Employees Retirement System (OMERS) Fund and the Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) Fund are reported as pension trust funds. The private purpose trust funds along with the private pension trust fund are reported as trust funds since their resources are not available to support the City's own programs. For this reason, they are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements. The accounting used for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds.

## **Notes to the Basic Financial Statements**

The notes to the basic financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

## **Required Supplementary Information**

The required supplementary information includes the budgetary schedule for the General Fund and the Other Special Revenue Fund and schedules of funding progress for pension and other postemployment benefits that show the City's and the Port's progress towards funding its obligation to provide future pension and other postemployment benefits for its active and retired employees.

## **Other Information**

In addition, this report presents combining statements and schedules referred to earlier in connection with nonmajor governmental funds, internal service funds and fiduciary funds that immediately follow the required supplementary information.

## Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

## **Government-Wide Financial Analysis**

Net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of the City's financial condition. The City's total assets and deferred outflows of resources are less than total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources as of June 30, 2015 by \$86.3 million compared to positive net position of \$1.2 billion as of June 30, 2014, which represents a decrease in net position of \$1.2 billion due to a restatement of \$1.4 billion to the beginning net position in accordance with the implementation of GASB Statement 68 and GASB Statement No. 71, as previously mentioned. The City's net position reflects the net investment in capital assets of \$1.2 billion for governmental and business-type activities. Of the remaining balance, \$140.9 million are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. The unrestricted net position of \$1.4 billion is comprised of a deficit balance of \$1.4 billion for governmental activities, and a positive balance of \$27.0 million for business-type activities.

## **Statement of Net Position**

June 30, 2015 and 2014 (In Thousands)

	Governmental Activities			Busir Activi		Total		
	2015	2014		2015	2014	2015	2014	
Assets:								
Current and other assets	\$ 1,164,999	\$ 1,433,877	\$ 62	2,191	\$ 58,497	\$ 1,227,190	\$ 1,492,374	
Capital assets	1,310,939	1,180,519	190	6,663	186,962	1,507,602	1,367,481	
Total Assets	2,475,938	2,614,396	258	8,854	245,459	2,734,792	2,859,855	
Deferred outflows of resources:								
Loss on refunding of debts	20,371	15,630		-	_	20,371	15,630	
Deferred outflows of resources								
related to pensions	89,930	-	- 2	2,929	-	92,859		
Total deferred outflows of								
resources	110,301	15,630		2,929	-	113,230	15,630	
Liabilities:								
Long-term liabilities	1,391,585	1,488,226	4	1,430	43,699	1,433,015	1,531,925	
Other liabilities	178,561	159,982	2	2,996	4,834	181,557	164,816	
Net Pension Liability	1,091,745	-	29	9,079	-	1,120,824	-	
Total Liabilities	2,661,891	1,648,208	7	3,505	48,533	2,735,396	1,696,741	
Deferred iutflows of resources:								
Gain on refunding of debts	_	-		553	592	553	592	
Deferred inflows of resources related								
to pensions	193,107	-		5,286		198,393	-	
Total deferred inflows of resources	193,107			5,839	592	198,946	592	
Net Position:								
Net investment in capital assets	1,025,789	876,703	153	5,257	143,295	1,181,046	1,019,998	
Restricted	140,950	433,080		-	-	140,950	433,080	
Unrestricted (Deficit)	(1,435,498)	(327,965)	2	7,182	53,039	(1,408,316)	(274,926)	
Total net position	(268,759)	981,818	182	2,439	196,334	(86,320)	1,178,152	

## Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

**Governmental activities:** The City's net position in governmental activities decreased by \$1.2 billion.

**Total assets** decreased by \$138.5 million, or 5.30%, to \$2.5 billion. The significant changes in assets occurred in the following areas:

- *Capital assets* increased by \$130.4 million. The increase was due largely to properties of \$85.7 million transferred to the City from ORSA.
- Current & Other Assets decreased by \$268.9 million mainly due to changes to the net pension asset.

**Total liabilities** increased by \$1.0 billion, or 61.5% to \$2.6 billion. The significant changes in liabilities occurred in the following areas:

- Long-term liabilities decreased by \$96.6 million primarily attributed to \$293.3 million debt service payments of government bonds. The decrease is off-set by \$128.9 million issuance of General Obligation Bond Series 2015A and \$24.8 million issuance in capital leases which includes vehicle lease of \$15.7 million and radio lease of \$9.2 million.
- *Net pension liability* of \$1.1 million was recorded at June 30, 2015. This new liability was recorded due to the implementation of GASB Statement No.68 in the fiscal year 2014-2015.
- Other liabilities increased by \$18.6 million.

**The net position** decreased by \$1.25 billion to a deficit \$268.8 million as of June 30, 2015. The City net position can be divided into three categories: net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted.

- \$1.2 billion of the net position reflects its *investment in capital assets* (e.g., land, buildings infrastructure, facilities and equipment), net of any related outstanding debt that was used to acquire those assets. The City uses these capital assets to provide a variety of services to citizens. These assets, therefore, are *not* available for future spending.
- \$141 million of the net position represents resources that are subject to restrictions on how they may be used and therefore restricted of which \$87.6 million is subject to external restriction.
- \$1.4 billion represents a deficit in unrestricted net position that has primarily resulted from the underfunding of the annual other postemployment benefits cost, and other unfunded long-term liabilities.

## Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The following table indicates the changes in net position for governmental and business-type activities:

## Statement of Activities For the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

(In Thousands)


		Governmental Business-Type Activities Activities			pe Total		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	
Revenues:							
Program revenues:							
Charges for services	\$ 182,293	\$ 152,674	\$ 57,839	\$ 53,449	\$ 240,132	\$ 206,123	
Operating grants and contributions	92,865	119,063	-	-	92,865	119,063	
Capital grants and contributions	70,322	42,148	-	-	70,322	42,148	
General revenues:							
Property taxes	267,534	240,779	-	-	267,534	240,779	
State taxes:							
Sales and use taxes	63,895	58,912	-	-	63,895	58,912	
Gas tax	12,030	13,085	-	-	12,030	13,085	
Local taxes:							
Business license	66,677	62,905	-	-	66,677	62,905	
Utility consumption	50,594	50,422	-	-	50,594	50,422	
Real estate transfer	62,665	59,060	-	-	62,665	59,060	
Transient occupancy	21,569	18,468	-	-	21,569	18,468	
Parking	18,398	16,661	-	-	18,398	16,661	
Voter approved special tax	37,443	38,835	-	-	37,443	38,835	
Franchise	18,150	16,666	-	-	18,150	16,666	
Interest and investment income	6,362	6,653	142	165	6,504	6,818	
Other	12,745	19,671			12,745	19,671	
Total revenues	983,542	916,002	57,981	53,614	1,041,523	969,616	
Expenses:							
General government	\$ 82,493	79,806	-	-	82,493	79,806	
Public safety	383,904	379,809	-	-	383,904	379,809	
Community Services	121,740	116,961	-	-	121,740	116,961	
Community & economic development	75,268	83,657	-	-	75,268	83,657	
Public works	105,619	109,177	-	-	105,619	109,177	
Interest on long-term debt	68,033	59,026	-	-	68,033	59,026	
Sewer	-	-	36,957	37,306	36,957	37,306	
Parks and recreation			681	855	681	855	
Total expenses	837,057	828,436	37,638	38,161	874,695	866,597	
	1.15.10	07.55	20.242	15.450	1.55020	102.010	
Change in net position before transfers	146,485	87,566	20,343	15,453	166,828	103,019	
Transfers	2,002	2,002	(2,002)	(2,002)	-	-	
Special Item - Transfer of excess tax	107.606	00.200			107.000	00.200	
allocation bond	107,696	88,309	- 10.241	- 12.451	107,696	88,309	
Change in net position	256,183	177,877	18,341	13,451	274,524	191,328	
Net position at beginning of year	981,818	803,941	196,334	182,883	1,178,152	986,824	
Adjustment due to implementation of							
GASB Statement No. 68	(1,506,760)	,	(32,236)		(1,538,996)	=	
Net position at end of year	\$ (268,759)	\$ 981,818	\$ 182,439	\$ 196,334	\$ (86,320)	\$1,178,152	

**Governmental activities:** Net position for governmental activities, excluding the special item of \$107.7 million from ORSA transfer of excess bond proceeds to the City, decreased by \$58.9 million during fiscal year 2014-15. Total revenue increased by 7.4 percent and expenses increased by 1.0 percent. During FY 2013-14, revenues increased at a rate of 10.8 percent and expenses increased by 5.6 percent.

## Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Changes in net position for governmental activities are attributed to the following significant elements:


- Contributing factors resulting to increases in certain revenue categories are as follows: property tax increased by \$26.7 million due to the restoration of assessed values that Proposition 8 temporarily decreased as a result of the previously declining property values during the recession. Real estate transfer tax increased by \$3.6 million or 6.1 percent primarily due to higher volume in real estate sales. Real estate transfer tax is highly volatile and revenues can increase and decrease rapidly with changing market conditions as a result of the sale of high value properties. Business license taxes increased by \$3.8 million due to increases in gross receipts from businesses in the City. Transient occupancy taxes increased by \$3.1 million or 14.4 percent due to thriving local hotel demand.
- Other factors contributing to revenue increase include: charges for services by \$29.6 million or 19.4 percent mainly due to Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund's increase in ROPS reimbursement as a result of California Department of Finance approval of project cost for LMIHF, Port of Oakland reimbursements, and an increase in license and permits fees. Capital grants and contributions increased by \$28.2 million or 66.9 percent mainly due to the new Trade Corridor Improvement Fund ("TCIF) for the construction of infrastructure and other site preparation with East and Central Gateway Areas of the former Oakland Army Base.
- Contributing factors resulting to decrease in certain revenue categories are as follows: Operating grants
  and contributions decreased by \$26.2 million or 22 percent Gas tax declined by \$.87 million or 6.7
  percent due to reduced consumption, higher fuel efficiency and more hybrid/electric vehicles.



• General government expenses increased by \$2.69 million or 3.4 percent when compared to the previous year primarily due to the City amendment of Ordinance 2.29 which establishes the City organizational structure. In the ordinance, the City created a new division for Oakland Animal Services under the City Administrator which was formerly a division in the Oakland Police Department.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015


- Public safety expenses increased by \$4.8 million or 1.3 percent when compared to the previous year due
  primarily to increased overtime costs associated with unanticipated protests and targeted crime reduction
  operations.
- *Community services* expenses increased by \$4.8 million or 4.1 percent primarily due to multi-year funding expenditure in the *Measure Y Violence Prevention and Public Safety Act of 2004* and an increase in Federal and State grants.
- *Community and economic development* expenses decreased by \$8.4 million or 10 percent primarily due to the completion of multi-year projects
- Public works expenses decreased by \$3.6 million or 3.3 percent from the prior year primarily due to the one-time expenditures in FY 2013-14 for LED streetlight project.
- Interest on long-term debt increased by \$9.0 million or 15.26 percent primarily due to payment to refund bond escrow agent.



Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

**Business-type activities:** Business-type activities ended the fiscal year with a positive change in its net position of \$18.4 million compared to \$13.5 million the previous fiscal year. The increase is due to an increase in sewer activities charge for services of \$4.6 million or 8.6 percent.






#### Financial Analysis of the Government's Funds

**Governmental funds**: The focus of City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

**General Fund:** The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the City. At June 30, 2015, its unassigned fund balance is \$37.4 million or 24.3 percent of the \$266.3 million total General Fund balance.



#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, revenues for the General Fund by revenue source are distributed as follows (in thousands):

	Genera	ıl Fu	nd	In	Increase / (Decre		
·	2015		2014	Ar	nount	%	
Revenues:					,		
Taxes:							
Property taxes	\$ 228,072	\$	205,895	\$	22,177	10.8%	
State taxes:							
Sales and use taxes	48,827		46,956		1,871	4.0%	
Motor vehicles in-lieu ta	177		-		177	100.0%	
Local taxes:							
Business license	66,677		62,905		3,772	6.0%	
Utility consumption	50,594		50,422		172	0.3%	
Real estate transfer	62,665		59,060		3,605	6.1%	
Transient occupancy	16,898		14,578		2,320	15.9%	
Parking	9,337		8,444		893	10.6%	
Franchise	17,921		16,401		1,520	9.3%	
Licenses and permits	1,573		1,388		185	13.3%	
Fines and penalties	23,146		22,809		337	1.5%	
Interest and investment in	1,088		1,401		(313)	-22.3%	
Charges for services	82,461		77,978		4,483	5.7%	
Federal & state grants an	8,003		4,911		3,092	63.0%	
Annuity income	2,648		2,040		608	29.8%	
Other	3,899				3,899	100.0%	
Total revenues	\$ 623,986	\$ :	575,188	\$	48,798	8.5%	

#### General Fund Revenues: Significant changes in revenues are as follows:


- Property taxes increased by \$22.2 million or 10.8 percent. The increase is mainly due to the restoration of Proposition 8 values to the assessment roll in FY 2014-15. When property values decline, Proposition 8 allows the property to be temporarily assessed at a lower value. Additionally, there was an increase in Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF) distribution as a result of the California Department of Finance ("DOF") disallowance of requested amounts from the review of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 15-16A.
- Real estate transfer tax increased by \$3.6 million or 6.1% percent primarily due to higher volume in real estate sales.
- Business license increased by \$3.7 million mainly due to increases in gross receipts from businesses in the City.
- Transient occupancy increased by \$2.3 million mainly due to thriving local hotel demand.
- *Annuity income* increased by \$608 thousand mainly due to increased interest and investment earnings of the New York Life annuity contract investment.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, expenditures for the General Fund by function are distributed as follows (in thousands):

	General Fund		nd	Increase / (I	Decrease)	
		2015		2014	Amount	%
Expenditures:						
Current:						
Elected and Appointed Officials:						
Mayor	\$	1,980	\$	1,984	(4)	-0.20%
Council		3,761		3,623	138	3.81%
City Administrator		15,668		11,328	4,340	38.31%
City Attorney		12,123		13,822	(1,699)	-12.29%
City Auditor		1,620		1,650	(30)	-1.82%
City Clerk		3,414		1,777	1,637	92.12%
Departments:						
Administrative Services Department						
Human Resource Management		5,871		4,902	969	19.77%
Information Technology		9,104		8,293	811	9.78%
Financial		22,666		17,781	4,885	27.47%
Public Safety						
Police Services		218,143		200,273	17,870	8.92%
Fire Services		113,553		96,951	16,602	17.12%
Community Service Departments						
Parks and Recreation		20,071		18,372	1,699	9.25%
Human Services		5,935		6,881	(946)	-13.75%
Library		9,141		8,995	146	1.62%
Community and Economic Development						
Planning & Building		502		80	422	527.50%
Economic & Workforce Development		7,775		7,134	641	8.99%
Housing & Community Development		2,330		2,309	21	0.91%
Public Works		38,703		40,539	(1,836)	-4.53%
Others		6,581		10,419	(3,838)	-36.84%
Capital outlay		4,323		2,243	2,080	92.73%
Debt Service						
Principal repayment		5,613		2,923	2,690	92.03%
Bond issuance costs		200		209	(9)	-4.31%
Interest charges		629		537	92	17.13%
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	\$	509,706	\$	463,025	46,681	10.08%

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015



**General Fund Expenditures:** Significant changes in expenditures are as follows:

- Public safety increased by \$34.5 million or 26.0 percent due to two (2) new full Police academies, three
   (3) lateral academies, the two (2) percent cost of living adjustment ("COLA") for non-sworn and overtime on sworn employees and the sunset of the 8.85% pay reduction to Fire sworn employees. The increase is partially offset by vacancy savings
- City elected offices and departments, excluding public safety and debt service, are reporting a total increased by \$9.4 million in expenditures mainly due to negotiated COLA increase of 1 percent for FY 2014-15, the transfer of the Oakland Animal Services division from Public Safety to the City Administrator, and increased contract expenditures for City garages.

**Federal and State Grant Fund:** The Federal and State Grant Fund had a deficit fund balance of \$6.2 million as of June 30, 2015 that represents a decrease of \$2.0 million from the prior fiscal year. The federal/state grant fund deficit will be cleared by grant reimbursement submitted to granting agencies, but revenue has not been received within the City's availability period and is recorded as deferred inflows of resources for \$2.1 million as of June 30, 2015.

**Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund ("LMIHF"):** Upon the dissolution of the former Agency, the City retained the housing activities previously funded by the former Agency and created LMIHF and transferred the assets and affordable housing activities of the low and moderate income fund to the City. The ending fund balance as of June 30, 2015 was \$37.17 million and the fund's net loan receivable balance was \$182.6 million.

**Municipal Capital Improvement Fund:** The Municipal Capital Improvement Fund had a fund balance of \$245.7 million as of June 30, 2015 that represents an increase of \$39.4 million or 19.1 percent from the prior fiscal year. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179(h), California Department of

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Finance ("DOF") has completed its review of the Oversight Bond action on Bond Spending Plan and on November 6, 2013, it approved the Bond Spending Plan for Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency ("ORSA"). The Bond Spending Plan allows ORSA to utilize proceeds derived from bonds issued prior to January 1, 2011 in a manner consistent with the original bond covenants. DOF approved the bond expenditure agreement between ORSA and the City to transfer excess tax allocation bond proceeds to the City. Transfer of properties and excess tax allocation bond proceeds approved by California Department of Finance of \$72.8 million.

The Other Special Revenue Funds accounts for activities of several Special Revenue Funds, which include mainly the following local measures; Measure Y – Violence Prevention and Public Safety Act of 2004; Measure C – Oakland Hotel Tax; Measure Q – Library Services Retention and Enhancement; Measure WW – East Bay Regional Park District local grant program; Measure N – Paramedics Services Act; Oakland Kid's First Fund; Development Service Fund and Other miscellaneous special revenue funds. The ending fund balance as of June 30, 2015 was \$32.7 million.

**Proprietary Funds:** The City's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements under the business-type column but in more detail.

The portion of net position invested in capital assets was \$155.3 million as of June 30, 2015, compared to \$143.3 million for the previous fiscal year. The \$12.0 million or 8.4 percent increase is related to proceeds spent from debt issued to finance sewer projects. During the fiscal year, the City capitalized \$14.3 million in sewer system completed projects, net of depreciation.

#### **General Fund Budgetary Highlights**

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, General Fund had a \$2.5 million increase in budgeted revenues between the original and final amended operating budget. Actual budgetary basis revenues of \$623.6 million were \$44.3 million higher than the final amended budget. The variance is due primarily to increases in property tax revenue, business license, real estate transfer tax, and federal and state grants and subventions.

In addition, there was a \$48.7 million increase in appropriations between the original and final amended operating budget for the General Fund. The increase in appropriation is due primarily to the determination of actual project carryforwards for continuing appropriations for various multiyear projects, capital improvement projects, and other projects authorized by the City Council. The original approved expenditure budget contained only estimates of project carryforwards.

Actual budgetary basis expenditures of \$509.7 million were \$45.1 million less than the amended budget. Savings were experienced in all expenditure categories mainly due to budget contingency and project and encumbrance carryforwards for multi-year budgets.

#### **Capital Assets**

The City's capital assets, net of depreciation, totaled \$1.5 billion as of June 30, 2015 compared to \$1.4 billion as of June 30, 2014, an increase of \$140.1 million or 10.2 percent. Governmental activities additions of \$189.0 million in capital assets included construction in progress and capitalization of infrastructure, facilities and improvements, and furniture, machinery and equipment which met the City's threshold for capitalization.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

These additions were offset by retirements and depreciation, the net effect of which was an increase of \$130.4 million in additions of capital assets for governmental activities. Business activities, primarily the sewer fund, increased its capital assets by \$9.7 million, net of retirements and depreciation. See Note (II) part D to the financial statements for more details in capital assets.

#### **Construction Commitments**

The City has committed to funding in the amount of \$293.2 million to a number of capital improvement projects for fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2017. These projects include building and facilities improvements; parks and open space; sewers and storm drains; streets and sidewalks construction; technology enhancements and traffic improvements. See part L.B to note II for more details in construction commitments.

#### **Debt Administration:**

#### **General Obligation Bonds and Other Bond Ratings**

A credit rating is a value assigned by one or more of the recognized rating agencies that "grade" a jurisdiction's credit, or financial trustworthiness. The three primary rating agencies are Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's"), Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("S&P"), and Fitch Ratings ("Fitch"). These rating agencies serve as independent assessors of municipal and corporate credit strength. Rating agencies generally focus on four major areas when assigning credit ratings: finances, management, economy and outstanding debt. The City continues to maintain strong credit ratings on the City's existing general obligation bonds from all three national rating agencies despite the difficult financial and economic conditions nationally and locally. The City of Oakland's underlying ratings for its general obligation bonds as of June 30, 2015 were as follows:

_	Ratings						
Type of Bond	Moody's	S & P					
General Obligation Bonds	Aa2/Stable	AA-/Stable					
Pension Obligation Bonds	Aa3:A1/Stable	A+/Stable					
Tax Allocation Bonds	$A3^1$ :Baa1 <sup>2</sup>	A+:A:A-/Stable					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rating as of May 21, 2014, based on Insured Rating

On August 13, 2014, S&P affirmed its "AA-" long-term ratings and underlying rating (SPUR) on the City's general obligation bonds (GOBs). In addition, S&P affirmed its "A+" long-term rating and SPUR on pension obligations bonds (POBs) and lease revenue bonds. The outlook for all these bonds is "Stable".

On June 24, 2015, Moody's upgraded the rating on the Successor Agency to the Oakland Redevelopment Agency's tax allocation bonds. The upgrade to Baa1 takes into account the successor agency's large, growing tax base, moderate debt service coverage levels, somewhat elevated taxpayer concentration and average socioeconomic profile of area residents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rating as of June 24, 2015

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### **General Fund Bonded Debt Limit**

At the end of the current fiscal year, the City's debt limit (3.75 percent of property valuation, net of exemptions subject to taxation) was \$1.2 billion. The total amount of debt applicable to the debt limit was \$206.5 million. The resulting legal debt margin was \$1.03 billion.

#### **Outstanding Debt**

As of June 30, 2015, the City had total long-term obligations outstanding of \$2.6 billion compared to \$2.8 billion outstanding for the prior fiscal year, a decrease of 9.1 percent. Of this amount, \$206.5 million is general obligation bonds backed by the full faith and credit of the City. The remaining \$2.3 billion is comprised of various long-term debt instruments listed below including accruals of year-end estimates for other long-term liabilities (in thousands):

	Govern	nme nt	al	Business-Type					
	Activ	vities			Activi	ties	To	tal	
	2015		2014		2015	2014	2015		2014
General obligation bonds	\$ 206,530	\$	290,449	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 206,530	\$	290,449
Lease revenue bonds	109,955		141,555		-	-	109,955		141,555
Pension obligation bonds	330,433		348,512		-	-	330,433		348,512
City guaranteed special							-		-
assessment district bonds	6,020		6,365		-	-	6,020		6,365
Accreted interest on							-		-
appreciation bonds	165,290		169,923		-	-	165,290		169,923
Sewer-bonds and notes payable	-		-		36,630	38,555	36,630		38,555
Unamortized premium									
and discounts, net	 25,989		18,390		4,801	5,144	30,790		23,534
Total Bonds payable	844,217		975,194		41,431	43,699	885,648		1,018,893
Notes & Leases Payable	68,795		56,679		-	-	68,795		56,679
Other long-term liabilities	 478,573		456,353		-		 478,573		456,353
Total oustanding debt	\$ 1,391,585	\$	1,488,226	\$	41,431	\$ 43,699	\$ 1,433,016	\$	1,531,925

The City's overall total long-term obligations decreased by \$98.9 million compared to the prior fiscal year restated balance. The decrease is primarily attributable to \$293.3 million debt service payments of governmental bonds, \$1.9 million debt service payments of the enterprise fund. The decrease is off-set by \$128.9 million issuance of General Obligation Bond Series 2015A and \$24.8 million issuance in capital leases which includes vehicle lease of \$15.7 million and radio lease of \$9.2 million.

#### **Current Year Long-Term Debt Financing:**

- On November 18, 2014, the City entered into a Master Lease 2014 Vehicles and Equipment for \$15.7 million to finance the acquisition of 269 pieces of equipment and vehicles.
- On March 6, 2015, the City entered into Master Lease 2015 Telecommunications, Equipment Schedule 1 for \$9.2 million to finance the acquisition of 1,636 P25 radios, related accessories and professional services.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

• On June 2, 2015, the City issued \$128.9 million in General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A, (1) current refund all of the remaining outstanding City of Oakland General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2005, (2) advance refund all of the remaining outstanding City of Oakland General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, Measure G, (3) advance refund all of the remaining outstanding City of Oakland General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009B, Measure DD, and (4) to pay for certain costs related to the issuance of the 2015A Bonds

Additional information on the City's long-term debt obligations can be found in Note H to the financial statements.

#### **Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Tax Rates**

The economic indicators highlighted below, among others and including labor union contracts and concessions, were factored into the City's budget formulation process as they relate to revenue forecasting, program planning, and resource allocation for fiscal year 2014-15.

The City's economy continues to grow, which is resulting in a steady growth of General Purpose Fund revenues. While revenues are approaching pre-recession levels, the growth is not enough to restore pre-recession service levels due to rising costs. There is also pressure on the budget to fund long-term deferred maintenance and capital equipment, and long-term unfunded liabilities. The City adopted a balanced budget for fiscal year 2014-15 without layoffs, and continues to invest in public safety, stabilize our workforce, economic growth, job creation and training, education, equipment and technology, and quality of life.

In March 2015, as part of the proposed FY2013-15 Biennial Budget, the City issued a Five-Year Financial Forecast that forecasted revenues and expenditures. The purpose of the Five-Year Financial Forecast is to help the City of Oakland make informed financial and operational decisions by better anticipating long-term future revenues and expenditures. Since that time the City has experienced a continued growth in revenues and boom in the local economy. This economic growth will be reflected in subsequent reports on City revenues and expenditures.

In February of 2017 the City will release a new Five-Year Financial Forecast in preparation for the FY 2017-19 Biennial Budget. This new forecast will address the projected future growth rates of expenditures and revenues, and any other fiscal concerns, based upon information available through December of 2016.

#### Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The City of Oakland's unemployment rate decreased to 5.7 percent in June 2015 compared to an average unemployment rate of 9.0 percent for June 2014.

The Bay Area's consumer price index for all urban consumers in June 2015 was 259,117 compared to 253.317 in June 2014 and to the U.S. city average consumer price index (CPI-U) for all urban consumers at 238,638 (Base period: 1982 - 84 = 100).

Estimated population for January 1, 2015 is 410,603 with an estimated total number of households of 156,724, an average household size of 2.6 persons, and a per capita personal income of \$33,609.

PERS pension rates, and health care costs have been factored into the City's mid-cycle budget for Fiscal Year 2014-15.

#### **Requests for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the City of Oakland's finances for all those with an interest in the City's fiscal and economic affairs. Requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Finance Department, Controller's Bureau, City of Oakland, 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 6353; Oakland, California 94612-2093. This report is also available online at <a href="http://www.oaklandnet.com">http://www.oaklandnet.com</a>.

This page is intentionally left blank.

# BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### CITY OF OAKLAND Statement of Net Position June 30, 2015

	Primary Government				Component Unit		
	Governmenta		Bus	iness-Type			
	Activities		A	Activities	Total	Po	ort of Oakland
ASSETS							
Cash and investments	\$ 293,30	63	\$	47,444	\$ 340,807	\$	198,946
Receivables (net of allowance for uncollectibles of							
\$16,171 for City and \$1,128 for Port):	2	0.4			204		
Accrued interest		84		-	284		-
Property taxes Accounts receivable	13,5° 48,50			13,819	13,579 62,381		101,969
Grants receivable	45,99			13,617	45,994		101,909
Due from Port	8,9			-	8,916		_
Due from Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency	2,2			-	2,251		_
Due from other fiduciary funds		31		-	31		-
Due from other government	10,30			-	10,363		-
Inventories	40	08		-	408		-
Restricted assets:				0.44	222.455		<b>51.01</b> 0
Cash and investments	232,3	13		864	233,177		71,210
Receivables Property held for resale	162,63	- 57		-	162,657		3,084
Notes and loans receivable (net of allowance for	102,0	31		-	102,037		-
uncollectibles of \$14,505 for the City)	345,0	02		_	345,002		_
Prepaid expenses	1,10			64	1,231		_
Other	10	09		-	109		44,764
Capital assets:							
Land and other capital assets not being depreciated	377,90	03		32,676	410,579		716,942
Facilities, infrastructures, and equipments,							
net of depreciation	933,0			163,987	1,097,023	. —	1,495,469
TOTAL ASSETS	2,475,93	38		258,854	2,734,792	_	2,632,384
DEFENDED OFFICE ONE OF DESCRIPCES							
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES Unamortized loss on refunding of debts	20,3	71			20,371		11,745
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	89,9			2,929	92,859		15,077
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS	110,30	_		2,929	113,230		26,822
		_		_,,,			
LIABILITIES	124.2	02		2.021	127 210		42 170
Accounts payable and other current liabilities Accrued interest payable	134,30 20,8			2,921 69	137,218 20,929		42,179 9,071
Due to other governments		36		-	936		<i>7</i> ,071
Due to primary government	,	-		-	-		8,916
Due to Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency	2,3	12		-	2,312		-
Unearned revenue	5,79	96		-	5,796		93,905
Other	14,30	60		6	14,366		32,756
Non-current liabilities:							
Due within one year	176,93			2,308	179,240		62,805
Due in more than one year	1,214,6			39,123	1,253,776		1,174,761
Net pension liability	1,091,74			29,078	1,120,823		165,918
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2,661,89	91		73,505	2,735,396		1,590,311
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES							
Unamortized gain on refunding of debts		_		553	553		_
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	193,10	07		5,286	198,393		29,137
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS	193,10	07		5,839	198,946		29,137
NET POSITION	1.005.5	00		155.055	1 101 046		1 052 002
Net investment in capital assets	1,025,73	89		155,257	1,181,046		1,053,882
Restricted for: Debt service	22.0	71			22 071		
Low and Moderate income housing redevelopment	32,9° 221,29			-	32,971 221,298		-
Housing and community development	130,50			_	130,502		-
Other purposes	162,5			_	162,515		12,066
Unrestricted (deficit)	(1,841,83			27,182	(1,814,652)		(26,190)
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ (268,7:		\$	182,439	\$ (86,320)	\$	1,039,758
		_				_	

#### CITY OF OAKLAND Statement of Activities Year Ended June 30, 2015

		Program Revenue							e) Revenue a Net Positio		Component
			Op	erating	Capital		Pri	mary (	Government	t	Unit
		Charges for	Gra	ints and	Grants and	Gov	vernmental	Busi	ness-type		Port
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Services	Cont	ributions	Contributions	A	Activities	Ac	ctivities	Total	of Oakland
Primary government:											
Governmental activities:											
General government	\$ 82,493	\$ 55,148	\$	3,311	\$ -	\$	(24,034)	\$	-	\$ (24,034)	
Public safety	383,904	18,329		18,465	-		(347,110)		-	(347,110)	
Community services	121,740	7,375		37,276	-		(77,089)		-	(77,089)	
Community and economic											
development	75,268	61,022		21,179	69,143		76,076		-	76,076	
Public works	105,619	40,419		12,634	1,179		(51,387)		-	(51,387)	
Interest on long-term debt	68,033						(68,033)			(68,033)	
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL											
ACTIVITIES	837,057	182,293		92,865	70,322		(491,577)		-	(491,577)	
Business-type activities:											
Sewer	36,957	57,544		-	-		-		20,587	20,587	
Parks and recreation	681	295		-	-		-		(386)	(386)	
TOTAL BUSINESS-TYPE											
ACTIVITIES	37,638	57,839							20,201	20,201	
	37,038	37,639	-				<u>_</u>		20,201	20,201	
TOTAL PRIMARY											
GOVERNMENT	\$ 874,695	\$ 240,132	\$	92,865	\$ 70,322	\$	(491,577)	\$	20,201	\$ (471,376)	
Component unit:											
Port of Oakland	\$ 335,069	\$ 336,587	\$	-	\$ 73,725						\$ 75,243
	Franchise Interest and i Other Transfers TOTAL GENE SPECIAL ITE	e taxes  cense sumption transfer occupancy oved special tax nvestment inco  ERAL REVENUM: roperties and exposition	me JES AN	x allocatio	SFERS n bond proceeds		267,534 63,718 12,207 66,677 50,594 62,665 21,569 18,398 37,443 18,150 6,362 12,745 2,002 640,064 107,696 256,183 981,818		142 (2,002) (1,860)	267,534  63,718 12,207  66,677 50,594 62,665 21,569 18,398 37,443 18,150 6,504 12,745 - 638,204  107,696 274,524 1,178,152	1,783 30,991 32,774
	•	ounting principle		reported							
	Net position, b	0		stated			(524,942)		(32,236)	(1,538,996)	<u>(182,324)</u> 927,867
	NET POSITIO			muu		\$	(268,759)	\$	182,439	\$ (86,320)	\$ 1,039,758
	11211031110	11, END OF 11	XIX			Ψ	(200,757)	Ψ	102,737	<u> </u>	ψ 1,032,130

#### Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2015

	General		leral/State		w and Moderate ncome Housing Asset Fund	(	Iunicipal Capital provement		Other Special Revenue	Gov	Other ernmental Funds	Go	Total overnmental Funds
ASSETS	¢ 102 127	¢.		\$	5 124	\$	16.012	\$	27 722	¢.	45.200	¢	207.164
Cash and investments	\$ 182,127	\$	-	3	5,134	\$	16,913	\$	37,722	\$	45,268	\$	287,164
Receivables (net of allowance													
for uncollectibles of \$14,032)	105						17		26		4.6		20.4
Accrued interest	185		-		-		17		36		46		284
Property taxes	6,557		-		-		-		3,597		3,425		13,579
Accounts receivable	36,966		170		-		29		4,032		7,110		48,307
Grants receivable	3,267		42,552		-		79		89		7		45,994
Due from Port	8,916		-		-		-		-		-		8,916
Due from other funds	53,305		-		1,437		127		-		-		54,869
Due from other government	10,363		-		-		-		-		-		10,363
Notes and loans receivable (net of													
allowance for uncollectibles of \$140,505)	874		129,975		182,562		1,077		30,514		-		345,002
Restricted cash and investments	87,562		527		1,500		102,388		-		15,818		207,795
Property held for resale	-		-		30,677		131,980		-		-		162,657
Other	35		31		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		29		14		109
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 390,157	\$	173,255	\$	221,310	\$	252,610	\$	76,019	\$	71,688	\$	1,185,039
LIABILITIES													
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 92,397	\$	16,120	\$	3	\$	4,099	\$	5,610	\$	2,952	\$	121,181
Due to other funds	2,312		29,593		5		-		-		1,838		33,748
Due to other governments	920		_		_		-		16		_		936
Unearned revenue	5,796		_		_		_		_		_		5,796
Other	5,501		1,623		4		1,718		4,488		1,020		14,354
TOTAL LIABILITIES	106,926		47,336		12		5,817		10,114		5,810		176,015
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES													
Unavailable revenue - property tax	2,750		_		_		_		2,526		2,477		7,753
Unavailable revenue - notes and loans	874		129,975		182,562		1,077		30,514		2,477		345,002
Unavailable revenue - Mandated claims (State)	10.363		127,773		102,302		1,077		30,314		_		10,363
Unavailable revenue - grants and others	2,913		2,104		133		_		190		_		5,340
Unavailable revenue - loans to OSRA	2,913		2,104		1,437		_		190		_		1,437
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS	16,900		132,079		184,132		1,077		33,230		2,477		369,895
FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS)													
Restricted	164.242		527		37.166		234,368				61.604		497,907
Committed	104,242		321		37,100		234,308		11,444		2,083		13,527
	64.600		-		-		11 240				,		,
Assigned Unassigned	64,680		(6,687)		-		11,348		21,231		1,024		98,283
Unassigned	37,409			_				_		_	(1,310)	_	29,412
TOTAL FUND BALANCES (DEFICITS)	266,331		(6,160)	_	37,166		245,716	_	32,675		63,401	_	639,129
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$ 390,157	\$	173,255	\$	221,310	\$	252,610	\$	76,019	\$	71,688	\$	1,185,039

#### Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position for Governmental Activities June 30, 2015

Fund balances - total governmental funds (page 25)			\$	639,129
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are diff	ferent due to the following	lowing:		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, th governmental funds.	erefore, are not repo	orted in the		
Primary government capital assets, net of depreciation	\$	1,310,939		
Less: internal service funds' capital assets, net of depreciation		(27,908)		1,283,031
Prepaid insurance premiums on long-term debt are not financial resources and, t governmental funds.	herefore, are not rep	ported in the		1,167
Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial raccrued as a liability in the governmental funds.	esources and, theref	ore, is not		
Interest payable on long-term debt of the primary government	\$	(20,855)		
Less: Interest payable on long-term debt of the internal service funds		243		(20,612)
Deferred inflows of resources recorded in governmental fund financial statemen which revenues were earned but funds were not available are reclassified as reve				
financial statements.	chides in the governi	nent-wide		369,895
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the cu	arrent period, and the	erefore are		
not reported in the governmental funds.	1 ,			
Long-term liabilities	\$	(1,391,585)		
Less: long-term liabilities for internal service funds		35,107	(	1,356,478)
Deferred outflows of resources in governmental activities related to losses on re	funding of debt are	not financial		
resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.				20,371
Net pension liability and deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of on the government-wide statement of net position are not due and payable in the				
are not reported in the governmental funds.	¢	(1.061.970)		
Net pension liability  Deferred outflows of resources related to pension	\$	(1,061,879)		
Deferred outflows of resources related to pension  Deferred inflows of resources related to pension		86,398 (187,037)	,	1,162,518)
•			(	1,102,510)
Internal service funds are used by the City to charge the costs of providing supp				
facilities management, and use of radio and communication equipment to indivi		nd liabilities		
of internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement	of net position.			(42,744)
NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES (page 23)			\$	(268,759)
THE TOSTION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES (page 25)			Ψ	(200,133)

### Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances

#### Governmental Funds Year Ended June 30, 2015

Business Iscense  Business Iscense  Business Iscense  66,677  Uility consumption  50,594  Real estate transfer  62,665  Transicot Occupancy  16,898  9,337  Vote approved special tax  17921  1229  14,697  Furshing  19,321  15,737  18,047  Fines and penulites  14,273  Fines and penulites  1,281  Fines and penulites  1,282  Fines and penulites  1,282  Fines and penulites  1,283  Fines and penulites  1,284  Charges for services  8,2461  2,295  2,265  2,267  6,095  1,973  2,23  TOTAL REVENUES  Current  Elected and Appointed Officials:  1,280  1,280  Fines and Appointed Officials:  1,280	Other Total vernmental Governmental Funds Funds	Ge	Other Special Revenue	_	Municipal Capital Improvement	Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund	Federal/State Grant Fund	General	
Property									
Sales and use tax	24,959 \$ 268,400	•	15 260	¢	¢	¢	e	220 072	
Monor vehicle in-lieu tax		3	15,369	Э	5 -	\$ -	5 -		
Cast taxes	- 177		-		-	-	-		
Business licenne	12,030 12,030				-	-	-		
Busines Icense 66,677	12,030								
Unity consumption	- 66,677		_		_	_	_	66.677	
Real estate transfer   C2,655   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	- 50,594		_		_	_	_		
Transient occupancy	- 62,665		_		_	_	-		
Parking	- 21,569		4,671		_	_	-		
Franchise	- 18,398		9,061		-	-	-	9,337	
Licenses and permis	19,396 37,443		18,047		-	-	-	-	Voter approved special tax
Fines and penaltics	- 18,150		-		-	-	229	17,921	Franchise
Interest and investment income	54 22,451		20,824		-	-	-	1,573	Licenses and permits
Charges for services   82,461   2,936   22,676   6,095   19,793   2,267   2,618   2,618   2,618   3,899   3,235   4,095   104   1,687   2,38   2,335   3,4095   104   1,687   2,38   3,335   3,4095   104   1,687   2,38   3,335   3,4095   104   1,687   2,38   3,335   3,4095   104   1,687   2,38   3,335   3,4095   104   1,687   2,38   3,335   3,4095   104   1,687   2,38   3,335   3,4095   104   1,687   2,38   3,335   3,4095   104   1,687   2,38   3,335   3,4095   104   3,68   3,335   3,4095   3,34   3,35   3,3	1,566 25,612		493		-	-	407	23,146	Fines and penalties
Federal and state grants and subventions	3,463 6,409		466		125	666	601	1,088	Interest and investment income
Annuity income	269 134,230		19,793		6,095	22,676	2,936	82,461	Charges for services
Other	1,264 167,045		2,101		25	-	155,652	8,003	Federal and state grants and subventions
TOTAL REVENUES	- 2,648		-		-	-	-	2,648	Annuity income
Section   Color	2,357 15,377	_	1,687	_	104	4,095	3,235	3,899	Other
Part	80,249 993,593		92,512		6,349	27,437	163,060	623,986	TOTAL REVENUES
Bected and Appointed Officials:		_		_					EXPENDITURES
Mayor									Current:
Council									
City Administrator	123 2,139		36		-	-	-		
Crity Automety	- 3,761		-		-	-	-		
City Auditor         1,620         -	18 17,062				276	-			•
City Clerk   3,414	137 13,458		1,148		-	-	50		
Departments:	- 1,620		-		=	=	=		
Administrative Services Department: Human Resource Management Finance 122,666 209 1-176 160 902 1 Information Technology 9,104 18	- 3,414		-		-	-	-	3,414	
Human Resource Management   5,871   -     -									1
Finance									
Information Technology	- 5,871		-		-	-	-		
Public Safety: Oakland Police Department 113,553 8,663 16,388 1,1 Oakland Fire Department 113,553 8,663 7,719 5 Community Service Department: Parks and Recreation 20,071 480 - 5 440 43, Library 9,141 205 16,697 1 Human Services Department 5,935 42,276 98 - 19,222 1,1 Community and Economic Development: Planning & Building 502 154 - 659 22,093 Economic & Workforce Development 7,775 7,263 - 2,844 504 9 Housing & Community Development 2,330 14,000 2,606 - 4,111 Oakland Public Works 38,703 3,299 - 5,431 4,105 33,5 Other 6,581 6 - 2,563 4,087 Capital outlay 4,323 81,181 12 27,622 194 10,1 Pebra service: Principal repayment 5,613 2,180 - 126 - 121,9 Bond issuance costs 200 - 15 20 15 20,20 11,1 Interest charges 629 150 - 15 3 2,10 11,2 Interest charges 629 150 - 15 3 2,10 11,2 EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES  OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES 114,280 (4,592) 24,721 (33,368) (6,505) (161,9)  OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) Issuance of bonds	143 24,096				176	-			
Oakland Police Department         218,143         7,314         -         -         16,388         1,1           Oakland Fire Department         113,553         8,663         -         -         7,719         5           Community Service Department         20,071         480         -         5         440         4,3           Library         9,141         205         -         -         16,697         1,1           Human Services Department         5,935         42,276         98         -         19,222         1,1           Community and Economic Development         5,935         42,276         98         -         19,222         1,1           Community and Economic Evelopment         5,935         42,276         98         -         19,222         1,1           Community and Economic & Workforce Development         7,775         7,263         -         2,844         504         9           Housing & Community Development         2,330         14,000         2,606         -         4,111         4,105         33,5           Other         6,581         6         -         2,563         4,087           Capital outlay         4,523         81,818         12         2	- 9,597		4/5		-	-	18	9,104	
Oakland Fire Department         113,553         8,663         -         -         7,719         5           Community Service Department:         Parks and Recreation         20,071         480         -         5         440         4,3           Library         9,141         205         -         -         16,697         1           Human Services Department         5,935         42,276         98         -         19,222         1,1           Community and Economic Evelopment:         Planning & Building         502         154         -         659         22,093           Economic & Workforce Development         7,775         7,263         -         2,844         504         9           Housing & Community Development         2,330         14,000         2,606         -         4,111           Okher         6,581         6         -         2,563         4,087           Capital outlay         4,323         81,181         12         27,622         194         10,1           Debt service:         Principal repayment         5,613         2,180         -         126         -         121,9           Bond issuance costs         200         -         -         1	1 154 242 000		17 200				7.214	210 142	
Community Service Department:   Parks and Recreation   20,071   480   - 5   440   4,3     Library   9,141   205   - 0   16,697   1     Human Services Department   5,935   42,276   98   - 19,222   1,1     Community and Economic Development:   Planning & Building   502   154   - 659   22,093     Economic & Workforce Development   7,775   7,263   - 2,844   504   9     Housing & Community Development   2,330   14,000   2,606   - 4,111     Oakland Public Works   38,703   3,299   - 5,431   4,105   33.5     Other   6,581   6   - 2,563   4,087     Capital outlay   4,323   81,181   12   27,622   194   10,1     Debt service:   Principal repayment   5,613   2,180   - 126   - 12,69     Bond issuance cots   200   - 5   15   - 5   5.9     Bond issuance cots   629   150   - 15   - 15   - 55,9     TOTAL EXPENDITURES   114,280   4,592   2,716   39,717   99,017   242,2     EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES    OYER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES   114,280   4,592   24,721   33,368   6,6505   (161,9     OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)   309   - 5   - 5   - 5     Fremiums (discount) on issuance of bonds   - 5   - 5   - 5   - 5     Property sale proceeds   309   - 5   - 5   - 5     Transfers out   (110,428)   - 5   - 5   - 5   - 5     Total LITEMS   15,301   92,5     OTTAL ETIMS FINANCING SOURCES (USES)   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Transfers out   (110,428)   - 5   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Transfers out   (110,428)   - 5   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842   2,626   - 5   - 5   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing   2,842	1,154 242,999					-			
Parks and Recreation         20,071         480         -         55         440         4,3           Library         9,141         205         -         -         16,697         1           Human Services Department         5,935         42,276         98         -         19,222         1,1           Community and Economic Development         5,935         42,276         98         -         659         22,093         1           Planning & Building         502         154         -         659         22,093         -           Economic & Workforce Development         7,775         7,263         -         2,844         504         9           Housing & Community Development         2,330         14,000         2,606         -         4,111         33,55         33,50         3,299         -         5,431         4,105         33,55         4,007         4,111         4,105         33,55         4,105         33,55         4,105         33,55         4,105         4,105         33,55         4,105         33,55         4,105         33,55         4,105         33,55         4,105         33,55         4,105         33,55         4,105         31,55         4,105         31,55 <td>598 130,533</td> <td></td> <td>7,719</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>8,003</td> <td>113,333</td> <td></td>	598 130,533		7,719		-	-	8,003	113,333	
Library	1 220 25 226		440		-		490	20.071	
Human Services Department   5,935   42,276   98   - 19,222   1,1	4,330 25,326 172 26,215					-			
Community and Economic Development:   Planning & Building   502   154   - 659   22,093     Economic & Workforce Development   7,775   7,263   - 2,844   504   9     Housing & Community Development   2,330   14,000   2,606   - 4,111     Oakland Public Works   38,703   3,299   - 5,431   4,105   33,5     Other   6,581   6   - 2,563   4,087     Capital outlay   4,323   81,181   12   27,622   194   10,1     Debt service:   Principal repayment   5,613   2,180   - 126   - 121,9     Bond issuance costs   200   1   - 16   - 55,9     Bond issuance costs   200   15   - 5   5,9     Interest charges   629   150   - 155   - 55,9     TOTAL EXPENDITURES   509,706   167,652   2,716   39,717   99,017   242,2     EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES     OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES   114,280   (4,592)   24,721   (33,368)   (6,505)   (161,9     OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)     Issuance of bonds       12,8     Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds       15,4     Property sale proceeds   309     -   -   15,301   92,5     Transfers out   (110,428)     -   -   15,301   92,5    Total Other Financing Sources (USES)   (101,800)   2,626   -   -   15,301   92,8    SPECIAL ITEMS	1,176 68,707					98			
Planning & Building   502   154   - 659   22,093   Economic & Workforce Development   7,775   7,263   - 2,844   504   9   100   10	1,170 00,707		17,222			76	42,270	3,733	
Economic & Workforce Development   7,775   7,263   - 2,844   504   9	- 23,408		22 093		659	_	154	502	
Housing & Community Development   2,330   14,000   2,606   -   4,111   Oakland Public Works   38,703   3,299   -   5,431   4,105   33,55   33,50   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,562   194   10,10   -   2,562   -   2,563   4,087   -   2,564   4,562   4,5	930 19,316					_			
Oakland Public Works         38,703         3,299         -         5,431         4,105         33,5           Other         6,581         6         -         2,563         4,087           Capital outlay         4,323         81,181         12         27,622         194         10,1           Debt service:         Principal repayment         5,613         2,180         -         126         -         121,9           Bond issuance costs         200         -         -         -         -         -         6         6         -         2,722         194         10,1           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         5,613         2,180         -         126         -         121,9           Bond issuance costs         200         -	- 23,047				2,044	2 606			
Other         6,581         6         -         2,563         4,087           Capital outlay         4,323         81,181         12         27,622         194         10,1           Debt service:         Principal repayment         5,613         2,180         -         126         -         121,9           Bond issuance costs         200         -         -         -         -         -         6           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         -         6           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         55,9         -         15;         -         55,9         -         15,0         -         55,9         -         15,0         -         55,9         -         15,0         -         15,0         -         55,9         -         15,0         -         15,0         -         55,9         -         15,0         -         15,0         -         15,0         -         -         -         -         -         -         <	33,503 85,041				5 431	2,000			
Capital outlay         4,323         81,181         12         27,622         194         10,1           Debt service:         Principal repayment         5,613         2,180         -         126         -         121,9           Bond issuance costs         200         -         -         -         -         6           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         -         6           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         15         -         55,9           TOTAL EXPENDITURES         509,706         167,652         2,716         39,717         99,017         242,2           EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES         114,280         (4,592)         24,721         (33,368)         (6,505)         (161,9           OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES         114,280         (4,592)         24,721         (33,368)         (6,505)         (161,9           OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)           Issuance of bonds         -         -         -         -         -         12,8,8           Permiums (discount) on issuance of bonds         -         -         -         -         -         15,4	63 13,300					_			
Debt service:         Principal repayment         5,613         2,180         -         126         -         121,9           Bond issuance costs         200         -         -         -         -         -         12,9           Bond issuance costs         200         -         -         -         -         -         11,2           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         15         -         55,9           TOTAL EXPENDITURES         509,706         167,652         2,716         39,717         99,017         242,2           EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES         509,706         167,652         2,716         39,717         99,017         242,2           EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES         114,280         (4,592)         24,721         (33,368)         (6,505)         (161,9           OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)         114,280         (4,592)         24,721         (33,368)         (6,505)         (161,9           OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)         -         -         -         -         -         -         12,8           Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds         -         -         -         -         -         -	10,101 123,433					12			
Bond issuance costs   200									
Bond issuance costs   200	121,987 129,906		-		126	_	2,180	5,613	
Interest charges	629 829		-		-	-	<u>-</u>	200	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES 509,706 167,652 2,716 39,717 99,017 242,2  EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES  OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES 114,280 (4,592) 24,721 (33,368) (6,505) (161,900)  OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)  Issuance of bonds 128,8  Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds 15,44  Payment to refund bond escrow agent (143,700)  Property sale proceeds 309 (143,700)  Insurance claims and settlements 5,477 (15,301)  Transfers in 2,842 2,626 15,301 92,5  Transfers out (110,428) (3,300)  TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) (101,800) 2,626 15,301 92,8  SPECIAL ITEMS	11,213 11,213		-		-	-	-	-	Payment to refund bond escrow agent
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES  OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES 114,280 (4,592) 24,721 (33,368) (6,505) (161,900)  OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)  Issuance of bonds 128,800  Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds 15,401  Payment to refund bond escrow agent 1643,70  Property sale proceeds 309 15,401  Insurance claims and settlements 5,477 15,301  Transfers out (110,428) 15,301  TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) (101,800) 2,626  SPECIAL ITEMS	55,943 56,737	_		_	15		150	629	Interest charges
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES         114,280         (4,592)         24,721         (33,368)         (6,505)         (161,900)           OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)           Issuance of bonds         -         -         -         -         -         128,8           Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds         -         -         -         -         128,8           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         143,7           Property sale proceeds         309         -         -         -         -           Insurance claims and settlements         5,477         -         -         -         -           Transfers in         2,842         2,626         -         -         15,301         92,5           Transfers out         (110,428)         - <td>242,220 1,061,028</td> <td></td> <td>99,017</td> <td>_</td> <td>39,717</td> <td>2,716</td> <td>167,652</td> <td>509,706</td> <td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</td>	242,220 1,061,028		99,017	_	39,717	2,716	167,652	509,706	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES         114,280         (4,592)         24,721         (33,368)         (6,505)         (161,900)           OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)           Issuance of bonds         -         -         -         -         -         128,8           Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds         -         -         -         -         128,8           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         143,7           Property sale proceeds         309         -         -         -         -           Insurance claims and settlements         5,477         -         -         -         -           Transfers in         2,842         2,626         -         -         15,301         92,5           Transfers out         (110,428)         - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES</td>									EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES
Second Company	(161,971) (67,435)	1	(6.505)		(33.368)	24 721	(4 592)	114 280	
Issuance of bonds         -         -         -         128,8           Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds         -         -         -         15,4           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         (143,7           Property sale proceeds         309         -         -         -         -         -           Insurance claims and settlements         5,477         -         -         -         -         -         15,301         92,5           Transfers in         2,842         2,626         -         -         15,301         92,5         -         -         3         -         -         -         3         92,8         -         -         -         -         -         3         92,8         -         -         -         -         -         3         - <td< td=""><td>(101,571) (07,133)</td><td>_</td><td>(0,505)</td><td>-</td><td>(33,300)</td><td>21,721</td><td>(1,572)</td><td>11.,200</td><td></td></td<>	(101,571) (07,133)	_	(0,505)	-	(33,300)	21,721	(1,572)	11.,200	
Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds         -         -         -         15,4           Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         -         (143,7)           Property sale proceeds         309         - <td< td=""><td>120 005 120 005</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td></td<>	120 005 120 005								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Payment to refund bond escrow agent         -         -         -         -         -         (143,7)           Property sale proceeds         309         - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-		-	-	-	-	
Property sale proceeds         309         - <td>15,472 15,472</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	15,472 15,472		-		-	-	-	-	
Insurance claims and settlements         5,477         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         15,301         92,5         92,5         -	(143,717) (143,717)		-		-	-	-	-	
Transfers in Transfers out         2,842 (110,428)         2,626         -         -         15,301 (32,524)         92,5 (32,524)           TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)         (101,800)         2,626         -         -         -         15,301 (32,524)         92,8 (32,524)           SPECIAL ITEMS	- 309		-		-	-	-		
Transfers out         (110,428)         -	- 5,477		-		-	-	-		
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) (101,800) 2,626 15,301 92,8  SPECIAL ITEMS			15,301		-	-	2,626		
SPECIAL ITEMS	(328) (110,756)	_	15.201	-			2.626		
	92,823 8,950	_	15,301	_			2,626	(101,800)	
									SPECIAL ITEMS
Transfer of properties and excess tax allocation bond									
proceeds approved by California Department of									proceeds approved by California Department of
Finance	- 74,395	_		_	72,780	1,615			
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES 12,480 (1,966) 26,336 39,412 8,796 (69,1	(69,148) 15,910		8,796		39,412	26,336	(1,966)	12,480	NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES
	132,549 623,219								Fund balances - beginning
· · · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	63,401 \$ 639,129	\$		\$					

## Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities of Governmental Activities

#### Year Ended June 30, 2015

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds (page 27)			\$ 15,910
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different due to the follo	wing:		
Government funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which transactions exceeds depreciation in the current period.			
Primary government:			
Capital asset acquisition	\$	156,094	
Properties transferred from ORSA		33,301	
Depreciation		(58,975)	
Less: net changes of capital assets within internal service funds		(10,320)	120,100
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not report	ted as revenues in the fu	nds. Also,	
loans made to developers and others are treated as urban redevelopment and housing expenditures	at the time the loans are	made and	
are reported as revenues when the loans are collected in the funds. This represents the change in the	e deferred outflows duri	ng the	
current period.			(3,020
Some expenses such as claims, workers' compensation, and vacation and sick leave reported in the	statement of activities d	o not require	
the use of current financial resources, and therefore are not reported as expenditures in government	al funds.		(7,056
The issuance of long-term debt provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the government however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.	ental funds. These trans	actions,	
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the government however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded	ental funds. These trans	actions,	
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the government	nental funds. These trans- d bond proceeds in the cu	actions, arrent period.	
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.  Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments	nental funds. These trans- d bond proceeds in the cu	actions, arrent period.	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.  Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds	nental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu	actions, arrent period. 303,473 (128,895) (15,472)	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.  Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservables.	nental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu	actions, arrent period. 303,473 (128,895) (15,472)	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.  Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reserve reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	actions, arrent period. 303,473 (128,895) (15,472)	159,10€
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.  Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservence as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts  Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	actions, arrent period. 303,473 (128,895) (15,472) e not	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.  Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reserved as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	actions, arrent period. 303,473 (128,895) (15,472) e not 7,873 (503)	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.  Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservence as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts  Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt  Amortization of deferred outflows of refunding loss	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	actions, arrent period. 303,473 (128,895) (15,472) e not 7,873 (503) (4,054)	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded.  Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservenced as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts  Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt  Amortization of deferred outflows of refunding loss  Accreted interest on appreciation bonds	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	actions, arrent period. 303,473 (128,895) (15,472) e not 7,873 (503) (4,054) (17,973)	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservenced as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts  Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt  Amortization of deferred outflows of refunding loss  Accreted interest on appreciation bonds  Changes in accrued interest on bonds and notes payable	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	actions, 303,473 (128,895) (15,472) e not 7,873 (503) (4,054) (17,973) (10,991)	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservence as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts  Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt  Amortization of deferred outflows of refunding loss  Accreted interest on appreciation bonds  Changes in accrued interest on bonds and notes payable  Changes in Coliseum Authority pledged obligation  Changes in mandated environmental remediation obligations  Changes in pension obligations	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	7,873 (10,547) (10,991) 3,780 683 179,917	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservenced as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts  Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt  Amortization of deferred outflows of refunding loss  Accreted interest on appreciation bonds  Changes in accrued interest on bonds and notes payable  Changes in Coliseum Authority pledged obligation  Changes in mandated environmental remediation obligations  Changes in pension obligations  Changes in deferred outflows of resources	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	7,873 (10,5472) e not 7,873 (10,991) 3,780 683 179,917 15,690	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservenced as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts  Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt  Amortization of deferred outflows of refunding loss  Accreted interest on appreciation bonds  Changes in accrued interest on bonds and notes payable  Changes in Coliseum Authority pledged obligation  Changes in mandated environmental remediation obligations  Changes in pension obligations  Changes in deferred outflows of resources  Changes in deferred inflows of resources	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	7,873 (10,5472) e not 7,873 (503) (4,054) (17,973) (10,991) 3,780 683 179,917 15,690 (187,037)	159,106
term debt and the advance refunding of debt consume the current financing sources of the governm however, have no effect on net position. This is the amount by which principal retirement exceeded Debt and capital lease principal, accretion and refunding bond escrow payments Issuance of refunding bonds  Net premium on issuance of refunding bonds  Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial reservenced as expenditures in governmental funds.  Amortization of bond premiums and discounts  Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt  Amortization of deferred outflows of refunding loss  Accreted interest on appreciation bonds  Changes in accrued interest on bonds and notes payable  Changes in Coliseum Authority pledged obligation  Changes in mandated environmental remediation obligations  Changes in pension obligations  Changes in deferred outflows of resources	sental funds. These trans.  I bond proceeds in the cu  \$  ources and, therefore, an	7,873 (10,5472) e not 7,873 (10,991) 3,780 683 179,917 15,690	159,106

The net income of activities of internal service funds is reported with governmental activities

CHANGE IN NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES (page 24)

3,385

\$ 256,183

#### CITY OF OAKLAND Statement of Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds June 30, 2015

	Business-ty	pe Activities - Ente	rprise Funds	Governmental Activities
_	Sewer Service	Nonmajor Fund Parks and Recreation	Total	Internal Service Funds
ASSETS				
Current Assets:				
Cash and investments \$	.,	\$ 178	\$ 47,444	\$ 6,199
Accounts receivable (Net of allowance for uncollectibles of				
\$1,342 for the enterprise funds)	13,818	1	13,819	255
Inventories	-	-	-	408
Restricted cash and investments	25	839	864	24,518
Total Current Assets	61,109	1,018	62,127	31,380
Non-current Assets:				
Capital assets:				
Land and other assets not being depreciated Facilities, equipment and infrastructure,	32,315	361	32,676	2,692
net of depreciation	162,097	1,890	163,987	25,216
Total capital assets	194,412	2,251	196,663	27,908
Prepaid expenses	64		64	
Total Non-current Assets	194,476	2,251	196,727	27,908
TOTAL ASSETS	255,585	3,269	258,854	59,288
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	2,910	19	2,929	3,532
LIABILITIES				
Current Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	2,921	-	2,921	13,121
Accrued interest payable	69	-	69	243
Due to other funds	-	-	-	21,151
Other liabilities	6	-	6	6
Bonds, capital leases, notes and other payable	2,308	-	2,308	8,116
Total Current Liabilities	5,304		5,304	42,637
Non-current Liabilities:				
Bonds, notes payable, and capital leases	39,123	_	39,123	23,459
Net pension liability	28,885	193	29,078	33,398
Total Non-current Liabilities	68,008	193	68,201	56,857
TOTAL LIABILITIES	73,312	193	73,505	99,494
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Pension related items	5,251	35	5,286	6,070
Unamortized gain on refunding of debts  TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS	553 5,804	35	553 5,839	6,070
_	-,			2,270
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets Unrestricted	153,006 26,373	2,251 809	155,257 27,182	20,851 (63,596)
TOTAL NET POSITION \$			\$ 182,439	
TOTAL RELEASITION	1/9,3/9	\$ 3,060	φ 104,439	\$ (42,745)

#### Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Sewer Service	Nonmajor Fund Parks and Recreation	Total	Internal Service Funds		
OPERATING REVENUES						
Rental	\$ -	\$ 295	\$ 295	\$ -		
Sewer services	57,539	-	57,539	-		
Charges for services	-	-	-	55,720		
Other	5	<u> </u>	5	24		
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	57,544	295	57,839	55,744		
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Personnel	15,615	100	15,715	18,780		
Supplies	973	244	1,217	7,235		
Depreciation and amortization	5,475	311	5,786	4,181		
Contractual services and supplies	2,504	_	2,504	743		
Repairs and maintenance	75	-	75	5,574		
General and administrative	4,696	18	4,714	5,560		
Rental	1,375	8	1,383	1,914		
Other	4,903		4,903	8,369		
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	35,616	681	36,297	52,356		
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	21,928	(386)	21,542	3,388		
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)						
Interest and investment income (loss)	141	1	142	(47)		
Interest expense	(1,334)	-	(1,334)	(374)		
Bond issuance cost	(7)	-	(7)	-		
Federal and State grants	-	-	-	78		
Other				851		
TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)	(1,200)	1	(1,199)	508		
INCOME/(LOSS) BEFORE TRANSFERS	20,728	(385)	20,343	3,896		
Transfers out	(2,002)		(2,002)	(512)		
Change in net position	18,726	(385)	18,341	3,384		
Net position - Beginning, as previously reported	192,675	3,659	196,334	(9,103)		
Change in accounting principles	(32,022)	(214)	(32,236)	(37,026)		
Net position - beginning, as restated	160,653	3,445	164,098	(46,129)		
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$ 179,379	\$ 3,060	\$ 182,439	\$ (42,745)		

#### **Statement of Cash Flows**

#### **Proprietary Funds**

#### For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Business-type Activities - Enterprise Funds				Governmental Activities			
		Sewer Service	Nonmajor Fund Parks and Recreation			Total		Internal Service Funds
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES  Cash received from customers and users  Cash received from tenants for rents	\$	61,473	\$	295	\$	61,473 295	\$	55,392
Cash from other sources		5		-		5		24
Cash paid to employees		(16,411)		(105)		(16,516)		(19,870)
Cash paid to suppliers		(16,162)		(546)	_	(16,708)	_	(21,761)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES		28,905		(356)	_	28,549	_	13,785
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES								
Proceeds from interfund loans		-		-		-		131
Repayment of interfund loans Other		-		-		-		(2,280) 929
Transfers out		(2,002)		-		(2,002)		(512)
NET CASH USED IN NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES		(2,002)		-		(2,002)		(1,732)
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES Acquisition of capital assets Long-term debt:		(15,431)		(56)		(15,487)		(14,502)
Proceeds from issuance of debt		-		-		-		24,881
Repayment of long-term debt		(2,268)		-		(2,268)		(3,531)
Bond issuance cost		(7)		-		(7)		(274)
Interest paid on long-term debt  NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING		(1,334)		<u>-</u>	-	(1,334)	-	(374)
ACTIVITIES  ACTIVITIES		(19,040)		(56)	_	(19,096)	_	6,474
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES								
Interest received (paid)		141		1		142		(47)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		8,004		(411)		7,593		18,480
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - BEGINNING OF YEAR		39,287		1,428		40,715		12,237
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - END OF YEAR	\$	47,291	\$	1,017	\$	48,308	\$	30,717
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASE PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$	21,928	\$	(386)	\$	21.542	\$	3,388
Operating income	Ф	21,926	Ф	(360)	Ф	21,342	Ф	3,300
ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES		(70.6)		(5)		(001)		(1.000)
Retirement recoveries  Depreciation and amortization		(796) 5,475		(5) 311		(801) 5,786		(1,090) 4,181
Retirement of capital assets Changes in assets and liabilities:		-		-		-		2
Receivables Inventories		3,934		1 -		3,935		(122) (206)
Other assets		(36)		(277)		(36)		7 622
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Other liabilities		(1,600)		(277)		(1,877)		7,633 (1)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$	28,905	\$	(356)	\$	28,549	\$	13,785
RECONCILIATION OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION								
Cash and investments	\$	47,266	\$	178	\$	47,444	\$	6,199
Restricted cash and investments		25		839		864		24,518
TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$	47,291	\$	1,017	\$	48,308	\$	30,717
NON CASH ITEMS: Amortization of bond premiums	\$	(39)	\$	-	\$	(39)	\$	-

#### Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Funds June 30, 2015

		Pension Trust Funds	Private Purpose Trust Funds		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	3,182	\$	55,989	
Receivables:					
Accrued interest and dividends		626		365	
Accounts receivable		-		207	
Investments and others		5,487		-	
Due from other funds		-		2,312	
Prepaid expenses		-		2,258	
Restricted:					
Cash and investments:					
Short-term investments		8,970		21,755	
U.S. corporate bonds and mutual funds		71,539		8,004	
Domestic equities and mutual funds		206,303		-	
International equities and mutual funds Real estate mortgage loans		48,115 83,970		-	
				20.750	
Total restricted cash and investments		418,897		29,759	
Securities lending collateral		55,226		12 721	
Loans receivable, net		-		13,721	
Property held for resale		<del>_</del> _		2,818	
TOTAL ASSETS		483,418		107,429	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Unamortized loss on refunding of debts				2,229	
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities:					
Due to other funds		28		2,254	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		8,910		10,752	
Securities lending liabilities		55,226		-	
Other		-		297	
Non-current liabilities:					
Due within one year		-		27,393	
Due in more than one year		_		410,483	
TOTAL LIABILITIES		64,164		451,179	
NET POSITION RESTRICTED FOR:					
Employees' pension benefits		419,254		_	
		717,434		(241 501)	
Redevelopment dissolution and other purposes	Φ.	410.07.1	Φ.	(341,521)	
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$	419,254	\$	(341,521)	

# Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Funds

#### Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Pension Trust Funds	Private Purpose Trust Funds
ADDITIONS:		
Trust receipts	\$ -	\$ 61,430
Investment income:		
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	9,329	_
Interest	2,427	415
Dividends	5,370	-
Securities lending	192	
TOTAL INVESTMENT INCOME	17,318	415
Less investment expenses:		
Investment expenses	(1,818)	<u>-</u>
NET INVESTMENT INCOME	15,500	415
Federal and state grants		6,028
Other income	104	717
TOTAL ADDITIONS	15,604	68,590
10112122110110		
DEDUCTIONS:		
Benefits to members and beneficiaries:		
Retirement	35,821	-
Disability	21,575	-
Death	1,804	
TOTAL BENEFITS TO MEMBERS AND BENEFICIARIES	59,200	-
Administrative expenses	1,195	2,330
Oakland Police Department	-	225
Human Services	-	149
Housing & Community Development	-	15,642
Economic & Workforce Development	-	30,881
Other	-	74
Interest on debt		24,641
TOTAL DEDUCTIONS	60,395	73,942
SPECIAL ITEMS:		
Purchase of Annuity	(1,639)	
Transfer to City of Oakland	(2,886)	_
Transfer to City of Galdand  Transfer of properties approved by California Department of	(2,000)	
Finance	_	(97,453)
Transfer of excess tax allocation bond proceeds approved by		(77,133)
California Department of Finance	-	(10,243)
TOTAL SPECIAL ITEMS	(4,525)	(107,696)
TO THE STEERING	(1,0-0)	
Change in net position	(49,316)	(113,048)
Net position - beginning	468,570	(228,473)
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$ 419,254	\$ (341,521)
		. (2.11,321)

This page is intentionally left blank.

# NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### (I) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### A. REPORTING ENTITY

#### **Primary Government**

The City of Oakland, California (the City or Primary Government) was incorporated on May 25, 1852, by the State of California and is organized and exists under and pursuant to the provisions of State law. The Mayor/Council form of government was established in November 1998 through Charter amendment. The legislative authority is vested in the City Council and the executive authority is vested in the Mayor with administrative authority resting with the City Administrator.

The accompanying financial statements present the City and its component units, entities for which the City is considered to be financially accountable. Blended component units, although legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the City's operations and are combined with the data of the Primary Government within the governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statements and governmental funds in the fund financial statements.

#### **Blended Component Units**

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA) - On June 28, 2011, Assembly Bill X1 26 (AB X1 26) was enacted. This legislation is referred to herein as the Redevelopment Dissolution Law. On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of AB X1 26 and all redevelopment agencies in California were dissolved by operation of law effective February 1, 2012. The legislation provides for successor agencies and oversight boards that are responsible for overseeing the dissolution process and wind down of redevelopment activity. At the City's meeting on January 10, 2012, the City Council affirmed its decision as part of resolution number 83679 C.M.S. to serve as the ORSA, effective February 1, 2012, and as such is a component unit of the City. Also, in the same meeting, the City Council elected as part of resolution number 83680 C.M.S. to retain the housing assets, functions and powers previously performed by the former Agency.

The ORSA was created to serve as a custodian for the assets and to wind down the affairs of the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland (Agency). The ORSA is a separate public entity from the City, subject to the direction of an Oversight Board. The Oversight Board is comprised of seven-member representatives from local government bodies: two City representatives appointed by the Mayor; two County of Alameda (County) representatives; the County Superintendent of Education; the Chancellor of California Community Colleges; and a representative of the largest special district from the taxing entities.

In general, the ORSA's assets can only be used to pay enforceable obligations in existence at the date of dissolution (including the completion of any unfinished projects that were subject to legally enforceable contractual commitments). ORSA will only be allocated revenue in the amount that is necessary to pay the estimated annual installment payments on enforceable obligations of the former Agency until all enforceable obligations of the former Agency have been paid in full and all assets have been liquidated. Based upon the nature of the ORSA's custodial role, ORSA is reported in a fiduciary fund (private-purpose trust fund) in the City's financial statements.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority (JPFA) - JPFA was formed to assist in the financing of public capital improvements. JPFA is a joint exercise agency organized under the laws of the State of California and was composed of the City and the former Agency. The Oakland City Council serves as the governing board for JPFA. JPFA transactions are reported in other governmental funds. Related debt is included in the long-term obligations of the City in the governmental activities column of the statement of net position. AB X1 26 as amended by AB 1484 was enacted and all redevelopment agencies in California were dissolved by operation of law effective February 1, 2012. The dissolution law provides that ORSA is a separate legal entity from the City, with ORSA holding all of the transferred assets and obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency (other than the housing assets). Therefore, ORSA assumed the former Redevelopment Agency's role as member of the JPFA as of February 1, 2012 per AB X1 26.

#### **Discretely Presented Component Unit**

**Port of Oakland (Port)** - is a legally separate component unit established in 1927 by the City. Operations include the Oakland International Airport and the Port of Oakland Marine Terminal Facilities. Although the Port has a significant relationship with the City, it is fiscally independent and does not provide services solely to the City and, therefore, is presented discretely. All interfund transactions have been eliminated. The Port is governed by a seven-member Board of Port Commissioners (Board of Commissioners) that is appointed by the City Council, upon nomination by the Mayor. The Board of Commissioners appoints an Executive Director to administer operations. The Port prepares and controls its own budget, administers and controls its fiscal activities, and is responsible for all Port construction and operations. The Port is required by City charter to deposit its operating revenues in the City Treasury. The City is responsible for investing and managing such funds. The Port is presented in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements.

Complete financial statements of the individual component unit may be obtained from:

City of Oakland, Finance Department, Controller's Bureau 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Suite 6353 Oakland, CA 94612-2093

#### **B. FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION**

#### **Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements (the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the City and its component units. The effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements except for interfund services provided among funds. *Governmental activities*, which are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from *business-type activities*, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the Primary Government is reported separately from its discretely presented component unit for which the Primary Government is financially accountable.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include (1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment; and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital

#### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported as *general revenues*.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and a major individual enterprise fund are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

The *General Fund* is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial activities and resources of the general government except those required to be accounted for in another fund. These activities are funded principally by property taxes, sales and use taxes, business, utility and real estate transfer taxes, interest and investment income, and charges for services.

The *Federal/State Grant Fund* accounts for various Federal and State grants and certain state allocations used or expended for a specific purpose, activity or program.

The *Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund (LMIHF)* is a special revenue fund that was created to administer the housing assets and functions related to the Low and Moderate Income Housing program retained by the City following the dissolution of the former Agency. Prior to the dissolution of redevelopment agencies, the LMIHF accounted for the Agency's affordable housing activities, including the 20% redevelopment property tax revenue (i.e. former tax increment) set-aside for low and moderate income housing and related expenditures. Upon dissolution of the former Agency and the City Council's election to retain the housing activities previously funded by the former Agency, the City created LMIHF and transferred the assets and affordable housing activities.

The *Municipal Capital Improvement Fund* accounts primarily for monies pertaining to capital improvement funds, which includes mainly capital financing projects funds:

- Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Unspent bond proceeds transferred to the City. The
  California Department of Finance approved the bond expenditure agreement between ORSA and
  the City to transfer excess tax allocation bond proceeds to the City. The Bond Spending Plan allows
  for ORSA to utilize proceeds derived from bonds issued prior to January 1, 2011 in a manner
  consistent with the original bond covenants.
- *Measure DD* Capital improvement bond financing funds for clean water, safe parks & open space trust for the City.
- *Measure G* Capital improvement bond financing funds for Oakland Zoo, Museum and Chabot & Space Center improvements.
- Master Lease Agreement Financing Capital improvement for vehicles and equipment, and telecommunications.
- Other miscellaneous capital improvement funds The fund also comprise of other municipal capital improvement funds which may be used for the lease, acquisition, construction or other improvements of public facilities.

#### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The *Other Special Revenue Funds* accounts for activities of several Special Revenue Funds, which include mainly the following local measures and funds:

- *Measure Y Violence Prevention and Public Safety Act of 2004.* The measure provides for the following services; community and neighborhood policing; violence prevention services with an emphasis on youth and children; fire services and evaluation.
- *Measure C Oakland Hotel Tax*. This additional transient occupancy tax was approved to fund the following entities; Oakland Convention and Visitors Bureau 50%; Oakland Zoo 12.5%; Oakland Museum of California 12.5%; Chabot Space and Science Center 12.5% and the City Cultural Arts Programs and Festivals 12.5%.
- Measure Q Library Services Retention and Enhancement. In March 2004, the electorate of Oakland approved, by more than a two-thirds majority, the extension of the Library Services and Retention Act, Measure Q (formerly known as Measure O). The act re-authorized and increased a special parcel tax on residential and non-residential parcels for the purpose of raising revenue to retain and enhance library services. The term of the tax is 20 years, commencing July 1, 2004 and ending June 30, 2024.
- *Measure WW East Bay Regional Park District local grant program.* The funds are for various Oakland parks and open space renovation projects.
- *Measure N Paramedics Services Act*. The revenue from the measure are to provide for increase, enhance and support paramedic services in the City.
- Oakland Kids' First Fund. The charter requires 3.0% of the City's unrestricted general purpose fund revenues for the fund. The funds provide additional funding for programs and services benefiting children and youth.
- Development Service Fund. The revenue sources for the development service fund will be the fees and penalties for development and enforcement activities, such as land use, permit, inspection, and abatement services for both direct and indirect costs.
- Other miscellaneous special revenue funds. Account for several other restricted monies that are classified as special revenue funds.

The City reports the following major enterprise fund:

The *Sewer Service Fund* accounts for the sewer service charges received by the City based on the use of water by East Bay Municipal Utility District customers residing in the City. The proceeds from the sewer charges are used for the construction and maintenance of sanitary sewers and storm drains and the administrative costs of the fund.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

The *Internal Service Funds* account for the purchases of automotive and rolling equipment; radio and other communication equipment; the repair and maintenance of City facilities; acquisition, maintenance and provision of reproduction equipment and services; acquisition of inventory provided to various City departments on a cost reimbursement basis; and procurement of materials, supplies, and services for City departments.

The *Pension Trust Funds* account for closed benefit plans that cover uniformed employees hired prior to July 1976 and non-uniformed employees hired prior to September 1970.

The *Private Purpose Trust Funds* include: (a) the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Trust Fund, which accounts for the custodial responsibilities that are assigned to the Oakland Redevelopment

#### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Successor Agency with passage of AB X1 26 (b) the Other Private Purpose Trust Fund, which accounts for assets and liabilities from the former Oakland Redevelopment Agency and for the operations of the Youth Opportunity Program and certain gifts that are not related to Agency projects or parks, recreation and cultural, activities, and (c) the Private Pension Trust Fund, which accounts for the employee deferred compensation plan.

#### C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement* focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenues as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources* measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The City considers property tax revenues to be available for the year levied and if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the fiscal period. All other revenues are considered to be available if they are collected within 120 days of the end of the fiscal period. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, state and local taxes, grants, licenses, charges for services, and interest and investment income associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Special assessments are recorded as revenues and receivables to the extent installments are considered available. The estimated installments receivable not considered available, as defined above, are recorded as receivables and offset by deferred inflows of resources.

Charges between the City and the Port are not eliminated because the elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and revenues reported.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with the fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the City's enterprise and internal service funds are charges for customer services including: sewers, golf courses, vehicle acquisition and maintenance, radio and telecommunication support charges, charges for facilities maintenance, and reproduction services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All other revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### **D.** Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### E. New Pronouncements

During the year ended June 30, 2015, the City implemented the following Governmental Accounting Standards Board (the "GASB") Statements:

In June 2012, the GASB issued Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions - an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27 (GASB Statement No. 68), which addresses the accounting and financial reporting requirements for pensions. The provisions of GASB Statement No. 68 separate accounting and financial reporting from how pensions are funded and require changes in the notes to the financial statements and required supplementary information. Significant changes include an actuarial calculation of the total pension liability. It also includes comprehensive footnote disclosure regarding the pension liability, the sensitivity of the net pension liability to the discount rate, and the pension expense and related deferred outflows/inflows of resources disclosures (See Note 2.L). For the year ended June 30, 2015 the City implemented GASB Statement No.71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 68. This statement is intended to address an issue regarding application of the transition provisions of Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. The issues related to amounts associated with contributions, if any, made by a state of local government employer or nonemployer contributing entity to a defined benefit pension plan after the measurement date of the government's beginning net pension liability.

As of July 1, 2014, the City restated the beginning net position to record the beginning deferred pension contributions and net pension liability as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Governmental	Business-Type		Component Unit
	 Activities	 Activities	Total	 Port of Oakland
Net position - beginning, as previously reported	\$ 981,818	\$ 196,334	\$ 1,178,152	\$ 1,110,191
Change in accounting principles	 (1,506,760)	(32,236)	(1,538,996)	(182,324)
Net Position - beginning, as restated	\$ (524,942)	\$ 164,098	\$ (360,844)	\$ 927,867
	Sewer Service	onmajor Enterprise Fund Parks and Recreation	Total	Internal Service Funds

Net position - Beginning, as previously reported Change in accounting principles Net position - beginning, as restated

	Nonmajor Enterprise rund						nternai Service
Sev	Sewer Service		Parks and Recreation	Total			Funds
\$	192,675	\$	3,659	\$	196,334	\$	(9,103)
	(32,022)		(214)		(32,236)		(37,026)
\$	160,653	\$	3,445	\$	164,098	\$	(46,129)
-			-			-	

In January 2013, the GASB issued Statement No. 69, Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations. This statement is intended to improve accounting and financial reporting for U.S. state and local governments' combinations and disposals of government operations. Government combination include merger, acquisition, and a transfer of operations. A disposal of government operations can occur through a transfer to another government or a sale. Application of Statement No. 69 did not have any effect on the City's financial statements.

#### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The City is currently analyzing its accounting practices to determine the potential impact on the financial statements for the following GASB Statements:

In February 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application. This statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and acquisition value to certain assets and disclosures related to all fair value measurements. Application of this statement is effective for the City's fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 73, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68. This Statement establishes requirements for defined benefit pensions that are not within the scope of Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, as well as for the assets accumulated for purposes of providing those pensions. In addition, it establishes requirements for defined contribution pensions that are not within the scope of Statement 68. It also amends certain provisions of Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans, and Statement 68 for pension plans and pensions that are within their respective scopes. Application of this statement is effective for the City's fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans. This Statement replaces Statements No. 43, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, as amended, and Statement No. 57, OPEB Measurements by Agent Employers and Agent Multiple-Employer Plans. It also includes requirements for defined contribution OPEB plans that replace the requirements for those OPEB plans in Statement No. 25, Financial Reporting for Defined Benefit Pension Plans and Note Disclosures for Defined Contribution Plans, as amended, Statement No. 43, and Statement No. 50, Pension Disclosures. Application of this statement is effective for the City's fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. This statement replaces the requirements of Statements No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions, as amended, and No. 57, for OPEB. This statement addresses accounting and financial reporting for OPEB and establishes standards for recognizing and measuring liabilities, deferred outflows/inflows of resources, and expenses/expenditures. Application of Statement No. 75 is effective for the City's fiscal year ending June 30, 2018.

In June 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 76, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments. This Statement supersedes Statement No. 55, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments. The requirements in this Statement improve financial reporting by (1) raising the category of GASB Implementation Guides in the GAAP hierarchy, thus providing the opportunity for broader public input on implementation guidance; (2) emphasizing the importance of analogies to authoritative literature when the accounting treatment for an event is not specified in authoritative GAAP; and (3) requiring the consideration of consistency with the GASB Concepts Statements when evaluating accounting treatments specified in nonauthoritative literature. Application of this statement is effective for the City's fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

#### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

In August 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 77, *Tax Abatement Disclosures*. This Statement requires governments that enter into tax abatement agreements to disclose the following information about the agreements:

- Brief descriptive information, such as the tax being abated, the authority under which tax abatements are provided, eligibility criteria, the mechanism by which taxes are abated, provisions for recapturing abated taxes, and the types of commitments made by tax abatement recipients. The gross dollar amount of taxes abated during the period.
- Commitments made by a government, other than to abate taxes, as part of a tax abatement agreement.

Application of this statement is effective for the City's fiscal year ending June 30, 2016.

# F. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity

#### 1. Cash and Investments

The City follows the practice of pooling cash of all operating funds for investment, except for the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency whose funds are held by outside custodians. Investments are generally carried at fair value. The fair value represents the amount the City could reasonably expect to receive for an investment in a current sale between a willing buyer and seller. The fair value of investments is obtained by using quotations from independent published sources. Money market investments (such as short-term, highly liquid debt instruments including commercial paper, banker's acceptances, U.S. Treasury and agency obligations) that have a remaining maturity at the time of purchase of one year or less, and participating interest-earning investment contracts (such as negotiable certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements and guaranteed or bank investment contracts) are carried at amortized cost. Changes in fair value of investments are recognized as a component of interest and investment income. Income earned or losses arising from the investment of pooled cash are allocated on a monthly basis to the participating funds and component units based on their proportionate share of the average daily cash balance.

Proceeds from debt and other cash and investments held by fiscal agents by agreement are classified as restricted assets.

Short-term investments are reported at cost, which approximates fair value. Securities traded on national or international exchanges are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Mortgages are reported based on the remaining principal balances which approximate the value of future principal and interest payments discounted at prevailing interest rates for similar instruments. The fair value of real estate investments is based on prices in a competitive market as determined by a specialist.

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the City considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The proprietary funds' investments in the City's cash and investment pool are, in substance, demand deposits and are therefore considered to be cash equivalents.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 2. Property Taxes

The County of Alameda is responsible for assessing, collecting, and distributing property taxes in accordance with enabling state law, and for remitting such amounts to the City. Property taxes are assessed and levied as of July 1 on all taxable property located in the City, and result in a lien on real property on January 1. Property taxes are then due in two equal installments—the first on November 1 and the second on February 1 of the following calendar year and are delinquent after December 10 and April 10, respectively. General property taxes are limited to a flat 1% rate applied to the 1975-76 full value of the property, or 1% of the sales price of the property or of the construction value added after the 1975-76 valuation. Assessed values on properties (exclusive of increases related to sales and construction) can rise a maximum of 2% per year. Taxes were levied at the maximum 1% rate during the year ended June 30, 2015.

#### 3. Due From/Due To Other Funds and Internal Balances

During the course of operations, numerous transactions and borrowings occur between individual funds for goods provided or services rendered and funds that have overdrawn their share of pooled cash and interfund loans. In the fund financial statements, these receivables and payables are classified as "due from other funds" and "due to other funds", respectively. In the government-wide financial statements, these receivables and payables are eliminated within the governmental activities and business-type activities columns. Net receivables and payables between the governmental activities and business-type activities are classified as internal balances.

#### 4. Interfund Transfers

In the fund financial statements, interfund transfers are recorded as transfers in/out except for certain types of transactions that are described below:

Charges for services are recorded as revenues of the performing fund and expenditures of the requesting fund. Unbilled costs are recognized as an asset of the performing fund and a liability of the requesting fund at the end of the fiscal year.

Reimbursements for expenditures, initially made by one fund that are properly applicable to another fund, are recorded as expenditures in the reimbursing fund and as a reduction of expenditures in the fund that is reimbursed. Reimbursements are eliminated for purposes of government-wide reporting.

#### 5. Prepaid Bond Insurance, Original Issue Discounts and Premiums, and Refundings

Prepaid bond insurance costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the life of the bonds. Amortization of these balances is recorded as a component of operating expenses. In the government-wide, proprietary fund, and fiduciary fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Bond premiums and discounts are amortized using the straight-line method over the life of the bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Gains or losses from refunding of debt are reported as deferred inflows or outflows of resources and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded debt or refunding debt. Amortizations of bond premiums and discounts and gains or losses from refunding of debt are recorded as a component of interest expense.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

#### 6. Inventories

Inventories, consisting of materials and supplies held for consumption, are stated at cost. Cost is calculated using the average cost method. Inventory items are considered expensed when consumed rather than when purchased.

#### 7. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, museum collections, intangibles, construction in progress, facilities and improvements, furniture, machinery and equipment, infrastructure (e.g., streets, streetlights, traffic signals, and parks), sewers and storm drains are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements and in the proprietary fund statements. Capital assets are defined by the City as assets with an initial, individual cost of \$5,000 or more and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair value at the date of donation. Capital outlay is recorded as expenditures in the governmental funds and as assets in the government-wide and proprietary financial statements to the extent the City's capitalization threshold is met.

The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend its useful life is not capitalized.

The City has a collection of artwork presented for public exhibition and education that is being preserved for future generations. These items are protected, kept unencumbered, cared for, and preserved by the City. The proceeds from the sale of any pieces of the collection are used to purchase other acquisitions for the collection. However, future acquisitions purchased with authorized budgeted City funds during a fiscal year will be reported as non-depreciable assets in the City's financial statements.

The City's depreciation of capital assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

5-40 years
2-20 years
50 years
5-50 years

The Port's depreciation of capital assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Building and improvements	5-50 years
Container cranes	25 years
Infrastructure	10-50 years
Other equipment	5-10 years
Software	20 years

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 8. Property Held for Resale

Property held for resale was acquired as part of the former Agency's redevelopment program. These properties are both residential and commercial. Costs of administering the projects are charged to the municipal capital improvement fund as expenditures are incurred. A primary function of the redevelopment process is to prepare land for specific private development. For financial statement presentation, property held for resale is stated at the lower of estimated cost or estimated conveyance value. Estimated conveyance value is management's estimate of net realizable value of each property parcel based on its current intended use.

During the period it is held by the City, property held for resale may generate rental or operating income. This income is recognized as it is earned in the City's statement of activities and generally is recognized in the City's governmental funds in the same period depending on when the income becomes available on a modified accrual basis of accounting. The City does not depreciate property held for resale, as it is the intention of the City to only hold the property for a period of time until it can be resold for development.

#### 9. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for *deferred* outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The City has deferred outflows of resources related to pension contributions subsequent to measurement date. Also, losses on refunding result from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded or the refunding debt. Amortization of these balances is recorded as a component of interest expense.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of net position and governmental funds balance sheet will sometimes report a separate section for *deferred inflows of resources*. This separate financial statement element represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The City has deferred inflows of resources related to unavailable revenues reported under the modified accrual basis of accounting in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues from property taxes, notes and loan receivables, grant receivables/advances from the federal and State, and other sources as appropriate. These amounts are deferred and recognized as revenues in the period the amounts become available. The City also has deferred inflows of resources related to the unamortized gains on refunding of debt and differences between projected and actual earnings on investment.

## 10. Compensated Absences - Accrued Vacation, Sick Leave, and Compensatory Time

The City's policy and its agreements with employee groups permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vested vacation, sick leave and other compensatory time. All earned compensatory time is accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in the governmental funds only if they are due and payable.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 11. Retirement Plans

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resoruces related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the City of Oakland's Public Employee's Retirement System (CalPERS) plans and additions to/deductions from the Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. The City has four defined benefit retirement plans: Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS), Oakland Municipal Employees' Retirement System (OMERS) and the Miscellaneous and the Public Safety Plans of the California Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) (collectively, the Retirement Plans). Employer contributions and member contributions made by the employer to the Retirement Plans are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the provisions of the Retirement Plans. Refer to Note 2.L for additional information.

## 12. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

The OPEB plan covers Police, Fire, and Miscellaneous employees. City retirees are eligible for retiree health benefits if they meet certain requirements relating to age and service. Retiree health benefits are described in the labor agreements between the City and Local Unions and in City resolutions. The demographic rates used for the California Public Employee Retirement System (PERS) were public safety employees retirements benefits under a 3% at 50 formula and miscellaneous employees retirement benefits under a 2.7% at 55 formula. See section A.3 to note 2L to part III for additional information.

## 13. Pollution Remediation Obligations

Under the provisions of GASB Statement No. 49, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pollution Remediation Obligations*, the City recorded remediation liabilities related to its pollution remediation activities. See Note B to part III for additional information.

#### 14. Fund Balances

Under GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, the financial statements reporting for governmental funds classify fund balances based primarily on the extent to which the City is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which those funds can be spent. Fund balance for the City's governmental funds consists of the following categories:

- Restricted Fund Balance: includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by external resource providers, constitutionally or through enabling legislation. Restrictions may effectively be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers. It also includes a legally enforceable requirement that the resources can only be used for specific purposes enumerated in the law.
- Committed Fund Balance: includes amounts that can only be used for the specific purposes determined by City Council ordinance, which is the City's highest level of decision-making authority. Commitments may be changed or lifted only by the City taking the same formal action that imposed the constraint originally.
- Assigned Fund Balance: comprises amounts intended to be used by the City for specific purposes that are neither restricted nor committed through City Council budgetary action, which includes of

#### Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

appropriations and revenue sources pertaining to the next fiscal year's budget. The City Council adopted a resolution establishing the City's policy budget, which states that assigned fund balances are intended to be used for specific purposes through City Council budgetary actions. Intent is expressed by (a) the City Council or (b) the City Administrator to which the City Council has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes. This category includes the City's encumbrances, project carry-forwards, and continuing appropriation.

• Unassigned Fund Balance: are amounts technically available for any purpose. It's the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all amounts not contained in the other classifications. Unassigned amounts are technically available for any purpose. Other governmental funds may only report a negative unassigned balance that was created after classification in one of the other four fund balance categories.

In circumstances when an expenditure is made for a purpose for which amounts are available in multiple fund balance classifications, fund balance is generally depleted in the order of restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned.

Fund balances for all the major and nonmajor governmental funds as of June 30, 2015, were distributed as follows (in thousands):

	Gen	eral Fund	Feder	ral/State Grant Fund		LMIHF <sup>1</sup>	icipal Capital	ner Special Revenue	Go	Other wernmental Funds	Total
Restricted for:								 			
Capital projects	\$	-	\$	527	\$	1,500	\$ 102,388	\$ -	\$	28,510	\$ 132,925
Pension obligations Annuity		87,562		-		-	-	-		-	87,562
Pension obligations PFRS		74,655		-		-	-	-		-	74,655
GF Reserve/ Stabilization Fund		2,025		-		-	-	-		-	2,025
Debt service		-		-		-	-	-		33,094	33,094
Property held for resale		-		-		30,677	131,980	-		-	162,657
Housing projects		-		-		4,989	-	-		-	4,989
Subtotal		164,242		527		37,166	234,368	-		61,604	497,907
Committed for: Library, Kids First and museum trust		-		<u>-</u>		-	 <u>-</u>	 11,444		2,083	13,527
Assigned for:											
Capital projects		64,680		-		-	 11,348	 21,231		1,024	98,283
Unassigned		37,409		(6,687)	_	-	 	 		(1,310)	 29,412
Total	\$	266,331	\$	(6,160)	\$	37,166	\$ 245,716	\$ 32,675	\$	63,401	\$ 639,129

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund

#### 15. Special Item:

Special items are either 1) unusual in nature (possessing a high degree of abnormality and clearly unrelated to, or only incidentally related to, the ordinary and typical activities of the entity) or 2) infrequent in occurrence (not reasonably expected to recur in the foreseeable future, taking into account the environment in which the entity operates), and subject to management control. The transfer of excess bond proceeds and the transfer of properties held for resale to the City as requested by the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Oversight Board and approved by DOF pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34179 (h) qualify as special items since these actions are considered infrequent and requested by management of the City.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Under ABx1 26, adopted on June 28, 2011, as amended by AB 1484 adopted on June 27, 2012, all new redevelopment activities were suspended, with limited exceptions, and redevelopment agencies were dissolved on February 1, 2012. Under this legislation, the California Department of Finance (DOF) and the California State Controller's Office (SCO) have varying degrees of responsibility and oversight. The ultimate outcome of issues raised by State authorities, such as the rejection of using ORSA assets to pay obligations or the return of asset transfers to the ORSA.

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179(h), DOF has completed its review of the Oversight Bond action on Bond Spending Plan and on November 6, 2013, it approved the Bond Spending Plan for ORSA. The Bond Spending Plan allows ORSA to utilize proceeds derived from bonds issued prior to January 1, 2011 in a manner consistent with the original bond covenants. As required by HSC section 34191.4(c) (2) (A), ORSA has listed excess bond proceeds on the January through June 2015 ROPS in the total amount of \$50.6 million which has been approved by DOF. DOF approved the bond expenditure agreement between ORSA and the City to transfer excess tax allocation bond proceeds and properties held for resale to the City. The special item gain was recorded in the government-wide financial statements for (1) the excess bond transfer in the amount of \$10.2 million and (2) the transfer of properties in the amount of \$97.5 million, for a total increase to net position of \$107.7 million. The transfer of properties held for resale from the ORSA Trust Fund in the amount of \$97.5 million was recorded as additions to the City's capital assets in the amount of \$33.1 million and as increases to property held for resale in the amount of \$64.4 million.

On February 9, 2015, the OMERS Board purchased an annuity with Pacific Life Insurance Company for approximately \$1.6 million. All future payments to retirees and beneficiaries will be made by the annuity provider, and all excess funds of appropriately \$2.9 million were transferred to the City and placed into a pension reserve within the General Fund.

#### 16. Net Position

The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted.

- Net Investment in Capital Assets groups all capital assets, including infrastructure, into one
  component of net position. Accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of debt that are
  attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of these assets reduce the balance in
  this category.
- Restricted Net Position consists of restricted assets reduced by liabilities and deferred inflows of resources related to those assets.
  - Enabling legislation authorizes the City to assess, levy, charge, or otherwise mandated payment of resources and includes a legally enforceable requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation.
- *Unrestricted Net Position* represents net position of the City that is not restricted for any project or purpose.

#### (II) DETAILED NOTES ON ALL FUNDS

#### A. CASH, DEPOSIT, AND INVESTMENTS

The City maintains a cash and investment pool consisting of City funds and cash held for OMERS, PFRS, and the Port. The City's funds are invested according to the investment policy adopted by the

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

City Council. The objectives of the policy are legality, safety, liquidity, diversity, and yield. The policy addresses soundness of financial institutions in which the City can deposit funds, types of investment instruments permitted by the California Government Code, duration of the investments, and the percentage of the portfolio that may be invested in:

- United States Treasury securities (subject to restrictions by the Nuclear Free Ordinance);
- federal agency issues;
- bankers' acceptances;
- commercial paper;
- medium term corporate notes and deposit notes;
- negotiable certificates of deposit;
- certificates of deposit;
- State of California Local Agency Investment Fund;
- money market mutual funds;
- local city/agency bonds;
- State of California bonds;
- secured obligations and agreements;
- · repurchase agreements; and
- reverse repurchase agreements.

The City's investment policy stipulates that the collateral to back up repurchase agreements be priced at market value and be held in safekeeping by the City's primary custodian. Additionally, the City Council has adopted certain requirements prohibiting investments in nuclear weapons makers and restricting investments in U.S. Treasury bills and notes due to their use in funding nuclear weapons research and production.

Other deposits and investments are invested pursuant to the governing bond covenants, deferred compensation plans, or retirement systems' investment policies. Under the investment policies, the investment counsel is given the full authority to accomplish the objectives of the bond covenants or retirement systems subject to the discretionary limits set forth in the policies.

As of June 30, 2015, total City cash, deposits, and investments at fair value are as follows (in thousands):

	Primary G	overn	ment				Co	omponent Unit
	 ernmental ctivities		iness-type ctivities	duciary Funds		Total		Port
Cash and investments	\$ 293,363	\$	47,444	\$ 59,171	\$	399,978	\$	198,946
Restricted cash and investments Securities lending	232,313		864	448,656		681,833		71,210
collateral	-		-	55,226		55,226		-
TOTAL	\$ 525,676	\$	48,308	\$ 563,053	\$ :	1,137,037	\$	270,156
Deposits Investments TOTAL						24,091 1,112,946 1,137,037	\$	626 269,530 270,156

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

### **Primary Government**

Custodial Credit Risk: Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a failure of a depository financial institution or counterparty to a transaction, the City may be unable to recover the value of the investments or collateral securities in the possession of an outside party. To protect against fraud and potential losses from the financial collapse of securities dealers, all securities owned by the City shall be held in the name of the City for safekeeping by a third party bank trust department, acting as an agent for the City under the terms of the Custody Agreement.

At June 30, 2015, the carrying amount of the City's deposits was \$24.1 million. Deposits include checking accounts, interest earning savings accounts, money market accounts, and nonnegotiable certificates of deposit. The full bank balance of \$55.2 million was collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution in the City's name, in accordance with Section 53652 of the California Government Code.

The California Government Code requires that a financial institutions secure its deposits made by state or local government units by pledging securities in undivided collateral pool held by the depository regulated under the state law (unless so waived by the government units). The market value of the pledged government securities and/or first trust deed mortgage notes held in the collateral pool must be at least 110% and 150%, respectively, of the deposit amount. The collateral must be held by the pledging financial institution's trust department and is considered held in the City's name.

Credit Risk: Credit risk represents the possibility that the issuer/counterparty to an investment will be unable to fulfill its obligations. The most effective method for minimizing the risk of default by an issuer is to invest in high quality securities. Under the City investment policy, short-term debt shall be rated at least A-1 by Standard and Poor's (S&P), at the time security is purchased. Long-term debt shall be rated at least A by Standard and Poor's (S&P). Per the California Debt and Management Advisory Commission (CDIAC), it is recommended that the portfolio be monitored, as practical, for subsequent changes in credit rating of existing securities. As of June 30, 2015, approximately 87% of the pooled investments were invested in "AAA" and "AA" quality securities.

The following tables show the City's credit risk for the Pool and Restricted portfolios as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

#### **Pooled Investments**

	Ratings as of June 30, 2015													
	Fair Value		Fair Value AAA		AA A+		<b>A</b> +	AA-		<b>A1</b>		Not Rated		
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$	175,776	\$	-	\$	175,776	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)		215,916		-		215,916		-		-		-		-
Medium Term Notes		3,996		-		-		2,008		1,987		-		-
Money Market Mutual Funds		83,110	8	3,110		-		-		-		-		-
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)		49,999		-		-		-		-		-		49,999
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit		11,000		-		-		-		-		11,000		-
State of California, General Obligation Bonds		4,394		-		-		-		4,394		-		-
State of California, Revenue Bonds		766						766				-		
Total Pooled Investments	\$	544,957	\$ 83	3,110	\$3	391,692	\$	2,774	\$	6,381	\$ 1	11,000	\$ 4	9,999

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Ratings as of June 30, 2015											
	Fair	Value	AAA AA			AA		<b>A1</b>	Not Rated			
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$ .	39,255	\$	-	\$ 3	39,255	\$	-	\$			
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	4	41,997		-	4	1,997		-		-		
Money Market Mutual Funds	,	71,910	71.	,071		-		-		839		
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit (CD's)		2,000		-		-		2,000		-		
Commercial Papers (Discount)		366		-		-		366		-		
Annuity Contract		75,000						-	7	5,000		
Total Restricted Investments	\$23	0,528	\$71,	071	\$81	1,253	\$	2,366	\$75	5,839		

Concentration of Credit Risk: The City has an investment policy related to the City's cash and investment pool, which is subject to annual review. Under the City's Investment Policy, no more than five percent (5%) of the total assets of the investments held by the City may be invested in the securities of any one issuer, except the obligations of the United States government or government-sponsored enterprises, investment with the Local Agency Investment Fund, and proceeds of or pledged revenues for any tax and revenue anticipation notes. Per the Investment Policy, investments should conform to Sections 53600 et seq. of the California Government Code and the applicable limitations contained within the policy. Certain other investments are governed by bond covenants which do not restrict the amount of investment in any one issuer.

Investments in one issuer that exceed 5% of the City's investment portfolio at June 30, 2015 are as follows (in thousands):

			Percent of City's
Investment Type / Issuer	A	mount	Investment
U.S. Government Agency Securities:			
Federal Farm Credit Bank	\$	80,187	10.3%
Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae)		60,075	7.8%
Federal Home Loan Bank		176,641	22.8%
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac)		156,042	20.1%
Annuity Contract:			
New York Life Insurance Company		75,000	10.0%

The following table shows the diversification of the City's portfolio (in thousands):

#### **Pooled Investments**

		Percent (%) of
Investment Type	Fair Value	Portfolio
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$ 175,776	32.3%
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	215,916	39.6%
Medium Term Notes	3,996	0.7%
Money Market Mutual Funds	83,110	15.3%
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	49,999	9.2%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	11,000	2.0%
State of California, General Obligation Bonds	4,394	0.8%
State of California, Revenue Bonds	766	0.1%
Total Pooled Investments	\$ 544,957	100.0%

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### **Restricted Investments**

		Percent (%) of
Investment Type	Fair Value	Portfolio
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$ 39,255	17.0%
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	41,997	18.2%
Money Market Mutual Funds	71,910	31.2%
Commercial Papers (Discount)	366	0.2%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	2,000	0.9%
Annuity Contract	75,000	32.5%
<b>Total Restriced Investments</b>	\$ 230,528	100.0%

**Interest Rate Risk:** This risk represents the possibility that an interest rate change could adversely affect an investment's fair value. The longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity its fair value is to changes in market interest rates.

As a means for limiting its exposure to changing interest rates, Section 53601 of the State of California Government Code and the City's Investment Policy limit certain investments to short-term maturities such as certificates of deposit and commercial paper, whose maturities are limited 360 days and 270 days, respectively. Also, Section 53601 of the State of California Government Code limits the maximum maturity of any investment to be no longer than 5 years unless authority for such investment is expressly granted in advance by the City Council or authorized by bond covenants. The City continues to purchase a combination of short- term and long-term investments to minimize such risks.

The City uses the segmented time distribution method of disclosure for its interest rate risk. As of June 30, 2015, the City had the following investments and original maturities (in thousands):

#### Pooled Investments

		Maturity						
		Interest	12 Months	1 - 3	3 - 5			
Investment Type	Fair Value	Rates (%)	or Less	Years	Years			
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$ 175,776	0.12 - 1.61	\$ 23,408	\$ 133,821	\$ 18,547			
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	215,916	0.02 - 0.22	215,916	-	-			
Medium Term Notes	3,996	1.38 - 2.08	-	1,987	2,008			
Money Market Mutual Funds *	83,110	0.07 - 0.10	83,110	-	-			
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) *	49,999	0.30	49,999	-	-			
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	11,000	0.13 - 0.27	11,000	-	-			
State of California, General Obligation Bonds	4,394	0.55 - 1.11	1,003	-	3,391			
State of California, Revenue Bonds	766	0.91		766				
Total Pooled Investments	\$ 544,957		\$ 384,436	\$136,574	\$ 23,946			

<sup>\*</sup> weighted average maturity used

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

### **Restricted Investments**

			Matu	rity		
		Interest	12 Months	1 - 3	3 - 5	5 years or
Investment Type	Fair Value	Rates (%)	or Less	Years	Years	more
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$ 39,255	0.10 - 1.05	\$ 27,250	\$ 12,006	\$ -	\$ -
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	41,997	0.0210	41,997	-	-	-
Money Market Mutual Funds *	71,910	0.01 - 0.08	71,910	-	-	-
Commercial Papers (Discount)	366	0.15	366	-	-	-
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	2,000	0.27	2,000	-	-	-
Annuity Contracts	75,000	2.20				75,000
<b>Total Restricted Investments</b>	\$ 230,528		\$ 143,523	\$ 12,006	\$ -	\$ 75,000

<sup>\*</sup> weighted average maturity used

**Foreign Currency Risk:** This is the risk that changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies could adversely affect an investment's fair value. The City only invests in U.S. dollar denominated obligations. This successfully eliminates all risk of principal erosion due to fluctuations in the values of foreign currencies.

Other Disclosures: As of June 30, 2015, the City's investment in LAIF is \$50.0 million. A total amount invested by all public agencies in LAIF at that date is approximately \$21.5 billion. LAIF is part of the Pooled Money Investment Account (PMIA) with a total portfolio of approximately \$69.6 billion, 97.9% is invested in non-derivative financial products and 2.08% in structured notes and asset-backed securities. As of June 30, 2015, LAIF has an average life-month end of 239 days. The Local Investment Advisory Board (Advisory Board) has oversight responsibility for LAIF. The Advisory Board consists of five members as designated by State Statute. The value of the pool shares in LAIF, which may be withdrawn, is determined on an amortized cost basis that is different than the fair value of the City's position in the pool.

#### Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)

The ORSA's cash and investment consists of the following at June 30, 2015:

Cash and Investments		mount
Cash & Investments (unrestricted)	\$	51,013
Restricted cash & investments		29,759
Total Cash & Investments	\$	80,772

**Investments:** ORSA follows the Investment Policy of the City, which is governed by provisions of the California Government Code 53600 and the City's Municipal Code. ORSA also has investments subject to provisions of the bond indentures of the former Agency's various bond issues. According to the Investment Policy and bond indentures, ORSA is permitted to invest in the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), obligations of the U.S. Treasury or U.S. government agencies, time deposits, money market mutual funds invested in U.S. government securities, along with various other permitted investments.

As of June 30, 2015, ORSA invested a total amount of \$50.0 million in U.S. government agency securities, which is comprised of \$42.0 million from its unrestricted accounts and \$8.0 million from the Tax Allocation and Housing Set-Aside Bonds. The remaining balance is invested in money market mutual funds, unrestricted and restricted of \$7.5 million and \$21.8 million respectively.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

**Custodial Credit Risk:** for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, ORSA will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, ORSA will not be able to recover the value of the investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party.

The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure its deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by the depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged governmental securities and/or first trust deed mortgage notes held in the collateral pool must be at least 110% and 150% of ORSA's deposits, respectively. The collateral is held by the pledging financial institution's trust department and is held in the ORSA's name.

As of June 30, 2015, the carrying amount of the ORSA's deposits was \$1.5 million. The deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance coverage limit of \$0.5 million, and the remaining bank balance of \$1.0 million are collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institutions as required by Section 53652 of the California Government Code.

ORSA invests in individual investments. Individual investments are evidenced by specific identifiable securities instruments, or by an electronic entry registering the owner in the records of the institution issuing the security, called the book entry system. In order to increase security, the ORSA employs the trust department of a bank or trustee as the custodian of certain ORSA investments, regardless of their form.

**Interest Rate Risk:** is the risk that changes in market rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market rates. ORSA Investment Policy has mitigated interest rate risk by establishing policies over liquidity. As of June 30, 2015, ORSA had the following investments and original maturities (in thousands):

#### **Pooled Cash and Investments**

				Ma	aturities
			Current	Les	s than 1
Type of Investment	Fa	ir Value_	<b>Yield</b> (% )		Year
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	\$	41,998	.020040	\$	41,998
Money Market Mutual Funds		7,500	0.10-0.11		7,500
Sub-total		49,498		\$	49,498
Deposits		1,515			
Total	\$	51,013			
Total	\$	51,013			

#### **Restricted Cash and Investments**

				IVI2	iturities		
			Current	Les	s than 1		
Type of Investment	_Fai	ir Value	Yield(%)		Year	1 - 3	3 Years
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$	8,004	0.052	\$	-	\$	8,004
Money Market Mutual Funds		21,755	0.02-0.04		21,755		
Total	\$	29,759		\$	21,755	\$	8,004

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

**Credit Risk:** is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This risk is measured by the assignment of a rating by the nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. ORSA's Investment Policy has mitigated credit risk by limiting investments to the safest types of securities, by prequalifying financial institutions, by diversifying the portfolio and by establishing monitoring procedures.

Pooled Cash and Investments	S&P Ratings as of June 30, 2015			
Type of Investment	Fair Value	Aaa/AAA	Aaa/AA	
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	\$ 41,998	\$ -	\$ 41,998	
Money Market Mutual Funds	7,500	7,500		
Total	\$ 49,498	\$ 7,500	\$ 41,998	

Restricted Cash and Investments	S&P Ratings as of June 30, 2015			
Type of Investment	Fair Value	Aaa/AAA	Aaa/AA	
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$ 8,004	\$ -	\$ 8,004	
Money Market Mutual Funds	21,755	21,755		
Total	\$ 29,759	\$ 21,755	\$ 8,004	

**Concentration of Credit Risk:** is the risk that the failure of any one issuer would place an undue financial burden on ORSA. Investments issued by or explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government and investments in mutual funds, external investment pools, and other pooled investments are exempt from this requirement, as they are normally diversified themselves.

The following table shows the diversification of ORSA's portfolio as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

	Pooled			Restricted		
		Cash and Investments			Cash and	Investments
Type of Investment	Fai	ir Value	% of Portfolio	Fai	ir Value	% of Portfolio
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$	-	0.0%	\$	8,004	26.9%
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)		41,998	84.8%		-	0.0%
Money Market Mutual Funds		7,500	15.2%		21,755	73.1%
Total	\$	49,498	100.0%	\$	29,759	100.0%

The following table show's ORSA's investments in one issuer that exceed 5% of ORSA's investment portfolio at June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

Type of Investment/Issuer	Amount	% of Portfolio	
U.S. Government Agency Securities			
Federal Home Loan Bank Discount	\$ 20,999	25.5%	
Freddie Mac Discount	11,999	15.1%	
Fannie Mae Discount	8,999	11.4%	
Federal Farm Credit Bank	8,004	10.1%	

Restricted Cash and Investments with Fiscal Agents Under the provisions of the bond indentures, certain accounts with trustees were established for repayment of debt, amounts required to be held in reserve, and temporary investments for unexpended bond proceeds. As of June 30, 2015, the amounts held by the trustees fell by \$29 million to \$29.8 million. All restricted investments held by trustees as of June 30, 2015 were invested in U.S. Government Agency Securities and money market mutual funds, and were in compliance with the bond indentures.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

### Oakland Municipal Employees' Retirement System (OMERS)

### **Termination of OMERS Plan and Annuity Purchase**

On May 22, 2014, the OMERS Board passed Resolution No. 4839 C.M.S. confirming support of an amendment of Article XX of the City Charter for the purpose of terminating the OMERS Board and authorizing the City to purchase a group annuity from a Board-approved insurance carrier for the payment of future benefits. On July 15, 2014, the City of Oakland Council (Council) approved Resolution No. 85098, to place a Charter Amendment on the November 4, 2014 ballot that would grant Council the ability to terminate the Plan and purchase annuities. On November 4, 2014, City of Oakland voters passed Oakland Measure EE, which grants the City of Oakland Council the authority to terminate OMERS by purchasing a group annuity contract to guarantee pension payments to the remaining OMERS' retirees and beneficiaries.

On January 6, 2015, City of Oakland Council passed corresponding ordinances that (1) amends OMERS Ordinance 713 and (2) terminate the OMERS Plan effective upon the successful purchase of the annuities, and disbursements of all funds. On February 6, 2015, OMERS Board purchased an annuity with Pacific Life Insurance Company for approximately \$1.6 million. OMERS was terminated effective March 31, 2015 and Pacific Life Insurance Company commenced payment of all future benefit payments to the remaining OMERS' retirees and beneficiaries. All excess funds of approximately \$2.9 million were transferred to the City of Oakland on April 1, 2015 and placed into a trust fund for any additional OMERS' expenditures or unanticipated shortfalls.

#### **Deposits in the City's Investment Pool**

Cash and deposits consisted of cash in treasury held in the City's cash and investment pool. These funds are invested according to the investment policy adopted by the City Council. Interest earned on these pooled accounts is allocated monthly to all funds based on the average daily cash balance maintained by the respective funds. As of March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, OMERS' share of the City's investment pool totaled \$125 and \$26 thousand, respectively. As of June 30, 2015, OMERS' share of the City's investment pool totaled \$74 thousand.

#### Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS)

#### **Deposits in the City's Investment Pool**

As of June 30, 2015, cash and cash deposits consisted of cash in treasury held in the City's cash and investment pool as well as cash deposits held in bank and with a custodian. These funds are invested according to the investment policy adopted by the City Council. Interest earned on these pooled accounts is allocated monthly to all funds based on the average daily cash balance maintained by the respective funds. As of June 30, 2015, PFRS' share of the City's investment pool totaled \$3.0 million. As of June 30, 2015, PFRS also had cash and cash deposits not held in the City's investment pool totaled \$60.0 thousand.

#### Investments

PFRS' investment policy authorizes investment in U.S. equities, international equities, U.S. fixed income securities, instruments including U.S. Treasury notes and bonds, government agency mortgage backed securities, U.S. corporate notes and bonds, collateralized mortgage obligations, yankee bonds and non-U.S. issued fixed income securities denominated in foreign currencies.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

PFRS' investment portfolio is managed by external investment managers, except for the bond iShares which are managed internally. During the year ended June 30, 2015, the number of external investment managers was twelve.

The PFRS investments are also restricted by the City Charter. In November 2006, City voters passed Measure M to amend the City Charter to allow the PFRS Board to invest in non-dividend paying stocks and to change the asset allocation structure from 50% equities and 50% fixed income to the Prudent Person Standard as defined by the California Constitution.

PFRS' investment policy limits fixed income investments to a maximum average duration of 10 years and a maximum remaining term to maturity (single issue) at purchase of 30 years, with targeted portfolio duration of between 3 to 8 years and targeted portfolio maturity of 15 years. PFRS' investment policy with respect to fixed income investments identifies two standards for credit quality. The policy allows the fixed income managers to invest in securities with a minimum rating of B- or higher as long as the portfolio maintains an average credit quality of BBB (investment grade using Standard & Poor's, Moody's, or Fitch ratings).

PFRS' investment policy states that investments in derivative securities known as Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs) shall be limited to a maximum of 20% of a broker account's fair value with no more than 5% in any one issue. CMOs are mortgage-backed securities that create separate pools of pass-through rates for different classes of bondholders with varying maturities. The fair value of CMOs are considered sensitive to interest rate changes because they have embedded options.

The investment policy allows for each fixed income asset manager to have a maximum of 10% of any single security investment in their individual portfolios with the exception of U.S. government securities, which is allowed to have a maximum of 25% in each manager's portfolio.

The following was the Board's adopted investment policy as of June 30, 2015:

Asset Class	Target Allocation
Fixed Income	20%
Real Return	10%
Covered Calls	10%
Domestic Equity	43%
International Equity	12%
Private Equity	5%
Total	100%

**Interest Rate Risk:** This is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. PFRS' investment policy limits fixed income investments to a maximum average duration of 10 years and a maximum remaining term to maturity (single issue) at purchase of 30 years, with targeted portfolio duration of between 3 to 8 years and targeted portfolio maturity of 15 years. The weighted average duration for PFRS' fixed income investment portfolio excluding fixed short-term investments and securities lending investments was 5.19 years as of June 30, 2015. As of June 30, 2015, PFRS had the following fixed income investments by category (in thousands):

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Short-Term	<u>Investment</u>	Duration:

		Modified Duration
<b>Investment Type</b>	Fair Value	(Year)
Short-Term Investment Funds	\$8,970	n/a
Long-Term Investment Duration:		

Investment Type	Fai	ir Value	Modified Duration (Year)
Government Bonds:			
U.S. Treasuries	\$	13,339	8.41
U.S. Government Agency Securities		18,743	5.20
Total Government Bonds		32,082	
U.S. Corporate and Other Bonds			
Corporate Bonds		38,785	4.09
Other Government Bonds		671	4.16
Total U.S. Corporate and Other Bonds		39,456	
Total Fixed Income Investments	\$	71,538	5.19
Securities Lending Collateral	\$	55,226	-

**Credit Risk:** This is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligation. The following tables provide information as of June 30, 2015 concerning credit risk of fixed income securities (in thousands):

Investment Type	S&P / Moody's / Fitch Rating	Fair Value
Short-Term Investment Funds	Not Rated	\$8,970

The following tables provide information as of June 30, 2015 concerning credit risk of fixed income and long-term investment rating (in thousands):

		Percent of Total Fair
S&P/Moody's Rating	Fair Value	Value
AAA/Aaa	\$36,382	50.9%
AA/Aa	2,887	4.0%
A/A	9,734	13.6%
BBB/Baa	11,359	15.9%
BB/Ba	253	0.4%
B/B	10,414	14.6%
Not Rated	509	0.7%
Total Fixed Income Investments	\$71,538	100.0%

As of June 30, 2015, the securities lending collateral of \$55.2 million was not rated.

**Concentration of Credit Risk:** This is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. As of June 30, 2015, no investment in any single issuer exceeded 5% of PFRS' net position.

**Rate of return:** For the year ended June 30, 2015, the annual money-weighted rate of return on pension plan investments, net of pension plan investment expense, was 3.9%. The money-weighted rate of

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested.

**Custodial Credit Risk:** Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of a failure of a depository financial institution or counterparty to a transaction, there will be an inability to recover the value of deposits, investments, or collateral securities in the possession of an outside party.

The California Government Code requires that governmental securities or first trust deed mortgage notes be used as collateral for demand deposits and certificates of deposit at 110 percent and 150 percent, respectively, of all deposits not covered by federal deposit insurance. As the City holds cash and certificates of deposit on behalf of PFRS, the collateral must be held by the pledging financial institution's trust department and is considered held in the City's name. For all other PFRS deposits, the collateral must be held by the pledging financial institution's trust department and is considered held in PFRS' name.

The City, on behalf of PFRS, does not have any funds or deposits that are not covered by depository insurance, which are either uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent, but not in the City's name. PFRS does not have any investments that are not registered in the name of PFRS and are either held by the counterparty or the counterparty's trust department or agent, but not in PFRS' name.

**Foreign Currency Risk:** Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in foreign exchange rates will adversely affect the fair values of an investment or deposit. Currency hedging is allowed under the PFRS investment policy for defensive purposes only. The investment policy limits currency hedging to a maximum of 25% of the portfolio value.

The following summarizes PFRS' investments denominated in foreign currencies as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

Austrialian Dollar	\$ 579
Brazilian Real	251
Canadian Dollar	490
Danish Krone	889
Euro	8,015
Hong Kong Dollar	3,581
Indonesian Rupiah	435
Japanese Yen	3,862
Mexican Peso	626
Norwegian Kroner	320
Singapore Dollar	531
Swedish Krona	362
Swiss Franc	3,416
Turkish Lira	122
United Kingdom Pound	6,214
Total	\$ 29,693

**Securities Lending Transactions:** PFRS is authorized to enter into securities lending transactions which are short-term collateralized loans of PFRS securities to brokers-dealers with a simultaneous agreement allowing PFRS to invest and receive earnings on the loan collateral for a loan rebate fee. All securities loans can be terminated on demand by either PFRS or the borrower, although the average term of such loans is one week.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The Bank of New York Mellon administers the securities lending program. The administrator is responsible for maintaining an adequate level of collateral in an amount equal to at least 102% of the market value of loaned U.S. government securities, common stock and other equity securities, bonds, debentures, corporate debt securities, notes, and mortgages or other obligations. Collateral received may include cash, letters of credit, or securities. If securities collateral is received, PFRS cannot pledge or sell the collateral securities unless the borrower defaults. PFRS does not match the maturities of investments made with cash collateral with the securities on loan.

As of June 30, 2015, management believes that PFRS has minimized its credit risk exposure to borrowers because the amounts held by PFRS as collateral exceeded the securities loaned by PFRS. PFRS' contract with The Bank of New York Mellon requires it to indemnify PFRS if the borrowers fail to return the securities (and if the collateral is inadequate to replace the securities borrowed) or fail to pay PFRS for income distributions by the securities' issuers while the securities are on loan.

The following table summarizes investments in securities lending transactions and collateral received as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

Securities on loan:	
U.S. Government and Agencies	\$ 11,375
U.S. Corporate Bonds	2,866
U.S. Equity	39,308
Non-U.S. Fixed Income	102
Non-U.S. Equity	 430
Total Securities on Loan	\$ 54,081
Investment cash Collateral Received:	
Repurchase Agreements	 55,226
Total Investment cash Collateral Received:	\$ 55,226

Fair Value Highly Sensitive to Change in Interest Rates: The terms of a debt investment may cause its fair value to be highly sensitive to interest rate changes. PFRS has invested in collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), which are mortgage-backed bonds that pay pass-through rates with varying maturities. The fair values of CMOs are considered sensitive to interest rate changes because they have embedded options, which are triggers related to quantities of delinquencies or defaults in the loans backing the mortgage pool. If a balance of delinquent loans reaches a certain threshold, interest and principal that would be used to pay junior bondholders is instead directed to pay off the principal balance of senior bondholders and shortening the life of the senior bonds. The following table shows PFRS' investments in CMOs as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

Securities Name		Weighted		Percent of
	Weighted	Average		Total
	Average	Maturity	Fair	Investment
Securities Name	Coupon Rate	(Years)	Value	Fair Value
Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through	3.52%	10.38	\$ 2,892	0.69%

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

### Discretely Presented Component Unit - Port of Oakland

The Port's cash, cash equivalents, investments and deposits in escrow consisted of the following at June 30 (in thousands):

U.S. Treasury Notes	\$ 57,867
Government Securities Money Market Mutual Funds	272
City Investment Pool	208,098
Deposits in Escrow	3,919
	\$ 270,156

Deposits in escrow consist of amounts received from construction contractors that are deposited into an escrow account in-lieu of retention withheld from construction progress billings. Interest on these deposits accrues to the contractor.

**Investments** Senior Lien Bonds reserves are on deposit with the Senior Lien Bonds trustee. The investment of funds held by the Senior Lien Bonds trustee is governed by the Senior Trust Indenture and is currently invested in either 1) U.S. Treasury Notes or 2) Government Securities Money Market Mutual Funds. There were no investments pertaining to the Intermediate Lien Debt.

Under the City of Oakland Charter, all cash receipts from the operations of the Port are deposited in the City Investment Pool. These funds are managed and invested by the City, pursuant to the City's Investment Policy, that the City administers and reviews annually. For this reason, the Port does not maintain its own investment policy and relies on the City Investment Policy to mitigate the risks described below.

At June 30, 2015 the Port had the following investments (in thousands):

				Maturity					
	_ Fa	ir Value	Credit Rating per Moody's	Le	ss than 1 Year	1-5 Years			
U.S. Treasury Notes	\$	57,867	Aaa	\$	-	\$	57,867		
Government Securities Money									
Market Mutual Funds		272	Aaa		272		-		
City Investment Pool		208,098	Not rated		208,098				
Total Investments	\$	266,237		\$	208,370	\$	57,867		

Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements: The following are the types of investments generally allowed under the Senior Trust Indenture and the Intermediate Trust Indenture dated as of October 1, 2007 (Intermediate Trust Indenture, together with the Senior Trust Indenture, are referred to as the Trust Indentures): U.S. Government Securities, U.S. Agency Obligations, obligations of any State in the U.S., prime commercial paper, FDIC insured deposits, certificates of deposit/banker's acceptances, money market mutual funds, long or medium-term corporate debt, repurchase agreements, statesponsored investment pools, investment contracts, and forward delivery agreements.

**Interest Rate Risk:** This risk represents the possibility that an interest rate change could adversely affect an investment's fair value. Pursuant to the City Charter, all cash receipts from Port operations are deposited in the City Investment Pool. For this reason, the Port does not have a formal policy to manage interest risk.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

In order to manage interest rate risk:

- Proceeds from bonds are invested in permitted investments, as stated in the Trust Indentures, with short term maturities.
- The deposits held by the City Treasury pursuant to the City's Investment Policy and Section 53601 of the State of California Government Code, limits the maximum maturities of certain investments. Also, Section 53601 limits the maximum maturity of any investment to be no longer than 5 years unless authority for such investment is expressly granted in advance by the City Council or authorized by bond covenants.

**Credit Risk:** This risk represents the possibility that the issuer/counterparty to an investment will be unable to fulfill its obligation. Pursuant to the City Charter, all cash receipts from Port operations are deposited in the City Investment Pool. For this reason, the Port does not have a formal policy to manage risk.

In order to manage credit risk:

- Provisions of the Trust Indentures prescribe restrictions on the types of permitted investments of the monies held by the trustee in the funds and accounts created under the Trust Indentures, including agreements or financial institutions that must meet certain ratings, such as certain investments that must be rated in either of the two highest ratings by S&P and Moody's.
- The deposits with the City Treasury are invested in short-term debt that is rated at least A-1 by S&P, P-1 by Moody's or F-1 by Fitch Ratings. Long-term debt shall be rated at least A by S&P, A2 by Moody's, and A by Fitch Ratings.

**Concentration of Credit Risk:** The Trust Indentures place no limit on the amount the Port may invest in any one issuer.

Port revenues are deposited in the City Treasury. These and all City funds are pooled and invested in the City Investment Pool. The City has adopted an investment policy that provides for the following:

- The maximum maturity for any one investment may not exceed 5 years.
- No more than 5 percent of the total assets of the investments held by the City may be invested in the securities of any one issuer except:
  - o Obligations of the United States government;
  - o United States government sponsored enterprises;
  - o Insured certificates of deposit;
  - o Local government investment pools; and
  - o Money market investment funds.
- Permitted investments include U.S. treasury securities, federal agency and instrumentalities, banker's acceptances, commercial paper, asset-backed commercial paper, local government investment pools, medium-term notes, negotiable certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements, certificates of deposit, money market mutual funds, state investment pool (local Agency Investment Fund), local City/agency bonds and State of California obligations, and other local agency bonds. At the end of FY 2014-15 the City expanded its definition of permitted investments to include dollar-denominated obligations issued by surpranational organizations.
- All investments are to be secured through third party custody and safekeeping procedures. All securities purchased from dealers and brokers are held in safekeeping by the City's custodial bank, which establishes ownership by the City.
- Additional information regarding deposit custodial credit, interest and credit risks, and securities lending transactions of the City Investment Pool is presented in the notes of the City's basic financial statements. Requests for financial information should be addressed to the

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Finance and Management Agency, Accounting Division, City of Oakland, 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5330, Oakland, California 94612-2093.

**Custodial Credit Risk:** Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution or counterparty to a transaction, the Port will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in possession of another party.

To protect against custodial credit risk:

- All securities owned by the Port under the terms of the Trust Indentures are held in the name of the Port for safekeeping by a third party bank trust department, acting as an agent for the Port. The Port had investments held by a third party bank trust department in the amount of \$58,139,000 at June 30, 2015.
- All securities the Port has invested with the City are held in the name of the City for safekeeping by a third party bank trust department, acting as an agent for the City under the terms of custody agreements. The Port had \$208,098,000 invested in the City Investment Pool at June 30, 2015.

The carrying amount of the Port's deposits in escrow was \$3,919,000 at June 30, 2015. Of this amount, bank balances and escrow deposits of \$250,000 on June 30, 2015 are insured or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department in the Port's name and the remaining balance of \$3,419,000 as of June 30, 2015, was exposed to custodial credit risk by not being insured or collateralized.

#### **B. INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS**

"Due to" and "due from" balances have primarily been recorded when funds overdraw their share of pooled cash and interfund loans. The amounts due from the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency are related to advances and interfund loans made by the City for projects, loans, and services. The receivable amounts of ORSA relate to project advances made by ORSA for the City. The internal service funds' borrowing will be repaid over a reasonable period of time as described in part C to Note L (II). The composition of interfund balances and transfers as of June 30, 2015, is as follows (in thousands):

## **Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued)** Year Ended June 30, 2015

## **Primary Governments**

#### 1. Due from/Due to other funds

The composition of interfund balances as of June 30, 2015, with explanations of transactions, is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Receivable Fund General Fund	Payable Fund	Amount
General Fund	Other Governmental Fund	\$ 1,838
	Federal/State Grant Fund	29,593
	Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund	5
	Internal Service Funds	21,151
	OMERS	28
	Private Pension Trust Fund	3
	ORSA Trust Fund	687
	Total	53,305
Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund	ORSA Trust Fund	1,437
Municipal Capital Improvement Fund	ORSA Trust Fund	127
	Total	1,564
ORSA Trust Fund	General Fund	2,312
		\$ 57,181
	Grand Total	

#### 2. Interfund Transfers:

Transfer Out	Transfer In	A	Amount
General Fund	Other Governmental Fund	\$	92,501
	Federal/State Grant Fund		2,626 (2)
	Other Special Revenue		15,301 <sup>(3)</sup>
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund		328 (4)
Sewer Service Fund	General Fund		2,002 (4)
Internal Service Funds	General Fund		512 (4)
Total		\$	113,270

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup>Transfers of \$92.5 and \$0.01 million for debt service payments and to cover the Central Service Overhead cost for Paratransit funds.

<sup>(2)</sup> Transfers to provide funds to cover the Central Service Overhead cost for certain federal funds.
(3) Transfers for the Kids' First Children's Program.

<sup>(4)</sup> Transfers for the City's claims and liability payments.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 3. Due to the City

As of June 30, 2015, ORSA has a total due from the City in the amount of \$2.3 million, which has no change compared to the \$2.3 million at June 30, 2014. The ending balance is composed of the former Agency's assets transferred to Housing Successor, which include the former Agency's Central District Project Area Fund loan receivable from the City in the amount of \$1.5 million, land sale receivable of \$0.3 as well as the former Agency's Coliseum Project Area Fund loan receivable from the City in the amount of \$0.5 million.

#### 4. Due from ORSA

At June 30, 2015, ORSA has a payable to the City in the amount of \$2.2 million, which included the former Agency's Low and Moderate Housing Fund loan of \$1.5 million to the Central City East Project Funds where the Low and Moderate Housing Funds Assets were transferred to the Housing Successor and a payable of \$0.7 million to the City for support services.

#### **Component Unit- Port of Oakland (Port)**

The City has entered into agreements with the Port for provisions of various services such as aircraft rescue and firefighting ("ARFF"), Special Services, General Services, and Lake Merritt Trust Services. The City provides these services to the Port.

Special Services include designated police services, personnel, city clerk, legislative programming, and treasury services. General Services includes fire, rescue, police, street maintenance, and similar services. Lake Merritt Trust Services includes items such as recreation services, grounds maintenance, security, and lighting.

Payments to the City for these services are made upon execution of appropriate agreements and/or periodic findings and authorizations from the Board.

#### 1. Special Services and Aircraft Rescue & Fire Fighters (ARFF)

Payments for special services and ARFF are treated as a cost of Port operations pursuant to the City Charter Section 717(3) Third Clause and have priority over certain other expenses of Port revenues. Special services and ARFF totaled \$7.0 million and are included in Operating Expenses. At June 30, 2015, \$6.7 million was accrued as a current liability by the Port and as a receivable by the City.

#### 2. General Services and Lake Merritt Trust Services

Payments for General Services provided by the City are payable only to the extent the Port determines annually that surplus monies are available under the Charter for such purposes. As of June 30, 2015, the Port accrued approximately \$841 thousand of payments for General Services as a current liability and by the City as a receivable. Additionally, subject to certain conditions, the Port accrued approximately \$1.4 million to reimburse the City for General Services for net City expenditures for Lake Merritt Tideland Trust properties in 2014. Subject to adequate documentation from the City, and subject to availability of surplus monies, the Port expects that it will continue to reimburse the City annually for General Services and Lake Merritt Tideland Trust services.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 3. Golf Course Lease with the Port

The Port has leased property to the City under a 66-year lease, which is expressed in terms of the Amended and Restated Lease between the Port and the City for the development and operation of the public golf course by the City. The lease commenced in 2003 when the Port delivered a completed 164.90 acres golf course to the City to replace the City's golf course that was destroyed when the Port used the site as a dredge disposal site. The golf course is leased to a third party and the minimum annual rental is \$270,000 payable in twelve installments of \$22,500 per month, which is then split 50/50 between the Port and the City.

## C. NOTES AND LOANS RECEIVABLE, NET OF ALLOWANCE

#### **Primary Government**

The composition of the City's notes and loans receivable for governmental activities, net of the allowance for uncollectible accounts, as of June 30, 2015, is as follows (in thousands):

							Muni	cipal	Other			
	Ger	ne ral	Fed	eral/State			Capit	al	Gover	nme ntal		
Type of Loan	Fur	ıd	<b>Grant Fund</b>		LMIHF *		Improvements		nts Funds		Tota	<u>l</u>
Pass-through Loans	\$	-	\$	1,300	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,300
HUD Loans		-		103,992		285,549		1,077		-		390,618
Economic Development Loans and Others		874		59,041		-		-		33,673		93,588
Less Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts		-		(34,358)		(102,987)		-		(3,159)		(140,504)
Total Notes and Loans Receivables, net	\$	874	\$	129,975	\$	182,562	\$	1,077	\$	30,514	\$	345,002

<sup>\*</sup> Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund

As of June 30, 2015, the City has a total of \$345 million net notes and loans receivable, which is not expected to be received in the next twelve months. All of the City's notes and loans receivables are offset with deferred inflows of resources in the governmental funds as the collection of those notes and loans did not occur within the City's availability period.

Prior to effective date of the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, California Community Redevelopment Law required that at least 20% of the incremental tax revenues generated from certain redevelopment project areas be used to increase, improve, and preserve the affordable housing stock for families and individuals with very low, low, and moderate incomes. In response to this former requirement, the City established its 20% Housing Program and an additional 5% of the former tax increment to offer financial assistance to qualified developers, families, and individuals by providing loans at "below market" rates. Upon dissolution of the former Agency, the City assumed the housing activity function of the former Agency. All loans receivable relating to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Program have been transferred from the former Agency to the LMIHF, which was established as of February 1, 2012 pursuant to City Council resolution no. 83680 C.M.S. As of June 30, 2015, loans receivable relating to the LMIHF program totaled approximately \$182.5 million, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

# Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)

Composition of loans receivable as of June 30, 2015 is as follows (in thousands):

Type of Loan	_Amount
Housing developments project loans	\$ 1,462
Economic development loans	58,934
Gross notes & loans receivable	60,396
Allowance for uncollectible	(46,675)
Total Notes and Loans Receivable, Net	\$ 13,721

## **CAPITAL ASSETS AND LEASES**

**Primary Government** 

## 1. Summary Schedule

The following is a summary of capital assets activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015:

	]	Balance								Balance		
	Jun	e 30, 2014	Additions		Additions		De	etions	Tr	ansfers	Jur	ne 30, 2015
Governmental activities:												
Capital assets, not being depreciated:												
Land	\$	86,389	\$	485	\$	-	\$	186	\$	87,060		
Intangibles (easements)		2,607		-		-		-		2,607		
Museum collections		793		-		-		-		793		
Construction in progress		224,797		139,363		-		(76,717)		287,443		
Total capital asset, not being												
depreciated		314,586		139,848		-		(76,531)		377,903		
Capital assets, being depreciated:												
Facilities and improvements		782,234		32,898		-		6,607		821,739		
Furniture, machinery, and equipment		198,500		16,638		(3,033)		2,440		214,545		
Infrastructure		703,212		11				67,484		770,707		
Total capital asset, being												
depreciated		1,683,946		49,547		(3,033)		76,531		1,806,991		
Less accumulated depreciation:												
Facilities and improvements		375,037		23,967		-		-		399,004		
Furniture, machinery, and equipment		163,613		10,444		(3,033)		-		171,024		
Infrastructure		279,363		24,564						303,927		
Total accumulated depreciation		818,013		58,975		(3,033)		-		873,955		
Total capital asset, being												
depreciated, net		865,933		(9,428)				76,531		933,036		
Governmental activities												
Capital assets, net	\$	1,180,519	\$	130,420	\$		\$		\$	1,310,939		

# Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

		alance 30, 2014	Add	itions	Delet	ions	Tra	nsfers		Salance e 30, 2015
<b>Business-Type Activities:</b>										
Sewer Service Fund:										
Capital assets, not being depreciated:										
Land	\$	4	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	4
Construction in progress		36,983	1	4,891		_	(1	19,563)		32,311
Total capital assets,										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
not being depreciated		36,987	1	4,891		_	(1	19,563)		32,315
Capital assets, being depreciated:										·
Facilities and improvements		311		_		_		_		311
Furniture, machinery and equipment		4,813		540		_		_		5,353
Sewer and storm drains		246,323		_		_	1	19,563		265,886
Total capital assets,										•
being depreciated		251,447		540		_	1	19,563		271,550
Less accumulated depreciation for:					-					
Facilities and improvements		237		22		_		_		259
Furniture, machinery and equipment		2,247		827		_		_		3,074
Sewer and storm drains		101,494		4,626		_		_		106,120
Total accumulated depreciation		103,978		5,475	-			_		109,453
Total capital assets, being		,								,
depreciated, net		147,469	(	(4,935)		_	1	19,563		162,097
Sewer Service Fund				, ,	-					,
Capital assets, net	\$	184,456	\$	9,956	\$		\$		\$	194,412
Parks and Recreation Fund:										
Capital assets, not being depreciated:										
Land	\$	218	\$	_	\$	_	\$	143	\$	361
Construction in progress	T	87	_	56	-	_	7	(143)	_	_
Total capital assets,								( - /		
not being depreciated		305		56		_		_		361
Capital assets, being depreciated:										
Facilities and improvements		4,433		_		_		_		4,433
Furniture, machinery and equipment		459		_		_		_		459
Infrastructure		85		_		_		_		85
Total capital assets,										
being depreciated		4,977		_		_		_		4,977
Less accumulated depreciation:		1,577								1,277
Facilities and improvements		2,366		281		_		_		2,647
Furniture, machinery and equipment		373		25		_		_		398
Infrastructure		37		5		_		_		42
Total accumulated depreciation		2,776		311						3,087
Total capital assets, being		2,770		311						3,007
depreciated, net		2,201		(311)						1,890
Parks and Recreation Fund:		2,201		(311)						1,050
Capital assets, net	\$	2,506	\$	(255)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2,251
Business-Type Activities										
Capital assets, net	\$	186,962	\$	9,701	\$	_	\$		\$	196,663

# Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

# 2. Depreciation

Depreciation expense was charged to various governmental and business-type activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 is as follows

## **Governmental Activities:**

General Government	\$ 9,973
Public Safety	4,772
Community Service Department:	
Parks & Recreation	5,558
Library	2,996
Human Services	588
Community and Economic Development:	
Planning & Building	2,538
Economic & Workforce Development	977
Housing & Community Development	40
Public Works	27,352
Capital assets held by internal service funds that are charged to	
various functions based on their usage of the assets	4,181
Total Depreciation Expense - Governmental Activities	\$ 58,975
<b>Business-Type Activities:</b>	
Sewer	\$ 5,475
Parks and Recreation	311
Total Depreciation Expense - Business-Type Activities	\$ 5,786

# Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

# Component Unit - Port of Oakland

# 1. Summary Schedule

A summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2015, is as follows (in thousands):

	]	Balance							Balance
	Ju	ly 1, 2014	Additions	De	Deletions		Transfers		ne 30, 2015
Capital assets, not being depreciated:									
Land	\$	523,283	\$ -	\$	-	\$	56	\$	523,339
Intangibles (noise easements									
and air rights)		25,852	-		-		-		25,852
Construction in progress		200,709	117,003		-	(149	9,961)		167,751
Total capital assets,									
not being depreciated		749,844	117,003			(149	9,905)		716,942
Capital assets, being depreciated:									
Building and improvements		851,650	-		-		915		852,565
Container cranes		153,417	-		(4,720)	-		-	
Infrastructure		1,730,806	-		-	140	),773		1,871,579
Intangibles (software)		13,391	-		-		285		13,676
Other equipment		86,039	452		(959)		7,932		93,464
Total capital assets,									
being depreciated		2,835,303	452		(5,679)	149	9,905		2,979,981
Less accumulated depreciation:									_
Building and improvements		(523,071)	(25,214)		-		-		(548,285)
Container cranes		(93,894)	(4,823)		4,720		-	•	(93,997)
Systems and structures		(710,351)	(65,018)		-		-		(775,369)
Intangibles (software)		(4,102)	(1,368)		-		-		(5,470)
Other equipment		(56,955)	(5,336)		900		-		(61,391)
Total accumulated									_
depreciation		(1,388,373)	(101,759)		5,620		-		(1,484,512)
Total capital assets, being									
depreciated, net		1,446,930	(101,307)		(59)	149	9,905		1,495,469
CAPITAL ASSETS, NET	\$	2,196,774	\$ 15,696	\$	(59)	\$	-	\$	2,212,411

# 2. Capital Leases as Lessor

The capital assets leased to others at June 30, 2015, consist of the following (in thousands):

Land	\$ 413,820
Container cranes	148,697
Buildings and improvements	206,336
Infrastructure	1,095,378
	1,864,231
Less accumulated depreciation	(706,439)
Net capital assets, on lease	\$ 1,157,792

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

### 3. Operating Leases as Lessor

A major portion of the Port's capital assets are held for lease. Leased assets include maritime facilities, aviation facilities, office and commercial space, and land. The majority of the Port's leases are classified as operating leases.

Certain maritime facilities are leased under agreements, which provide the tenants with preferential, but nonexclusive, use of the facilities. Certain leases provide for rentals based on gross revenues of the leased premises or, in the case of marine terminal facilities, on annual usage of the facilities. The leases generally provide for minimum rentals with percentage rent contingent on business sales or activity, and certain preferential assignments provide for both minimum and maximum rentals.

A summary of revenues from long-term leases for the year ended June 30, 2015, is as follows (in thousands):

Minimum non-cancelable rentals, including preferential assignments	\$ 172,471
Contingent rentals in excess of minimums	17,006
Total	\$ 189,477

The Port and Ports America Outer Harbor Terminal, LLC, a private company, entered into a long-term concession and lease agreement on January 1, 2010 for the operation of berths 20-24 for 50 years. A \$60.0 million upfront fee was paid to the Port in fiscal year 2010 which is being amortized over the life of the lease. At June 30, 2015, the unamortized net upfront fee is approximately \$47.8 million and the amounts are reported as unearned revenue in the statement of net position. The amounts classified as short-term and long-term unearned revenue were \$1.1 million and \$46.7 million respectively.

Minimum future rental revenues for years ending June 30 under non-cancelable operating leases having an initial term in excess of one year are as follows (in thousands):

Year         Revenues           2016         \$ 173,949
2017 164,582
2018 139,524
2019 112,273
2020 110,676
2021-2025 400,094
2026 - 2030 290,110
2031 - 2035 292,869
2036 - 2040 264,934
2041 - 2045 260,782
2046 - 2050 284,912
Thereafter 642,528
Total \$ 3,137,233

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The Port turned over the operation of its Marina to a private company through a long-term financing lease and operating agreement on May 1, 2004. Minimum future lease payments to be received, which is a component of unearned revenue, for years ending June 30 are as follows (in thousands):

	Rental
Year	Revenues
2016	\$ 173,949
2017	164,582
2018	139,524
2019	112,273
2020	110,676
2021-2025	400,094
2026 - 2030	290,110
2031 - 2035	292,869
2036 - 2040	264,934
2041 - 2045	260,782
2046 - 2050	284,912
Thereafter	642,528
Total	\$ 3,137,233

#### D. PROPERTY HELD FOR RESALE

#### **Primary Government**

A summary of changes in Property Held for Resale is as follows (in thousands):

	В	Salance				Balance		
	_ July 1, 2014			Deduc	June 30, 2015			
Property held for resale	\$	76,966	\$ 85,691	\$	_	\$	162,657	

On August 21, 2013, the State Controller's Office issued the asset transfer review pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34167.5 and reversed the March 3, 2011 agreement entered between the City and the former Redevelopment Agency for the purchase and sale agreement of various former Agency properties to the City. As of June 30, 2015, the property held for resale had increased by \$85.7 million due to property transferred to the City from the ORSA Trust Fund and land purchases.

#### Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)

As of June 30, 2015, ORSA has a total \$2.8 million for properties booked at the lower of cost or estimated conveyance value. On May 29, 2014, pursuant to HSC section 34191.4, the California Department of Finance approved ORSA Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP) addressing the disposition and use of former Agency properties and authorizing the disposition of properties pursuant to the plan. During the year ended June 30, 2015, ORSA transferred \$97.5 million of properties held for resale to the City pursuant to the Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP).

The table below shows a summary of the changes in the Property Held for Resale during the year ended June 30, 2015:

	July 1, 2014		Additi	ons	De	ductions	June 30, 2015		
Property held for resale	\$	100,271	\$	-	\$	97,453	\$	2,818	

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### E. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities as of June 30, 2015, for the City are as follows (in thousands):

## **Primary Government**

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities for the pension trust funds and private purpose trust funds at June 30, 2015, are as follows (in thousands):

		Α	ccrued	
		F	Payroll/	
	Accounts	Eı		
	Payable	Benefits		Total
Governmental Activities				
Governmental Funds				
General Fund	\$ 21,084	\$	71,313	\$ 92,397
Federal State Grant Fund	16,120		-	16,120
Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund	3		-	3
Municipal Capital Improvement Fund	4,099		-	4,099
Other Special Revenue Fund	5,610		-	5,610
Other Governmental Funds	2,952		-	2,952
Total Governmental Funds	49,868		71,313	121,181
Internal Service Funds	13,116		5	13,121
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 62,984	\$	71,318	\$ 134,302

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities for the pension trust funds and private purpose trust funds at June 30, 2015, are as follows (in thousands):

#### **Pension Trust Funds:**

Accounts payable	\$ 453
Investments payable	3,690
Member benefits payable	 4,767
Total	\$ 8,910

## **Private Purpose Trust Fund:**

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Trust	\$ 10,742
Other Private Purpose Trust Fund	10
Total	\$ 10,752

#### F. TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES PAYABLE

The City issued tax and revenue anticipation notes in advance of property tax collections. The notes were used to satisfy General Fund obligations and carried an interest rate of 1.00% to yield at 0.11% at maturity. Principal and interest paid on June 30, 2015 is as follows (in thousands):

	Beginnin	ıg					Enc	ding
	Balance	<u> </u>	I	ssued	Re	deemed	Bala	ance
2014 - 2015 Tax & Revenue								
Anticipation Notes	\$	-	\$	55,000	\$	(55,000)	\$	-

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### H. LONG-TERM AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS

#### **Primary Government**

## 1. Summary Schedule of Long-Term Debt

The following is a summary of long-term obligations of the City as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

GOVETIME	ntal Activities Final Maturity	Remaining	
Type of Obligation	Year	Interest Rates	Amount
Bonds Payable:			
General obligation bonds (A)	2039	3.50% - 6.25%	\$ 206,530
Lease revenue bonds (B)	2027	3.00% - 5.50%	109,955
Pension obligation bonds (C)	2026	2.37% - 6.89%	330,433
Accreted interest (B) and (C)			165,290
City guaranteed special assessment district bonds (C)	2040	2.00% - 6.70%	6,020
Plus (less) Deferred Amounts:			
Bond issuance premiums			25,989
Total			844,217
Notes Payable and Capital Leases:			
Notes payable (B) and (D)	2017	1.00% - 8.27%	3,150
Capital leases (B) and (D)	2025	1.17% - 5.46%	65,645
Total			68,795
Other Long-Term Liabilities:			
Accrued vacation and sick leave (E)			39,697
Self-insurance liability - workers compensation (B)			86,726
Self-insurance liability - general liability (B)			36,768
Estimated environmental cost (B)			1,472
Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B)			49,445
Net OPEB obligation (B)			256,922
Interest rate swap agreement (B)			7,543
Total			478,573
Total Governmental Activities			\$ 1,391,585

## Debt service payments are made from the following sources:

- (A) Property tax recorded in the debt service funds
- (B) Revenues recorded in the general fund
- (C) Property tax voter approved debt
- (D) Revenues recorded in the special revenue funds
- (E) Compensated absences are financed by governmental funds (General Fund, Federal/State Grant Fund, LMIHF, Municipal Capital Improvement Fund, and Other Governmental Funds) and proprietary funds (Sewer Service Fund) that are responsible for the charges.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Business-Type Activities									
	Final Maturity	Remaining Interest							
Type of Obligations	Year	Rates	Amount						
Sewer Fund Bonds	2029	2.00- 5.00%	\$36,630						
Unamortized Bond Premium			4,801						
Total Business-Type Activities			\$41,431						

## 2. Interest Rate Swap

## Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds, 1998 Series A1/A2

Objective of the Interest Rate Swap: On January 9, 1997, the City entered into a forward-starting synthetic fixed rate swap agreement (the "Swap") with Goldman Sachs Mitsui Marine Derivatives Products, U.S., L.P. (the "Counterparty") in connection with the \$187.5 million Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority (the "Authority") Lease Revenue Bonds, 1998 Series A1/A2 (the "1998 Lease Revenue Bonds"). Under the swap agreement, which effectively changed the City's variable interest rate on the bonds to a synthetic fixed rate, the City would pay the Counterparty a fixed rate of 5.6775% through the end of the swap agreement in 2021 and receive a variable rate based on the Bond Market Association index. The City received an upfront payment from the Counterparty of \$15.0 million for entering into the Swap.

On March 21, 2003, the City amended the swap agreement to change the index on which the Swap is based from the Bond Market Association index to a rate equal to 65% of the 1-month London Interbank Offered Rates ("LIBOR"). This amendment resulted in an additional upfront payment from the Counterparty to the City of \$6.0 million.

On June 21, 2005, all of the outstanding 1998 Lease Revenue Bonds were defeased by the Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority Refunding Revenue Bonds, 2005 Series A-1, A-2 and B ("Series 2005 A & B Bonds"). \$143.0 million was deposited with the trustee to defease the 1998 Lease Revenue Bonds. However, the Swap associated with the 1998 Lease Revenue Bonds still remains in effect. This is now a stand-alone swap with no association to any bond.

The amortization schedule is as follows as of June 30, 2015:

Calculation period	Notional	Fixed Rate To		
(July 31)	Amount	Counterparty	65% of LIBOR <sup>1</sup>	Net Rate
2015	\$ 46,400,000	5.6775%	0.1212%	5.4910%
2016	39,300,000	5.6775%	0.1212%	5.4910%
2017	32,500,000	5.6775%	0.1212%	5.4910%
2018	25,800,000	5.6775%	0.1212%	5.4910%
2019	19,300,000	5.6775%	0.1212%	5.4910%
2020	12,800,000	5.6775%	0.1212%	5.4910%
2021	6,400,000	5.6775%	0.1212%	5.4910%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rate is as of 1-month LIBOR on June 30, 2015. Rates are projections, LIBOR rate fluctuates daily.

*Terms:* The swap agreement terminates on July 31, 2021, and has a notional amount as of June 30, 2015 of \$46.4 million. The notional amount of the swap declines through 2021. Under the Swap, the

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

City pays the counterparty a fixed payment of 5.6775% and receives a variable payment computed at 65% of LIBOR rate (total rate not to exceed 12%). The City's payments to the counterparty under the Swap agreement are insured by the third party bond insurer.

Fair Value: Because interest rates have declined since the execution of the Swap, the Swap had a negative fair value of \$7.5 million as of June 30, 2015. The fair value was estimated using the zero-coupon method. This method calculates the future net settlement payments required by the Swap, assuming that the current forward rates implied by the yield curve correctly anticipate future spot interest rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve for hypothetical zero-coupon bonds due on the date of each future net settlement on the Swap.

Credit Risk: The issuer and the counterparty take a credit risk to each other over the life of the swap agreement. This is the risk that either the issuer or the counterparty will fail to meet its contractual obligations under the swap agreement. The Counterparty was rated Aa2 by Moody's Investors Service, and AAA by Standard and Poor's as of June 30, 2015. To mitigate the potential for credit risk, if the counterparty's credit quality falls below A3 by Moody's Investors Service or A- by Standard and Poor's, the swap agreement provides the counterparty, the City, the bond insurer for the Bonds and a third party collateral agent to execute a collateral agreement within 30 days of such a downgrade.

Termination Risk: An interest rate swap has some degree of termination risk. Linked to counterparty risk, a termination of the swap will result in a payment being made or received by the City depending on the then prevailing interest rate environment. The City may terminate the Swap if the counterparty fails to perform under the terms of the contract. The City also may terminate the Swap if the counterparty fails to execute a collateral agreement satisfactory to the City and the bond insurer within 30 days of the counterparty's ratings falling below "A3" by Moody's Investors Service or "A-" by Standard and Poor's.

The Counterparty may terminate the Swap if the City fails to perform under the terms of the contract. The Counterparty also may terminate the Swap if the City's ratings fall below "Baa3" by Moody's Investors Service or "BBB-" by Standard and Poor's. If at the time of termination, the Swap has a negative fair value, the City would be liable to the counterparty for a payment equal to the Swap's fair value.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

# 3. Summary of Changes in Long-term Obligations Primary Government

The changes in long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2015, are as follows (in thousands):

	Balance at July 1, 2014	Additional obligations, interest accretion and net increases (decreases)	Current maturities, retirements and net decreases (increases)	Balance at June 30, 2015	Amounts due within one year	
Bonds Payable:				-		
General obligation bonds	\$ 290,449	\$ 128,895	\$ 212,814	\$ 206,530	\$ 4,700	
Lease revenue bonds	141,555	-	31,600	109,955	18,845	
Pension obligation bonds	348,512	-	18,079	330,433	17,210	
City guaranteed special						
assessment district bonds	6,365	-	345	6,020	335	
Accreted interest on						
appreciation bonds	169,923	17,973	22,606	165,290	24,688	
Unamortized premium						
and discounts, net	18,390	15,472	7,873	25,989	1,935	
TOTAL BONDS PAYABLE	975,194	162,340	293,317	844,217	67,713	
Notes Payable and Capital Leases:						
Notes payable	5,330	-	2,180	3,150	1,090	
Capital Leases	51,349	24,881	10,585	65,645	14,868	
TOTAL NOTES & LEASES	56,679	24,881	12,765	68,795	15,958	
Other Long-Term Liabilities:						
Accrued vacation and sick leave	40,310	52,065	52,678	39,697	29,856	
Pledge obligation for						
Coliseum Authority debt	53,225	-	3,780	49,445	3,933	
Estimated environmental cost	2,155	-	683	1,472	600	
Self -insurance worker's						
compensation	83,484	35,384	32,142	86,726	24,798	
Estimated claims payable	32,341	21,457	17,030	36,768	13,449	
OPEB obligation	235,095	41,585	19,758	256,922	20,625	
Interest rate swap agreement	9,743	-	2,200	7,543	-	
TOTAL OTHER LONG-TERM	456,353	150,491	128,271	478,573	93,261	
LIABILITIES				-		
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES						
LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS	\$ 1,488,226	\$ 337,712	\$ 434,353	\$ 1,391,585	\$ 176,932	

Internal service funds predominantly serve governmental funds and therefore, the long-term liabilities of these funds are included as part of the above totals for governmental activities. At June 30, 2015, \$31.6 million of bonds, notes payable, and capital leases related to the internal service funds are included in the above amounts. Compensated absences obligations are financed and recorded in the appropriate governmental and proprietary funds when due.

Business-Type Activities										
	Balance at June 30, 2014		obligations, interest accretion and net increased		maturities, retirements and net decreased		Balance at June 30, 2015		Amounts due within one year	
Sewer fund - Bonds	\$	38,555	\$	-	\$	1,925	\$	36,630	\$	1,965
Unamortized bond premium		5,144				343		4,801		343
Total	\$	43,699	\$	-	\$	2,268	\$	41,431	\$	2,308

# Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

# 4. Annual Requirements to Maturity

# **Primary Government**

The annual repayment schedules for all long-term debt as of June 30, 2015, are as follows (in thousands):

_	Governmental Activities												
									Sp	ecial As	sses	sment	
Year Ending	Ger	neral Oblig	gati	on Bonds	Le	ase Reve	nue	Bonds		District	Bo	nds	
June 30	Pı	rincipal	]	Interest	Principal		Interest		Pri	Principal		Interest	
2016	\$	4,700	\$	7,584	\$	18,845	\$	5,290	\$	335	\$	260	
2017		11,675		9,716		19,775		4,382		350		249	
2018		12,195		9,219		5,660		3,398		365		237	
2019		12,830		8,610		5,935		3,125		370		225	
2020		13,490		7,968		6,230		2,831		400		211	
2021-2025		55,350		30,020		36,265		9,025		2,045		845	
2026-2030		42,260		18,612		17,245		873		530		582	
2031-2035		37,300		7,857		-		-		695		401	
2036-2040		16,730		1,633		-		-		930		152	
Total	\$	206,530	\$	101,218	\$	109,955	\$	28,925	\$	6,020	\$	3,162	

Year Ending	Notes P	ayable	Capital	Leases
June 30	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2016	1,090	121	14,868	1,474
2017	2,060	53	11,973	1,124
2018	-	-	11,710	877
2019	-	-	9,549	641
2020	-	-	6,269	437
2021-2025	-	-	11,276	824
Total	\$ 3,150	\$ 174	\$ 65,645	\$ 5,377

Year Ending	Pen	sion Obligation	on B	Total					
		Interest			Interest				
June 30	Principal	Accretion		Interest	Principal	Accretion	Interest		
2016	. \$ 17,210	\$ 24,689	\$	35,036	\$ 57,048	\$ 24,689	\$ 49,764		
2017	. 16,369	26,775		37,182	62,202	26,775	52,706		
2018	. 25,274	28,807		39,162	55,204	28,807	52,894		
2019	. 24,708	30,841		41,001	53,391	30,841	53,601		
2020	. 24,316	32,801		42,710	50,705	32,801	54,158		
2021-2025	168,951	109,431		147,569	273,887	109,431	188,282		
2026-2030	53,605	-		1,253	113,640	-	21,320		
2031-2035	-	-		-	37,995	-	8,257		
2036-2040	-				17,660	-	1,785		
Total	330,433	253,344		343,913	721,733	253,344	482,768		
Less: unaccredted interest	_	(88,054)		-		(88,054)	•		
	\$ 330,433	\$ 165,290	\$	343,913	\$721,733	\$ 165,290	\$482,768		

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

**Business-Type Activities** 

Year Ending	Sewer Revenue Bonds						
June 30	Pı	incipal	I	nterest			
2016	\$	1,965	\$	1,680			
2017		2,045		1,601			
2018		2,125		1,519			
2019		2,235		1,413			
2020		2,275		1,368			
2021-2025		13,050		5,186			
2026-2030		12,935		1,656			
Total	\$	36,630	\$	14,423			

#### 5. New Debt Issuance

#### General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A

On June 2, 2015, the City of Oakland issued \$128.9 million aggregate principal amount of City of Oakland General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2015A (the "2015A Bonds") to (1) current refund all of the remaining outstanding City of Oakland General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2005 (the "2005 Bonds"), (2) advance refund all of the remaining outstanding City of Oakland General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, Measure G, (3) advance refund all of the remaining outstanding City of Oakland General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009B, Measure DD, and (4) to pay for certain costs related to the issuance of the 2015A Bonds. Additionally, the proceeds of the 2015 A Bonds used to refund the 2005 Bonds were in turn used to effect the refunding of all of the remaining outstanding Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority Revenue Bonds, Series 2005. The 2015A Bonds were issued with interest rates ranging from 2.00% to 5.00% producing yields ranging from 0.21% to 4.12%. The final maturity of the 2015A Bonds is June 2, 2039.

# Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Authority Lease Revenue Bonds (Oakland Coliseum Arena Project), 2015 Refunding Series A

On April 29, 2015, the Oakland–Alameda County Coliseum Authority (the "Authority") issued \$79.7 million aggregate principal amount of Lease Revenue Bonds (Oakland Coliseum Arena Project), 2015 Refunding Series A (the "2015A Bonds"). The 2015A Bonds were issued to refund all of the Authority's outstanding Lease Revenue Bonds (Oakland Coliseum Arena Project), 1996 Variable Rate Lease Revenue Bonds, Series A-1 and 1996 Variable Rate Lease Revenue Bonds, Series A-2. The principal amount directly attributed to the City is \$39.9 million which is one half of the outstanding par.

The 2015A Bonds are limited obligations of the Authority payable solely from revenues of the Authority, consisting primarily of base rental payments payable by the County and the City pursuant to a Master Lease, dated as of June 1, 1996. The base rental payments, divided equally between the County and the City, are calculated to be sufficient to pay principal of and interest on the 2015A Bonds (maximum annual amount of \$19.0 million).

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

## Master Lease - 2014 Vehicles and Equipment

On November 18, 2014, the City entered into a Master Lease-Purchase Agreement with Banc of America Public Capital Corp in the principal amount of \$15.7 million to finance the acquisition of 269 pieces of equipment and vehicles. The financing was done on a tax-exempt basis with a final maturity of January 15, 2025; the interest rates on the financing range from 1.174% to 2.177%.

#### Master Lease – 2015 Telecommunications, Equipment Schedule 1

On March 6, 2015, the City entered into a Master Lease-Purchase Agreement with Banc of America Public Capital Corp in the principal amount of \$9.2 million to finance the acquisition of 1,636 P25 radios, related accessories and professional services. The financing was done on a tax-exempt basis with a final maturity of March 1, 2020; the interest rate on the financing is 1.34%.

## Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)

## 1. Summary Schedule of Long-Term Debt

The following is a summary of long-term debt of ORSA as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

OAKLAND REDEVEL	OMENT SU	CCESSOI	R AGENCY			
	Original				June 3	30, 2015
	Issued	Issued	Maturity	Interest Rate	Prin	cipal
Type of Obligation	Amount	Year	Fiscal Year	Range	Bala	ance
Tax Allocation Bonds:						
Central District Redevelopment Project						
Subordinated Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006T	\$ 33,135	2006	2022	5.25% - 5.41%	\$	12,385
Subordinated Tax Allocation Bond Series 2009T	38,755	2009	2021	5.30% - 8.50%		32,250
Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, 2013	102,960	2013	2023	3% - 5%		97,560
Coliseum Area Redevelopment Project						
Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006B-TE	28,770	2006	2037	4.00% - 5.00%		24,615
Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006B-T	73,820	2006	2036	5.26% - 5.54%		63,095
Central City East Redevelopment Project						
Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006A-TE	13,780	2006	2037	5.00%		13,780
Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006A-T	62,520	2006	2035	5.26% - 5.54%		51,795
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Redevelopment Project	<u>t</u>					
Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006C-TE	4,945	2006	2037	5.00%		4,945
Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006C-T	12,325	2006	2033	5.28% - 5.59%		9,910
Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2010T	7,390	2010	2041	7.20% - 7.40%		7,240
Subtotal	378,400				3	317,575
Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Bonds:						
Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2006A	2,195	2006	2019	5.00%		2,195
Revenue Bonds Series 2006A-T	82,645	2006	2037	5.03% - 5.93%		67,180
Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A-T	46,980	2011	2042	3.25% - 9.25%		43,240
Subtotal	131,820					112,615
Total Long-term Debt	\$ 510,220				\$ 4	430,190

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 2. Revenues Pledged for the Repayment of Debt Service

#### **Tax Allocation Bonds**

The Tax Allocation Bonds (TAB), which are comprised of Series 2006T, Series 2009T, Series 2006A TE/T, Series 2006B TE/T, Series 2006C TE/T, Series 2010T and Refunding Bond Series 2013 are issued primarily to finance redevelopment projects and are all secured by pledge of redevelopment property tax revenues (i.e. former tax increment), consisting of a portion of taxes levied upon all taxable properties within each of the tax increment generating redevelopment project areas, and are equally and ratably secured on a parity with each TAB series.

As of June 30, 2015, the total principal and interest remaining on these TABs was estimated at \$476.8 million and the property tax revenues are pledged until the year 2041, the final maturity date of the bonds. The former Agency's debt service payments are requested through the ROPS as enforceable obligations until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

Historically, upon receipt of property tax increment, the former Agency calculated the 80 percent and 20 percent and the voluntary 5 percent amount of tax increment and would then transfer the 20 percent and 5 percent portion to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, as required by the California HSC and the former Agency board resolution. The previous requirement to bifurcate the tax increment into 80 percent and 20 percent portions was eliminated in AB X1 26. However, in order to maintain compliance with bond indentures secured by the 80 percent and 20 percent tax increment, the ORSA plans to request the funds through the ROPS from the Trust Fund pursuant to HSC section 34183(a)(2)(A), and segregate the funds in the debt service funds accordingly until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

#### **Housing Set-Aside Bonds**

The Housing set-aside bonds, which is comprised of Series 2006A, Series 2006A-T and Series 2011A-T are issued to finance affordable housing projects and are secured by a pledge and lien upon the 20% redevelopment property tax revenue (i.e. former tax increment) set-aside for the low and moderate income housing fund.

As of June 30, 2015, the total principal and interest remaining on the Housing set-aside bonds was estimated at \$214.7 million and the property tax revenues are pledged until the year 2042, the final maturity date of the bonds. The former Agency's debt service payments are requested through the ROPS as enforceable obligations until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

In the future, in order to maintain compliance with bond indentures secured by the 20 percent tax increment, the ORSA plans to request the funds through the ROPS from the Trust Fund pursuant to HSC section 34183 (a)(2)(A), and segregate the funds in the debt service funds accordingly until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

# 3. Summary of Changes in Long-Term Obligations

The Changes in long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2015, are as follows (in thousands):

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency

Oakiand Redevelopment Successor Agency											
		Balance at June 30, 2014		Additional obligations, interest accretion and net increases (decreases)		Current maturities, retirements and net decreases (increases)		Balance at June 30, 2015		ounts due thin one vear	
Tax Allocation Bonds	\$	332,185	\$	-	\$	14,610	\$	317,575	\$	21,044	
Housing Set-Aside Bonds		117,605		-		4,990		112,615		5,240	
Plus (less) Deferred Amounts:											
Issuance premiums		11,045		-		1,244		9,801		1,245	
Issuance discounts		(2,251)		_		(136)		(2,115)		(136)	
Total	\$	458,584	\$	-	\$	20,708	\$	437,876	\$	27,393	

## 4. Annual Requirements to Maturity

The debt service requirements for all debt are based upon a fixed rate of interest. The annual requirements to amortize outstanding tax allocation bonds and other long-term debt outstanding as of June 30, 2015, including mandatory sinking fund payments, are as follows (in thousands):

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency

Year Ending		Tax Alloc	ation	Bonds	F	Housing Set	-Asi	de Bonds		To	tal	
June 30	Pr	incipal	Interest		P	rincipal		nterest	P	rincipal	I	nterest
2016	\$	21,044	\$	17,121	\$	5,240	\$	7,535	\$	26,284	\$	24,656
2017		23,295		15,878		5,505		7,226		28,800		23,104
2018		23,650		14,526		5,840		6,876		29,490		21,402
2019		25,520		13,127		6,205		6,506		31,725		19,633
2020		26,700		11,627		6,580		6,111		33,280		17,738
2021-2025		75,830		40,270		27,020		24,221		102,850		64,491
2026-2030		39,636		28,101		12,420		18,594		52,056		46,695
2031-2035		51,680		15,662		14,190		14,752		65,870		30,414
2036-2040		28,770		2,855		19,320		9,260		48,090		12,115
2041-2042		1,450		54		10,295		973		11,745		1,027
Total	\$	317,575	\$	159,221	\$	112,615	\$	102,054	\$	430,190	\$	261,275

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

## **Component Unit- Port of Oakland (Port)**

#### 1. Summary Schedule of Long-Term Debt

The following is a summary of long-term debt of the Port as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

	Final Maturity	Remaining		
Type of Obligation	Year	Interest Rates	Amount	
Senior and intermediate loan bonds	2033	2.25 - 5.13 %	\$ 1,075,075	
Notes and loans	2030	0.05 - 4.50 %	79,312	
Less Deferred Amounts:				
Unamortized bond discounts and premiur	ms, net		 50,390	
Total bonds, notes, and loans payable	1,204,777			
Self-insurance liability - workers' compensati	ion		12,661	
Accrued vacation, sick leave and compensat	ory time		6,594	
Environmental remediation			11,700	
Other long-term liabilities			1,834	
Total other long-term obligation			32,789	
TOTAL COMPONENT UNIT LONG-TER	RM OBLIGATIONS	, NET	\$ 1,237,566	

#### 2. Revenues Pledged for the Repayment of Debt Service

The Port's long-term debt and final maturity consists primarily of tax-exempt bonds, short-term commercial paper notes and a loan from the California Department of Boating and Waterways. All of the Port's outstanding bonds, loans and commercial paper notes have been issued to finance or refinance capital improvements to the Port's aviation, maritime, and commercial real estate infrastructure. The majority of the Port's outstanding bonds are revenue bonds, which are secured by Pledged Revenues of the Port. Pledged Revenues are substantially all revenues and other cash receipts of the Port, including, without limitation, amounts held in the Port Revenue Fund with the City, but excluding amounts received from certain taxes, certain insurance proceeds, special facilities revenues, and certain other gifts, fees, and grants that are restricted by their terms to purposes inconsistent with the payment of debt service. In fiscal year 2015 pledged revenues amounted to \$338,328,000.

Pledged Revenues do not include cash received from passenger facility charge (PFCs) or customer facility charge (CFCs) unless projects included in a financing are determined to be PFC or CFC eligible and bond proceeds are expended on such eligible projects and the Port elects to pledge PFCs or CFCs as supplemental security to such applicable bonds. Currently, the Port has no bonds for which PFCs or CFCs are pledged.

The Port did not capitalize any interest cost in fiscal year 2015.

The Port's required debt service payments on its Senior Lien Bonds and Intermediate Lien Bonds are due each May 1 and November 1 through May 1, 2033. The California Department of Boating and Waterways loan is due each August 1 through August 1, 2029. Commercial Paper has been classified as long-term debt because the Port has the intent and ability to continue to refinance this debt.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### Senior Lien Bonds

2011 Series O, 2012 Series P, and 2012 Series Q (collectively, the Senior Lien Bonds) are issued under the Senior Trust Indenture and are paid from Pledged Revenues first. As long as any Senior Lien Bonds remain outstanding, the Port has covenanted to collect rates, tolls, fees, rentals and charges so that Pledged Revenues in each fiscal year will be sufficient to pay all of the following amounts: (i) the sum of principal and interest on the outstanding Senior Lien Bonds; (ii) all other payments required for compliance with terms of the Senior Trust Indenture including, but not limited to, required deposits to any Reserve Fund; (iii) all other payments necessary to meet ongoing legal obligations to be paid from Pledged Revenues; and (iv) operation and maintenance expenses of the Port. In addition, payment of principal and interest on the Senior Lien Bonds when due is secured by a reserve fund held by the trustee and invested in U.S. Treasury Notes.

The Port has also covenanted in the Senior Trust Indenture that Net Pledged Revenue (Revenues less the Operation and Maintenance Expenses) will be equal to at least 125% of actual debt service for the Senior Lien Bonds (Senior Lien Debt Service Coverage Ratio).

#### California Department of Boating and Waterways (DBW) Loan

The DBW Loan is subordinate to the Senior Lien Bonds but superior to the Intermediate Lien Bonds and the Port's Commercial Paper Notes with respect to the Pledged Revenues. The Port turned over the operation of its marina, financed, in part, with DBW Loans, to a private company through a fifty-year capital lease in May 2004. As of June 30, 2015, only one DBW Loan remained outstanding with a balance of \$4,914,000.

#### Intermediate Lien Bonds

The 2007 Series A, Series B and Series C Bonds (collectively, the Intermediate Lien Bonds) issued under the Intermediate Trust Indenture are next in payment priority. The Intermediate Lien Bonds are paid from the Intermediate Lien Pledged Revenues. The Intermediate Lien Pledged Revenues are the Pledged Revenues after payment first, of all amounts payable for any Senior Lien Bonds and second, any debt service requirements payable on the DBW Loan. Payment of principal and interest on the Intermediate Lien Bonds when due is secured by a debt service reserve surety policy, as well as being insured by municipal bond insurance policies.

The Port covenanted in the Intermediate Trust Indenture that Net Pledged Revenues will be equal to at least 110% of the actual debt service becoming due and payable on the combined Intermediate Lien Bonds, Senior Lien Bonds, and DBW Loan (Intermediate Lien Debt Service Coverage Ratio).

#### Commercial Paper Notes

Commercial Paper Notes (CP Notes) have the lowest payment priority. The Board authorized a \$150,000,000 Commercial Paper program in 1998 and a further \$150,000,000 was authorized in 1999. The maximum maturity of the CP Notes is 270 days and the maximum interest rate is 12%. The Port has classified the CP Notes as long term debt as the Port intends and has the ability to reissue CP Notes until the expiration of the two irrevocable Letters of Credit (LOC), discussed below. Interest income paid to the holders of the CP Notes may fall under one of three tax treatments: tax-exempt Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT), tax-exempt non-AMT and taxable.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

On July 1, 2013, the Port renewed both of its then-outstanding LOCs with Wells Fargo Bank National Association (Wells) and JP Morgan Chase Bank National Association (JP Morgan). Each LOC now has a maximum stated amount of \$108,876,713 (principal of \$100,000,000 and interest of \$8,876,713) and a termination date of June 30, 2016. As of June 30, 2015, the outstanding balance of CP Notes issued under the Wells LOC is \$0, while the outstanding balance of CP Notes issued under the JP Morgan LOC is \$74,398,000.

The Port covenants in the LOC and Reimbursement Agreements with Wells and JPMorgan that the Intermediate Lien Debt Service Coverage Ratio will equal to at least 110%.

## 3. Summary of Changes in Long-Term Obligations

The changes in long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2015, are as follows (in thousands):

			Ad	ditional	(	Current				
			obli	gations,	ma	maturities,			Ar	nounts due
	I	Balance at	interest accretion		retirements and		Balance at		V	vithin one
	Ju	ıly 1, 2014	and ne	et increases	net	net decreases		ne 30, 2015		year
Senior and intermediate lien bonds	\$	1,118,890	\$	-	\$	43,815	\$	1,075,075	\$	46,000
Notes and loans		82,538		59,398		62,624		79,312		240
Plus unamortized bond discount										
and premium, net		56,837		(22)		6,425		50,390		6,017
Total		1,258,265		59,376		112,864		1,204,777		52,257
Accrued vacation, sick leave,										
and compensatory time		7,072		4,902		5,380		6,594		5,596
Environmental remediation		13,473		6,488		8,261		11,700		3,452
Self-insurance liability										
workers compensation		11,182		2,792		1,313		12,661		1,500
Other long-term liabilities		1,307		630		103		1,834		-
TOTAL		33,034		14,812		15,057		32,789		10,548
Total Component Unit Long-										
Term Obligation	\$	1,291,299	\$	74,188	\$	127,921	\$	1,237,566	\$	62,805

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 4. Annual Requirements to Maturity

The Port's required annual debt service payment for the outstanding long-term debt, not including Commercial Paper Notes, as of June 30, 2015, are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending June 30	Principal			Interest		Total
2016	\$	67,493	(1) \$	57,499	\$	124,992
2017		70,489		53,860		124,349
2018		74,465		49,564		124,029
2019		68,027		45,149		113,176
2020		54,752		42,357		97,109
2021-2025		315,397		170,629		486,026
2026-2030		371,084		84,678		455,762
2031-2033		132,680		11,624		144,304
TOTAL	\$	1,154,387	\$	515,360	\$	1,669,747

<sup>(1)</sup> Although the Port intends to refinance the Commercial Paper debt in the future, for purposes of this schedule, Commercial Paper debt is amortized over the fiscal year 2016-2019 pursuant to the "Term Loan" provisions of the Commercial Paper Reimbursement Agreements.

The Port's required debt service payments on its Senior Lien Bonds and Intermediate Lien Bonds are due each May 1 and November 1 through May 1, 2033. The California Department of Boating and Waterways loan is due each August 1 through August 1, 2029. Commercial Paper has been classified as long-term debt because the Port has the intent and ability to continue to refinance this debt.

#### City-Wide Long-Term Debt

#### 1. Debt Compliance

There are a number of limitations and restrictions contained in the various bond indentures held by the City, ORSA, and the Port. The City believes it is in compliance with all significant limitations and restrictions for which noncompliance would adversely affect its ability to pay debt service. During the course of the fiscal year, the City identified several noncompliant issues with the continuing disclosure requirements and these have been remedied.

#### 2. Legal Debt Limit and Legal Debt Margin

As of June 30, 2015, the City's debt limit (3.75% of valuation subject to taxation) was \$1.2 billion. The total amount of debt applicable to the debt limit was \$206.5 million. The resulting legal debt margin was \$1.0 billion.

#### 3. Prior Years' Debt Defeasance

In prior years, the City has defeased various bond issues by creating separate irrevocable escrow funds. New debt has been issued and the proceeds have been used to purchase U.S. government securities that were placed in the escrow funds. The investments and fixed earnings from the investments are sufficient to fully service the defeased debt until the debt is called or matures. For financial reporting purposes, the debt is considered defeased and is therefore removed as a liability from the City's government-wide financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, principal amount of defeased debt outstanding is \$75.8 million.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 4. Authorized and Unissued Debt

The City has \$62.3 million (Measure DD) General Obligation Bonds authorized and unissued. The voters, in a City election on November 5, 2002, authorized these bonds. The bonds are to be issued by the City in general obligation bonds for the improvement of Lake Merritt, the Estuary, inland creeks, Studio One, and other specifically identified projects in the City.

#### 5. Conduit Debt

The following long-term debt has been issued by the City on behalf of named agents of the City. The bonds do not constitute an indebtedness of the City. The bonds are payable solely from revenue sources defined in the individual bond documents, and from other monies held for the benefit of the bond holders pursuant to the bond indentures. In the opinion of City officials, these bonds are not payable from any revenues or assets of the City, and neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing authority of the City, State or any political subdivision thereof is obligated for the payment of the principal or interest on the bonds. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded.

The conduit debt issued and outstanding at June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

	Aut	thorized		Outst	tanding at
	and	d Issued	Maturity	Maturity June 30,	
Oakland JPFA Revenue Bond 2001 Series A Fruitvale					
Transit Village (Fruitvale Development Corporation)	\$	19,800	07/01/33	\$	14,125

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### I. GENERAL FUND BALANCE RESERVE POLICY

The City Council approved the original City Reserve Policy on March 22, 1994. Creation of the policy was to help pay any unanticipated expenditures and pay for claims arising from the City's insurance program. In May 2010, the City adopted a revised reserve policy equal to seven and one-half percent (7.5%) for unassigned fund balance of the General Purpose Fund (GPF) appropriation for each fiscal year. The GPF accounts for the City's operating budget that pays for basic programs and services as well as elected offices and municipal business functions. The GPF is reported within the General Fund.

On December 9, 2014, the City Council revised the reserve policy criteria for the definition and use of excess Real Estate Transfer Tax (RETT) revenue, and use of one-time revenues (Ordinance No. 13279).

The policy defines excess Real Estate Transfer Tax as any amounts of projected RETT revenues whose value exceeds 14% of the corresponding General Purpose Fund Tax Revenues (inclusive of RETT). The excess RETT shall be used in the following manner:

- At least 25% shall be allocated to the Vital Services Stabilization Fund. Until the value in such fund is projected to equal to 15% of General Purpose Fund revenues over the coming fiscal year.
- At least 25% shall be used to fund accelerated debt retirement and unfunded long-term obligations: including negative funds balances, to fund the Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) liability, to fund other unfunded retirement and pension liabilities, unfunded paid leave liabilities, to fund Other Post- Employment Retirement Benefits (OPEB).
- The remainder shall be used to fund one-time expenses; augment the General Purpose Fund Emergency Reserve, and to augment the Capital Improvements Reserve Fund.

  Use of the "excess" RETT revenue for purposes other than those established above may only be allowed by a super majority vote (6 out of 8) of the City Council through a separate resolution.

The policy also requires the City to conform to the following regarding the use of one-time discretionary revenues:

• Fiscal prudence and conservancy requires that one time revenues not be used for recurring expenses. Therefore, upon receipt of one time revenues, such revenues shall be used in the following manner, unless legally restricted to other purposes: to fund one time expenditures, to fund accelerated debt retirement and unfunded long-term obligations: including negative funds balances, to fund the Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) liability, to fund other unfunded retirement and pension liabilities, unfunded paid leave liabilities, to fund Other Post-Employment Retirement Benefits (OPEB);or shall remain as fund balance in the appropriate fund.

Use of the "one time revenues" for purposes other than those established above may only be allowed by a super majority vote (6 out of 8) of the City Council through a separate resolution

Additionally, the policy includes the requirement that the City of Oakland maintain a Vital Services Stabilization Fund. In years when the city projects that total General Purpose Fund revenues for the upcoming fiscal year will be less than the current year's revenues, or anytime service reductions (such as layoffs or furloughs) are contemplated due to adverse financial conditions, use of this fund must be considered so as to maintain existing service levels as much as possible, and to minimize

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

associated impacts; and the adopted budget may appropriate funds from the Vital Services Stabilization Fund to preserve city operations; however, the budget may not appropriate more than sixty percent of the reserve balance in any year.

As of June 30, 2015, the City has \$110.1 million in the GPF fund balance. Of this amount, \$39.6 million is set aside to meet the mandated 7.5% required reserve, and is reported in the assigned fund balance of the General Fund.

#### J. ESTIMATED LIABILITY FOR SELF-INSURANCE

#### **Primary Government**

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts: theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; employee's injuries; natural disasters; unemployment coverage; and providing health benefits to employees, retirees and their dependents. For the past three years, there have been no significant reductions in any of the City's insurance coverage and no settlement amounts have exceeded commercial insurance coverage.

The City is self-insured for its general liability, malpractice liability, public official's errors and omissions, products and completed operations, employment practices liability, and auto liability up to \$3,000,000 retention level and up to \$750,000 retention level for workers' compensation and has excess insurance with the California State Association of Counties – Excess Insurance Authority as described in the Insurance Coverage section.

## 1. Property Damage

Property damage risks are covered on an occurrence basis by commercial insurance purchased from independent third parties. All properties are insured at full replacement values after a \$10,000 deductible to be paid by the City. Vehicles are insured at full replacement value after a \$20,000 deductible. Equipment valued at more than \$250,000 is insured at full replacement after a \$100,000 deductible.

# 2. Workers' Compensation

The City is self-insured for workers' compensation. Payment of claims is provided through annual appropriations, which are based on claim payment experience and supplemental appropriations. Of the \$86.7 million in claims liabilities as of June 30, 2015, approximately \$24.8 million is estimated to be due within one year.

Changes in self-insurance workers' compensation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

	2015	 2014		
Beginning of year	\$ 83,484	\$ 80,596		
Claims and changes in estimates	35,384	24,651		
Claims payments	 (32,142)	 (21,763)		
End of year	\$ 86,726	\$ 83,484		

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The estimated undiscounted liability for claims and contingencies is based on the results of actuarial studies and includes amounts for claims incurred but not reported and allocated loss adjustment expenses. The estimated liability is calculated considering the effects of inflation, recent claim settlement trends, including frequency and amount of payouts, and other economic and social factors.

#### 3. General Liability

Numerous lawsuits are pending or threatened against the City. The City estimates that as of June 30, 2015, the amount of liability determined to be probable of occurrence is approximately \$36.8 million. Of this amount, claims and litigation approximating \$13.4 million are estimated to be due within one year. The recorded liability is the City's best estimate based on available information and may be revised as further information is obtained and as pending cases are litigated. The City and the ORSA are involved in various claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of its activities. In the opinion of the ORSA's in-house counsel and the City Attorney's Office for the City, none of these claims are expected to have a significant impact on the financial position or changes in financial position of the City and the ORSA. The City has not accumulated or segregated assets or set aside fund balances for the payment of estimated claims and judgments.

Changes in general claims liabilities for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

	2	015	 2014
Beginning of year	\$	32,341	\$ 28,554
Claims and changes in estimates		21,457	28,165
Claims payments		(17,030)	(24,378)
End of year	\$	36,768	\$ 32,341

The estimated undiscounted liability for claims and contingencies is based on the results of actuarial studies and includes amounts for claims incurred but not reported and allocated loss adjustment expenses. The estimated liability is calculated considering the effects of inflation, recent claim settlement trends, including frequency and amount of payouts, and other economic and social factors.

#### 4. Insurance Coverage

On July 15, 2002, the City entered into a contract with the California State Association of Counties Excess Insurance Authority (CSAC EIA), a joint powers authority, whose purpose is to develop and fund programs of excess insurance for its member counties and cities. Effective July 1, 2014, the self-insured retention levels and purchased insurance per occurrence are as follows:

 Type of Coverage	Limits	Deductible Per Occurrence
General Liability	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
Automobile Liability	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
<b>Public Officials Errors and Omissions</b>	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
Products and Completed Operations	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
<b>Employment Practices Liability</b>	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
Workers' Compensation	Up to \$750,000	\$750,000 to \$100.0 million

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

## Component Unit - Port of Oakland

#### 1. Workers' Compensation

The workers' compensation liability of \$12.7 million at June 30, 2015 is based upon an actuarial study performed as of June 30, 2015 that assumed a probability level of 80% and a discount rate of 0.0%. Changes in liability, which is included as part of environmental and other, follows (in thousands):

	2	2014		
Beginning of year	\$	11,182	\$	9,630
Claims and changes in estimates		2,792		3,025
Claims payments		(1,313)		(1,473)
End of year	\$	12,661	\$	11,182

#### 2. General Liability - Insurance

The Port purchases insurance on certain risk exposures including but not limited to property, automobiles liability, airport liability, umbrella liability, environmental liability, fidelity, fiduciary liability, and public officials liability. Port deductibles for the various insured programs range from \$10,000 to \$1,000,000 each claim. The Port is self-insured for other general liability and liability/litigation-type claims, workers' compensation of the Port's employees and most first party exposures. During fiscal year 2015, the Port carried excess insurance over \$1,000,000 for the self-insured general liability and workers compensation exposures. There have been no claim payments related to these programs that exceeded insurance limits in the last three years.

#### 3. Capital Improvement Projects

The Port maintains an Owner Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) and Professional Liability Insurance Program (PLIP) for contractors and consultants working on Port Capital Improvement Projects (CIP).

OCIP provides general liability insurance and workers' compensation insurance for contractors working on CIP projects. The Port is responsible for payment of the deductible/self-insured retention, which is currently \$250,000 for each general liability and workers' compensation claim.

The PLIP provides professional liability insurance for consultants working on Port CIP projects. Subject to this program, the consultants separately are responsible for paying the deductible/self-insured retentions, which are \$50,000 for consultants with annual revenues under \$20,000,000 and \$1,000,000 for consultants with annual revenues over \$20,000,000. The Port's deductible/self-insured retention is \$1,000,000. There is no actuarial forecast for this coverage.

## K. JOINT VENTURE

#### Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum

The County is a participant with the City of Oakland (City) in a joint exercise of powers agreement forming the Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Authority (Coliseum Authority), which was formed on July 1, 1995 to assist the City and County in the financing of public capital improvements in the Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Complex (Coliseum Complex) pursuant to the Marks-Roos Local

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Bond Pooling Act of 1985. The Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Financing Corporation (Financing Corporation) is reported as a blended component unit of the Coliseum Authority. The eight-member Board of Commissioners of the Coliseum Authority consists of two council members from the City, two members of the Board of Supervisors from the County, two appointees of the City Council, and two appointees of the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Directors of the Financing Corporation consists of the City Manager and the County Administrator.

#### Stadium Bonds - Background

In August 1995, the Coliseum Authority issued \$9.2 million in Fixed Rate Refunding Lease Revenue Bonds and \$188.5 million in Variable Rate Lease Revenue Bonds (collectively known as the Stadium Bonds) to satisfy certain obligations of the Coliseum Authority, the City, the County, the Financing Corporation and Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Inc. (Coliseum Inc.), which then managed the operations of the Coliseum Complex, to finance the costs of remodeling the stadium portion of the Coliseum complex as well as relocating the Raiders to the City.

On May 31, 2012, the Coliseum Authority issued \$122.8 million in Refunding Bonds Series 2012 A with coupons of 2 to 5 percent to refund and defease all outstanding variable rate 2000 Series C Refunding Bonds. The bonds were priced at a premium, bringing total proceeds to \$138.1 million.

These funds coupled with \$13 million in the 2000 Series C reserve fund generated available funds of \$151.1 million which was used to refund the 2000 C Refunding Bonds of \$137.4 million, fund a reserve fund of \$12.8 million and to pay underwriter's discount and issuance cost of \$0.9 million. The all-in-interest cost of the 2012A refunding bonds was 3.04 percent.

There was an economic loss of \$23 million (difference between the present value of the old and the new debt service payments) due to the low variable interest rates on the old bonds and the higher fixed rates on the new bonds. The Coliseum Authority was unable to maintain the bonds at a variable rate because it was not able to renew the letters of credit as required due to the tightening of the credit markets since 2008. However, the Coliseum Authority was able to take advantage of the fixed rate market with historically low interest rates and issued fixed rate bonds that generated a premium of \$15.3 million.

The Stadium Bonds are limited obligations of the Coliseum Authority payable solely from certain revenues of the Coliseum Authority, including revenues from the Stadium and Arena Complex and base rental payments from the City and the County. The source of the Coliseum Authority's revenues relating to football games consists primarily of a portion of the club dues, concession, and parking payments. The Coliseum Authority has pledged the base rental payments and most other revenues received under the Master Lease from the lessees, the City, and the County to the trustee to pay debt service on the bonds. In the event that football revenues and other revenues received in connection with the Stadium are insufficient to make base rental payments, the City and the County are obligated to make up the shortfall in the base rental payments from their respective general funds. The City and the County each have covenanted to appropriate \$11 million annually to cover such shortfall in revenue; however, the City and the County are jointly and severally liable to cover such shortfall, which means that the County could have to pay up to \$22 million annually in the event of default by the City. Base rental payments are projected to cover one hundred percent of the debt service requirements over the life of the bonds. The obligation of the City and the County to make such payments is reduced to the extent the Coliseum Authority receives revenues generated at the complex to pay debt service and for operations and maintenance. The Stadium Bonds are not general obligations of either the City or the County.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### Arena Bonds – Background

On August 2, 1996, the Coliseum Authority issued \$70 million Series A-1 and \$70 million Series A-2 Variable Rate Lease Revenue Bonds (Arena Bonds) to finance the costs of remodeling the Coliseum Arena (Arena) and to satisfy certain obligations of the Coliseum Authority, the City, the County, and Coliseum Inc. in connection with the retention of the Golden State Warriors (the Warriors) to play professional basketball at the Arena for at least 20 basketball seasons, beginning with the 1997-98 season. These obligations are evidenced in a series of agreements (the Warriors Agreements) among the Warriors and the City, the County, Coliseum Inc., and the Coliseum Authority.

On April 14, 2015, the Authority issued \$79,735,000 in Refunding Bonds Series 2015 with coupons of .8 to 3.793 percent to refund and defease all outstanding variable rate 1996 Series A-1 and A-2 Bonds. The bonds were sold at par, bringing total proceeds to \$79,735,000.

These funds coupled with \$3,319,013 in the 1996 Series A reserve fund generated available funds of \$83,054,013 which was used to refund the 1996 Series A Refunding Bonds of \$79,735,000, to fund a reserve fund of \$2,168,103, to pay underwriter's discount and issuance cost of \$659,928 and \$490,983 was returned to the Authority's general fund. The all-in true interest cost of the 2015A refunding bonds was 3.33 percent.

There was an economic loss of \$13,479,519 (difference between the present value of the old and the new debt service payments) due to the low variable interest rates on the old bonds and the higher fixed rates on the new bonds. The Authority was unable to maintain the bonds at a variable rate because it was not able to renew the letters of credit as required due to the tightening of the credit markets since 2008. However, the Authority was able to take advantage of the fixed rate market with historically low interest rates and issued fixed rate bonds.

Under the Warriors Agreements, the Arena Bonds are limited obligations of the Coliseum Authority, payable solely from revenues received by the Coliseum Authority on behalf of the City and the County. Revenues consist of base rental payments from the City and County, certain payments from the Warriors of up to \$7.4 million annually from premium seating revenues, the sale of personal seat licenses by the Coliseum Authority, concessionaire payments and Arena naming rights. If necessary to prevent default, additional premium revenues up to \$10 million may be pledged to service Arena debt. If the revenues received from the Warriors and from Arena operations are not sufficient to cover the debt service requirements in any fiscal year, the City and the County are obligated to make up the shortfall in the base rental payments from their respective general funds. The County and the City each have covenanted to appropriate up to \$9.5 million annually to cover such shortfalls in revenue; however, the City and the County are jointly and severally liable to cover such shortfall, which means that the County could have to pay up to \$19 million annually in the event of default by the City.

# Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

# **Debt Compliance**

Long-term debt outstanding as of June 30, 2015 is as follows (in thousands):

		Interest	Au	thorized	Outstanding as of			
Type of Indebtedness	<b>Maturity</b>	<b>Rate</b>	an	and Issued		and Issued June 30,		2 30, 2015
STADIUM BONDS 2012 Refunding Series A Lease Revenue Bonds	February 1, 2025	2%-5%	\$	122,815	\$	98,890		
ARENA BONDS 2015 Refunding Series A Lease Revenue Bonds	February 1, 2026	1%-4%		79,735		79,735		
	•		\$	202,550	\$	178,625		

Debt payments during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

	S	Stadium		Arena		<u>Total</u>	
Principal	\$	7,560	\$	5,150	\$	12,710	
Redemption		-		79,735		79,735	
Interest		5,247		152		5,399	
Total	\$	12,807	\$	85,037	\$	97,844	

The following is a summary of long-term debt transactions for the year ended June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

Outstanding lease revenue bonds, July 1, 2014	\$ 191,335
Lease Refunding revenue bonds in fiscal year 2015	79,735
Principal repayments	(92,445)
Outstanding lease revenue bonds, June 30, 2015	178,625
Amount due within one year	(13,265)
Amount due beyond one year	<u>\$ 165,360</u>

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the lease revenue bonds, including interest payments, are as follows (in thousands):

For the Period	Stadium Bonds			Arena Bonds			Total					
Ending June 30	Pı	rincipal	I	nterest	P	rincipal	I	nterest	P	rincipal	I	nterest
2016	\$	7,865	\$	4,945	\$	5,400	\$	7,071	\$	13,265	\$	12,016
2017		8,255		4,551		5,800		7,968		14,055		12,519
2018		8,670		4,139		6,200		8,296		14,870		12,435
2019		9,100		3,705		6,600		8,591		15,700		12,296
2020		9,555		3,250		7,000		8,838		16,555		12,088
2021-2025		55,445		8,587		43,850		49,515		99,295		58,102
2026						4,885		5,070		4,885		5,070
Total	\$	98,890	\$	29,177	\$	79,735	\$	95,349	\$	178,625	\$	124,526

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

## **Management of Coliseum Authority**

The Coliseum Authority entered into an agreement with the Oakland Coliseum Joint Venture (OCJV) to manage the entire Coliseum complex beginning July 1, 1998. On January 1, 2001, the Coliseum Authority terminated its agreement with OCJV and reinstated its Operating Agreement with Coliseum Inc. Coliseum Inc. subcontracted all of the operations of the Coliseum Complex to OCJV. The Operating Agreement between the Coliseum Authority and Coliseum Inc. expired, by its terms, on July 31, 2006. The Coliseum Authority entered into a Termination Agreement whereby, in return for certain consideration, the Coliseum Authority agreed to perform the duties of Coliseum, Inc. on and after August 1, 2006. The Authority's management agreement with OCJV expired in June 2012. In July 2012, AEG Management Oakland, LLC took over management of the Coliseum Complex after signing a five year agreement.

Under the joint exercise of power agreement, which formed the Coliseum Authority, the City is responsible for funding up to 50 percent of the Coliseum Authority's operating costs and debt service requirements; to the extent such funding is necessary. During the year ended June 30, 2015, the City made contributions of \$9.89 million to fund its share of operating deficits and debt service payments of the Coliseum Authority.

The Coliseum Authority has anticipated a deficit for operating costs and repayment of its Stadium Bonds, such that the City and County will have to contribute to base rental payments. Of the \$22.0 million obligated, for the fiscal year ending, June 30 2015, it is estimated that the City will have to contribute \$11.02 million which is appropriated in the debt service fund. There are many uncertainties in the estimation of revenues for the Coliseum Authority beyond one year into the future; therefore, the City has established a contingent liability to fund the Coliseum Authority deficit in the statement of net position in an amount equal to its contingent share (50 percent) of the outstanding Stadium Bonds, in the amount of \$49.445 million. The City has not established a contingent liability for the Arena Bonds because management is of the opinion that revenues from the Arena, including payments from the Warriors and revenues from Arena operations, will be sufficient to cover the debt payments.

Complete financial statements for the Coliseum Authority can be obtained from the County Auditor-Controller's Office at 1221 Oak Street, Room 249, Oakland, CA 94612.

#### L. Other Information

#### A. Defined Benefit Retirement Plans

#### General Information about the Pension Plans

The City has four defined benefit retirement plans: Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS), Oakland Municipal Employees' Retirement System (OMERS), and the California Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Public Safety Retirement Plan and Miscellaneous Retirement Plans. PFRS and OMERS are closed plans that cover employees hired prior to July 1976 and September 1970, respectively. These two plans are considered part of the City's reporting entity and are included in the City's basic financial statements as pension trust funds. City employees hired subsequent to these two plans' closure dates and certain employees hired before the closure date who elected to change plans are covered by PERS, which is administered by the State of California.

Member and employer contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due pursuant to contractual arrangements or legal requirements, and benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable, in accordance with the terms of the retirement plans.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

	PFRS	OMERS	PERS
Type of plan	Single employer	Single employer	Agent multiple employer
Reporting entity	City	City	State
Most recent actuarial study	July 1, 2013	July 1, 2014	June 30, 2013

#### A.1. City Sponsored Defined Benefit Pension Plans

## 1. Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS)

PFRS provides death, disability, and service retirement benefits to uniformed employees and their beneficiaries. Members who complete at least 25 years of service, or 20 years of service and have reached the age of 55, or have reached the age of 65, are eligible for retirement benefits. The basic retirement allowance equals 50% of the compensation attached to the average rank held during the three years immediately preceding retirement, plus an additional allowance of 1-2/3% of such compensation for each year of service (up to ten) subsequent to: a) qualifying for retirement, and b) July 1, 1951. Early retirees will receive reduced benefits based on the number of years of service. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by the City Charter (Charter). PFRS' standalone financial statements are available by contacting the City Administrator's Office, One Frank Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612.

In accordance with the Charter, active members of PFRS contribute a percentage of earned salaries based upon entry age as determined by the City's consulting actuary. During the year ended June 30, 2015, there were no employee contributions. During the year ended June 30, 2014 the contribution rate was 5.47%. By statute, employee contributions are limited to 13% of earned salaries. Employee contributions are refundable with interest at 4% per annum if an employee elects to withdraw from PFRS upon termination of employment with the City.

The City contributes, at a minimum, such amounts that are necessary, determined on an actuarial basis, to provide assets sufficient to meet benefits to be paid to PFRS members. The City is required to fund all liabilities for future benefits for all members by June 30, 2026. In order to do so, the City makes contributions at rates established by consulting actuaries based upon plan valuations using various assumptions as to salary progression, inflation, and rate of return on investments. The City's contributions are based on a level percentage of all uniformed employees' compensation. Significant actuarial assumptions used to compute actuarially determined contribution requirements are the same as those used to compute the pension benefits.

On July 30, 2012, the City issued additional Pension Obligation Bonds (Series 2012) and contributed \$210.0 million to PFRS. As a result of a funding agreement entered into between the PFRS Board and the City, no additional contributions are required until July 1, 2017. See part H to note II for additional information on pension obligation bonds.

<u>Covered Employees</u>- As of June 30, 2015, PFRS membership is 961, which includes 668 retirees and 293 beneficiaries.

<u>Net Pension Liability</u> - The City's net pension liability for PFRS is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability is measured as of June 30, 2014, using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013, rolled forward to June 30, 2014, using standard update procedures.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

<u>Actuarial Assumptions</u> - A summary of significant assumptions and other inputs used to measure the total pension liability is are as follows:

Description	Method/Assumption
Valuation Date	July 1, 2014
Measurement Date	June 30, 2014
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal Cost Method
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Discount Rate	6.54%
Inflation Rate, U.S.	2.75%
Inflation Rate, Bay Area	2.85%
Long-term Postretirement Benefit Increases	3.25%
Investment Rate of Return	6.54%

Mortality rates for healthy and disabled lives were based on the PERS Healthy Table from the 2006-2011 Experience Study, and the CalPERS Industrial Disability Mortality Table from the 2006-2011 Experience Study, respectively. Mortality improvement tables are based on Scale MP-2014 using a base year of 2009.

Actuarial assumptions used in the July 1, 2014 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimates ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major class included in the PFRS's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2015 are summarized in the following table:

	Long-Term Expected
Asset Class	Real Rate of Return
Fixed income	2.65%
Domestic Equity	6.90
International Equity	7.20
Real Return	5.20
Covered Calls	6.21
Private Equity	8.80
Cash	2.00

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.54%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the City would contribute to the Plan based on its July 1, 2012 funding agreement with the System. This agreement suspends City contributions until the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2017, after which they will resume, based upon the recommendation

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

of the actuary, with a City Charter requirement that the Plan's liabilities be fully funded by July 1, 2026. A cash flow projection showed that the projected fiduciary net position would be greater than or equal to the benefit payments projected for each future period. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

<u>Changes in the Net Pension Liability</u>- A schedule of changes in the Net Pension Liability for the ending June 30, 2014, is presented below for the PFRS pension plan (in thousands).

		PFRS
	Increas	e (Decrease)
Balances at June 30, 2013	\$	199,802
Changes for the year:		
Interest on total pension liability		42,333
Administrative expenses		776
Member contributions		(4)
Net investment income		(66,392)
Net changes		(23,287)
Balances at June 30, 2014	\$	176,515

<u>Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate</u>- The following table presented the net pension liability of the City for PFRS, calculated using the current discount rate for each plan, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using the discount rate that is one percentage-point lower or one percentage-point higher. The net pension liabilities are presented in thousands:

	<u>PFRS</u>
Discount rate 1% lower	5.75%
Net Pension Liability	\$ 233,509
Current discount rate	6.75%
Net Pension Liability	176,515
Discount rate 1% higher	7.75%
Net Pension Liability	\$ 127,429

## 2. Oakland Municipal Employees Retirement System (OMERS)

OMERS provides death and service retirement benefits to participants of the plan. Members who complete at least 20 years of service and have reached the age of 52, or who complete at least 5 years of service and reach the age of 60, are eligible for retirement benefits. The retirement allowance is calculated on a basis which takes into account the final three-year' average compensation, age and the number of years of service. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by the Charter. The standalone financial statements are available by contacting the City Administrator's Office, One Frank Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

All active non-uniformed City employees hired prior to September 1970 have transferred to PERS as of July 1, 2004. OMERS did not receive any employee or employer contributions during the year.

On November 4, 2014, City of Oakland voters passed Oakland Measure EE, which grants the City of Oakland Council the authority to terminate OMERS by purchasing a group annuity contract to guarantee pension payments to the remaining OMERS' retirees and beneficiaries. This measure is effective January 2015.

On January 6, 2015, City of Oakland Council passed corresponding ordinances that (1) amends OMERS Ordinance 713 and (2) terminate the OMERS Plan effective upon the successful purchase of annuities and disbursements of all funds. On February 6, 2015, the OMERS Board purchased an annuity with Pacific Life Insurance Company. OMERS was terminated effective March 31, 2015 and Pacific Life Insurance Company commenced payment of all future benefit payments to the remaining OMERS' retirees and beneficiaries. All excess funds were transferred to the City of Oakland and placed into a trust fund for any additional OMERS' expenditures or unanticipated shortfalls.

#### A.2. California Public Employees Retirement Systems (PERS)

<u>Plan Description</u> - The City of Oakland contributes to two agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plans for safety and miscellaneous members, administered by the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS). PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. PERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California.

<u>Benefits Provided</u> - PERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by state statute and City ordinance. Copies of PERS' annual financial report may be obtained from their Executive Office - 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. Separate reports for the City's plans are not available. The following table summarizes the pension, disability and death benefits for the members:

Safaty Plan

		_	Salety Flair		
		·	Fire Fighters,	Fire Fighters,	
			Fire Chief	Fire Chief	
			Association,	Association,	
			Police Officers,	Police Officers,	
	Miscellan	eous Plan	Police Management	Police Management	
	Prior to	On or After	Prior to	On or After	
Hire Date	July 17, 2010	July 17, 2010	June 8, 2012	June 8, 2012	
Benefit formula	2.7% @ 55	2.0% @ 62	3.0% at 50	2.70% @ 57	
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years service	5 years service	5 years service	5 years service	
Benefit payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life	
Retirement age	55	62	50	57	
Monthly benefits, as a percentage					
of eligible compensation	2.70%	2.0% - 2.418%	3.00%	2.70%	
Required employee contribution rates	7.999%	7.999%	9.029%	9.029%	
Required employer contribution rates	25.536%	25.536%	34.716%	34.716%	

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

<u>Covered Employees</u> - As of June 30, 2015, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms of each Plan:

	Miscellaneous		
	Plan	Safety Plan	
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	3,201	922	
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	1,555	366	
Active employees	2,446	1,034	
Total	7,202	2,322	

<u>Contributions Requirements</u> - Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by PERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by public employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability.

For the year ended June 30, 2014, the amount contributed to the PERS plans' were as follows (in thousands):

	 Miscellaneous Plan		Safety	y Plan
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	2015	<u>2014</u>
Contributions - employee (paid by employer)	\$ 11,860	\$ 17,431	\$ 13,715	\$ 14,598
Contributions - employer	63,475	52,556	44,120	37,007
Total	\$ 75,335	\$ 69,987	\$ 57,835	\$ 51,605

<u>Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position</u> - Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports; which is available at the following link:

https://www.calpers.ca.gov/docs/forms-publications/gasb-68-fiduciary-net-position.pdf.

<u>Net Pension Liability</u> - The City's net pension liability for each plan is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of each of the plans is measured as of June 30, 2014, using annual actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2013, rolled forward to June 30, 2014, using standards update procedures.

<u>Assumptions and Other Inputs Used to Measure the Total Pension Liability</u> - A summary of significant assumptions and other inputs used to measure the total pension liability is shown below.

	Miscellaneous Plan	Safety Plan
Valuation Date	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2013
Measurement Date		June 30, 2014
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal Cost	Entry Age Normal Cost
	Method	Method
Actuarial Assumptions:		
Discount Rate	7.50%	7.50%
Inflation	2.75%	2.75%
Payroll Growth	3.00%	3.00%
Projected Salary Increase	3.30% to 14.20% depends on	3.30% to 14.20%
	age, service, and type of	depends on age, service,
	employment	and type of employment
Investment Rate of Return	7.50%	7.50%
Mortality	Derived using CalPERS'	Derived using CalPERS'
-	Membership Data for all Funds	Membership Data for all
	•	Funds

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

<u>Discount Rate</u> - The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.50% for each plan. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for the plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.50 percent discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long-term expected discount rate of 7.50 percent will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test results are presented in a detailed report called "GASB Crossover Testing Report" that can be obtained from the CalPERS website under GASB 68 section.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments, 7.50 percent, was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund.

The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

According to GASB Statement No. 68, the long-term discount rate should be determined without reduction for pension plan administrative expense. The 7.50 percent investment return assumption used in this accounting valuation is net of administrative expenses. Administrative expenses are assumed to be 15 basis points. Consequently, an investment return excluding administrative expenses would have been 7.65 percent. Using this lower discount rate would result in a slightly higher total pension liability and net pension liability. The difference in calculation between a 7.50 percent rate and a 7.65 percent rate was not material to the City's financial statements.

PERS is scheduled to review all actuarial assumptions as part of its regular asset liability management review cycle that is scheduled to be completed in February 2018. Any changes to the discount rate will require PERS Board action and proper stakeholder outreach. For these reasons, PERS expects to continue using a discount rate net of administrative expenses for GASB Statement Nos. 67 and 68 calculations through at least the 2017/18 fiscal year. PERS will continue to check the materiality of the difference in calculation until such time as it changes its methodology.

The table below reflects the assumed asset allocation of the pension plan portfolio and the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Miscellaneous Plan			Safety Plan			
	New			New		Real	
	Strategic	Real Return	Real Return	Strategic	Real Return	Return	
Asset Class	Allocation	Years 1 - 10	Years 11+	Allocation	Years 1 - 10	Years 11+	
Global Equity	47.0%	5.25%	5.71%	47.0%	5.25%	5.71%	
Global Fixed Income	19.0	0.99	2.43	19.0	0.99	2.43	
Inflation Sensitive	6.0	0.45	3.36	6.0	0.45	3.36	
Private Equity	12.0	6.83	6.95	12.0	6.83	6.95	
Real Estate	11.0	4.50	5.13	11.0	4.50	5.13	
Infrastructure and							
Forestland	3.0	4.50	5.09	3.0	4.50	5.09	
Liquidity	2.0	(0.55)	(1.05)	2.0	(0.55)	(1.05)	

<u>Changes in the Net Pension Liability-</u> A schedule of changes in the Net Pension Liability for the ending June 30, 2014, is presented below for each City's pension plan (in thousands).

	Miscellaneous Plan		Safety Plan	
	<b>Increas</b>	e (Decrease)	<b>Incre as</b>	e (Decrease)
Balances at June 30, 2013	\$	767,340	\$	541,159
Changes for the year:				
Service cost		37,135		34,590
Interest on total pension liability		166,822		115,261
Member contributions		(17,431)		(14,598)
Employer contributions		(52,556)		(37,007)
Net investment income		(256,552)		(175,344)
Net changes		(122,582)		(77,097)
Balances at June 30, 2014	\$	644,758	\$	464,062

<u>Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate</u> - The following table presented the net pension liability of the City for each plan, calculated using the current discount rate for each plan, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using the discount rate that is one percentage-point lower or one percentage-point higher. Net pension liabilities are presented in thousands:

	<u>Mis</u>	<u>cellaneous</u>	<u>Safety</u>
Discount rate 1% lower		6.50%	6.50%
Net Pension Liability	\$	928,804	\$ 695,991
Current discount rate		7.50%	7.50%
Net Pension Liability		644,758	\$ 464,062
Discount rate 1% higher		8.50%	8.50%
Net Pension Liability	\$	408,742	\$ 274,508

#### **Pension Expense**

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the City recognized pension expense of \$47.1 million for miscellaneous plan and \$40.2 million for safety plan; a total expense of \$87.3 million.

# Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### **Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources**

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows (in thousands):

	 ernmental <u>ctivities</u>	ness-Type <u>tivities</u>	<u>Port</u>	<u>Total</u>
Deferred outflows of resources				
Pension contributions subsequent to				
measurement date	\$ 89,930	\$ 2,929	\$ 15,077	\$ 107,936
Deferred inflow of resources				
Net difference between projected and actual				
earnings on plan investments	 (193,108)	 (5,285)	(29,137)	(227,530)
Total	\$ (103,178)	\$ (2,356)	\$ (14,060)	\$ (119,594)

\$107.9 million reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflow of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows (in thousands):

Year Ended June 30,	_	
2016	\$	(56,883)
2017		(56,883)
2018		(56,883)
2019		(56,883)
Total	\$	(227,530)

All other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2013 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the fiscal years 1997 to 2011, including updates to salary increase, mortality and retirement rates. The Experience Study report can be obtained at CalPERS' website under Forms and Publications.

#### A.3. Postemployment Benefits Other Than pension Benefits (OPEB)

#### **Primary Government**

#### 1. Plan Description

The City has three programs in place to partially pay health insurance premiums for certain classes of retirees from City employment. City retirees are eligible for retiree health benefits if they meet certain requirements relating to age and service. The retiree health benefits are described in the labor agreements between the City and local unions and in City resolutions. The demographic rates used for the California Public Employee Retirement System (PERS) were public safety employees retirements benefits under a 3% at 50 formula and miscellaneous employees retirement benefits under a 2.7% at 55 formula.

As of June 30, 2014, the City began to partially pre-fund the annual required contribution (ARC) to the California Employer's Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by PERS. The CERBT is an Internal Revenue

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Code (IRC) Section 115 Trust and an investment vehicle that can be used by all California public employers to prefund future retiree health and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) costs.

The City's single-employer defined benefit retiree health plan (Retiree Health Plan) allows eligible retirees and their dependents to receive employer-paid medical insurance benefits through PERS. The medical insurance reimbursement is not to exceed the Kaiser-HMO family plan rate. The Retiree Health Plan also includes dental and vision benefits and reimbursement of Medicare part B monthly insurance premium. The Retiree Health Plan does not issue a separate financial report.

## 2. Funding Policy

The City pays part of the health insurance premiums for all retirees from City employment receiving a pension annuity earned through City service and participating in a City-sponsored PERS health benefit plan on a pay-as-you-go basis. The City paid \$19.8 million for retirees under this program for the year ended June 30, 2015.

## 3. Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The City's annual postemployment benefit cost and net OPEB obligation for the Retiree Health Plan as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$39,418
Interest on net OPEB obligation	13,142
Adjustment to ARC	(10,975)
Annual OPEB cost	41,585
Employer Congtribution	(19,758)
Increase in net OPEB obligations	21,827
Net OPEB obligations, beginnning of year	235,095
Net OPEB obligations, end of year	\$256,922

The City's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed during the fiscal year, and the net OPEB obligation at the end of the year for the City's single employer Retiree Health Plan were as follows (in thousands):

	Percentage of					
Fiscal Year	An	nual OPEB	<b>Annual OPEB</b>	N	et OPEB	
Ended June 30,	Cost		Cost Contributed	<b>Obligation</b>		
2013	\$	46,291	38.1%	\$	215,252	
2014		40,476	51.0%		235,095	
2015		41,585	47.5%		256,922	

## 4. OPEB Funded Status and Funding Progress

As summarized in the table below, as of July 1, 2013, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the City's Retiree Health Plan was zero percent funded on an actuarial basis. Changes to the UAAL for the OPEB Plan was primarily the result of the actuarial value of assets being zero. The City is on a pay-as-you-go funding progress and as June 30, 2014, it has begun to partially pre-fund the annual

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

required contribution (ARC) to CERBT. As of June 30, 2015, the City has \$2.2 million set aside for future liabilities.

The specific funded status for the OPEB plan is summarized in the table below, as of July 1, 2013 (in thousands):

						UAAL as a
	Actuarial Accrued	Actuarial Value of	Unfunded AAL	Funded	Covered	Percentage of
Actuarial	Liability (AAL)	Assets	(UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Covered Payroll
Valuation Date	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	(b/a)	(c)	((a-b)/c)
7/1/2013	\$ 463,851	\$ -	\$ 463,851	0.0%	\$ 322,170	144%

The Schedule of Funding Progress, presented as Required Supplementary Information (RSI) following the Notes to the Basic Financial Statements, presents information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets increased or decreased in relation to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits. Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future, and actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan in effect and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

## 5. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions for OPEB Plan

The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrual liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations. The more significant actuarial methods and assumptions used in the calculations of the annual OPEB cost and the annual required contribution for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 and the funded status as of July 1, 2013 are as follows:

Description	Method/Assumption				
Valuation Date	July 1, 2013				
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal Cost Method				
Amortization Method	Level Percent of Payroll				
Average Remaining Period	30 years open as of the Valuation Date				
Asset Valuation Method	5 Years Smoothed Market				
Actuarial Assumptions:					
Discount Rate <sup>1</sup>	5.59%				
Projected Salary Increases	2.5% per year growth				
Inflation	3.00%				
Demographic Rate	Retirement benefit at 3% at 50 formula for Safety employees and at 2.7% at 55 formula for Miscellaneous employees.				

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

Health Care Cost Trends Rate

7.25% for fiscal year 2014, graded down to 5.00% for fiscal year 2025 and beyond. For post-Medicare benefits the trend rate for health claims is 6.00% for fiscal year 2014 grading down to 5.00% for 2025 and beyond. The trend for fiscal year 2013 is based on the actual known premium rate changes. The trend rate is determined by the Plan sponsor based on historical data and anticipated experience under the Plan.

#### Component Unit - Port of Oakland

#### 1. Plan Description

The Port contributes to the California Employer's Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by CalPERS. The CERBT is an Internal Revenue Code Section 115 trust and an investment vehicle that can be used by all California public employers to prefund future retiree health and Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) costs.

The Port's Retiree Health Plan allows eligible retirees and their dependents to receive employer paid medical insurance benefits through CalPERS, subject to certain limitations described below. Additionally, through the Port's Retiree Health Plan, employees hired before October 1, 2009 [before January 1, 2013 for members of the Services Employees International Union (SEIU) and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW)] are eligible to receive dental and vision benefits.

Prior to 2011, eligible retirees must have attained the age of fifty or over at the time of retirement, have five or more years of CalPERS service, and must be eligible to receive PERS retirement benefits. On July 21, 2011, the Port adopted resolutions that established a Health Benefit Vesting Requirement for employees hired on or after September 1, 2011 (on or after April 1, 2013 for members of SEIU and IBEW). The vesting schedule does not apply to employees that are granted a disability retirement.

Under the adopted vesting schedule, the Port shall pay a percentage of retiree medical coverage for a retiree and his or her eligible dependents based on the provisions of Section 22893 of the California Government Code. Under these rules, a retiree must have at least 10 years of credited service with a CalPERS agency, at least 5 of which are with the City/Port.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The City began to partially pre-fund the ARC in June 2014 by participating in CERBT sponsored by CalPERS, and therefore the discount rate is a blend of the expected return on assets for the CERBT assets and the expected return on the City's general assets.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The Port will pay a percentage of employer contributions for the Retiree based upon the following:

Years of Credited Service (at least 5 of which are with the City/Port)	Percentage of Employer Contributions
10	50%
11	55%
12	60%
13	65%
14	70%
15	75%
16	80%
17	85%
18	90%
19	95%
20	100%

#### 2. Funding Policy

Benefit provisions are established and are amended through negotiations between the Port and the various bargaining units during each bargaining period. The Port pays a portion of retiree benefit expenses on a pay-as-you-go basis to third parties, outside of the CERBT fund, and funds the remaining annual required contribution (ARC) to the CERBT fund.

As of June 30, 2015, there were approximately 541 employees who had retired from the Port and were participating in the Port's Retiree Health Plan. During fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the Port contributed \$5.8 million to the CERBT and made payments of \$7.1 million on behalf of eligible retirees to third parties outside of the CERBT fund.

## 3. Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The Port's annual OPEB cost is equal to (a) ARC, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45, plus (b) one year's interest on the beginning balance of the net OPEB obligation, and minus (c) an adjustment to the ARC. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover the normal cost of each year and any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) amortized over an open period of 30 years.

The following table shows the components of the Port's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount contributed to the CERBT, and changes in the Port's net OPEB obligation to the Plan as of June 30, 2015 (in thousands):

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$ 12,844
Interest on net OPEB obligation	729
Adjustment to ARC	(793)
Annual OPEB cost	12,780
Employer Contribution	(12,945)
Decrease in net OPEB obligation	(165)
Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year	10,414
Net OPEB obligation, end of year	\$ 10,249

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The Port's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the current and prior two years are as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year	Ann	ual OPEB	<b>Annual OPEB Cost</b>	]	Net OPEB
Ended June 30,		Cost	Contributed		Obligation
2013	\$	10,984	100.5%	\$	10,453
2014		12,789	100.3%		10,414
2015		12,780	101.3%		10,249

#### 4. Funded Status and Funding Progress

The table below indicates the funded status of the Plan as of June 30, 2015, the most recent actuarial valuation date (in thousands):

	Actuarial				UAAL as a	
	Accrued Liability	Actuarial Value of	Unfunded AAL	Funded	Covered	Percentage of
Actuarial	(AAL)	Assets	(UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Covered Payroll
Valuation Date	(A)	(b)	(a-b)	(b/a)	(c)	((a-b)/c)
7/1/2013	\$ 463,851	(	\$ 463,851	0.0%	\$322,170	144%

#### 5. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan in effect and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of plan assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

The actuarial cost method used for determining the benefit obligations of the Port is the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method. Under the principles of this method, the actuarial present value of the projected benefits is the value of benefits expected to be paid for active and retired employees. The AAL is the present value of benefits attributed to employee service rendered prior to the valuation date. The AAL equals the present value of benefits multiplied by a fraction equal to service to date over service at expected retirement. The ARC for fiscal year 2015 was based on an actuarial valuation of the Port's plan as of June 30, 2013, which amortized the Port's UAAL over a "closed" period of 30 years.

Actuarial assumptions used for the valuation of the Port's plan include a discount rate, which is based on the CERBT expected rate of return for the plan assets, and annual health care cost trends, which is based on the "Getzen" model published by the Society of Actuaries. The June 30, 2013 valuation used a discount rate of 7.00% and annual healthcare costs were assumed to increase at rates ranging from 2.75% to 7.25%, and a general inflation rate of 2.5% was used.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

The schedules presented as Required Supplementary Information following the notes to basic the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information. The Schedule of Funding Progress – Port of Oakland Postemployment Benefits presents information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

#### **B. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

#### 1. Construction Commitments

The City has committed to funding in the amount of \$293.2 million to a number of capital improvement projects for fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2017. As of June 30, 2015, the City had construction commitments for the acquisition and construction of assets as follows (in thousands):

Building, facilities and infrastructure	\$ 19,655
Parks and open space	14,461
Sewers and storm drains	43,124
Streets and sidewalks	181,259
Technology enhancements	13,992
Traffic improvements	 20,729
Total	\$ 293,221

#### 2. Other Commitments and Contingencies

#### Long-Range Property Management Plan ("LRPMP")

Under ABx1 26, adopted on June 28, 2011, as amended by AB 1484 adopted on June 27, 2012, all new redevelopment activities were suspended, with limited exceptions, and redevelopment agencies were dissolved on February 1, 2012, and replaced with successor agencies. Under this legislation, the Oakland Oversight Board, the California Department of Finance ("DOF") and the California State Controller's Office have varying degrees of responsibility and oversight over the dissolution process and successor agency activities. Health and Safety Code section 34179.7 provides that DOF will issue a finding of completion to a successor agency that makes required payments of available cash assets for distribution to taxing entities. On May 29, 2013, the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency ("ORSA"), after making its required payments, received its Finding of Completion from DOF.

On May 29, 2014, pursuant to Health and Safety Code ("HSC") section 34191.4, the California Department of Finance approved ORSA Long-Range Property Management Plan ("LRPMP") addressing the disposition and use of the former Agency properties and authorizing the disposition of properties pursuant to the plan.

#### Wood Street Affordable Housing Project Environmental Remediation

The Wood Street Affordable Housing Project analytical results show concentrations of arsenic, lead, total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in site soils and or ground water sample. As of June 30, 2015, environmental remediation clean- up activities has not been completed yet. The ORSA has set-aside \$300 thousand in escrow to cover the remaining environmental obligations.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

## Oakland Army Base Environmental Remediation

Land held for the Oakland Army Base project may be subject to environmental remediation as required by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act. If and when such environmental remediation is required, the former redevelopment agency and the Port are responsible for the first \$13.0 million of environmental remediation costs; including environmental remediation insurance. The former Agency has received a federal grant of \$13.0 million to pay for the above-mentioned environmental remediation costs including a \$3.5 million insurance premium. As of June 30, 2011, the former Agency has spent approximately \$13.0 million on this project. \$10.9 million has been reimbursed by the U.S. Department of the Army (Army). In FY 2013-14, the City received the \$2.1 million from the Army.

The next \$11.0 million of environmental remediation costs are to be shared equally by the City and the Port. As of June 30, 2015, the City has recorded its remaining share of \$917 thousand in estimated environmental cost under long-term liabilities. The next \$9.0 million will be paid from insurance proceeds from the environmental remediation policy. If subsequent environmental remediation is required after the initially-required remediation is complete, then the environmental site liability policy will cover up to \$30.0 million in additional environmental remediation-related costs. The City and the Port have agreed to share equally in any environmental remediation-related costs above \$21.0 million that are not covered by insurance.

As part of the City and the former Agency properties purchase and sale agreement of March 3, 2011, the Oakland Army Base operations and remediation liabilities have been transferred to the City.

In August 2013, the State Controller's Office, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34167.5, deemed the Oakland Army Base properties allowable and recommended for the City to transfer the Oakland Army Base assets. The City management believes that none of the estimated environmental remediation costs will cause the recorded amounts of any properties held for resale to exceed their estimated net realizable values.

#### Component Unit - Port of Oakland

As of June 30, 2015, the Port had construction commitments for the acquisition and construction of assets as follows (in thousands):

Aviation	\$ 74,059
Maritime	29,077
Other	 78
Total	\$ 103,214

The most significant projects for which the Port has contractual commitments for construction are: Runway Safety Area of \$10.2 million, Airport Terminal Renovation projects of \$61.8 million, and Phase 1 of the new rail terminal project on Port-owned OAB property of \$27.0 million.

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### 1. Power Purchases

The Port purchases electrical power for resale and self-consumption and currently has three power purchase agreements with East Bay Municipal Utility ("EBMUD"), the Western Area Power Administration ("WAPA") and SunEdison, LLC ("SunEdison") with expiration dates greater than four years

. Counterparty	Contract Ending Year	Contract Structure	Estimated Output	Estimated Annual Cost
EBMUD	2017	Take and Pay - (Pay contract price only if energy is received)	8,000 MWH	Approx. \$584,000 with no annual escalator
WAPA	2024	Take or Pay - (Pay contract price without regard to energy received)	17,000 MWH	Approx. \$800,000 (Changes annually depending on revenue requirement for power generation projects)
SunEdison	2027	Take and Pay - (Pay contract price only if energy is received)	1,200 MWH	Approx. \$200,000 with annual escalator

In addition to the aforementioned power purchase agreements, the Port had outstanding, as of June 30, 2015, approximately \$5.0 million of power purchases contracts with Powerex Corporation and Shell Energy North America through December 31, 2018.

#### 2. Environmental Remediation

The entitlements for the Airport Development Program ("ADP") subject the Port to obligations arising from the adopted ADP Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program required under: the California Environmental Quality Act; permits issued by numerous regulatory agencies including the Regional Water Quality Control Board and the Bay Conservation and Development Commission; and settlement agreements. The majority of these obligations have been met, and monitoring and reporting are ongoing.

A summary of the Port's environmental remediation liability accounts, net of the estimated recoveries, included in long-term obligations on the statement of net position at June 30, 2015, is as follows (in thousands):

			Est	ımate a
Obligating Event	Liability		Recovery	
Pollution poses an imminent danger to the public or environment	\$	449	\$	4
Identified as responsible to clean up pollution		8,286		1,633
Begins or legally obligates to clean up or post-clean up activities		2,965		191
Total by Obligating Event	\$	11,700	\$	1,828

The environmental remediation liability accounts in the summary tables are listed by the initial obligating event. Due to new information, the obligating event may change from the initial obligating event. Obligating events include without limitations: 1) the Port is named, or evidence indicates that it will be named, by a regulator such as the Department of Toxic Substances Control or the Regional Water Quality Control Board, as a responsible party or potentially responsible party for remediation; and 2) the Port has commenced, or has legally obligated itself to commence, clean-up activities or

## Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

monitoring or operation and maintenance of the remediation effort (e.g., by undertaking a soil and groundwater pre-development investigation).

#### Methods and Assumptions

The Port measured the environmental liabilities for pollution remediation sites on Port-owned property using the Expected Cash Flow technique. The measurements are based on the current value of the outlays expected to be incurred. The cash flow scenarios include each component which can be reasonably estimated for outlays such as testing, monitoring, legal services and indirect outlays for Port labor instead of ranges of all components. Reasonable estimates of ranges of possible cash flows are limited from a single scenario to a few scenarios. Data used to develop the cash flow scenarios is obtained from outside consultants, Port staff, and the Port's outside legal counsel.

Changes to estimates will be made when new information becomes available. Estimates for the pollution remediation sites will be developed when the following benchmarks or changes in estimated outlays occur:

- Receipt of an administrative order.
- Participation, as a responsible party or a potentially responsible party, in the site assessment or investigation.
- Completion of a corrective measures feasibility study.
- Issuance of an authorization to proceed.
- Remediation design and implementation, through and including operation and maintenance and post-remediation monitoring.
- Change in the remediation plan or operating conditions, including but not limited to type of equipment, facilities and services that will be used and price increases.
- Changes in technology.
- Changes in legal or regulatory requirements.

#### 3. Recoveries

The environmental liabilities balances listed on the prior page have been reduced by estimated future recoveries. In calculating the estimated future recoveries, Port staff and outside legal counsel reviewed and applied the requirements of GASB Statement No. 49 for accounting for recoveries. For example, if a Port tenant has a contract obligation to reimburse the Port for certain pollution remediation costs, or if an insurance carrier has paid money on a certain claim and the Port is pursuing additional costs from the insurance carrier associated with the claim, then a recovery was estimated. If an insurance carrier has not yet acknowledged coverage, then a recovery was not estimated.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### C. DEFICIT FUND BALANCES/NET POSITION AND EXPENDITURES OVER BUDGET

As of June 30, 2015, the following funds reported deficits in fund balance/net position (in thousands):

## Special Revenue:

Federal/State grant fund	\$6,160
Landscape and Lighting Assessment District	290
Internal Service Funds:	
Facilities	(34,601)
Equipment	(5,221)
Reproduction	(2,676)
Central Stores	(4,526)
Purchasing	(1,351)

The deficit in the Federal/State Grant Fund will be cleared by grant reimbursement submitted to granting agencies, but revenue has not been received within the City's availability period. The deficit in the Landscape and Lighting Assessment District they collected from special assessments. The City's facilities, equipment, reproduction, central stores, and purchasing fund deficits are expected to be funded through increased user charges in future years. During the 2011-13 Budget, the City revised the repayment plan for the internal service funds to eliminate the funds' net position deficit by 2019.

#### D. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

#### 1. Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes

On June 10, 2015, the City issued the 2015-16 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes (the "Notes") Series A in the principal amount of \$49,245,000, and Series B in the principal amount of \$108,975,000 with final maturity for both Series on June 30, 2016. The Series A Notes were successfully sold on a competitive basis and were priced with an interest rate of 2.00% to yield 0.29% at maturity. Series A Notes were issued to finance General Fund expenditures, including, but not limited to, current expenses, capital expenditures and the discharge of other obligations of the City. The Series B Notes were successfully sold on a competitive basis and were priced with an interest rate of 1.00% to yield 0.42% at maturity. The City intends to prepay its CalPERS pension payments for Fiscal Year 2015-16 with the proceeds of the Series B Notes. It is expected that the Notes will be available for delivery through the facilities of Depository Trust Company on or about July 1, 2015.

#### 2. Radio Lease 2015, Equipment Schedule No. 2

On July 16, 2015, the City entered into a Master Lease-Purchase Agreement in the principal amount of \$7,095,000. This financing provides funding to purchase equipment and professional services in order to improve the microwave network, replace the PWA portable radio fleet, replace the siren system, and deploy a mobile emergency network. The equipment group upgrades the current mission-critical public safety communication systems and related infrastructure. The final maturity is July, 2020 and has an interest rate of 1.48%.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2015

## 3. IT Systems Lease 2015, Equipment Schedule No. 1

On October 28, 2015, the City entered into a Master Lease-Purchase Agreement in the principal amount of \$17,000,000. This financing provides funding for hardware, software and professional services to upgrade/reimplement and remediate the Oracle E-Business Suite applications and related infrastructure, implement a new municipal budgeting system, replace and improve the 9-1-1 public safety systems and operate and maintain current information technology systems. The equipment group modernizes and maintains mission-critical information technology systems and related infrastructure. The final maturity is August 1, 2021 and has an interest rate of 2.81%.

## 4. Passage of Senate Bill 107 (the Bill)

In September 2015, the State passed the Bill which contains additional provisions and provides specificity to existing law governing the dissolution of redevelopment agencies and the wind-down of their existing activities and obligations. The Bill includes specific language to ORSA that facilitates the issuance of bonds or other indebtedness for the purposes of low and moderate income housing and various infrastructure in the City, by allowing the pledge of revenues available in the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund that are not otherwise pledged, subject to the approval of the Oversight Board. The Bill declares that the Central District Subordinated Tax Allocations Refunding Series 2013 and Subordinated Housing Set Aside Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A-T are finally and conclusively approved as enforceable obligations. The Bill required that remaining principal amount of the any of the loan that were previously unpaid after September 2015 shall be recalculated from the date of original of the loan on the basis at a simple interest rate of 3%.

#### 5. Refunding of Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds

In August 11, 2015, the ORSA issued Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series 2015-TE and Series 2015-T (the "Series 2015 Bonds") in an aggregate principal amount of \$89.2 million to refund outstanding Series 2006A Bonds, Series 2006A-T Bonds, Series 2006A-TE Bonds, and Series 2006C-TE Bonds. The Series 2015 Bonds were issued with interest rates ranging from 1.329% to 5.00% and will mature in September 2036. Issuance of the Bonds generated approximately \$8.0 million or 7.7% in net present value of savings, which is approximately \$10.3 million in debt service savings through 2037.

#### 6. Defeased Bond Payment

On September 1, 2015, ORSA made a \$33.2 million principal payment on ORSA's Subordinated Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2003 and 2005 defeased bonds. The remaining outstanding balance of defeased bond after the payment was \$8.6 million.

# REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

### SCHEDULES OF FUNDING PROGRESS

### **OPEB**

The schedules of funding progress below show the recent history of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, their relationship, and the relationship of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability to covered payroll. The required contributions were determined as part of the actuarial valuation using the entry age normal actuarial cost method.

**City Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)** 

						1 /			
						Unfunded			
		Actuarial	Actuarial		(	Overfunded)			UAAL as a
		Accrued	Value of			AAL	Funded	Covered	percent of
Valuation	L	iability (AAL)	Assets			(UAAL)	Ratio	Payroll	Covered Payroll
Date		(a)	 (b)			(a-b)	(b)/(a)	 (c)	((a-b) / c)
7/1/2010	\$	520,882,498	\$	-	\$	520,882,498	0.0%	\$ 310,154,816	167.9%
7/1/2012		553,530,074		-		553,530,074	0.0%	304,373,447	181.9%
7/1/2013		463,850,944 *		-		463,850,944	0.0%	322,169,792	144.0%

<sup>\*</sup> The City began to partially pre-fund the annual required contribution in the year ended June 30, 2014 by participating in California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust sponsored by CalPERS.

Port of Oakland PostEmployment Benefits (OPEB)

			10	i i di Qakianu	1 0211	Employment be	enems (C	JI ED)			
						Unfunded					
		Actuarial		Actuarial	(	Overfunded)				UAAL as	a
		Accrued		Value of		AAL	Fur	ıded	Covered	percent of	of
Valuation	Li	ability (AAL)		Assets		(UAAL)	Ra	ıtio	Payroll	Covered Pa	yroll
Date	_	(a)		(b)		(a-b)	(b)	/(a)	(c)	((a-b) / c	:)
1/1/2011	\$	131,327,000	\$	13,373,000	\$	117,954,000	10.	2%	\$ 45,248,000	261%	
6/30/2011		128,906,000		19,145,000		109,761,000	14.	9%	44,627,000	246%	
6/30/2013		136.616.000		30.715.000		105,901,000	22.	5%	47.823.000	221%	

# DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN PERS

# Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios

(in thousands)

		15	5		
		Safety	Mi	scellaneous	
Total Pension Liability					
Service cost	\$	34,590	\$	37,135	
Interest on pension liability		115,261		166,822	
Benfit payments, including refunds of employee contributions		(68,751)		(121,423)	
Net change in total pension liability		81,100		82,534	
Total pension liability - beginning		1,553,899		2,266,437	
Total pension liability - ending	\$	1,634,999	\$	2,348,972	
Plan fiduciary net position					
Contributions - Employer	\$	37,007	\$	52,556	
Contributions - Employee		14,598		17,431	
Net investment income		175,344		256,552	
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions		(68,751)		(121,423)	
Net change in plan fiduciary net position		158,198		205,116	
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning		1,012,740		1,499,097	
Plan fiduciary net position - ending	\$	1,170,937	\$	1,704,213	
Plan net position liability - ending	\$	464,062	\$	644,758	
Plan fiduciary net positions as a percentage of the total pension liability		71.6%		72.6%	
Covered-employee payroll	\$	120,396	\$	188,886	
Plan net position liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll		385.4%		341.3%	

# Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

### **Notes to Schedule:**

Benefit Changes: The figures above do not include any liability impact that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after June 30, 2013. This applies for voluntary benefit changes as well as any offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes).

Changes of Assumptions: There were no changes in assumptions.

\*Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, therefore only one year of information is shown. The measurement date for GASB Statement No. 68 purposes is June 30, 2014.

Miccollopooue

Safaty

# DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN PERS

### **Schedule of Plan Contributions**

(in thousands)

	Miscenaneous			Safety			
		<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>		2014
Actuarially Determined Contribution	\$	63,475 \$	52,556	\$	44,120	\$	37,007
Contributions in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contribution		(63,475)	(52,556)		(44,120)		(37,007)
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Covered-Employee Payroll	\$	198,678 \$	188,886	\$	139,685	\$	120,396
Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll		31.9%	27.8%		31.6%		30.7%

### Notes to Schedule:

The significant actuarial methods and assumptions used to compute the actuarially determined annual required contributions and the funded status are as follows:

Valuation Date
----------------

Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

Actuarial cost method Entry Age Normal Cost Method
Amortization method Level Percentage of Payroll, closed

Remaining amortization period 21 years

Asset valuation method 15 year smoothed market

Inflation 2.75%

Salary increases 3.30% to 14.20% depending on Age, Service, and Type of Employment Investment rate of return 7.50%, net of pension plan investment and administrative expenses; including

inflation

Retirement age The probabilities of Retirement are based on the 2010 CalPERS Experience

Study for the period from 1997 to 2007.

Mortality The probabilities of Retirement are based on the 2010 CalPERS Experience

Study for the period from 1997 to 2007. Pre-retirement and Post-retirement mortality rates include 5 years of projected mortality improvement using Scale

AA published by the Society of Actuaries.

<sup>\*</sup>Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, therefore only one year of information is shown above.

# Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

# Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System

Schedule of Changes in the Employer's Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios (in thousands)

	 2015	 2014
Total Pension Liability	 	 
Interest (includes interest on service cost)	\$ 41,263	\$ 42,333
Differences between expected and actual experience	(21,209)	-
Changes of assumptions	34,219	-
Benfit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	 (59,008)	 (57,409)
Net change in total pension liability	(4,734)	(15,076)
Total pension liability - beginning	 640,323	 655,399
Total pension liability - ending	\$ 635,589	\$ 640,323
Plan fiduciary net position		
Contributions - Member	\$ -	\$ 4
Net investment income	15,439	66,392
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(59,008)	(57,409)
Administrative expense	 (985)	 (776)
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	 (44,554)	 8,212
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning	 463,808	 455,596
Plan fiduciary net position - ending	\$ 419,254	\$ 463,808
Plan net position liability - ending	\$ 216,335	\$ 176,515
Plan fiduciary net positions as a percentage of the total pension liability	 66.0%	 72.4%
Covered-employee payroll	\$ -	\$ -
Plan net position liability as a percentage pf covered-employee payroll	N/A	N/A

Note: This is a 10-year schedule. Information for additional years will be presented when available.

# Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System Schedule of Employer Contributions (*in thousands*)

	2015	•	2014
Actuarially Determined Contribution	N/A	\$	20,300
Contributions in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contribution			-
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	N/A	\$	20,300
Covered-Employee Payroll	\$	- \$	-
Contributions as a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll	N/A		N/A

<sup>\*</sup> Although an actuarial valuation was performed as of June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014, no Actuarially Determined Contribution was determined for 2015, based on the City's funding policy.

In July 2012 the City of Oakland contributed \$210 million in Pension Obligation Bond (POBs) proceeds to the Plan.

Notes to Schedule

Valuation Date July 1, 2012

Timing Actuarially determined contributions are calculated based on the actuarial valuation one year prior to the

beginning of the Plan year.

**Key Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Contributions:** 

Actuarial Cost Method Entry Age Normal

**Asset Valuation Method** Recognizes 20% differences between market value and expected actuarial value each year,

with a corridor of 10% around market value.

Amortization MethodLevel dollar closed (23 years remaining as of 7/1/2013)Discount Rate6.75%U.S. Inflation3.25%

**Bay Area Inflation** 3.375%

**Projected Benefit** Following expiration of current MOUs (June 30, 2015 for Police, October 31, 2017 for Fire):

**Increases** 

Police - 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then Fire - 3% per year for 3 years, then 3.975% (Bay 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productively Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per

increase) per year. year.

Mortality (Healthy) RP-2000 Combined Healthy Table (for males, rates multiplied by 97% and ages set back 1 year),

projected to improve with Scale AA using a 2006 base year.

CalPERS Industrial Disability Mortality Table (from 1997 - 2007 experience study) projected

Mortality (Disability) to improve with Scale AA using 2010 base year.

# Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

# **Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund**

•	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)	
REVENUES					
Taxes:					
Property	\$ 206,508	\$ 206,508	\$ 228,072	\$ 21,564	
Sales and use tax	47,433	47,433	48,827	1,394	
Motor vehicle in-lieu tax	-	-	177	177	
Local taxes:	co. ca c	e0 e1 e			
Business license	60,616	60,616	66,677	6,061	
Utility consumption	50,000	50,000	50,594	594	
Real estate transfer	53,000	53,000	62,665	9,665	
Transient occupancy	14,883	14,883	16,898	2,015	
Parking	8,178	8,178	9,337	1,159	
Franchise	15,535	15,535	17,921	2,386	
Licenses and permits	1,945	1,944	1,573	(371)	
Fines and penalties	23,860	23,862	23,146	(716)	
Interest and investment income	715	715	695 82,461	(20)	
Charges for services	79,408 4,234	81,225 4,767	8,003	1,236 3,236	
Federal and state grants and subventions Annuity income	9,624	9,624	2,648		
Other	9,024	1,048	3,899	(6,976) 2,851	
TOTAL REVENUES	576,853	579,338	623,593	44,255	
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
Elected and Appointed Officials:					
Mayor	1,980	2,004	1,980	24	
Council	3,848	3,966	3,761	205	
City Administrator	14,984	21,534	15,668	5,866	
City Attorney	11,799	17,049	12,123	4,926	
City Auditor	1,561	1,571	1,620	(49)	
City Clerk	1,963	3,734	3,414	320	
Administrative Service Department:			7.074	002	
Human Resource Management	5,712	6,764	5,871	893	
Financial Services	24,416	28,044	22,666	5,378	
Information Technology	10,454	12,520	9,104	3,416	
Public Safety Department:	210.551	211.001	210112	(2.1.62)	
Police	210,574	214,981	218,143	(3,162)	
Fire	116,567	118,034	113,553	4,481	
Community Service Department:	10.144	10.650	20.071	(1.410)	
Parks and Recreation	19,144	18,652	20,071	(1,419)	
Library	9,264	9,266	9,141	125	
Health and Human Services	5,701	6,853	5,935	918	
Housing and Community Development Department:	510	1.022	500	521	
Planning and Building	518	1,023	502	521	
Economic and Workforce Development	8,258	9,171	7,775	1,396	
Housing & Community Development Public Works	1,783 38,462	7,490 42,934	2,330 38,703	5,160 4,231	
Other	11,611	12,022	6,581	5,441	
Capital outlay	2,208		4,323		
Debt service:	2,200	10,480	4,323	6,157	
Principal repayment	4,727	6,158	5,613	545	
Bond issuance costs	4,727	0,136	200	(200)	
Interest charges	519	519	629	(110)	
=					
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	506,053	554,769	509,706	45,063	
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	70,800	24,569	113,887	89,318	
Property sale proceeds	4,000	4,000	309	(3,691)	
Insurance claims and settlements	-	1,682	5,477	3,795	
Transfers in	69,659	84,546	2,842	(81,704)	
Transfers out	(143,075)	(152,147)	(110,428)	41,719	
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES	(69,416)	(61,919)	(101,800)	(39,881)	
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	1,384	(37,350)	12,480	49,437	
Fund balances - beginning	257,209	257,209	257,209		
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 258,593	\$ 219,859	\$ 269,689	\$ 49,437	

# Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

# **Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Other Special Revenue Fund**

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)
REVENUES	·		·	
Taxes:				
Property	\$ 15,059	\$ 15,059	\$ 15,369	\$ 310
Local taxes:				
Voter approved special tax	17,667	17,667	18,047	380
Parking	3,633	3,633	4,671	1,038
Transient occupancy	3,991	4,671	9,061	4,390
Licenses and permits	13,551	13,551	20,824	7,273
Fines and penalties	1,015	1,015	493	(522)
Interest and investment income	10	166	466	300
Charges for services	17,942	17,942	19,793	1,851
Federal and state grants and subventions	1,443	1,681	2,101	420
Other	319	1,217	1,687	470
TOTAL REVENUES	74,630	76,602	92,512	15,910
EXPENDITURES Current:				
Elected and Appointed Officials:				
Mayor	66	82	36	46
City Administrator	1,341	2,371	896	1,475
City Attorney	1,345	1,308	1,148	160
Agencies/Departments:	-,	-,	-,	
Finance	545	565	902	(337)
Information Technology	591	510	475	35
Police Department	14,568	14,048	16,388	(2,340)
Fire	8,917	9,512	7,719	1,793
Community Service Department:				
Parks and Recreation	-	507	440	67
Library	17,425	17,829	16,697	1,132
Human Services Department	19,369	26,887	19,222	7,665
Community and Economic Devolopment:				
Planning and Building Department	22,771	28,050	22,093	5,957
Economic & Workforce Development	499	905	504	401
Housing & Community Development	1,605	4,974	4,111	863
Public Works	4,151	6,032	4,105	1,927
Other	6,009	3,914	4,087	(173)
Capital outlay TOTAL EXPENDITURES	99,634	7,049 124,543	99,017	<u>6,855</u> 25,526
	<del></del>		<del></del>	
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES	(25,004)	(47,941)	(6,505)	41,436
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES				
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	26 274	27.512	15 201	(12.211)
Transfers in	26,374	27,512	15,301	(12,211)
Transfers out	(715)	(736)		736
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	25,659	26,776	15,301	(11,475)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	655	(21,165)	8,796	29,961
Fund balance - beginning	23,879	23,879	23,879	
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 24,534	\$ 2,714	\$ 32,675	\$ 29,961

# Notes to Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

### (1) BUDGETARY DATA

In accordance with the provisions of the City Charter, the City prepares and adopts a budget on or before June 30 for each fiscal year. The City Charter prohibits expending funds for which there is no legal appropriation. Therefore, the City is required to adopt budgets for all City funds.

Prior to July 1, the original adopted budget is finalized through the passage of a resolution by the City Council. The level of legal budgetary control by the City Council is established at the fund level. For management purposes, the budget is controlled at the departmental level of expenditure within funds.

In June 2014, the City Council approved the FY 2014-15 Mid-Cycle Adjusted Budget which adopted changes to the FY 2013-15 biennial budget. The final budgetary data presented in the required supplementary information reflects approved changes to the adopted 2014-15 mid-cycle budget. Certain projects are appropriated on a multiyear rather than annual basis. If such projects or programs are not completed at the end of the fiscal year, unexpended appropriations are carried forward to the following year with the approval of the City Administrator.

Transfers of appropriations between funds and supplemental appropriations financed by unanticipated revenues must be approved by the City Council.

Transfers of appropriations between projects within the same fund must be approved by the City Administrator. Final budget amounts reported in the required supplementary information reflect both the appropriation changes approved by the City Council and the transfers approved by the City Administrator.

### **Budgetary Basis of Accounting**

The City adopts budgets each fiscal year on a basis of accounting which is substantially the same as accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) except for certain investment earnings.

Certain funds of the City contain capital projects, grant projects, loan programs or other programs that are budgeted on a multiyear basis. The amounts of the projects and programs budgeted on a multiyear basis are significant compared to the items budgeted on an annual basis; therefore, a comparison of budget to actual for the fund would not be meaningful. As a result, such funds that are excluded from budgetary reporting are:

- Federal/State Grants Fund
- Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund
- Municipal Capital Improvement Fund

While the City adopts budgets for all funds, the budgets to actual comparisons for proprietary and fiduciary funds are not presented because some projects and programs are adopted on a multiyear basis.

Notes to Required Supplementary Information (continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

# (2) RECONCILIATION OF OPERATIONS ON MODIFIED ACCRUAL BASIS TO BUDGETARY BASIS

The governmental fund financial statements have been prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with GAAP. The "Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund" has been prepared on a budgetary basis, which is different from GAAP.

The budgetary process is based upon accounting for certain transactions on a basis other than GAAP. The results of operations are presented in the budget to actual comparison schedule in accordance with the budgetary process (Budgetary Basis) to provide a meaningful comparison with the budget.

The main difference between actual amounts on a budgetary basis and a GAAP basis is due to timing.

In October 2001, the City entered into a debt service deposit agreement with a third party whereby the City received approximately \$9.6 million in exchange for forgoing its right to receive investment earnings on the amounts deposited with the trustee in advance of the date that the related debt was due to the bondholders. The compensation to the City was recorded as revenue in fiscal year 2002 when received on a budgetary basis. On a GAAP basis, the revenue was deferred and is being recognized over the 21-year life of the agreement. Amortization for the year ended June 30, 2015, was \$0.

The following schedule is a reconciliation of the GAAP and budgetary results of operations (in thousands):

	G	General	
	Fund		
Net change in fund balance - GAAP basis	\$	12,480	
Amortization of debt service deposit agreement		_	
Net change in fund balance - Budgetary basis	\$	12,480	

The General Fund's fund balance on a GAAP Basis is reconciled to a Budgetary Basis as of June 30, 2015, which is as follows (in thousands):

Cananal

	Fund		
Fund balance as of June 30, 2015 - GAAP basis	\$	266,331	
Unamortized debt service deposit agreement		3,358	
Fund balance as of June 30, 2015 - Budgetary basis	\$	269,689	

# COMBINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

# Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds

**June 30, 2015** (*In thousands*)

	_	ial Revenue Funds		ot Service Funds	Gov	Nonmajor ernmental Total
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	27,514	\$	17,754	\$	45,268
Receivables, net:						
Accrued interest and dividends		29		17		46
Property taxes		2,500		925		3,425
Accounts receivable		7,110		-		7,110
Grants receivable		7		-		7
Restricted cash and investments		1,021		14,797		15,818
Other Assets		14				14
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	38,195	\$	33,493	\$	71,688
LIABILITIES						
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	2,947	\$	5	\$	2,952
Due to other funds		1,838		-		1,838
Other		1,020				1,020
TOTAL LIABILITIES		5,805		5		5,810
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES						
Unavailable revenue - property tax		2,083		394		2,477
FUND BALANCES						
Restricted		28,510		33,094		61,604
Committed		2,083		-		2,083
Assigned		1,024		-		1,024
Unassigned		(1,310)	-		-	(1,310)
TOTAL FUND BALANCES		30,307		33,094		63,401
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS	<del></del>					
OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	38,195	\$	33,493	\$	71,688

# Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 (In Thousands)

	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Elimination	Total Nonmajor Governmental Total
REVENUES				
Taxes:				
Property	\$ -	\$ 24,959	\$ -	\$ 24,959
Sales and use	14,891	-	-	14,891
Gas	12,030	-	-	12,030
Voter approved special tax	19,396	-	-	19,396
Licenses and permits	54	-	-	54
Fines and penalties	1,497	69	-	1,566
Interest and investment income	96	3,367	-	3,463
Charges for services	269	-	-	269
Federal and state grants and subventions	921	343	-	1,264
Other	1,653	704	-	2,357
TOTAL REVENUES	50,807	29,442		80,249
EXPENDITURES Current:				
Elected and Appointed Officials:				
Mayor	123	-	-	123
City Administrator	18	-	-	18
City Attorney	137	-	-	137
Departments:				
Administratvie Service Department:				
Financial Services	74	69	-	143
Public Safety:			-	
Oakland Police Department	1,154	-	-	1,154
Oakland Fire Department	598	-	-	598
Community Service Department:				
Parks and Recreation	4,330	-	-	4,330
Library	172	-	-	172
Human Services Department	1,176	-	-	1,176
Community and Economic Development:				
Economic & Workforce Development	930	-	-	930
Oakland Public Works	33,501	2	-	33,503
Other	-	63	-	63
Capital outlay	10,101	-	-	10,101
Debt service:			-	
Principal repayment	-	121,987	-	121,987
Bond issuance cost	-	629	-	629
Payment to refund bond escrow agent	-	11,213	-	11,213
Interest charges	<del>-</del>	55,943		55,943
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	52,314	189,906		242,220
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES				
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(1,507)	(160,464)		(161,971)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Issuance of refunding bonds		128,895	_	128,895
Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds	_	15,472	_	15,472
Payment to refund bond escrow agent	-	(143,717)	-	(143,717)
Transfers in	82	93,835	(1,416)	92,501
Transfers out	(1,744)	93,033	1,416	(328)
		04.405	1,410	
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	(1,662)	94,485		92,823
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	(3,169)	(65,979)		(69,148)
Fund balances - beginning	33,476	99,073		132,549
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 30,307	\$ 33,094	\$ -	\$ 63,401

# NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

Special revenue funds account for certain revenue sources that are legally restricted or committed to be spent for specified purposes. Other restricted sources are accounted for in fiduciary, debt service, and capital projects funds.

**Traffic Safety and Control Fund** accounts for monies received from 3-5% parking meter collections and from fines and forfeitures for misdemeanor violations of vehicle codes which are expended or disbursed for purposes immediately connected with traffic safety and control.

**State Gas Tax Fund** accounts for the subventions received from state gas taxes under the provision of the Streets and Highways Code. State gas taxes are restricted to uses related to local streets and highways and would include acquisitions of real property, construction and improvements, and repairs and maintenance of streets and highways.

The Landscape and Lighting Assessment District Fund is an assessment district fund that is used to account for monies restricted to installing, maintaining and servicing public lighting, landscaping and park facilities.

**Assessment Districts Fund** accounts for monies restricted to specific improvements that beneficially affect a well defined and limited area of land.

Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Fund accounts for monies held for the general betterment and beautification of city parks, recreation centers, the Oakland Public Museum, and the Oakland Public Library.

# Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds-Special Revenue Funds June 30, 2015 (In Thousands)

	S	Fraffic afety & Control		State as Tax	Li Ass	ndscape and ighting sessment sistrict		sessment istricts	Rec	Parks, creation, Cultural		Total
ASSETS												
Cash and Investments	\$	12.674	\$	6,580	\$		\$	4.079	\$	4.181	\$	27,514
Receivable, net:	ф	12,074	Ф	0,560	Ф	-	Ф	4,079	Ф	4,101	φ	27,314
Accrued interest and dividends		14		7		_		4		4		29
Property taxes		-		-		2,206		93		201		2,500
Accounts receivable		5,898		246		943		23				7,110
Grants receivable		7		_		_		_		_		7
Restricted cash and investments		-		-		1,020		-		1		1,021
Other Assets		14		<u>-</u>						_		14
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	18,607	\$	6,833	\$	4,169	\$	4,199	\$	4,387	\$	38,195
LIABILITIES												
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	1,713	\$	244	\$	794	\$	113	\$	83	\$	2,947
Due to other funds		-		-		1,838		-		-		1,838
Other				_		_		_		1,020		1,020
TOTAL LIABILITIES		1,713		244		2,632		113		1,103		5,805
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES												
Unavailable revenue - property tax						1,827		80		176		2,083
FUND BALANCES												
Restricted		16,894		6,589		1,020		4,006		1		28,510
Committed		-		-		-		-		2,083		2,083
Assigned		-		-		-		-		1,024		1,024
Unassigned		_		_		(1,310)		_		_		(1,310)
TOTAL FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT		16,894		6,589		(290)	-	4,006		3,108		30,307
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS		.,		.,	-	(-, -,	-	,,,,,		- /		
OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	18,607	\$	6,833	\$	4,169	\$	4,199	\$	4,387	\$	38,195

# CITY OF OAKLAND Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds-Special Revenue Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 (In Thousands)

	Saf	raffic fety & ontrol	State Gas Tax	Landscape and Lighting Assessment District	Assessment Districts	Parks, Recreation, and Cultural	Total
REVENUES							
State:							
Sales and use	\$	14,891	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,891
Gas	,	-	12,030				12,030
Voter approved special tax		_	_	19,204	192	_	19,396
Licenses and permits		_	_	54	_	_	54
Fines and penalities		1,497	_	_	_	_	1,497
Interest and investment income		49	21	_	13	13	96
Charges for services		108	9	152	_	_	269
Federal and state grants and subventions		254	138	_	_	529	921
Other		1,353	8	192	3	97	1,653
	-						
TOTAL REVENUES		18,152	12,206	19,602	208	639	50,807
EXPENDITURES							
Current:							
Elected and Appointed Officials:							
Mayor		-	-	-	-	123	123
City Administrator		-	-	15	3	-	18
City Attorney		33	104	-	-	-	137
Departments:							
Administrative Service Department:							
Finance		43	-	29	2	-	74
Public Safety:							
Oakland Police Department		1,154	-	-	-	-	1,154
Oakland Fire Department		-	-	-	598	-	598
Community Service Department:							
Parks and Recreation		-	-	4,330	-	-	4,330
Library		-	-	-	-	172	172
Human Services Department		1,176	-	-	-	-	1,176
Community and Economic Development:							
Economic & Workforce Development		507	-	-	-	423	930
Oakland Public Works		6,434	11,862	15,153	30	22	33,501
Capital outlay		8,544	1,180	179	101	97	10,101
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		17,891	13,146	19,706	734	837	52,314
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES							
		261	(940)	(104)	(526)	(198)	(1.507)
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		201	(940)	(104)	(320)	(198)	(1,507)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)							
Transfers in		82	-	-	-	-	82
Transfers out		-		(1,744)			(1,744)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		82		(1,744)			(1,662)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES		343	(940)	(1,848)	(526)	(198)	(3,169)
Fund balances (deficit) - beginning		16,551	7,529	1,558	4,532	3,306	33,476
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$	16,894	\$ 6,589	\$ (290)	\$ 4,006	\$ 3,108	\$ 30,307

# CITY OF OAKLAND Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual Special Revenue Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 (In Thousands)

Page		Traffic Safety and Control					State (	Lac Tay		Landscape and Lighting District			
Company         Organization			Traine Saret	y and Control		-	State	3as 1 ax		-	Lanuscape and	Lighting District	
Sale and				Budgetary	Positive			Budgetary	Positive			Budgetary	Positive
Selection of the properties	REVENUES												
Consistant													
Properties		\$ 11,750	\$ 11,995	\$ 14,891	\$ 2,896	-	Ψ.	ų.	Ψ	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fines and permite		-	-	=	-	10,887	10,887	12,030	1,143	=	-	=	
Fine cand promities   2,727   1,497   1,497   1,230   1		=	=	Ξ	=	=	=	=	=				
Ministration   Mini		=	-	=	-	-	=	=	-	13	13	54	41
Public Service   12						-	-	-	-			-	-
Policy   P						-							- (20)
Contame   1	-								. ,				(20)
Part		1,500	2,223			141	141						(1.071)
Commission   Com		<del>_</del>											
Community and Economic Development   1,146   1,768   1,281   1,293   1,204   1,205	TOTAL REVENUES	16,109	17,077	18,152	1,075	11,048	11,048	12,206	1,158	20,619	20,619	19,602	(1,017)
City Attorney													
City Administrator													
City Attorney 6 30 80 33 80 33 80 120 120 104 16										22		15	40
Popular Meriting Service Departments:	•	-	-	-				-					48
Administrative Service Departments: Finance 16 2 42 43 (1)		30	30	33	(3)	120	120	104	16	-	-	-	-
Finance 42 42 43 (1)	*												
Public Safety: Police Department 2,420 3,077 1,154 1,923 - S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		42	12	12	(1)					26	21	20	2
Police Department 2,420 3,077 1,154 1,923		42	72	45	(1)	=	=	=	-	20	51	2)	2
Community Service Department:		2 420	3.077	1 154	1 923	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Parks and Recreation         -		2,420	3,077	1,154	1,723								
Human Services Department   1,146   1,760   1,176   584   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	4.331	4.334	4.330	4
Community and Economic Devolopment   Economic & Workforce Development   Section   Se		1.146	1.760	1,176	584	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-
Economic & Workforce Development   723   507   216   -		-,	-,	-,									
Capital outlay         9,495         23,665         8,544         15,121         -         4,168         1,180         2,988         -         203         179         24           Debt service:         Principal repayment         -		=	723	507	216	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
Debt service:   Principal repayment	Public Works	3,348	6,721	6,434	287	12,446	12,599	11,862	737	14,387	15,704	15,153	551
Principal repayment	Capital outlay	9,495	23,665	8,544	15,121	=	4,168	1,180	2,988	=	203	179	24
TOTAL EXPENDITURES 16,481 36,018 17,891 18,127 12,566 16,887 13,146 3,741 20,414 20,335 19,706 629 EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES (372) (18,941) 261 19,202 (1,518) (5,839) (940) 4,899 205 284 (104) (388)  OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)  Transfers in 372 372 82 (290) - 1,518 - (1,518) 127 127 - (127) 127 (127	Debt service:												
TOTAL EXPENDITURES 16,481 36,018 17,891 18,127 12,566 16,887 13,146 3,741 20,414 20,335 19,706 629 EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES (372) (18,941) 261 19,202 (1,518) (5,839) (940) 4,899 205 284 (104) (388)  OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)  Transfers in 372 372 82 (290) - 1,518 - (1,518) 127 127 - (127) 127 (127	Principal repayment	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	1.647	_	_	_
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES  (372) (18,941) 261 19,202 (1,518) (5,839) (940) 4,899 205 284 (104) (388)  OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)  Transfers in 372 372 82 (290) - 1,518 - (1,518) 127 127 - (127)  Transfers out (150) (328) (1,744) (1,744)  TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) 222 372 82 (290) - 1,518 - (1,518) 2 (1,518) (201) (1,617) (1,744) (127)  NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES (150) (18,569) 343 18,912 (1,518) (4,321) (940) 3,381 4 (1,333) (1,848) (515)  Fund balances (deficit) - beginning 16,551 16,551 16,551 - 7,529 7,529 7,529 - 1,558 1,558 1,558 1,558	* * *	16.481	36.018	17 801	18 127	12 566	16 887	13 146	3 7/11		20.335	10 706	629
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES         (372)         (18,941)         261         19,202         (1,518)         (5,839)         (940)         4,899         205         284         (104)         (388)           OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)           Transfers in Transfers out (150)         372         372         82         (290)         -         1,518         -         (1,518)         127         127         -         (127)           Total OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)         222         372         82         (290)         -         1,518         -         (1,518)         (201)         (1,617)         (1,744)         -           NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES         (150)         (18,569)         343         18,912         (1,518)         (4,321)         (940)         3,381         4         (1,333)         (1,848)         (515)           Fund balances (deficit) - beginning         16,551         16,551         16,551         -         7,529         7,529         7,529         -         1,558         1,558         1,558         -		10,401	30,018	17,071	10,127	12,500	10,007	13,140	3,741	20,414	20,333	17,700	027
Transfers in Transfers in Transfers out         372 (150)         372 (150)         4 (170)         - 1,518 (150)         - (1,518)         - (1,518)         127 (127)         127 (174)         - (127)           Transfers out         (150)		(372)	(18,941)	261	19,202	(1,518)	(5,839)	(940)	4,899	205	284	(104)	(388)
Transfers in Transfers in Transfers in Transfers out         372         372         82         (290)         -         1,518         -         (1,518)         127         127         -         (127)           Transfers out         (150)         -					<del></del>								
Transfers out         (150)         -	OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)												
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) 222 372 82 (290) - 1,518 - (1,518) (201) (1,617) (1,744) (127)  NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES (150) (18,569) 343 18,912 (1,518) (4,321) (940) 3,381 4 (1,333) (1,848) (515)  Fund balances (deficit) - beginning 16,551 16,551 16,551 - 7,529 7,529 7,529 - 1,558 1,558 1,558 -			372	82	(290)	-	1,518	-	(1,518)				(127)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES (150) (18,569) 343 18,912 (1,518) (4,321) (940) 3,381 4 (1,333) (1,848) (515) Fund balances (deficit) - beginning 16,551 16,551 16,551 - 7,529 7,529 7,529 - 1,558 1,558 1,558	Transfers out						<u> </u>			(328)	(1,744)	(1,744)	
Fund balances (deficit) - beginning 16,551 16,551 16,551 - 7,529 7,529 - 1,558 1,558 -	TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	222	372	82	(290)		1,518	<u> </u>	(1,518)	(201)	(1,617)	(1,744)	(127)
Fund balances (deficit) - beginning 16,551 16,551 16,551 - 7,529 7,529 - 1,558 1,558 -	NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	(150)	(18,569)	343	18,912	(1,518)	(4,321)	(940)	3,381	4	(1,333)	(1,848)	(515)
	Fund balances (deficit) - beginning	16,551		16,551	-			7,529	-	1,558			-
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - ENDING         \$ 16,401         \$ (2,018)         \$ 16,894         \$ 18,912         \$ 6,011         \$ 3,208         \$ 6,589         \$ 3,381         \$ 1,562         \$ 225         \$ (290)         \$ (515)	FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$ 16,401	\$ (2,018)	\$ 16,894	\$ 18,912	\$ 6,011	\$ 3,208	\$ 6,589	\$ 3,381	\$ 1,562	\$ 225	\$ (290)	\$ (515)

# Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual Special Revenue Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 (In Thousands)

		Assessmer	nt Districts		Parks, Recreation, and cultural					
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)		
REVENUES										
Taxes:										
Property	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Local taxes:	2.114	2.100	102	(1.016)						
Voter approved special tax Interest and investment income	2,114	2,108	192 13	(1,916)	33	33	13	(20)		
	-	-	13	13	33	611	529	(20) (82)		
Federal and state grants and subventions Other	3	3	3	-	180	253	329 97	(156)		
	-									
TOTAL REVENUES	2,117	2,111	208	(1,903)	213	897	639	(258)		
EXPENDITURES Current:										
Elected and Appointed Officials:										
Mayor	-	-	-	-	-	160	123	37		
City Administrator	3	4	3	1	-	-	-	-		
Agencies/Departments:										
Finance	131	-	2	(2)	-	-	-	-		
Information Technology					-	-	-	-		
Fire	2,128	1,702	598	1,104	-	=	-	-		
Community Service Department:										
Parks and Recreation	-	-	-	-	69	347	-	347		
Library	-	-	-	-	111	667	172	495		
Community and Economic Devolopment:						5.00	122	146		
Economic & Workforce Development	-	-	-	-	-	569	423	146		
Housing & Community Development Public Works	-	61	30	31	-	137	22	115		
Other	-	01	30	-	33	33	-	33		
Capital outlay	-	433	101	332	(9)	545	97	448		
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2.262	2,200	734	·	204	2,458	837	1,621		
	2,262	2,200	/34	1,466	204	2,438	837	1,021		
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES										
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(145)	(89)	(526)	(437)	9	(1,561)	(198)	1,363		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)										
Proceeds from sale of property	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Transfers in	151	151	<u> </u>	151						
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	6	62	(526)	(286)	9	(1,561)	(198)	1,363		
Fund balance - beginning	4,532	4,532	4,532	<del>_</del> _	3,306	3,306	3,306	<u>-</u> _		
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 6	\$ 62	\$ 4,006	\$ (286)	\$ 3,315	\$ 1,745	\$ 3,108	\$ 1,363		

# NONMAJOR DEBT SERVICE FUNDS

Debt service funds account for the accumulation of resources to be used for the payment of general long-term debt principal and interest.

The **General Obligation Bonds Fund** accounts for monies received in connection with the General Obligation Bonds and the related payments on such debt. Proceeds from the General Obligation Bonds are to be used by the City to expand and develop park and recreation facilities, and to enhance the City's emergency response capabilities and for seismic reinforcement of essential public facilities and infrastructure.

The **Lease Financing Fund** accounts for monies received in connection with leases between the City and the ORSA, and the City and the California Statewide Communities Development Authority. It also accounts for payments on bonds and other debt issued for the Oakland Museum, for capital improvements to certain City properties, and for the Scotlan and Kaiser Convention Centers.

The **JPFA Fund** accounts for monies received in connection with leases between the City and the JPFA.

The **Other Assessment Bonds Fund** accounts for special assessment monies received from property owners within the various special assessment districts to liquidate the improvement bonds. These districts include Rockridge Area Water Improvement, and the Fire Area Utility Underground.

The **Special Revenue Bonds Fund** accounts for financing received in connection with the Special Refunding Revenue Bonds (Pension Financing) and for payments on such bonds. The revenues for this fund comes from the "Tax Override Revenues" consist of the revenues generated and collected by the City as proceeds of its annual tax levy authorized Resolution No. 59916 C.M.S adopted in August 1981 by the City Council to fund the City's obligations under Measure R and Measure O. The revenues are used by the City to fund a portion of the City's liability for public safety employee pensions.

# Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds-Debt Service Funds June 30, 2015 (In Thousands)

	Ol	General bligation Bonds	ease ancing	JPFA Fund	Ass	Other sessment Bonds	R	Special Revenue Bonds	Total
ASSETS				 					 
Cash and investments	\$	11,178	\$ 437	\$ 1,239	\$	1,129	\$	3,771	\$ 17,754
Receivables, net:									
Accrued interest and dividends		10	1	1		1		4	17
Property taxes		856	-	-		69		-	925
Restricted cash and investments		22	 <u>-</u>	123		667		13,985	14,797
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	12,066	\$ 438	\$ 1,363	\$	1,866	\$	17,760	\$ 33,493
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES									
Liabilities									
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$		\$ 2	\$ 1	\$	2	\$		\$ 5
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES									
Unavailable revenue - property tax		351	 	 		43			 394
FUND BALANCES									
Restricted		11,715	436	1,362		1,821		17,760	33,094
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS				 					 
OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	12,066	\$ 438	\$ 1,363	\$	1,866	\$	17,760	\$ 33,493

# Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds-Debt Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2015 (In Thousands)

	General Obligation Bonds		Lease Financing		JPFA Fund	Other Assessment Bonds		Special Revenue Bonds			Total
REVENUES											
Property taxes	\$	24,959	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	24,959
Fines and penalties		69	-		-		-		-		69
Interest and investment income		34	1		3,228		27		77		3,367
Grants		-	343		-		-		-		343
Other			 -		_		704		_		704
TOTAL REVENUES		25,062	 344		3,228		731		77		29,442
						O					
EXPENDITURES											
Departments:											
Finance		-	-		-		69		-		69
Oakland Public works		-	2		-		-		-		2
Other		10	2		1		45		5		63
Debt Service:											
Principal repayment		4,390	15,008		71,235		345		31,009		121,987
Bond issuance cost		629	-		-		-		-		629
Payment to refund bond escrow agent		11,213	-		-		-		-		11,213
Interest charges		9,800	 830		7,356		268		37,689		55,943
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		26,042	 15,842		78,592		727		68,703		189,906
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES											
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		(980)	 (15,498)		(75,364)		4		(68,626)		(160,464)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES											
Issuance of refunding bonds		128,895	-		-		-		-		128,895
Premiums (discount) on issuance of bonds		15,472	-		-		-		-		15,472
Payment to refund bond escrow agent		(143,717)	-		-		-		-		(143,717)
Transfers in			 15,488		9,062				69,285		93,835
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES		650	 15,488		9,062				69,285		94,485
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES		(330)	(10)		(66,302)		4		659		(65,979)
Fund balances - beginning		12,045	 446	_	67,664		1,817	_	17,101	_	99,073
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$	11,715	\$ 436	\$	1,362	\$	1,821	\$	17,760	\$	33,094

# Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual Debt Service Funds

# For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

		General Obli	gation Bonds		Lease Financing						
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)			
REVENUES											
Taxes:											
Property	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,959	\$ 24,959	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			
Fines and penalties	-	-	69	69	=	=	=	<del>-</del>			
Interest and investment income	-	-	34	34	-	-	1	1			
Federal and state grants and subventions	-	-	-	-	-	351	343	(8)			
Other					21,500	21,500		(21,500)			
TOTAL REVENUES	<del>_</del>		25,062	25,062	21,500	21,851	344	(21,507)			
EXPENDITURES											
Current:											
Agencies/Departments:											
Public Works	-	-	-	-	_	-	2	-			
Other	31	31	10	21	7	7	2	5			
Debt service:											
Principal repayment	11,404	4,390	4,390	-	35,195	36,508	15,008	21,500			
Bond issuance cost	-	651	629	22	-	-	=	-			
Payment to refund bond escrow agent	-	11,213	11,213	-	-	-	-	-			
Interest charges	11,412	9,800	9,800		377	830	830	<u> </u>			
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	22,847	26,085	26,042	43	35,579	37,345	15,842	21,505			
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES			·								
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(22,847)	(26,085)	(980)	25,105	(14,079)	(15,494)	(15,498)	(2)			
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES											
Issuance of debt	-	144,367	128,895	(15,472)	_	-	-	-			
Premiums on issuance of bonds	-	15,472	15,472	-	-	-	-	-			
Payment to refund bond escrow agent	-	(143,717)	(143,717)	-	-	-	-	-			
Transfers in	23,847	25,433		(25,433)	14,078	15,494	15,488	(6)			
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES	23,847	25,433	650	(40,905)	14,078	15,494	15,488	(6)			
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	1,000	(652)	(330)	(15,800)	(1)	-	(10)	(8)			
Fund balances - beginning	12,045	12,045	12,045		446	446	446				
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 13,045	\$ 11,393	\$ 11,715	\$ (15,800)	<u>\$ 445</u>	\$ 446	\$ 436	\$ (8)			

# CITY OF OAKLAND Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual Debt Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

		JPFA 1	Fund		Other Assessment Bonds				Special Rev	Special Revenue Bonds			
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)	
REVENUES Interest and investment income	s -	\$ -	\$ 3.228	\$ 3.228	¢	S -	\$ 27	\$ 27	s -	s -	\$ 77	\$ 77	
Other	5 -	<b>5</b> -	\$ 3,228	\$ 3,228	35	35	\$ 27 704	\$ 27 669	5 -	3 -	\$ //	\$ 11	
TOTAL REVENUES			3,228	3,228	35	35	731	696			77	77	
TOTAL REVENUES		<del></del>	3,228	3,228			/31	090		<u>-</u>			
EXPENDITURES													
Current:													
Agencies/Departments:													
Finance	-	-	-	-	59	59	69	(10)	-	-	-	-	
Other	16	16	1	15	26	29	45	(16)	29	29	5	24	
Debt service:													
Principal repayment	12,965	71,235	71,235	-	345	345	345	=	31,009	31,009	31,009	-	
Interest charges	7,356	7,356	7,356	-	273	273	268	5	37,898	37,898	37,689	209	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	20,337	78,607	78,592	15	703	706	727	(21)	68,936	68,936	68,703	233	
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES	(20,337)	(78,607)	(75,364)	3,243	(668)	(671)	4	675	(68,936)	(68,936)	(68,626)	310	
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)													
Transfers in	22,587	78,607	9,062	(69,545)	703	703	-	(703)	68,936	68,936	69,285	349	
Transfers out	(2,250)				(32)	(32)		32					
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	20,337	78,607	9,062	(69,545)	671	671		(671)	68,936	68,936	69,285	349	
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	-	_	(66,302)	(66,302)	3	-	4	4	-	-	659	659	
Fund balances - beginning	67,664	67,664	67,664		1,817	1,817	1,817		17,101	17,101	17,101		
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 67,664	\$ 67,664	\$ 1,362	\$ (66,302)	\$ 1,820	\$ 1,817	\$ 1,821	\$ 4	\$ 17,101	\$ 17,101	\$ 17,760	\$ 659	

# INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS

Internal service funds account for operations that provide goods or services to other City departments and agencies, or to other governments, on a cost-reimbursement basis.

The **Equipment Fund** accounts for the purchase of automotive and rolling equipment, and the related maintenance service charges and related billings for various City departments.

The **Radio Fund** accounts for the purchase, maintenance and operation of radio and other communication equipment being used by various City departments.

The **Facilities Fund** accounts for the repair and maintenance of City facilities, and for provision of custodial and maintenance services related thereto.

The **Reproduction Fund** accounts for the acquisition, maintenance and provision of reproduction equipment and services related to normal governmental operations.

The Central Stores Fund accounts for inventory provided to various City departments on a cost reimbursement basis.

The **Purchasing Fund** accounts for procurement of materials, equipments and services essential to providing governmental services for the City.

# Combining Statement of Fund Net Position Internal Service Funds June 30, 2015

	Equipment Rad		Facilities	Reproduction	Central Stores	Purchasing	Total	
ASSETS								
Current assets:								
Cash and Investments	\$ 265	\$ 5,934	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,199	
Accounts receivable	38	76	141	-	-	-	255	
Inventories	408	-	-	-	-	-	408	
Restricted cash and investments	15,384	9,134					24,518	
Total Current assets	16,095	15,144	141		<del>_</del>		31,380	
Non-current assets: Capital assets:								
Land and other assets not being depreciated	-	1,728	964	-	-	-	2,692	
Facilities and equipment, net of depreciation	14,809	8,929	1,478	-	-	-	25,216	
Total Non-current Assets	14,809	10,657	2,442	-	-	-	27,908	
TOTAL ASSETS	30,904	25,801	2,583				59,288	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES								
Deferred Outflows: Pension	1,141	207	1,886	84	84	130	3,532	
Deferred Outflows, Pension	1,141		1,880				3,332	
LIABILITIES								
Current liabilities: Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	3,621	7,989	1,384	118	8	1	13,121	
Accounts payable and accrued habilities Accrued interest payable	3,621 179	7,989	1,384	118	8	1	243	
Due to other funds	1/9	39	15,550	1,652	3,840	109	21.151	
Other liabilities	_		6	1,032	3,640	107	6	
Capital leases, notes and other payable	6,080	1,783	253	_	_	_	8,116	
Total Current Liabilities	9,880	9,811	17,218	1,770	3,848	110	42,637	
Total Carrent Endomates					3,010		12,037	
Non-current liabilities:								
Notes payable and capital leases	14,966	7,367	1,126	-	-	-	23,459	
Net pension obligations	10,510	2,708	17,537	838	645	1,160	33,398	
Total Non-current Liabilities	25,476	10,075	18,663	838	645	1,160	56,857	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	35,356	19,886	35,881	2,608	4,493	1,270	99,494	
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES								
Pension related items	1,910	492	3,188	152	117	211	6,070	
2 charton round		772	3,100	132		211		
NET POSITION								
Net investment in capital assets	9,147	10,641	1,063	-	-	-	20,851	
Unrestricted	(14,368)	(5,011)	(35,664)	(2,676)	(4,526)	(1,351)	(63,596)	
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ (5,221)	\$ 5,630	\$ (34,601)	\$ (2,676)	\$ (4,526)	\$ (1,351)	\$ (42,745)	

# Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position

# **Internal Service Funds**

# For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Equipment	Radio	Facilities	Reproduction	Central Stores	Purchasing	Total
OPERATING REVENUES							
Charges for services	\$ 21,414	\$ 4,015	\$ 27,676	\$ 1,413	\$ 489	\$ 713	\$ 55,720
Other	5		19	<del>_</del>			24
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	21,419	4,015	27,695	1,413	489	713	55,744
OPERATING EXPENSES							
Personnel	5,825	1,208	10,256	438	398	655	18,780
Supplies	5,408	413	1,297	106	-	11	7,235
Depreciation and amortization	3,449	594	138	-	-	-	4,181
Contractual services and supplies	68	267	408	-	-	-	743
Repairs and maintenance	1,079	721	3,771	3	-	-	5,574
General and administrative	1,727	198	3,150	462	18	5	5,560
Rental	717	191	437	531	38	-	1,914
Other	3,092	(1,256)	6,525	5	1	2	8,369
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	21,365	2,336	25,982	1,545	455	673	52,356
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	54	1,679	1,713	(132)	34	40	3,388
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)							
Interest and investment income (loss)	2	18	(50)	(6)	(11)	-	(47)
Interest expense	(273)	(39)	(62)	-	-	-	(374)
Rental	-	-	40	-	-	-	40
Federal and state grants	-	-	78	-	-	-	78
Insurance claims and settlements	127	-	304	-	-	-	431
Other	343	<u>=</u>	<del>_</del> _	<del>_</del>	<u> </u>	37	380
TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)	199	(21)	310	(6)	(11)	37	508
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TRANSFERS	253	1,658	2,023	(138)	23	77	3,896
Transfers out	(479)		(33)				(512)
Change in net position	(226)	1,658	1,990	(138)	23	77	3,384
Net position - Beginning, as previously reported Prior year adjustment due to implementation of	6,656	6,974	(17,149)	(1,609)	(3,834)	(141)	(9,103)
GASB Statement No. 68	(11,651)	(3,002)	(19,442)	(929)	(715)	(1,287)	(37,026)
Net position - Beginning, as restated	(4,995)	3,972	(36,591)	(2,538)	(4,549)	(1,428)	(46,129)
TOTAL NET POSITION - ENDING	\$ (5,221)	\$ 5,630	\$ (34,601)	\$ (2,676)	\$ (4,526)	\$ (1,351)	\$ (42,745)

# **Combining Statement of Cash Flows**

# **Internal Service Funds**

# For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

Cab paid to comployees		<u>E</u> q	uipment	1	Radio	Fa	acilities	Rep	roduction	St	ores	Purc	hasing		Total
Cale Received from counterwal nate	CARLELONG FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES														
Cab paid resulters		¢	21 207	¢	3 050	•	27 620	¢	1 /112	¢	480	¢	712	¢	55 302
Cab part for employees		φ		φ	3,930	φ		φ	1,415	φ	407	φ	/13	φ	24
Cacing plants on supplies   Cacing					(1.217)				(461)		(435)		(701)		(19,870)
CASH FROW PROM NONCAPITAL RINANCING ACTIVITIES	* * *														
New Section				-				-		-		-		-	13,785
Processed of interfund loans	NET CASHTROVIDED BY (COLD IN) OF EACHTROVICTIVITIES	-	2,011		10,009		1,004		(113)	-	<u>-</u>		(3)		15,765
Region   Continue	CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES														
Transfero   Tran	Proceeds of interfund loans		-		-		-		121		10		-		131
Transfer out					-				-		-				(2,280)
CASH FROVIDED BY (USED IN) NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES	Other (grants, settlements, rental), net		470		-		422		-		-		37		929
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATING FINANCING ACTIVITIES   Acquisition of capital assets   (4.809)   (0.319)   (3.74)	Transfers out		(479)				(33)		<u>-</u>						(512)
1,450   1,571   1,915   1,91	NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES		(1,592)				(276)		121		10	-	5		(1,732)
15.731   9.150   1.500   1.5	CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATING FINANCING ACTIVITIES														
REQUIRED TO LORGE FROM THE STATEMENT OF DEPERATING INCOME (LOSS)   TO CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES   1,360   1,060   1,060   1,00	Acquisition of capital assets		(4,809)		(9,319)		(374)		-		-		-		(14,502)
Cash Flow   Cash Flow   Cash Flow   Cash	Lease proceeds		15,731		9,150		-		-		-		-		24,881
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES    CASH HLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	Repayment of long-term debt		(3,289)		-		(242)		-		-		-		(3,531)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES   18	Interest paid on long-term debt		(273)		(39)		(62)		-		-		-		(374)
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)   S	NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES		7,360		(208)		(678)						_		6,474
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES  8,581 9,899 18,4 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAH 7,068 5,169 12,2 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAH 5,649 \$ 15,649 \$ 12,2 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAH 5,649 \$ 15,649 \$ 15,649 \$ 12,2 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT EMO OF YEAH 5,649 \$ 15,649 \$ 15,649 \$ 12,2 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT EMO OF YEAH 5,649 \$ 15,649 \$ 15,649 \$ 1,713 \$	CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES														
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS  8.851 9.899 1.22 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR  8.564 5.169 1.22 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR  8.564 5.169 1.22 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR  RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES Reirement recoveries  8.372 9.99 (603) (23) (37) (46) (1.0 Depreciation Receivables Reirement of capital assets Changes in assets and liabilities  8.101 (10) (50) (50) (50) (23) (37) (46) (1.0 Receivables Receivables Receivables Receivables Receivables Receivables (10) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (50) (5	Interest income (loss)		2		18		(50)		(6)		(11)				(47)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR   1.06	NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES		2		18		(50)		(6)		(11)	-			(47)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR   \$ 1,068   \$ 1,569   \$ .	NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		8,581		9,899		_		_		_		_		18,480
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES			7,068		5,169				-		-				12,237
PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAF	\$	15,649	\$	15,068	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	30,717
Operating income (loss)         \$ 54         \$ 1,679         \$ 1,713         \$ (132)         \$ 34         \$ 40         \$ 3.3           ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)         NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES         \$ (372)         (9)         (603)         (23)         (37)         (46)         (1,0)           Depreciation         3,449         594         138         -         -         -         -         4,1           Retirement of capital assets         2         2         -         -         -         -         -         4,1           Receivables         1         (1)         (65)         (56)         -         -         -         -         -         (1)           Receivables         (206)         7         -         <	RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH														
ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE OPERATING INCOME (LOSS NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES Retirement recoveries (372) (9) (603) (23) (37) (46) (1,0 Depreciation 3,449 594 138 - 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES														
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES   Retirement recoveries   3,449   594   1388   -     -	Operating income (loss)	\$	54	\$	1,679	\$	1,713	\$	(132)	\$	34	\$	40	\$	3,388
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES   Retirement recoveries   3,449   594   1388   -     -	ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)														
Retirement recoveries         (372)         (9)         (603)         (23)         (37)         (46)         (1,0)           Depreciation         3,449         594         138         -         -         -         -         4,1           Retirement of capital assets         2         -         -         -         -         -         4,1           Receivables         1         1         (55)         (56)         -         -         -         -         1         1           Receivables         2         (206)         -															
Depreciation   Same stage			(372)		(9)		(603)		(23)		(37)		(46)		(1,090)
Retirement of capital assets	Depreciation		3.449		594		138		_		_		_		4,181
Changes in assets and liabilities:   Receivables					-				_		_		_		2
Receivables         (1)         (65)         (56)         -         -         -         -         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         -         -         -         -         -         (1)         -															
Inventories			(1)		(65)		(56)		-		-		-		(122)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities         (115)         7,890         (187)         40         4         1         7,6           Other liabilities         -         -         -         (1)         -	Inventories				`-		`-		-		-		-		(206)
Total Adjustments         2,757         8,410         (709)         17         (33)         (45)         10,33           NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES         \$ 2,811         \$ 10,089         \$ 1,004         \$ (115)         \$ 1         \$ (5)         \$ 13,75           RECONCILIATION OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS TO THE           STATEMENT OF FUND NET POSITION           Cash and investments         \$ 265         \$ 5,934         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ 6,1           Restricted cash and investment         15,384         9,134         -         -         -         -         -         24,5           TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS         \$ 15,649         \$ 15,068         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         30,7	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(115)		7,890		(187)		40		4		1		7,633
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES \$ 2,811 \$ 10,089 \$ 1,004 \$ (115) \$ 1 \$ (5) \$ 13,70 \$ (7)	Other liabilities		-		-		(1)		-		-		-		(1)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES \$ 2,811 \$ 10,089 \$ 1,004 \$ (115) \$ 1 \$ (5) \$ 13,70 \$ (7)	Total Adjustments		2,757		8,410		(709)		17	·	(33)		(45)	·	10,397
STATEMENT OF FUND NET POSITION           Cash and investments         \$ 265         \$ 5,934         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ 6,1           Restricted cash and investment         15,384         9,134         -         -         -         -         -         24,5           TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS         \$ 15,649         \$ 15,068         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         30,7	· ·	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	13,785
STATEMENT OF FUND NET POSITION           Cash and investments         \$ 265         \$ 5,934         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ 6,1           Restricted cash and investment         15,384         9,134         -         -         -         -         -         -         24,5           TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS         \$ 15,649         \$ 15,068         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         30,7	RECONCILIATION OF CASH AND CASH FOLITVALENTS TO THE										<del></del>		<del></del>		<del></del>
Cash and investments         265         5,934         -         \$ -         -         -         -         -         6,1           Restricted cash and investment         15,384         9,134         -         -         -         -         -         -         24,5           TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS         \$ 15,649         \$ 15,068         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         30,7															
Restricted cash and investment         15,384         9,134         -         -         -         -         24,5           TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS         \$ 15,649         \$ 15,068         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         30,7		¢	265	ď	5 024	¢		ď		¢		¢		¢	6,199
TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS \$ 15,649 \$ 15,068 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - 30,7		Ф		Ф		Ф	-	Ф	-	Э	-	Ф	-	Ф	24,518
		\$		•		•		•	<del></del>	\$	<del></del>	\$	<del></del>	-	30,717
135	TOTAL CAUTAND CAUTA LOUTALLATE	Ψ	13,047	φ 1		φ		φ		٩		Ψ		=	50,/1/

This page is intentionally left blank.

# FIDUCIARY FUNDS

Fiduciary funds, including pension and private purpose trusts, account for resources held by the City which must be spent as provided in legal trust agreements and related state laws. Agency funds account for assets held for other funds, governments, private organizations or individuals. Agency funds do not measure the results of operations and generally serve as clearing accounts.

### PENSION TRUST FUNDS

The **Oakland Municipal Employees Retirement System (OMERS) Fund** is a closed benefit plan that covers non-uniformed employees hired prior to September 1970 who have not elected to transfer to the California Public Employees Retirement System.

The **Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) Fund** is a closed benefit plan administered by a Board of Trustees which covers uniformed police and fire employees. Membership in the plan is limited to uniformed employees hired prior to July 1, 1976. All subsequent hires are covered under the California Public Employees Retirement System.

### PRIVATE PURPOSE TRUST FUNDS

**Private Purpose Trust Fund** include (a) *the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Trust Fund*, which accounts for the custodial responsibilities that are assigned to the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency with the passage of AB X1 26, that are not related to the former Oakland Redevelopment Agency projects or parks, recreation or cultural activities, (b) *the Other Private Purpose Trust Fund*, which accounts for the operations of certain trust funds, such as the Major Gifts Funds or the Youth Opportunity Program Fund, and retiree medical payments; and (c) the *Private Pension Trust Fund* accounts for employee deferred compensation fund.

# Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Pension Trust Funds June 30, 2015

	OMERS		]	PFRS		OTAL
ASSETS						
Cash and investments Receivables:	\$	74	\$	3,108	\$	3,182
Accrued interest and dividends		_		626		626
Investments and other Restricted cash and investments:		56		5,431		5,487
Short-term investments		-		8,970		8,970
U.S. corporate bonds and mutual funds		-		71,539		71,539
Domestic equities and mutual funds		=		206,303		206,303
International equities and mutual funds		-		48,115		48,115
Alternative investments				83,970		83,970
Total restricted cash and investments		-		418,897		418,897
Securities lending collateral		<u>-</u>		55,226		55,226
TOTAL ASSETS		130		483,288		483,418
LIABILITIES						
Due to primary government		28		_		28
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		102		8,808		8,910
Securities lending liabilities				55,226		55,226
TOTAL LIABILITIES		130		64,034		64,164
NET POSITION RESTRICTED FOR						
EMPLOYEES' PENSION BENEFITS	\$		\$	419,254	\$	419,254

# Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Pension Trust Funds

# For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

	OMERS	PFRS	TOTAL
ADDITIONS:			
Investment Income:			
Net appreciation in fair value of investments	\$ 197	\$ 9,132	\$ 9,329
Interest	12	2,415	2,427
Dividends	26	5,344	5,370
Securities lending income		192	192
Total investment income, net	235	17,083	17,318
Investment expense	(69)	(1,749)	(1,818)
Net investment income	166	15,334	15,500
Other income	<u>-</u> _	104	104
TOTAL ADDITIONS	166	15,438	15,604
DEDUCTIONS:			
Disbursements to members and beneficiaries:			
Retirement	152	35,669	35,821
Disability	38	21,537	21,575
Death	3	1,801	1,804
TOTAL BENEFITS TO MEMBERS AND BENEFICIARIES	193	59,007	59,200
Administrative expenses	210	985	1,195
TOTAL DEDUCTIONS	403	59,992	60,395
SPECIAL ITEMS:			
Purchase of Annuity	(1,639)	-	(1,639)
Transfer to City of Oakland	(2,886)		(2,886)
TOTAL SPECIAL ITEMS	(4,525)	<del>_</del>	(4,525)
Change in net position	(4,762)	(44,554)	(49,316)
Net position - beginning	4,762	463,808	468,570
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$ -	\$ 419,254	\$ 419,254

# Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Other Private Purpose Trust Funds June 30, 2015 (In Thousands)

	Rede Su A	akland velopment ccessor gency ist Fund	Pı T	rivate urpose Trust Fund	Pen Tr	vate sion ust und		Total
ASSETS		<b>7.1</b> 0.10		40=4				ooo
Cash and investments	\$	51,013	\$	4,976	\$	-	\$	55,989
Receivables:		264						265
Accrued interest and dividends		364		1		-		365
Accounts receivable		203		4		-		207
Due from primary government		2,312		-		-		2,312
Prepaid expenses		2,258		-		-		2,258
Restricted:								
Cash and investments:		21.755						21 777
Short-term investments		21,755		-		-		21,755
U.S. government bonds		8,004		-		-		8,004
Notes and loans receivable (net of allowance		12.721						12 701
for uncollectable of \$48,120)		13,721		-		-		13,721
Property held for resale		2,818		-		<del></del>		2,818
TOTAL ASSETS		102,448		4,981		<u> </u>		107,429
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES Unamortized loss on refunding of debts		2,229	_	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		2,229
I IADII IMICO								
LIABILITIES								
Current liabilities		10,742		10				10,752
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  Due to primary government		2,251		10		3		2,254
Other		2,231 47		250		3		2,234
						3		
Total current liabilities		13,040		260		3		13,303
Non-current liabilities								
Due within one year		27,393		_		_		27,393
Due in more than one year		410,483						410,483
-			_	<del></del>		<del></del>		
Total noncurrent liabilities		437,876				<del>_</del>	_	437,876
TOTAL LIABILITIES		450,916		260		3		451,179
NET POSITION RESTRICTED FOR								
REDEVELOPMENT DISSOLUTION								
AND OTHER PURPOSES	\$	(346,239)	\$	4,721	\$	(3)	\$	(341,521)

### CITY OF OAKLAND

### Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Other Private Purpose Trust Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2015

(In Thousands)

	Redo	Oakland evelopment uccessor Agency ust Fund	Pı T	rivate urpose Trust Fund	Pe T	rivate ension Trust	Total
ADDITIONS:							
Trust receipts	\$	61,001	\$	296	\$	133	\$ 61,430
Interest		402		13		_	415
Federal and state grants		6,028		-		_	6,028
Other income		717		_		_	717
TOTAL ADDITIONS		68,148		309		133	 68,590
DEDUCTIONS:							
Administrative expenses		2,179		_		151	2,330
Oakland Police Department		· <u>-</u>		225		-	225
Human Services		-		149		-	149
Housing & Community Development		13,708		1,934		-	15,642
Economic & Workforce Development		30,881		-		-	30,881
Other		80		(6)		-	74
Interest on debt		24,641					 24,641
TOTAL DEDUCTIONS BEFORE SPECIAL ITEM		71,489		2,302		151	 73,942
SPECIAL ITEM:							
Transfer of properties and excess tax allocation bond proceeds approved by California Department							
of Finance		(107,696)		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	 (107,696)
Change in net position		(111,037)		(1,993)		(18)	(113,048)
NET POSITION - BEGINNING		(235,202)		6,714		15	 (228,473)
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$	(346,239)	\$	4,721	\$	(3)	\$ (341,521)

# STATISTICAL SECTION

#### INDEX TO STATISTICAL SECTION

This part of the City of Oakland's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures and required supplemental information says about the City's overall financial health.

#### **Financial Trends**

Schedules one through five contain trend information to assist in understanding how the City's financial performance and well-being have changed over times.

#### **Revenue Capacity**

Schedules six through twelve report tax revenues by sources which include: property taxes, state taxes and local taxes.

### **Debt Capacity**

Schedules thirteen through sixteen present information that helps in understanding the City's current level of outstanding debt, the legal debt margin, and the ability to issue additional debt in the future.

### **Pledged Revenue Coverage**

Schedule seventeen contains pledged revenue coverage for the City and the Port of Oakland, a component unit of the City. This schedule assists in understanding the revenues pledged for repayment of debt service.

#### **Demographic and Economic Information**

Schedules eighteen and nineteen provide the demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the City's financial activities take place.

### **Operating Information**

Schedules twenty through twenty-two contain service and infrastructure data to assist in understanding how the City's financial reports relate to the services the City provides and the activities it performs.

Sources: The City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the relevant years.

**SCHEDULE 1** 

### NET POSITION BY COMPONENT

(in thousands)

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
Governmental activities  Net investment in capital assets	\$ 319,932	\$ 353,715	\$ 401,881	\$ 442,793	\$ 478,689	\$ 538,815	\$ 663,785	\$ 712,606	\$ 876,703	\$ 1,025,789
Restricted	355,721	422,523	432,630	451,087	488,251	517,454	559,393	425,786	433,080	547,286
Unrestricted	(96,419)	(67,261)	(213,693)	(268,904)	(417,504)	(469,662)	(304,010)	(334,451)	(327,965)	(1,841,834)
Total net position - governmental activities	\$ 579,234	\$ 708,977	\$ 620,818	\$ 624,976	\$ 549,436	\$ 586,607	\$ 919,168	\$ 803,941	\$ 981,818	\$ (268,759)
Business-type activities  Net investment in capital assets	\$ 110,279	\$ 109,886	\$ 111,881	\$ 113,961	\$ 113,718	\$ 114,297	\$ 122,911	\$ 129,542	\$ 143,295	\$ 155,257
Restricted	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unrestricted	989	2,173	7,731	15,037	26,126	37,429	44,061	53,341	53,039	27,182
Total net position - business-type activities	\$ 111,268	\$ 112,059	\$ 119,612	\$ 128,998	\$ 139,844	\$ 151,726	\$ 166,972	\$ 182,883	\$ 196,334	\$ 182,439
Primary government  Net investment in capital assets	\$ 430,211	\$ 463,601	\$ 513,762	\$ 556,754	\$ 592,407	\$ 653,112	\$ 786,696	\$ 842,148	\$ 1,019,998	\$ 1,181,046
Restricted	355,721	422,523	432,630	451,087	488,251	517,454	559,393	425,786	433,080	547,286
Unrestricted	(95,430)	(65,088)	(205,962)	(253,867)	(391,378)	(432,233)	(259,949)	(281,110)	(274,926)	(1,814,652)
Total net position - primary government	\$ 690,502	\$ 821,036	\$ 740,430	\$ 753,974	\$ 689,280	\$ 738,333	\$ 1,086,140	\$ 986,824	\$ 1,178,152	\$ (86,320)

Note: The City began to report accrual information when it implemented GASB Statement 34 in fiscal year 2002.

**SCHEDULE 2** 

#### CHANGES IN NET POSITION

(in thousands)

				(in inous	anas)								
	2006	2007		2008	2009		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2	2015
Expenses													
Governmental Activities:													
General government	\$ 71,471		\$		\$ 94,957	\$	83,295	\$ 75,381	\$ 83,131	\$ 93,942	\$ 79,806	\$	82,493
Public safety	335,171			412,050	424,435		411,333	372,587	351,566	363,597	379,809		383,904
Life enrichment	101,902	105,728		115,315	119,659		119,254	123,538	122 920	107,779	116061		121.740
Community services	140.251	192.00		202.406	192 227		222.226	150 200	122,829	,	116,961		121,740
Community and economic development	140,351			203,406 79,348	182,327 74,081		222,226 70,757	158,209 88,321	138,596 101,892	81,182	83,657		75,268
Public works Interest on long-term debt	100,448 73,224			74,545	71,552		73,735	93,618	68,948	75,158 62,744	109,177 59,026		105,619 68,033
Total governmental activities expenses	\$ 822,567		\$		\$ 967,011	\$	980,600	\$ 911,654	\$ 866,962	\$ 784,402	\$ 828,436	\$	837,057
Business-type activities:													
Sewer	\$ 24,841	\$ 29,365	\$	30,502	\$ 25,530	\$	26,899	\$ 27,971	\$ 31,227	\$ 34,504	\$ 37,306	\$	36,957
Parks and recreation	734			384	652		520	740	492	643	855		681
Total business-type activities	\$ 25,575	\$ 30,452	\$	30,886	\$ 26,182	\$	27,419	\$ 28,711	\$ 31,719	\$ 35,147	\$ 38,161	\$	37,638
Total primary government expenses	\$ 848,142	\$ 940,642	\$	1,017,768	\$ 993,193	\$	1,008,019	\$ 940,365	\$ 898,681	\$ 819,549	\$ 866,597	\$	874,695
Program Revenues (see schedule 3)													
Governmental activities:													
Charges for services:													
General government	\$ 16,266	\$ 13,741	\$	22,276	\$ 21,128	\$	24,382	\$ 20,360	\$ 19,924	\$ 17,756	\$ 54,509	\$	55,148
Public safety	42,492	9,803		10,331	15,733		14,900	13,573	13,283	7,610	15,472		18,329
Life enrichment	79	3,992		5,110	11,084		8,128	8,483	-	-	-		-
Community services	-	-		-	-		-	-	8,302	6,342	6,326		7,375
Community and economic development	7,947	16,437		45,466	47,223		48,765	42,418	41,507	19,025	39,413		61,022
Public works	3,927	31,269		27,113	30,887		39,283	84,834	83,017	76,098	36,954		40,419
Interest on long-term debt	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-
Operating grants and contributions	77,154	106,903		91,278	94,353		97,177	123,149	89,620	89,424	119,063		92,865
Capital grants and contributions		-		-	_		-	-	30,607	26,179	42,148		70,322
Total governmental activities program revenues	\$ 147,865	\$ 182,145	\$	201,574	\$ 220,408	\$	232,635	\$ 292,817	\$ 286,260	\$ 242,434	\$ 313,885	\$ :	345,480
Business-type activities:													
Charges for services:													
Sewer	\$ 24,678	\$ 29,838	\$	33,264	\$ 35,382	\$	39,329	\$ 41,832	\$ 48,200	\$ 52,919	\$ 52,946	\$	57,544
Parks and recreation	197	237		487	796		286	118	575	372	503		295
Operating grants and contributions		21		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		_
Total business-type activities program revenues	\$ 24,875		\$	33,751	\$ 36,178	\$	39,615	\$ 41,950	\$ 48,775	\$ 53,291	\$ 53,449	\$	57,839
Total primary government program revenues	\$ 172,740	\$ 212,241	\$	235,325	\$ 256,586	\$	272,250	\$ 334,767	\$ 335,035	\$ 295,725	\$ 367,334	\$ 4	403,319
N													
Net (Expense)/Revenue	0 (67470	n e (725.050)	Φ.	(70E 20C)	0 (745 500		(747.055	6 (610.00=	e (500 500)		¢ (514551)	0.	401 577
Governmental activities		(735,868)	\$		\$ (746,603)	) \$				\$ (541,968)		\$ (-	
Business-type activities	£ (675, 400		4	2,865	9,996		12,196	13,239	17,056	18,144	15,288	e /	20,201
Total primary government net expense	\$ (675,402	2) \$ (736,224)	\$	(782,443)	\$ (736,607)	) 5	(735,769)	\$ (605,598)	\$ (303,040)	\$ (523,824)	\$ (499,203)	<b>3</b> (,	471,376)
General Revenues and Other Changes in Net Position													
Governmental activities:													
Taxes													
Property taxes	\$ 268,693	\$ 317,666	\$	358,338	\$ 359,851	\$	346,859	\$ 324,516	\$ 288,923	\$ 256,333	\$ 240,779	\$ :	267,534
Sales and use taxes	67,304	67,723		73,928	67,642		57,745	65,068	66,940	70,498	71,997		63,718
Gas tax													12,207
Local taxes	261,815	256,658		235,470	214,266		216,072	220,684	222,237	244,207	263,017		275,496
Other	30,406	108,048		50,153	81,885		58,374	35,672	53,172	7,076	19,671		12,745
Interest and investment income	78,053	48,073		47,852	25,917		10,894	8,592	7,078	6,358	6,653		6,362
Transfers	600	600		600	1,200		1,463	1,476	1,893	1,911	2,002		2,002
Special Items	-	59,020		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-
Extraordinary loss due to State Controller's										(156,902)			
Transfer of excess tax											88,309		107,696
Extraordinary gain from dissolution of									273,020				
Total governmental activities	\$ 706,871	\$ 857,788	\$	766,341	\$ 750,761	\$	691,407	\$ 656,008	\$ 913,263	\$ 429,481	\$ 692,428	\$ '	747,760
Business-type activities:													
Interest and investment income	\$ 1,996		\$	1,434	\$ 590	\$	113	\$ 119	\$ 83	\$ (24)	\$ 165	\$	142
Other	62			-	-		-	-	-	-			
Transfers	(600			(600)			(1,463)	(1,476)	( ) /				(2,002)
Total business-type activities	\$ 1,458		\$	834	\$ (610)		(1,350)						(1,860)
Total primary government	\$ 708,329	\$ 858,935	\$	767,175	\$ 750,151	\$	690,057	\$ 654,651	\$ 911,453	\$ 427,546	\$ 690,591	\$ '	745,900
Change in Net Position													
Governmental activities	\$ 32,169	\$ 129,743	\$	(18,967)	\$ 4,158	\$	(56,558)	\$ 37,171	\$ 332,561	\$ (112,487)	\$ 177,877	\$ :	256,183
Business-type activities	758			3,699	9,386		10,846	11,882	15,246	16,209	13,451		18,341
Total primary government	\$ 32,927	\$ 130,534	\$	(15,268)	\$ 13,544	\$	(45,712)	\$ 49,053	\$ 347,807	\$ (96,278)	\$ 191,328	\$ :	274,524
					·	_							_

Note: The City began to report accrual information when it implemented GASB Statement 34 in fiscal year 2002.

Source: City of Oakland Statement of Activities

**SCHEDULE 3** 

### PROGRAM REVENUES BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

(in thousands)

	2006	20	07	20	008	200	09		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2	2015
Function/Program							_	•						-	
Governmental activities:															
Charges for services:															
General government	\$ 16,26	6 \$	13,741	\$	22,276	\$	21,128	\$	24,382	\$ 20,360	\$ 19,924	\$ 17,756	\$ 54,509	\$	55,148
Public safety	42,49	2	9,803		10,331		15,733		14,900	13,573	13,283	7,610	15,472		18,329
Life enrichment	7	9	3,992		5,110		11,084		8,128	8,483	-				
Community services	-		-		-		-		-	-	8,302	6,342	6,326		7,375
Community and economic development	7,94	7	16,437		45,466		47,223		48,765	42,418	41,507	19,025	39,413		61,022
Public works	3,92	7	31,269		27,113		30,887		39,283	84,834	83,017	76,098	36,954		40,419
Operating grants and contributions	77,15	4	106,903		91,278		94,353		97,177	123,149	89,620	89,424	119,063		92,865
Capital grants and contributions		-	-		-		-		-	-	30,607	26,179	42,148		70,322
Subtotal governmental activities	\$ 147,86	5 \$	182,145	\$	201,574	\$ 2	220,408	\$	232,635	\$ 292,817	\$ 286,260	\$ 242,434	\$ 313,885	\$	345,480
Business-type activities:															
Charges for services:															
Sewer	\$ 24,6	8 \$	29,838	\$	33,264	\$	35,382	\$	39,329	\$ 41,832	\$ 48,200	\$ 52,919	\$ 52,946	\$	57,544
Parks and recreation	19	7	237		487		796		286	118	575	372	503		295
Operating grants and contributions		-	21		-		-		-	-	-	-	-		
Subtotal business-type activities	\$ 24,87	5 \$	30,096	\$	33,751	\$	36,178	\$	39,615	\$ 41,950	\$ 48,775	\$ 53,291	\$ 53,449	\$	57,839
Total primary government	\$ 172,74	0 \$ 2	212,241	\$	235,325	\$ 2	256,586	\$	272,250	\$ 334,767	\$ 335,035	\$ 295,725	\$ 367,334	\$	403,319

Note: The City began to report accrual information when it implemented GASB Statement 34 in fiscal year 2002.

 $Source: {\it City of Oakland Statement of Activities}$ 

**SCHEDULE 4** 

### FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

(in thousands)

	<u>2006</u>	<u>20</u>	07	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>						
General Fund (1)												
Reserved	\$ 134,151	\$	138,891	\$ 126,575	\$ 116,543	\$ 103,372						
Unreserved	152,368		143,016	121,109	120,406	129,678						
Total general fund	\$ 286,519	\$	281,907	\$ 247,684	\$ 236,949	\$ 233,050	į					
								2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
General Fund (2) Restricted							\$	106,692 \$	110,708	\$ 165,400 \$	156,462 \$	
Committed								3,890	70,284	-		
Assigned								65,985	6,256	58,452	73,843	64,680
Unassigned								48,794	68,681	21,791	23,546	37,409
Total general fund						,	\$	225,361 \$	255,929	\$ 245,643 \$	253,851 \$	266,331
	2006	20	07	2008	2009	2010						
All Other Governmental Funds (1)	<del></del>		_		_	_						
Reserved	\$ 496,474	\$	797,702	\$ 828,314	\$ 788,476	\$ 761,679						
Unreserved, reported in:												
Special revenue funds	42,102		32,444	8,129	9,553	(16,486)						
Capital projects funds	 130,221		98,912	73,147	41,322	66,136						
Total all other governmental funds	\$ 668,797	\$	929,058	\$ 909,590	\$ 839,351	\$ 811,329	ii					
								<u>2011</u>	2012	2013	2014	<u>2015</u>
All Other Governmental Funds (2) Restricted							\$	481,124 \$	264,460	\$ 248,517 \$	270,055 \$	333,665
Committed								139,178	13,420	16,075	13,902	13,527
Assigned								188,722	179,063	61,373	90,647	33,603
Unassigned						,		(2,669)	(1,416)	(9,849)	(5,236)	(7,997)
						i	\$	806,355 \$	455,527	\$ 316,116 \$	369,368 \$	372,798

#### Note.

Source: City of Oakland Balance Sheet, Governmental Funds

 $<sup>(1)\ \ \</sup>textit{The City began to report accrual information when it implemented GASB Statement 34 in fiscal year 2002.}$ 

<sup>(2)</sup> The City implemented GASB Statement No. 54 under which governmental fund balances are reported as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned compared to reserved and unreserved.

**SCHEDULE 5** 

### CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

(in thousands)

		2006	2	007	20	008		2009	2010		<u>2011</u>	2012	2013	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
Revenues															
Taxes (see Schedule 6)	\$	578,474	\$	616,754	\$	648,153	\$	641,086 \$	622,901	\$	612,328 \$	578,100 \$	569,193 \$	576,744 \$	619,821
Licenses and permits		19,006		20,390		19,319		14,467	12,124		13,297	12,079	13,331	16,694	22,451
Fines and penalties		25,467		26,859		23,497		29,348	31,220		29,440	27,204	26,657	26,958	25,612
Interest/investment net income		30,721		49,141		49,894		27,520	11,495		9,147	7,558	6,330	6,738	6,409
Charges for services Other intergovernmental revenues		70,711		75,242		76,735 33,561		77,285 35,588	82,289 45,116		124,707	126,750	86,842	109,022	134,230
Federal and State grants		-		-		33,301		33,300	45,110			-	-		
and subventions		73,778		97,382		94,428		87,971	98,850		121,184	115,046	102,802	152,062	167,045
Other revenues		47,558		74,758		24,200		40,587	32,116		32,290	34,427	39,278	19,641	18,025
Total revenues	s	845,715	•	960,526		969,787	\$	953,852 \$	936,111	•	942,393 \$	901,164 \$	844,433 \$	907,859 \$	993,593
Expenditures	9	043,713	Ą	900,520	J	909,787	φ	955,652 \$	930,111	φ	942,393 \$	901,104 \$	6 <del>41,4</del> 33 \$	201,039 \$	773,373
-															
General government	\$	49,411	\$	67,194	\$	45,600	\$	40,838 \$	35,710	\$	33,781 \$	50,992 \$	71,990 \$	36,733 \$	41,454
Finance and Human Resource		24,181		26,018		35,761		34,863	30,943		28,756	27,371	15,337	26,137	29,967
Information Technology Contracting and Purchasing		-		-		13,666 2,280		12,975 1,959	9,137 2,100		8,276 2,082	7,746	7,753	9,080	9,597
Police Department		187,968		206,561		225,893		231,789	218,129		205,292	220,115	331,382	225,959	242,999
Fire Department		111,162		112,699		118,429		119,711	111,583		111,339	125,585	200,054	114,561	130,533
Community Service Department:		,		,		-,			,		,			,	,
Parks & Recreation		17,296		19,148		20,872		20,308	20,259		20,914	20,465	21,260	23,094	25,326
Library		22,942		24,631		23,833		21,824	20,927		21,633	22,704	22,623	25,612	26,215
Museum		267		6,976		6,883		6,584	6,146		6,749	-	-		
Human Services		46,581		53,228		56,239		62,382	59,441		63,031	61,851	60,978	66,883	68,707
Cultural Arts		6,832		-		-		-	-						
Community & Economic Development		135,561		169,233		206,908		197,285	227,505		175,750	96,407	22.44	24.002	22.400
Planning and Building												11,170	22,616	24,882	23,408
Economic & Workforce Development Cultural and community services													431	21,190	19,316
Housing & Community Development												19,132	43,420	33,650	23,047
Oakland Public Works		79,816		91,490		71,971		64,288	57,133		71,099	69,763	72,497	96,208	85,041
Other		23,048		10,641		10,597		20,099	8,328		27,062	18,460	13,243	16,043	13,300
Capital outlay		25,014		49,895		46,312		44,418	61,233		63,532	71,703	103,905	98,316	123,433
Debt service															
Bond issuance costs		2,496		4,467		4,210		864	1,558		828	359	1,958	209	829
Other refunding cost						5,674		-					3,110		11,213
Principal		72,583		79,964		92,940		138,854	105,742		86,965	125,570	74,886	80,559	129,906
Interest	-	69,027 874,185	e	69,682 991,827	r 1	71,528 ,059,596	6	65,157 1,084,198 \$	69,097 1,044,971	\$	89,514 1,016,603 \$	67,175 1,016,568 \$	58,208 1,125,651 \$	59,314 958,430 \$	56,737 1,061,028
Total expenditures	,	674,163	\$	991,827	<b>)</b> 1,	,039,390	\$	1,084,198 \$	1,044,971	Ф	1,016,603 \$	1,016,568 \$	1,123,031 \$	958,430 \$	1,001,028
Excess of revenues over(under) expenditures	\$	(28,470)	\$	(31,301) 5	\$	(89,809)	\$	(130,346) \$	(108,860)	) \$	(74,210) \$	(115,404) \$	(281,218) \$	(50,571) \$	(67,435)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)															
		105.040	Φ.	1.42.000 (		_		40.220 ft	c7. c02	•	56.070 A	02.777. 0			
Is suance of debt/bond	\$	105,840	\$	143,988 S 102,590			\$	40,228 \$	67,693	Э	56,870 \$	83,775 \$	- \$ 216,085	- \$	120 005
Issuance of refunding bonds Capital leases		-		102,390		241,410		-					16,150	14,901	128,895
Premiums/discounts on issuance of bonds		328		1,963		11,313		(779)	908		(2,052)	8,538	(1,129)	14,901	15,472
Payment to refund bond escrow		320		1,703		11,515		(112)	700		(2,002)	0,000	(1,12))		15,172
agent		(27,853)		(22,729)	(	(221,250)		-				(57,998)	(3,018)		(143,717)
Property sale proceeds		4,262		618		4,045		8,723	5,013		4,481	32,213	67	5,442	309
Insurance claims and settlements									1,641		548	1,627	3,726	865	5,477
Transfers in		101,643		97,397		98,691		130,095	106,409		103,786	344,831	119,617	115,397	113,270
Transfers out Total other financing sources (uses)	\$	(101,043) 83,177	\$	(95,897) 227,930 S	e	(98,091)	S	(128,895) 49,372 \$	76,939		(102,086)	(342,843) 70,143 \$	(117,473) 234,025 \$	(112,883) 23,722 \$	(110,756) 8,950
Total other infancing sources (uses)		03,177	.p	221,930	,	30,116	J.	49,372 \$	70,939	J.	01,347 \$	70,143 \$	234,023 \$	23,122 \$	0,930
Special item	\$	-	\$	59,020	\$	-	\$	- \$	-	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
Extraordinary loss from dissolution of former Redevelopment Agency											\$	(274,999) \$	- \$	- \$	
Extraordinary Item: State Controller's order for clawback											Ф	(214,999) \$	- 3	- 3	-
Extraordinary Refit State Controller's order for clawback												\$	(102,504)		
Transfer of excess tax allocation bonds proceeds															
approved by California Department of Finance													\$	88,309 \$	74,395
Net change in fund balances	\$	54,707	\$	255,649	\$	(53,691)	\$	(80,974) \$	(31,921)	\$	(12,663) \$	(320,260) \$	(149,697) \$	61,460 \$	15,910
Total fund balance - beginning		900,609		955,316	1	,210,965		1,157,274	1,076,300		1,044,379	1,031,716	711,456	561,759	623,219
Total fund balance - beginning Total fund balance - ending	S		\$	1,210,965			\$	1,076,300 \$	1,044,379	\$	1,031,716 \$	711,456 \$	561,759 \$	623,219 \$	639,129
	_	,	-	,	,	, =	_	,,	,,/>	-	,, 4	,	,	,= Ψ	,
Debt service as a percentage of															
noncapital expenditures		16.68%		15.89%		17.00%		20.33%	18.13%	,	18.85%	20.97%	13.74%	17.06%	20.56%

Note: Debt ratio was calculated by dividing principal and interest by total government expenditures excluding capital outlay \$153,061 for fiscal year 2015. General government include Mayor, Council, City Administrator, City Attorney, City Auditor and City Clerk

 $Source: City \ of \ Oakland \ Statement \ of Revenues, Expenditures \ and \ Changes \ in \ Fund \ Balances - Governmental \ Funds$ 

#### **SCHEDULE 6**

### TAX REVENUES BY SOURCE, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

(in thousands)

Fiscal Year	Property	Sales & Use	Motor Vehicle in-lieu	Gas	Business License	Utility Consumption	Real Estate Transfer	Transient Occupancy	Parking	Voter Approved	Franchise	Total
2006	268,361	56,844	2,984	7,476	43,790	48,770	79,483	11,690	15,196	31,728	12,152	578,474
2007	314,468	58,006	2,268	7,449	50,339	51,426	61,505	12,303	16,202	29,778	13,010	616,754
2008	358,074	64,812	1,811	7,305	52,542	52,524	36,205	12,400	15,747	32,942	13,791	648,153
2009	359,699	56,090	1,282	9,749	54,291	52,701	34,267	10,599	14,196	33,772	14,440	641,086
2010	349,084	45,503	1,251	10,991	54,141	51,107	36,971	10,085	13,885	35,228	14,655	622,901
2011	326,576	51,910	2,168	10,990	53,138	53,440	31,608	12,484	13,460	41,700	14,854	612,328
2012	288,923	55,659	221	11,060	58,712	51,434	30,653	13,822	15,975	35,812	15,829	578,100
2013	254,488	60,494	-	10,004	60,371	50,752	47,406	15,831	15,565	38,247	16,035	569,193
2014	241,730	58,912	-	13,085	62,905	50,422	59,060	18,468	16,661	38,835	16,666	576,744
2015	268,400	63,718	177	12,030	66,677	50,594	62,665	21,569	18,398	37,443	18,150	619,821
Change												
2006-2015	0.0%	12.1%	-94.1%	60.9%	52.3%	3.7%	-21.2%	84.5%	21.1%	18.0%	49.4%	7.1%

Note: Reflects revenues of the General, Special Revenue, Debt Service, Capital Projects Funds, and Oakland Redevelopment Agency in FY2004 - FY2011, General, Special Revenue, Debt Service, Capital Projects Funds, Oakland Redevelopment Agency, and Housing Successor Agency in FY2012, General, Special Revenue, Debt Service, Capital Projects Funds, and Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund in FY2013 - FY2015

 $Source: City \ of \ Oakland \ Statement \ of \ Revenues, \ Expenditures \ and \ Changes \ in \ Fund \ Balances - Governmental \ Funds$ 

**SCHEDULE 7** 

### ASSESSED VALUE AND ESTIMATED VALUE OF TAXABLE PROPERTY

(in thousands)

Fiscal <u>Year</u>	Land	<u>Improvements</u>	Personal Property	Total Assessed <u>Value</u>	Less: Tax-Exempt <u>Property</u>	Less: Redevelopment <u>Tax Increments</u>	Total Taxable Assessed <u>Value</u>	Total Direct Tax <u>Rate</u>	Estimated Taxable Assessed <u>Value</u>	Taxable Assessed Value as a Percentage of <u>Estimated Taxable Value</u>
2006	10,206,973	22,383,882	1,962,917	34,553,772	2,310,189	7,750,010	24,493,573	5.519	135,180,029	18.12%
2007	11,410,672	24,862,440	1,894,048	38,167,160	2,347,281	9,552,758	26,267,121	5.667	148,855,775	17.65%
2008	12,472,317	27,192,312	2,132,949	41,797,578	2,478,761	9,552,758	29,766,059	5.508	163,951,453	18.16%
2009	13,222,782	28,429,996	2,205,480	43,858,258	2,584,624	10,425,138	30,848,496	5.414	167,013,757	18.47%
2010	12,708,080	27,749,554	2,110,456	42,568,090	2,691,489	9,753,604	30,122,997	5.674	170,917,885	17.62%
2011	12,479,365	26,787,417	1,985,401	41,252,183	2,768,044	9,030,570	29,453,569	5.692	167,649,715	17.57%
2012	12,560,758	27,225,464	2,154,330	41,940,552	3,084,118	9,247,268	29,609,166	5.677	168,091,235	17.61%
2013	12,723,234	27,848,261	2,266,536	42,838,031	3,322,453	9,496,227	30,019,351	5.562	166,967,630	17.98%
2014	13,031,396	29,441,439	2,569,502	45,042,337	4,245,848	9,625,116	31,171,373	5.470	170,507,410	18.28%
2015	13,960,804	31,789,840	1,925,481	47,676,125	4,288,050	10,353,808	33,034,267	5.527	182,580,394	18.09%

 $Note: Total\ Direct\ Tax\ Rate\ is\ "per\ \$10,000\ assessed\ value".$ 

**SCHEDULE 8** 

### DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING PROPERTY TAX RATES

		City Dir	ect Rates					Ove	rlapping R	ates			
Fiscal Year	Basic Rate	Debt Service Fund	1981 Pension Liability	Total Direct Rate	Al ameda County	Education	Education Debt	BART and AC Transit	BART Debt	Other	East Bay Municipal Utility Debt	East Bay Regional Parks District	East Bay Reg. Parks District Debt
2006	0.3485	0.0459	0.1575	0.5519	0.3086	0.2165	0.1018	0.0517	-	0.0505	0.0072	0.0242	0.0057
2007	0.3485	0.0607	0.1575	0.5667	0.3086	0.2165	0.1074	0.0517	-	0.0505	0.0068	0.0242	0.0085
2008	0.3485	0.0448	0.1575	0.5508	0.3086	0.2165	0.1030	0.0517	-	0.0505	0.0065	0.0242	0.0080
2009	0.3485	0.0354	0.1575	0.5414	0.3086	0.2165	0.1197	0.0517		0.0505	0.0064	0.0242	0.0100
2010	0.3485	0.0614	0.1575	0.5674	0.3086	0.2165	0.1689	0.0517	0.0057	0.0505	0.0065	0.0242	0.0108
2011	0.3485	0.0632	0.1575	0.5692	0.3086	0.2165	0.1697	0.0517	0.0031	0.0505	0.0067	0.0242	0.0084
2012	0.3485	0.0617	0.1575	0.5677	0.3086	0.2165	0.1741	0.0517	0.0041	0.0505	0.0067	0.0242	0.0071
2013	0.3485	0.0502	0.1575	0.5562	0.3086	0.2165	0.1818	0.0517	0.0043	0.0505	0.0068	0.0242	0.0051
2014	0.3485	0.0410	0.1575	0.5470	0.3086	0.2165	0.2199	0.0517	0.0075	0.0505	0.0066	0.0242	0.0078
2015	0.3485	0.0467	0.1575	0.5527	0.3086	0.2165	0.2157	0.0517	0.0045	0.0505	0.0047	0.0242	0.0085

Note: Rates per \$1,000 assessed value

### **SCHEDULE 9**

### PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAX PAYERS

	<u>20</u>	006 (1) Percentage of Total City		<u>20</u>	Percentage of Total City	
<u>Taxpayer</u>	Taxable Assessed Value	Taxable Assessed Value	Rank	Taxable Assessed Value	Taxable Assessed Value	Rank
SIC Lakeside Drive LLC				217,954,974	0.502%	1
CIM Oakland Center 21 LP				183,530,501	0.423%	2
Kaiser Foundation Health Plan Inc	145,425,631	0.451%	2	162,479,560	0.374%	3
1111 Broadway Property LLC				155,000,000	0.357%	4
DWF IV 1999 Harrison LLC				141,418,080	0.326%	5
CIM Oakland 1 Kaiser Plaza LP				133,414,160	0.307%	6
555 Oakland City Center LLC				133,150,000	0.307%	7
1800 Harrison Foundation	112,647,611	0.349%	5	128,087,229	0.295%	8
Digital 720 2ND LLC				126,832,842	0.292%	9
Westcore City Center LLC				110,499,400	0.255%	10
Oakland City Center LLC	206,552,862	0.641%	1	N/A		
Kaiser Center, Inc.	139,879,530	0.434%	3	N/A		
Prentiss Properties Acquisition Partners LP	133,704,977	0.415%	4	N/A		
555 Twelfth Street Venture LLC	108,696,836	0.337%	6	N/A		
Lake Merritt Plaza	103,668,725	0.322%	7	N/A		
Clorox Company	91,935,112	0.285%	8			
KSL Claremont Resort, Inc	84,466,482	0.262%	9	N/A		
Union Pacific Railroad Company	60,554,007	0.188%	10	N/A		
Total	\$ 1,187,531,773	3.684%		\$ 1,492,366,746	3.440%	:

#### Notes:

<sup>(1) 2006</sup> based on total assessed value of \$32,243,583,182

 $<sup>(2)\,2015\</sup> based\ on\ total\ assessed\ value\ of\ \$43,388,074,772$ 

**SCHEDULE 10** 

### PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS

(in thousands)

Fiscal Year	Taxes Levied	Collected w Fiscal Year o		Collections in	Total Colle to Da	
Ended June 30,	for the Fiscal Year	Amount	Percent of Levy	Subsequent Year	Amount	Percent of Levy
2006	73,331	71,198	97.09%	0	71,198	97.09
2007	79,357	75,654	95.33%	0	75,654	95.33
2008	86,220	81,048	94.00%	0	81,048	94.00
2009	89,482	84,063	93.94%	0	84,063	93.94
2010	85,706	82,015	95.69%	0	82,015	95.69
2011	83,960	81,013	96.49%	0	81,013	96.49
2012	84,590	81,823	96.73%	0	81,823	96.73
2013	85,791	83,756	97.63%	0	83,756	97.63
2014	87,270	85,643	98.14%	0	85,643	98.14
2015	92,969	91,419	98.33%	0	91,419	98.33

Voter-Approved	Debt Tax Roll						
Fiscal Year Taxes Levied		Collected w Fiscal Year o		Collections in	Total Collections to Date		
Ended	for the		Percent	Subsequent		Percent	
June 30,	Fiscal Year	Amount	of Levy	Year	Amount	of Levy	
2006	63,369	60,887	96.08%	0	60,887	96.08%	
2007	75,071	70,586	94.03%	0	70,586	94.03%	
2008	76,453	70,621	92.37%	0	70,621	92.37%	
2009	75,753	70,494	93.06%	0	70,494	93.06%	
2010	83,581	79,172	94.72%	0	79,172	94.72%	
2011	85,262	81,506	95.59%	0	81,506	95.59%	
2012	85,076	82,413	96.87%	0	82,413	96.87%	
2013	82,312	80,328	97.59%	0	80,328	97.59%	
2014	80,745	78,989	97.83%	0	78,989	97.83%	
2015	89,871	88,335	98.29%	0	88,335	98.29%	

Note: Collections in subsequent year data not available

### **SCHEDULE 11**

### TAXABLE SALES BY CATEGORY

(in thousands)

		Fiscal Year																	
	2006		2007		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015
Auto & Transportation	\$ 860,1	94 5	\$ 912,876	\$	840,330	\$	695,919	\$	580,398	\$	651,555	\$	674,154	\$	743,329	\$	838,029	\$	894,683
Business & Industry	667,6	80	613,457		691,322		574,628		490,566		512,453		642,399		655,454		653,875		558,343
General Consumer Goods	554,1	86	549,394		536,955		505,460		480,781		496,571		548,072		559,941		574,519		605,914
Restaurants and Hotels	496,8	4	483,765		527,276		515,602		525,068		566,973		606,936		681,562		751,108		855,561
Building & Construction	488,9	2	495,607		465,797		416,701		344,171		325,085		378,922		374,421		434,677		456,964
Food & Drugs	321,4	57	330,643		341,677		342,922		366,461		359,148		386,236		402,383		417,291		440,323
Fuel & Service Stations	1,058,1	22	1,186,535		1,236,876		638,147		433,207		620,279		888,349		733,489		704,208		632,457
Total	\$ 4,447,3	35 \$	\$ 4,572,277	\$ 4	4,640,233	\$ 3	3,689,379	\$3	3,220,652	\$	3,532,064	\$4,	,125,068	\$ 4	,150,579	\$4	,373,707	\$4	,444,245
City direct sales tax rate	1.5%		1.5%		1.5%		1.5%		1.5%		1.5%		1.5%		1.5%		1.5%		2.0%

Source: HdL Companies

**SCHEDULE 12** 

# DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING SALES TAX RATES

Fiscal Year	City Direct <u>Rate</u>	State of California
2006	1.50%	7.25%
2007	1.50%	7.25%
2008	1.50%	7.25%
2009	1.50%	8.25%
2010	1.50%	8.25%
2011	1.50%	8.25%
2012	1.50%	7.25%
2013	1.50%	7.50%
2014	1.50%	7.50%
2015	2.00%	7.50%

Source: California State Board of Equalization

**SCHEDULE 13** 

### **Governmental Activities**

### **Business-type Activities**

Fiscal Year	General Obligation Bonds	Tax Allocation Bonds	Certificates of Participation	Lease Revenue Bonds	Pension Obligation Bonds	Accreted Interest	Special Assessment Bonds	Notes Payable	Capital Leases	Premiums Discounts Refunding Loss	Pledge Oblig. For Authority Debt	Sewer Fund Notes Payable	Sewer Fund Bonds	Total Primary Government	Percentage of Personal Income (1)	Per Capita
2006	358,124	319,115	49,154	346,110	341,475	85,884	7,085	17,940	20,218	518	88,100	4,925	60,840	1,699,488	14.53%	4
2007	345,214	514,475	45,795	325,105	313,625	104,356	6,800	17,090	31,809	2,852	85,350	4,126	59,305	1,855,902	20.36%	4
2008	331,528	496,630	40,495	323,340	282,705	125,743	6,200	19,045	26,968	(2,454)	82,450	3,346	57,720	1,793,716	17.00%	4
2009	317,188	505,765	10,375	296,985	248,455	148,580	5,645	17,610	23,235	(2,167)	79,350	2,540	56,090	1,709,651	15.29%	4
2010	366,248	488,900	7,210	270,670	210,595	172,971	8,298	14,295	18,483	450	76,000	1,708	54,380	1,690,208	14.46%	4
2011	349,431	523,905	3,895	242,800	195,637	172,121	7,963	12,295	17,068	(1,278)	72,450	848	52,580	1,649,715	14.83%	4
2012	326,609	-	-	210,530	174,777	157,211	7,475	10,140	13,498	4,630	68,700	574	50,695	1,024,839	9.07%	3
2013	309,793	-	-	176,850	367,394	162,874	6,690	7,815	39,228	20,219	56,895	291	48,710	1,196,759	9.66%	3
2014	290,449	-	-	141,555	348,512	169,923	6,365	5,330	51,349	18,390	53,225	-	38,555	1,123,653	8.57%	3
2015	206,530	-	-	109,955	330,433	165,290	6,020	3,150	65,645	20,371	49,445	-	41,431	998,270	7.23%	3

<sup>(1)</sup> Per capita income \$33,609 multiplied by population 410,603 gives personal income \$13,799,956,227

**SCHEDULE 14** 

# RATIOS OF GENERAL BONDED DEBT OUTSTANDING

(in thousands)

### **General Bonded Debt Outstanding**

	Net		Percentage of Actual Taxable	Per
Fiscal	Bonded	Assessed	Value of	Capita (3)
<b>Year</b>		<b>Value (2)</b>	Property (%)	(in dollars)
2006	1,633,723	32,244,000	5.0668	869.75
2007	1,792,471	35,820,000	5.0041	4,314.09
2008	1,732,650	39,319,000	4.4066	4,123.56
2009	1,651,021	41,274,000	4.0001	3,884.13
2010	1,634,120	39,877,000	4.0979	3,794.40
2011	1,596,287	38,484,140	4.1479	4,062.50
2012	973,570	38,856,435	2.5056	2,462.61
2013	1,147,758	39,515,578	2.9046	2,874.24
2014	1,085,098	40,796,490	2.6598	2,683.53
2015	946,985	43,388,075	2.1826	2,306.33

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: City of Oakland Annual Debt Service Roll Forward Schedule as of June 30, 2015

<sup>(2)</sup> Source: County of Alameda.

<sup>(3)</sup> Population 410,603 as of 1/1/15 per State of California Demographic Information by City.

**SCHEDULE 15** 

### DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES DEBT

Governmental Unit	Estimated Percentage Applicable	City Share of <u>Debt</u>
Direct Bonded Debt		
City of Oakland General Obligation Bonds	100	\$ 206,530,000
City of Oakland Lease Revenue Bonds	100	109,955,000
City of Oakland Pension Obligations	100	330,433,000
City of Oakland 1915 Act Bond Obligations	100	6,020,000
City of Oakland Accreted Interest on Appreciation Bonds	100	165,290,000
City of Oakland Unamortized Premium and Discount	100	10,517,000
City of Oakland Notes and Capital Leases	100	68,795,000
City of Oakland and Coliseum Authority General Fund Obligations	100	 49,445,000
Total Direct Bonded Debt:		\$ 946,985,000
Overlapping Bonded Debt		
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District Certificates of Participation	23.534	\$ 5,834,079
Alameda County and Coliseum Authority General Fund Obligation	19.849	172,528,401
Alameda County Pension Obligations	19.849	13,331,427
Bay Area Rapid Transit District	7.805	49,233,550
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Special District #1	52.104	5,684,546
East Bay Regional Park District	11.981	21,181,210
Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	0.874	3,725,216
Peralta Community College District	54.822	208,891,008
Peralta Community College District Pension Obligation	54.822	90,211,843
Berkeley & Castro Valley Unified School District	0.004 & 0.119	88,953
Oakland Unified School District	99.999	810,996,890
Oakland Unified School District Certificates of Participation	99.999	39,774,602
San Leandro Unified School District	8.457	16,344,301
San Leandro Unified School District General Fund Obligations	8.457	433,844
Castro Valley Unified School District Certificates of Participation	0.119	6,759
City of Emeryville 1915 Act Bonds	4.183	216,470
City of Piedmont 1915 Act Bonds	4.792	143,520
Total Overlapping Bonded Debt:		\$ 1,438,626,619
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt		\$ 2,385,611,619
Less: East Bay M.U.D. Special District #1 (100% self-supporting)		5,684,546
Total Net Direct and Overlapping Bonded Debt		\$ 2,379,927,073

Source: City of Oakland Treasury Division

**SCHEDULE 16** 

### LEGAL DEBT MARGIN INFORMATION

	2006	<u>2007</u>	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
Debt limit	\$ 918,508,985	\$ 985,017,038	\$ 1,116,227,253	\$ 1,156,818,628	\$ 1,129,612,382	\$ 1,104,508,857	\$ 1,110,343,736	\$ 1,125,725,668	\$ 1,168,926,502	\$ 1,238,785,017
Total net debt applicable to limit	358,124,189	345,214,363	331,528,315	317,188,697	366,247,851	349,430,620	326,608,202	309,791,916	290,448,558	206,530,000
Legal debt margin	\$ 560,384,796	\$ 639,802,675	\$ 784,698,938	\$ 839,629,931	\$ 763,364,531	\$ 755,078,237	\$ 783,735,534	\$ 815,933,752	\$ 878,477,944	\$ 1,032,255,017
Total net debt applicable to the limit as a percen- tage of debt limit (%)	38.99%	35.05%	29.70%	27.42%	32.42%	31.64%	29.42%	27.52%	24.85%	16.67%

Source: County of Alameda and City of Oakland Annual Debt Service Roll Forward (General Obligation Debt Total as of June 30, 2015).

**SCHEDULE 17** 

# PLEDGED-REVENUE COVERAGE, PORT OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND OAKLAND REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY

(thousands of dollars)

Net Revenue Available for

Fiscal Year	Debt Services	Principal	Interest	Total	Coverage
PORT OF OAKLAND					
2006	136,566	14,968	56,806	71,774	190.27%
2007	138,458	19,892	62,756	82,648	167.53%
2008	144,931	19,800	70,474	90,274	160.55%
2009	130,173	19,724	75,578	95,302	136.59%
2010	147,860	35,593	78,018	113,611	141.96% (1)
2011	155,502	36,500	69,378	105,878	146.87%
2012	161,254	48,763	59,571	108,334	149.68% (2)
2013	170,128	45,812	61,612	107,424	158.37%
2014	160,769	42,661	56,615	99,276	161.94% (3)
2015	164,643	46,471	54,813	101,284	162.56%
OAKLAND REDEVEL	OPMENT AGENCY				
2009	3,456,253	505,595	343,747	849,342	406.93%
2010	3,100,961	488,900	316,344	805,244	385.10%
2011	3,041,760	523,905	378,570	902,475	337.05%
OAKLAND REDEVEL	OPMENT SUCCESSOR A	GENCY			
2012	2,949,755	503,540	348,207	851,747	346.32%
2013	2,856,580	480,995	318,894	799,889	357.12%

Notes: (from Port of Oakland)

Source: Port of Oakland and Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency

<sup>(1)</sup> Revised from 130.15% to reflect the application of \$9.5 million bond reserve funds from Series F, Series K, Series L and Series N to debt service.

<sup>(2)</sup> Revised from 148.85% to reflect the application of unspent Series M bond proceeds to debt service.

<sup>(3)</sup> Revised from 161.41% to reflect additional Net Revenue Available for Debt Service.

**SCHEDULE 18** 

### DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS

Calendar		Personal Income (thousands of	Per Capita Personal	Median	School	Unemployment
Year	Year Population dollars		Income	Age	Enrollment	Rate (%)
2006	411,755	11,697,548	28,409	33.3	41,467	7.1
2007	415,492	9,114,233	21,936	33.3	39,802	7.4
2008	420,183	10,554,157	25,118	36.1	39,705	9.6
2009	425,068	11,182,689	26,308	36.7	38,826	17.1
2010	390,757	10,607,099	27,145	37.1	38,450	17.2
2011	392,333	11,107,340	28,311	36.3	38,540	16.3
2012	394,832	11,281,140	28,572	36.2	37,742	14.3
2013	399,699	12,402,660	31,030	36.6	36,180	11.3
2014	405,703 (1)	13,154,920	32,425	36.4	37,040	9.0
2015	410,603	13,799,956	33,609	36.2	37,147	5.7

(1) 2014 population is updated with newly available data from the California Department of Finance, personal Income is also updated accordingly.

#### Sources

Population - State of California Department of Finance

Per Capita Income and Median Age - DemographicsNow.com (2006-2013), U.S. Census Bureau (2014-2015)

School Enrollment - Oakland Unified School District

Unemployment Rate - State of California Employment Development Department

**SCHEDULE 19** 

### PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS

	<u>2015</u>			<u>2006</u>			
<b>Employer</b>	Number of Employees	Rank	Percent of Total Employment	Number of Employees	Rank	Percent of Total Employment	
Kaiser Foundation Hospitals/Permanente Medical Center	9,992	1	5.03%	N/A			
Oakland Unified School District	6,637	2	3.34%	8,000	2	4.31%	
County of Alameda	5,312	3	2.67%	9,740	1	5.25%	
City of Oakland	3,352	4	1.69%	4,290	5	2.31%	
Bay Area Rapid Transit	3,210	5	1.62%	2,800	6	1.51%	
State of California	3,169	6	1.59%	N/A			
Children's Hospital Oakland	2,800	7	1.41%	N/A			
Alameda County Health System	2,300	8	1.16%	N/A			
United Parcel Service	2,200	9	1.11%	N/A			
Southwest Airlines	2,113	10	1.06%	N/A			
Kaiser Permanente Medical Center	N/A			5,450	3	2.94%	
Kaiser Foundation Hospitals	N/A			4,340	4	2.34%	
Federal Express	N/A			2,790	7	1.50%	
Alta-Bates Medical Center	N/A			2,620	8	1.41%	
Kaiser Foundation Health Plan	N/A			2,590	9	1.40%	
Summit Medical Center	N/A	_		2,230	10	1.20%	
Total	41,085			44,850			

#### Sources:

Fiscal Year 2006 - Economic Development Alliance for Business, Alameda County Largest Employers.

Fiscal Year 2015 - City of Oakland, Economic and Workforce Development, and State of California Employment Development Department
Total employment of 198,700 from State of California Employment Development Department is used to calculate the percentage of employment in

**SCHEDULE 20** 

# FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT CITY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

Function/Program	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
Aging, Health & Human Services	210	213	208	204	217	231	218	219	219	214
Community & Economic Development Agency	258	262	419	380	364	241	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economic & Workforce Development Department	N/A	46	44							
Fire										
Firefighters and officers	445	456	462	448	434	427	411	410	393	426
Civilians	77	81	82	77	78	69	64	68	96	67
General Government										
Management services	199	222	211	204	184	169	280	280	169	205
Finance	201	210	209	196	176	172	63	55	124	128
Retirement Services	7	7	5	5	5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Personnel Resources Management	38	41	53	47	35	35	33	29	40	42
Contracts and Purchasing	N/A	N/A	22	22	22	23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Neighborhood Services	N/A	4	N/A	N/A						
Information Technology	76	76	92	88	67	62	60	55	59	54
Library	173	160	150	140	133	135	134	138	139	136
Cultural Arts/KTOP	22	22	21	20	12	12	8	8	8	8
Museum	56	58	55	51	42	38	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Parks and Recreation	88	81	76	92	82	87	83	84	82	85
Planning, Building & Neighborhood Preservation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	119	111	111	107
Police										
Officers	701	725	746	791	763	627	634	621	633	710
Civilians	354	335	432	303	305	279	311	350	458	458
Public Works	709	727	561	546	482	593	593	588	611	623
Housing & Community Development	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	44	43	45	45
Total	3,614	3,676	3,804	3,614	3,401	3,205	3,055	3,063	3,233	3,352

Source: City of Oakland Payroll Division

### **SCHEDULE 21**

Function/Program	<u>2007</u>	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	<u>2015</u>
General Government									
Building permits issued	16,488	14,957	13,055	12,951	13,648	13,696	13,513	14,680	15,117
Building inspections conducted	89,388	95,064	77,845	71,931	70,016	48,500	55,951	58,844	56,414
Authorized new dwelling units	2,035	704	395	555	528	237	486	420	806
Commercial value (in thousands)	171,157	213,696	117,876	95,851	108,767	150,613	65,152	100,239	238,592
Residential value (in thousands)	611,036	258,617	196,362	168,872	179,374	159,723	253,516	181,087	246,776
Police									
Dispatched calls	299,283	289,032	315,522	265,277	236,517	221,775	249,050	226,275	252,550
Field Contacts	7,221	9,641	8,393	20,220	23,391	16,638	21,280	33,570	34,418
Physical arrests	14,908	16,866	18,183	15,056	15,029	10,617	7,908	7,577	12,224
Parking violations	470,008	459,459	496,655	450,656	386,494	368,641	326,030	331,692	323,542
Traffic violations	39,098	44,897	51,019	33,484	20,731	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fire									
Emergency responses	61,470	49,784	51,255	49,887	51,041	46,672	55,334	55,284	58,413
Fires extinguished	2,021	3,800	2,601	1,143	1,073	1,207	1,108	1,783	1,282
Inspections	2,631	3,062	3,258	2,087	2,211	2,390	3,292	3,292	2,398
Port of Oakland									
Imports (in tonnage)	16,081,289	16,203,404	14,664,473	13,014,470	14,868,310	14,709,453	14,609,772	14,478,498	15,204,325
Exports (in tonnage)	14,710,407	16,191,383	16,258,547	17,357,582	17,647,626	18,429,153	18,370,822	18,473,839	17,663,308
Total tonnage	30,791,696	32,394,787	30,923,020	30,372,052	32,515,936	33,138,606	32,980,594	32,952,337	32,867,633
Containers	1,369,123	1,363,367	1,273,805	1,161,082	1,316,473	1,318,925	1,328,379	1,325,855	1,359,195
Other public works									
Street resurfacing (miles)	14.58	13.83	18.63	18.50	11.50	21.21	3.95	16.95	9.60
Potholes repaired	12,574	11,758	8,515	10,062	8,262	11,614	12,005	9,719	13,751
Parks and recreation									
Athletic field permits issued	543	330	340	346	378	409	409	409	429
Community center admissions	1,436,682	1,423,577	1,342,657	1,454,124	1,653,451	1,790,720	1,144,097	902,414	698,273
Library									
Volumes in collection	1,956,249	1,242,415	1,316,849	1,452,930	1,535,451	1,268,857	1,259,091	1,245,060	1,130,583
Total volumes borrowed	2,270,755	2,328,712	2,436,806	2,469,588	2,585,613	2,619,930	2,576,157	2,534,678	2,421,548
Water									
New connections	389	474	297	192	111	127	167	132	139
Water main breaks	261	251	410	242	263	260	278	303	339
Average daily consumption (gallons/fa	203	N/A							
Peak daily consumption (thousands of	f								
gallons)	385,000	N/A							
Wastewater									
Average daily sewage treatment (tho	usands								
of gallons)	75,500	75,000	66,000	68,000	70,000	63,000	61,000	56,000	55,000

Source: City of Oakland, Port of Oakland, and East Bay Municipal Utility District Note: Port of Oakland data based on prior calendar year; fiscal year data unavailable.

**SCHEDULE 22** 

### CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

Function/Program	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
Aviation facilities									
Airports operated	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Paved airport runways	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Total length of runways (in feet)	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038
Area of airport (in acres)	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
Police									
Stations	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7
Patrol units	630	622	633	602	592	634	610	600	619
Fire stations	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Harbor facilities									
Miles at waterfront	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Berthing length at wharves (in feet)	23,063	23,063	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233
Harbor area (in acres)	786	786	786	786	779	779	779	779	779
Hospitals	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Library branches	16	15	15	15	16	16	16	16	16
Museums	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Other public works									
Streets (in lane miles)	2,287	2,288	2,323	1,963	1,965	1,965	1,965	1,965	1,965
Streetlights	33,952	36,219	36,219	36,219	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000
Traffic signals	680	671	688	688	688	720	632	635	639
Parks and recreation									
Acreage	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
Swimming pools	5	7	5	5	4	4	4	4	4
Tennis courts	36	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44
Playgrounds	89	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106
Baseball/softball diamonds	46	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Soccer/football fields	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Community centers	29	34	34	34	33	33	33	33	33
Water									
Water mains (miles)	N/A								
Fire hydrants	6,705	6,719	6,733	6,738	6,759	6,697	6,812	6,823	6,720
Storage capacity (thousands of gallons)	N/A								
Wastewater	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Sanitary sewers (miles)	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29
Treatment capacity (million gallons per day)	120	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320

 $Note: Harbor\ Facilities\ data\ based\ on\ prior\ calendar\ year; fiscal\ year\ data\ unavailable.$ 

 $Source: \ City\ of\ Oakland,\ Port\ of\ Oakland,\ and\ East\ Bay\ Municipal\ Utility\ District$ 

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

The City of Oakland is located on the eastern side of the Oakland/San Francisco Bay in the County of Alameda. Its western border offers 19 miles of coastline, while the rolling hills to the east present views of the Bay and the Pacific Ocean. In between are traditional, well-kept neighborhoods, a progressive downtown and superior cultural and recreational amenities. It is the administrative site for the County of Alameda, the regional seat for the federal government, the district location of primary state offices, and the *transportation hub and center of commerce* for the Bay Area.

With an estimated population of over 404,355, ranking the eighth largest city in the State of California, Oakland is a city of contrasts. It has a thriving industrial port located near restored historic buildings. Major corporate headquarters are in close proximity to traditional businesses and small shops. Historic structures continue to be preserved and revitalized while new buildings are built.

Oakland has grown rapidly since World War II. It has striven to balance this growth by preserving its abundant natural beauty and resources. The City has 106 parks within its borders and several recreational areas along its perimeter. The downtown area includes Lake Merritt, the largest saltwater lake within a U.S. city. Its shoreline is a favorite retreat for joggers, office workers and picnickers. At dusk, the area sparkles as the lake is lit with the "Necklace of Lights." Lake Merritt is the oldest officially declared wildlife sanctuary in the United States, dating back to 1870.

#### **ALL-AMERICAN CITY**

According to U.S. Census figures, Oakland is the most culturally and ethnically diverse city in America. This diversity is reflected in a dynamic, multicultural arts, culture and dining scene. Less obvious to people passing through Oakland is the extraordinary number of individuals and groups of all ethnic backgrounds who work quietly, often voluntarily, usually with little public notice, to improve living conditions for everyone. There are about 150 neighborhood, community, and merchant organizations in Oakland, an unusually large number for any city.

In recognition of these activities, the City and its residents were awarded the National Civic League's prestigious All-American City designation. Ten cities out of 151 applicants were selected. Each had to demonstrate broad-based citizen involvement reflecting the community's demographics, the shared decision-making among its public and private sectors, the creative mobilization of community resources, and the willingness to confront critical local issues and results that have a lasting impact.

### **GOVERNMENT**

In November 1998, the citizens of Oakland passed Measure X to change the form of government from Council/Manager to Mayor/Council through a charter amendment. The legislative authority is vested in the City Council. The executive authority is vested in the Mayor with administrative authority resting with the City Manager under the direction of the Mayor. The City Auditor and the City Attorney are both elected officials and serve four-year terms.

The Mayor and City Council is the governing body of the City and is comprised of eight elected officials. One Council member is elected "at large", while the other seven Council members represent specific districts. The Mayor and City Council are elected to serve four-year terms. The City Manager, appointed by the Mayor, is responsible for day-to-day administrative and fiscal operations of the City.

On March 2, 2004, the citizens of Oakland passed Measure P: (1) to repeal the sunset provision of Measure X passed in November 1998 to retain the Mayor/Council form of government; (2) to change the term limit for Mayor from two terms to two consecutive terms; (3) to reduce the number of votes needed for the City Council to pass an ordinance on reconsideration from six to five votes; (4) to eliminate the prohibition on paying the Mayor more than the City Manager; (5) to remove the rule that the Mayor vacates his or her office by missing ten consecutive City Council meetings; (6) to require the Mayor to advise the City Council before removing the City Manager; and (7) to change the title of the City Manager to "City Administrator".

The City provides a full range of services contemplated by statute or charter, including those functions delegated to cities under state law. These services include public safety (police and fire), sanitation and environmental health enforcement, recreational and cultural activities, public improvements, planning, zoning, and general administrative services. Oakland is also the seat of Alameda County, which is one of California's largest counties.

#### **COMMERCIAL SECTORS**

Oakland has made significant gains in diversifying its economic base. The economy offers a healthy mix of trade, government, and information technology, financial, medical, publishing, and service-oriented occupations. Industries with the largest growth in jobs in the last few years are professional and business services, education and healthcare, government, leisure and hospitality, traditional and specialty food production.

Oakland is abundant in resources that are available to its businesses and residents. State-of-the-art transportation, communications, and utility facilities keep the City running smoothly. Waterfront restaurants, shops, and a movie theater at Jack London Square, as well as the burgeoning Old Oakland and Uptown districts provide lively nighttime attractions. In addition, new office and retail buildings, public facilities, hotels, park enhancements, farmer's markets, outdoor cinema, art galleries and scores of public art installations and the annual Art & Soul festival have created a cosmopolitan environment in the downtown. The City's neighborhood retail area continues to grow; it now has six Business Improvement Districts. Manufacturing remains an important segment of the City's economy, some industrial areas have been converted into live/work use.

City departments and processes are being streamlined, restructured, and customer focused to better serve the needs of the businesses and the community. A variety of incentives are available to companies located in its Enterprise, Foreign Trade, and Recycling Market Development Zones. The staff link businesses with the many services available to them throughout the area and serves as an ombudsman for companies dealing with the City. Neighborhood Commercial Specialists work with merchants in each commercial district to promote the district, obtain loans, expedite permits, and arrange for City services.

Oakland is a city of rich history, impressive growth, and a promising future. Located within the **nation's largest metropolitan area**, California's eighth largest city is strategically positioned as the economic heart of the East Bay. Oakland has a diverse business base and opportunities for expansion in business services, retail, and the cutting-edge advanced technology industries. Downtown Oakland has the infrastructure and the amenities for both traditional and emerging enterprises, and it offers competitively priced office space.

As the economic, transportation, and civic hub of the East Bay, Oakland offers tremendous opportunity for retailers. The City's approximately 404,355 resident's per capita income in 2014 averaged \$\$32,425. Portions of Oakland are among the wealthiest consumer markets in California; average household income

for this area was \$70,004, compared to the US average which was \$67,073. Estimated annual taxable sales were \$4.4 billion in 2014. Compared to other East Bay cities, Oakland sees a significant number of autorelated purchases, with opportunities available in consumer goods, building materials, and office products.

The City of Oakland has transformed itself into one of the most desirable communities to live and to do business in the country. Testimony to this transformation is well publicized in various media and comments by public officials. For example, the City:

- "...no. 5 among Best Cities for Foodies." (NerdWallet, 2015);
- "...9<sup>th</sup> Best City for Baseball Fans." (WalletHub, 2015);
- "...no. 14 among most Bikeable Cities of 2015." (Redfin, 2015);
- "...no. 4 on Trulia's top 5 most pet-friendly rental markets." (Trulia, 2015);
- "...no. 2 Overall in Mid-Sized American Cities of the Future and no. 1 in Connectivity." (fDilntelligence.com, 2015);
- "...seven Oakland companies named among ICIC and Fortune Inner City's 100 winners." (ICIC and Fortune 2014);
- "...Oakland uptown was named among nation's 10 best neighborhoods." (American Planning Association 2014);
- "...named in the Rockefeller Foundation's new 100 Resilient Cities Network." (Rockefeller Foundation 2013);
- "...ranked America's most exciting city." (real estate website Movoto 2013);
- "...named among top 15 cities for tech startups." (National Venture Capital Association 2013);
- "...ranked 5<sup>th</sup> most desirable destination to visit in the world." (New York Times 2012);
- "...ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> Most Active City in the U.S." (Men's Health magazine 2011);
- "...ranked in top 10 for U.S. office, industrial and multi-housing markets." (Grubb & Ellis Company, 2011);
- "...ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> in the Top "Can-Do" Cities in America." (Newsweek, 2011);
- "...one of the 20 towns of the future." (Sunset Magazine, 2011);

#### **DEMOGRAPHICS**

Oakland is a Mecca of culture, a community of people from all over the world working together to build a progressive City. At the same time, it has maintained a rich heritage of ethnic backgrounds and traditions.

The well-maintained four freeways (I-880, I-580, Hwy 13, and Hwy 24), mass transit systems, and ferry service make getting to and from downtown Oakland a relatively quick and easy process—travel times to San Francisco, San Jose and other area cities are surprisingly short.

#### **Mayor Libby Schaaf Takes Office**

Mayor Libby Schaaf was inaugurated Oakland, California's 50th mayor on January 5, 2015. A native Oaklander, Mayor Schaaf previously served one term as a member of the Oakland City Council.

### **Public Safety**

Oakland Mayor Libby Schaaf joined Police Chief Sean Whent and Oakland Unified School District Superintendent Antwan Wilson to announce the expansion of the Oakland Police Department's Cadet Program, an important element of Mayor Schaaf's holistic community safety strategy. Over the next three

years, 25 cadet slots will be funded through the \$450,000 included in the Mayor's FY 15-17 City Council-approved budget, and an additional \$750,000 from an anonymous gift secured by Mayor Schaaf. The Mayor's strategy aims to expand the cadet program to include up to 40 cadet slots reserved for Oakland Unified School District graduates.

Building on progress: Oakland Ceasefire has proved incredibly effective in the neighborhoods where it's most strongly implemented. Bolstering the strategy and increasing its resources are critical to building on that success and ensuring that residents feel that increased safety throughout all of Oakland.

#### **Economic Development**

Jobs Pipeline: A central priority for Mayor Quan's administration is to ensure that residents of low-income and high-unemployment neighborhoods are able to access the thousands of jobs that are being created in this robust period of growth and development. We have to work together to build pipelines that prepare residents in the skills and qualifications they need to apply for those jobs, and create clear pathways for residents to access them.

The long-in-the-works Foothill Square shopping center will open in East Oakland, providing residents with a bank branch and a grocery store for the first time in years and creating hundreds of jobs.

### **Innovative, Sustainable City**

The City is continuing to work with the Rockefeller Foundation on the 100 Resilient Cities program, working with other regional cities and partner cities across the world to develop resilience in the face of potential disasters such as a major earthquake, as well as ongoing concerns including the impacts of global climate change.

Oakland is taking a leadership role in the National League of Cities' work to promote and encourage achievement for young men of color and reduce violence in their communities.

#### **HISTORY**

Oakland's first inhabitants, the Ohlone Indians, arrived about 1200 B.C. and lived in small tribal groups on the edge of the hills surrounding the Bay. The Ohlone Indians were a stocky hunting and gathering group who lived in such harmony with nature that they left no permanent mark on the landscape. They maintained such a peaceful attitude with each other that they had no word for war.

Spanish explorers first entered the area that is now Oakland by land in 1772. They reported the natural geography as possibly the most perfect on earth. Near the shore were magnificent oaks; on the hills stood acres of giant redwoods. In the spring, wildflowers filled the valley with golden poppies and purple iris. Deer, rabbits, bears and wildcats roamed the woods. Creeks tumbled into a Bay filled with salmons, crabs, sturgeons, smelts, lobsters, clams, and mussels. The marsh that would become Lake Merritt was alive with wildfowls.

Spain established a Presidio and a Mission on the west side of the Bay in 1776, and Mission San Jose (south of Oakland) is now Fremont. Mission San Jose had jurisdiction over Oakland, the area the Spaniards called Encinal, "grove of evergreen oaks." European diseases and settler hostility obliterated the Ohlones and most of their culture within a few years.

Development as a commercial and transportation center began with the California Gold Rush of 1849, when Oakland became the mainland staging point for passengers and cargo traveling between the Bay and the Sierra foothills.

Oakland was incorporated as a city in 1852, and construction of shipping wharfs began immediately. Ferry service between Oakland and San Francisco had existed for years, but by building large wharfs and dredging a shipping channel, Oakland became an independent destination. Oakland grew steadily through the 19th century. After the devastating earthquake in 1906, many people and businesses chose to relocate from San Francisco to Oakland. Oakland's population more than doubled between 1900 and 1910.

Oakland benefited from the general prosperity of the area through the 1920s. California farms expanded their markets, contributing to canning, processing and shipping companies based in Oakland. Automakers and steel companies led the industrial expansion throughout the East Bay. Construction businesses had plenty of work as homes went up south and east of the inner city and new high-rise office buildings were built in downtown Oakland.

World War II brought tremendous changes to Oakland. Huge numbers of workers moved to the Bay Area to work in local shipyards and many of these people, as well as large numbers of military personnel who mustered out at Treasure Island and the Oakland Army Base, chose to remain in the Bay Area. The population grew by almost one third between 1940 and 1950.

Oakland has a rich literary and cultural heritage. Such historical notables as writers Bret Harte, Jack London, Joaquin Miller, Ina Donna Coolbrith, Jessica Mitford, Narman Jayo, Ishmael Reed, and Gertrude Stein; architect Julia Morgan; and dancer Isadora Duncan are just a few who have left their cultural mark on the City. It is also the birthplace of the West Coast Blues.

#### TRANSPORTATION

Located in the geographical center of the Bay Area, Oakland has been recognized as an important transportation hub for more than 100 years. The combination of train, bus, ferry, marine, aviation, freeways (I-880, I-580, Hwy 13, and Hwy 24) guarantees ease of travel for local residents and efficient channels of commerce for businesses relying on the City's easy access. Oakland is the headquarters of the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (AC Transit), and the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) system. Oakland's Port is a primary sea terminal for transporting cargo between the Western United States and the Pacific Rim, Latin America, and Europe. Air cargo service is minutes away at the Oakland International Airport.

#### The Port of Oakland

The Port of Oakland is located on the east (or mainland) side of San Francisco Bay, one of the most beautiful natural harbors in the world. The Port is the fifth busiest container port in the United States and among the top 30 in the world. It is served by two railroad companies: the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) and the Union Pacific.

The Port handles over 98 percent of Northern California's container traffic, which includes service by over 30 container lines. It has technically advanced facilities available not only for containers but for break-bulk, heavy-lift, and other specialized cargo. The Port has approximately 1,000 acres of developed terminal facilities and container storage and handling areas with 35 ship-to-shore container cranes in operation at these facilities. All Vision 2000 terminal facilities are open and operating. They consist of the 120 acre Hanjin container terminal, the 150 acre Stevedoring Services of America container terminal

and the 85 acre intermodal rail terminal operated by the BNSF. The Port of Oakland is the only California container port that handles more exports than imports. It is the premier seaport for exporting agricultural goods from the Central Valley and the gateway for 90 percent of California's wine exports.

### **Oakland International Airport**

Oakland International is San Francisco Bay Area's most convenient airport and was ranked #1 for ontime arrivals in North America as measured by FlightStats.com in 2009. Strategically located at the center of the region, Oakland International handles over 9 million passengers and 1,000,000 metric tons of air cargo annually. It is the fourth largest international airport in California, and the second largest airport in the Bay Area, it offers approximately 150 commercial passenger flights daily to domestic and international destinations. The airport is comprised of two airfields: South Field (the main commercial airfield) and North Field (primarily used by general and corporate aviation and some cargo companies). Oakland offers flights to more than 40 destinations in the U.S., including services to four Hawaiian Islands as well as service to Mexico and Europe. A green-designed, state-of-the-art air traffic control tower is being constructed. The Port is also partnering with Bay Area Rapid Transit to operate the Oakland Airport Connector, a 3.2-mile extension of BART from the Coliseum/Oakland Airport BART Station to Oakland International Airport

### Air Cargo at Oakland International Airport

Oakland International handles 1,000,000 metric tons of cargo every year, and it is the largest air cargo facility in Northern California. Five all-cargo carriers currently serve Oakland International. Additionally, air cargo is on the domestic and international passenger carriers that serve the airport. About one in every four employees works in a job related to cargo. FedEx maintains their West Cost North American Asia-Pacific hub at Oakland. UPS also has a major West Coast hub at Oakland.

### **Mass Transit**

Local bus service is provided by Alameda-Contra Costa (AC) Transit, the public bus system serving 13 cities (and adjacent unincorporated communities) in 364 square miles along the east shore of San Francisco Bay. Serving approximately 192,000 daily riders, AC Transit operates a network of more than 149 bus lines, over 90% of which make transfer connections with the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) system. AC Transit buses also serve the Amtrak Station and ferry terminal at Jack London Square, the Oakland International Airport, and many other Bay Area attractions including downtown San Francisco.

BART is a 104-mile, automated rapid transit system serving over 3 million people in the three BART counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Francisco counties, as well as northern San Mateo County. Trains traveling up to 80 mph connect 22 Bay Area cities and 44 stations. Travel time between downtown Oakland and downtown San Francisco averages only 11 minutes on BART.

Other modes of transportation include the Alameda/Oakland Ferry Service that links Oakland with San Francisco. Nine major U.S. and California highways pass through Oakland. Daily service to rail destinations throughout the U.S. is offered at the Oakland Amtrak Station. Greyhound Bus Lines offers daily bus service to cities throughout the United States.

Car-sharing is offered by City CarShare, Flexcar and Zipcar. There are over 90 miles of bike lanes, routes and paths for the public. Oakland was one of the first cities to pilot the "sharrow" lane – shared-lane pavement markings to indicate road lanes shared by cyclists and motorists.

#### **EDUCATION**

The Oakland Unified School District is governed by the Board of Education consisting of seven elected members and three mayoral appointees. The day-to-day operations are managed by the Superintendent of Schools.

The District operates 54 elementary, 13 middle, and 19 high schools. They also operate 30 child development centers. In addition, there are over 30 charter schools and several adult education schools in Oakland.

There are two community colleges and four four-year institutions inside the city limits, with the world-renowned U.C. Berkeley campus located nearby. In addition, Samuel Merritt University offers degrees in nursing, occupational therapy, physical therapy, physician assistant, and podiatric medicine and is the largest source of new registered nurses in California. Also, a variety of evening extension courses is offered in Oakland by nine other Bay Area colleges, including U.C. Berkeley. A wide array of non-profit, county and City-sponsored skills enhancement training programs are provided to Oakland residents and career development is successfully encouraged at area high school academies.

#### **HEALTH CARE**

Oakland's medical facilities are among the best in the nation. The medical community provides the latest and most sophisticated medical technology for the diagnosis and treatment of disease. Over 1,500 physicians, 250 dentists, and four major hospitals are located within the City. Overall, the health care industry in Oakland employs approximately 14,000 people.

#### **PUBLIC SAFETY**

Oakland's public safety providers actively engage all segments of the City's diverse residential and business communities in efforts to increase public safety and quality of life. Current police initiative partner law enforcement agencies with local community-based social service organizations to create/enhance programs that offer ex-offenders services (training and education) and support (substance abuse recovery and mental health counseling), while maintaining strict accountability and supervision of parolees and those on probations.

Oakland's first responders, committed to proactive emergency preparedness, response, and mitigation, continue to develop and enhance their skills, assess local risks, and prepare strategies to ensure the safety and security of the City's residential and commercial sectors in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack.

### PARKS AND RECREATION

Sports, performing arts, boating, camping, gardens, and many other leisure activities are available at more than 140 parks, playgrounds, community centers, and other recreational facilities operated by the City. There are two public golf courses and a third driving range. Four public pools offer seasonal lap and recreational swimming, instruction and showers. The Parks and Recreation Department operates more than 40 tennis courts. Oakland's Feather River Camp, a family camp located in the Plumas National Forest, is operated by the nonprofit group Camps in Common and offers both tent and cabin sites for overnight camping. Families and groups enjoy the rustic amenities, swimming, a variety of activities, and theme weeks offered at the camp throughout the summer months. Instruction in sailing, wind surfing and kayaking are available at Lake Merritt. Boats are available for rent, including paddleboats, kayaks,

rowboats, canoes, and sailboats. The City provides public boat launches at its seven-acre, waterfront park on the estuary and at Lake Merritt. The Port of Oakland owns and operates three marinas with berths.

Oakland is at the heart of the East Bay Regional Park District, a splendid system of 65 parks covering more than 119,000 acres and 29 regional hiking trails stretching 1,200 miles in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties.

#### **CULTURAL ARTS**

Oakland is home to one of the most vibrant visual, performing and cultural arts communities in the West Coast. It is experiencing a dynamic cultural renaissance and economic revitalization throughout downtown, the waterfront, and neighborhoods. There are more than 5,000 professional artists living and working in Oakland; 25 dance companies; 36 music groups and organizations; 12 theater companies; 40 visual arts galleries and 15 historic and museum sites.

The Cultural Arts & Marketing Division is the City's local arts agency which provides services to the arts community and sponsors culturally enriching programs, exhibitions, and events to celebrate Oakland's creative and cultural diversity. Through its three program areas, the Cultural Funding Program, Public Art Program, and Special Projects, the Cultural Arts & Marketing Division seeks to broaden and strengthen community participation in the development, support, and promotion of Oakland's rich artistic and cultural heritage at the local, regional, and national level.

**Cultural Funding Program**—The City of Oakland, through a competitive application process, awards over \$1.1 million in contracts over the years to Oakland-based nonprofit organizations and individual artists that collectively provide more than 5,000 arts and cultural activities to Oakland residents and visitors.

**Public Art Program**—The City of Oakland Public Art Program serves Oakland residents and visitors of all ages by commissioning permanent and temporary works of art to help create a positive vision and identity for the City and its neighborhoods. The Public Art Program supports downtown and neighborhood revitalization by engaging a diverse range of artists in contributing to the quality of the visual environment while communicating Oakland's historical, social and cultural significance. Public Art Program Staff administers a variety of programs, including site-specific public art connected to City capital improvements.

**Special Projects**—The City collaborates with other community organizations, businesses, public institutions, and City agencies to produce programs, events, festivals, and celebrations that promote Oakland's art and culture. Current projects include: Oakland Artisan Marketplace, Art & Soul Oakland Festival, and support for 20 major festivals citywide.

Galleries—Three new exhibition spaces downtown showcase high quality art by Oakland Bay Area visual artists in a variety of expressive mediums. They include the Craft and Cultural Arts City of Oakland/State of California Gallery, established through a 'partnership in the arts' collaboration, the Oakland Art Gallery, and the Galleries of Oakland space in City Hall. The Third Thursday Oakland Art Night, through which art patrons can tour downtown galleries until 8pm on the third Thursdays, is a newly created program.

**Oakland Museum of California**—It brings together collections of art, history and natural science under one roof to tell the extraordinary stories of California and its people. Oakland Museum of California connects collections and programs across disciplines, advancing an integrated, multilayered

understanding of this ever-evolving state. With more than 1.8 million objects, the Museum is a leading cultural institution of the Bay Area and a resource for the research and understanding of California's dynamic cultural and environmental heritage.

**Paramount Theater**—This 1931 movie place, authentically restored in 1973, is Oakland's premiere live performance facility. The theater hosts an impressive variety of popular attractions, including the Oakland East Bay Symphony and the Oakland Ballet, hosts classical performance, Broadway shows, R&B concerts, gospel musicals, comedy performances and many special engagements.

Malonga Casquelourd Center for the Arts —The beautifully restored turn-of-the-century Arts Center, formerly known as the Alice Arts Center, is one of the area's busiest performing arts facilities. Patrons can participate in a variety of arts programs or rent spaces for arts events and activities. This restored 1920s building is a popular multicultural, multidisciplinary performing arts complex sponsored by the city. The 400-seat theater and five performance spaces showcase drama, ballet, and African and contemporary dance.

**The Oakland School for the Arts**— It is a California Distinguished School and has been recognized by the national Arts Schools Network as an Exemplary School. Oakland School for the Arts (OSA) is part of the revitalization of uptown Oakland. Located in the recently restored historic Fox Theater, OSA anchors the uptown arts movement with its shows, productions and performances.

#### **SPORTS**

Oakland is a magnet for sports fans of all types. Whatever the season, Oakland pro and amateur games frequently garner large crowds and broad national media coverage. In the last three decades, Oakland's professional sports teams have won six world championships in three major sports.

- Golden State Warriors The Warriors continue to showcase exciting basketball. In 2015, the
  Warriors won their first NBA Championship in 40 years. The celebratory parade and rally
  marking the victory was held in downtown Oakland on June 19.
- Oakland Athletics The Oakland Athletics have won six American League Championships and four baseball World Series titles.
- Oakland Raiders From dominance in three Super Bowl victories to improbable come-frombehind victories, the Raiders have been involved in some of professional football's most incredible moments.

#### **MEDIA**

Oakland has its own daily and weekly regional newspapers, radio stations and a television station with daily award-winning newscasts. In addition to media and cable companies located in Oakland, the City is served by other major Bay Area newspapers, seven television stations (including the three major networks) and the Public Broadcasting System. Over 30 other Bay Area radio stations are easily received in Oakland.