CITY OF OAKLAND CALIFORNIA

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016



CITY OF OAKLAND CALIFORNIA

COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

PREPARED BY THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT

KIRSTEN LACASSE, CONTROLLER

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Year Ended June 30, 2016

Table of Contents

	Page
INTRODUCTORY SECTION	
Letter of Transmittal	i
GFOA Certificate of Achievement	xi
Organization Chart	xii
List of Elected and Appointed Officials	xiii
Project Team	xiv
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information)	3
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	21
Statement of Activities	22
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	23
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position for Governmental Activities	24
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds	25
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities of Governmental Activities	26
Statement of Fund Net Position – Proprietary Funds	27
Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position – Proprietary Funds	28
Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds	29
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds	30
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position – Fiduciary Funds	31
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	33

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Year Ended June 30, 2016

Table of Contents (Continued)

	Page
Required Supplementary Information (unaudited):	
Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios:	
Police and Fire Retirement System	113
CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan	114
CalPERS Safety Plan	115
Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions:	
Police and Fire Retirement System	116
CalPERS Miscellaneous and Safety Plans	117
Schedules of Funding Progress – Other Postemployment Benefits	118
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund	119
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Other Special Revenue Fund	120
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	121
COMBINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES	
Nonmajor Governmental Funds	
Combining Balance Sheet	123
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	124
Nonmajor Governmental Funds - Special Revenue Funds	
Combining Balance Sheet – Nonmajor Governmental Funds	125
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	126
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual	127
Nonmajor Governmental Funds - Debt Service Funds	
Combining Balance Sheet	131
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	132
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual	133
Internal Service Funds	
Combining Statement of Fund Net Position – Internal Service Funds	137
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position – Internal Service Funds	138
Combining Statement of Cash Flows – Internal Service Funds	139

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Year Ended June 30, 2016

Table of Contents (Continued)

	Page
Fiduciary Funds	
Private Purpose Trust Funds	
Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position	141
Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	142
STATISTICAL SECTION	
Index to Statistical Section	143
Net Position by Component	144
Changes in Net Position	145
Program Revenues by Function/Program	146
Fund Balances, Governmental Funds	147
Changes in Fund Balances, Governmental Funds	148
Tax Revenues by Source, Governmental Funds	149
Assessed Value and Estimated Value of Taxable Property	150
Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates	151
Principal Property Tax Payers	152
Property Tax Levies and Collections	153
Taxable Sales by Category	154
Direct and Overlapping Sales Tax Rates	155
Ratios of Outstanding Debt by Type	156
Ratios of General Bonded Debt Outstanding	157
Direct and Overlapping Governmental Activities Debt	158
Legal Debt Margin Information	159
Pledged Revenue Coverage	160
Demographic and Economic Statistics	161
Principal Employers	162
Full-Time Equivalent City Government Employees by Function/Program	163
Operating Indicators by Function/Program21	164
Capital Asset Statistics by Function/Program	165
General Information	166

INTRODUCTORY SECTION



CITY HALL • 1 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

Office of the City Administrator Sabrina B. Landreth City Administrator (510) 238-3301 FAX (510) 238-2223

December 16, 2016

Residents of the City of Oakland The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of the City of Oakland

We are pleased to present the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the City of Oakland, California ("City"). Controller's Bureau has prepared this report to present the financial position and the changes in net position for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the cash flows of its proprietary fund types for the year then ended. The basic financial statements and supporting schedules have been prepared in compliance with Section 809 of the City Charter, with California Government Code Sections 25250 and 25253, and in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) for local governments, as established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The information presented here should be considered in conjunction with the additional information contained in the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) in the Financial Section of this report.

This report consists of management's representations concerning the finances of the City. To provide a reasonable basis for making these representations, management has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed to protect the City's assets from loss, theft, or misuse; to compile sufficient reliable information for the preparation of the City's financial statements in conformity with GAAP, and to comply with applicable laws and regulations. As the cost of internal control should not exceed anticipated benefits, the objective is to provide reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements. We believe this CAFR to be complete and reliable in all material respects.

The City contracted with Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP, a firm of Certified Public Accountants licensed to practice in the State of California, to perform the annual independent audit. The auditors expressed an opinion that the City's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2016 are fairly stated and in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. This is the most favorable conclusion and is commonly known as an "unmodified" or "clean" opinion. The independent auditor's report is included in the Financial Section of this report.

In addition, Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP is auditing the City's major program expenditures of federal funds for compliance with the Federal Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Uniform Guidance regulating uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements for Single Audits, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The report of the Single Audit is published separately from this CAFR and may be obtained upon request from the City's Controller's Bureau.

The Reporting Entity and Its Services

The City has defined its reporting entity in accordance with GAAP that provides guidance for determining which governmental activities, organizations, and functions should be included in the reporting entity. The Basic Financial Statements present information on the activities of the City and its component units.

GAAP requires that the component units be separated into blended, discretely presented, or included in the fiduciary funds financial statements for reporting purposes. Although legally separate entities, blended component units are, in substance, part of the City's operations. Therefore, they are reported as part of the Primary Government. The discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the City's operations. Component units that are fiduciary in nature are reported in the fiduciary funds financial statements.

Accordingly, we have included the operations of the Oakland Joint Powers Authority as a blended component unit. The Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) is included as a Pension Trust Fund and the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency is included as a fiduciary component unit. The operations of the Port of Oakland (including the Oakland International Airport) are presented discretely. The Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Authority (Authority) is a Joint Venture owned and operated by the City and the County of Alameda. The Joint Venture agreement and operations are disclosed on Note II, Section K of the basic financial statements.

The Oakland Housing Authority, the Oakland Unified School District, and the Peralta Community College District were not included in the CAFR because they have limited relationships with the City and, therefore, did not meet the criteria for inclusion in the reporting entity. The City is also represented in six regional agencies that are excluded from the City's reporting entity. These agencies are the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART), Alameda-Contra Costa County Transit District (AC Transit), Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), East Bay Regional Park District, and the East Bay Municipal Utility District.

Profile of the Government

The City of Oakland was chartered as a city in 1852. It is situated on the eastern side of the Oakland/San Francisco Bay in the County of Alameda. Its western border offers nineteen miles of coastline, while the rolling hills to the east present views of the Bay and the Pacific Ocean. In between are traditional, well-kept neighborhoods, a progressive downtown that is experiencing a tremendous surge in growth, and superior cultural and recreational amenities. It is the administrative site for the County of Alameda, the regional seat for the federal government, the district location of primary state offices, and the transportation hub of commerce for the Bay Area.

In November 1998, the citizens of Oakland passed Measure X changing the form of government from Council-City Manager to Mayor-Council through a charter amendment. Legislative authority is vested in the City Council and executive authority is vested in the Mayor. The City Administrator, appointed by the Mayor and approved by the City Council, has administrative authority to manage the day-to-day administrative and fiscal operations of the City. The City Auditor and the City Attorney are both elected officials and serve four-year terms.

The Mayor and City Council is the governing body of the City and is comprised of eight elected officials. One Council member is elected "at large", while the other seven Council members represent specific geographic districts. The Mayor and City Council are elected to serve four-year terms.

On March 2, 2004, the citizens of Oakland passed Measure P: (1) to repeal the sunset provision of Measure X passed in November 1998 to retain the Mayor-Council form of government; (2) to change the term limit for Mayor from two terms to two consecutive terms; (3) to reduce the number of votes needed for the City Council to pass an ordinance on reconsideration from six votes to five votes; (4) to eliminate the prohibition on paying the Mayor more than the City Administrator; (5) to remove the rule that the Mayor vacates his or her office by missing ten consecutive City Council meetings; (6) to require the Mayor to advise the City Council before removing the City Administrator; and (7) to change the title of the City Manager to "City Administrator".

The City provides a full range of services contemplated by statute or charter, including those functions delegated to cities under state law. These services include public safety (police and fire), sanitation and environmental health, economic development, community involvement and empowerment, public-private partnerships, library, recreational and cultural activities, public improvements, planning, zoning and general administrative services.

Economic Condition and Fiscal Outlook

Despite challenging circumstances, the City has made notable, prudent investments to improve its financial situation, such as implementing the Three-Tier pension plan reform system for all labor unions (See Note II, Section L), establishing a Vital Services Stabilization Reserve, and fully funding the General Purpose Fund reserve to 7.5 percent per City Council. The City ended fiscal year 2015-16 with continued growth in the local economy. Notably, an improved local real-estate market has led to strong growth in real-estate related revenues; including property tax, real estate transfer tax, and transient occupancy tax.

The City ended 2016 with continued growth in the local economy. Notably, an improved local real-estate market has led to strong growth in real-estate related revenues; including property tax, real estate transfer tax, and transient occupancy tax. Other key economic indicators are trending positively: growth in total assessed value, stabilized low unemployment rate, continued regional employment growth, and City revenues are up in most categories (e.g., property tax, sales tax, business tax, real estate tax).

Looking forward, the City must continue to grow revenues to restore and enhance services to the community. Throughout the City, significant projects ranging from senior housing to hospital rebuilds and education, transportation, residential and mixed-use developments are moving forward to redefine Oakland. Major projects that have been recently completed, or are in progress, include:

- ➤ The MacArthur Transit Village project is expected to include up to 675 mixed income housing units and approximately 31,500 square feet of retail. Construction of the first phase of the project (including construction of a public parking garage for BART) was completed in July 2014. The next phase, 90 units of affordable housing, began construction in September 2013 and was completed in November 2015.
- ➤ In December 2014, construction of a new 45,000 square foot, \$35 million Safeway Store complex in the Rockridge neighborhood was completed. The store employs approximately 160 individuals, of which 65 are newly created jobs.
- ➤ The I-880 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lane, the \$106.5 million project between Hegenberger Road in Oakland and Marina Boulevard in San Leandro, was opened in March 2016.
- ➤ The Hive is a new \$70 million, multi-phase, mixed-use project on Broadway that was substantially completed in 2016. The development contains 100,000 square feet of commercial and retail space and 104 apartments.

- Approximately 19,600 housing units throughout the City are in the development pipeline, including over 2,000 units under construction or recently completed.
- Nearly completed major infrastructure at the Oakland Army Base will enable the reuse of the base for maritime activities supporting approximately 2,000 new jobs.
- ➤ The Brooklyn Basin Project includes 3,100 units of housing, 30 acres of open space, and 200,000 square feet of commercial space, with an approximate job count of 8,000 over the 6 to 8 year build-out of the project. Phase I projected for completion in 2019.
- In early 2016, the City entered into an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement for a mixed use project on a City-owned site at 1911 Telegraph. The proposed project includes a 27-story residential tower with 330 units (with 15 percent of the units affordable to low and moderate income households), a seven-floor hotel with 168 rooms, 56,450 square feet of retail and 283 parking spaces.

On June 30, 2015, the City Council adopted a two-year balanced FY 2015-17 policy budget that includes no layoffs, provides for enhancement of critical services and infrastructure improvements, and allowed for increases in employee compensation. The adopted budget also invests in essential services that the City Council has repeatedly expressed are its highest priorities: public safety, equitable jobs and housing, and sustainable infrastructure. This investment includes scheduling four police academies and growing the sworn police force, enhanced funding for violence prevention, funding key housing, planning and economic development initiatives, and investing in initiatives to improve childhood education and development outcomes. The City also financed some critical deferred infrastructure needs, such as replacing outdated vehicles and equipment, improved restoration of roads, bridges, and transportation infrastructure, and enhancing Information Technology infrastructure.

The adopted biennial budget focused on the following goals:

- ➤ A Safe City: that invests in Holistic Community Safety strategies.
- ➤ A Vibrant City: that makes strategic investments in infrastructure, public works and the arts to protect and enhance the quality of life for all neighborhoods.
- ➤ **A Just City**: that promotes equitable jobs and housing that protects and nurtures a diverse and inclusive community that cares for its youth, elderly, families, and the vulnerable.
- ➤ A Prosperous City: that values workers and fosters a diverse economy that creates equitable economic growth, jobs, and housing.
- > Trustworthy Government: that provides quality municipal services, efficiency, transparency, and accountability, as well as respects municipal employees.

On June 30, 2016, the City Council adopted a balanced fiscal year 2016-2017 mid-cycle amended budget. This balanced budget is based on the FY 2015-17 Adopted Biennial Policy Budget, as well as several midyear adjustments already adopted by the City Council. The mid-cycle budget is an amendment of the second year of the previously adopted biennial budget therefore adjustments are recommended to address projected revenue and expenditure variances; account for new or adjusted grants, reimbursements, or fees; fund a very limited number of urgent fiscal/program needs in the General Fund and a limited number of new projects in other funds; and to better position the City's long-term financial stability.

While the City continues to experience economic growth and increases in revenues, it still faces longer term financial challenges where our revenues are outpaced by our expenditures due to a variety of factors. This includes: rising health care costs, rapidly growing costs of employee pensions and frequent CalPERS pension formula changes, years of deferred equipment purchases and facility maintenance that can no longer be delayed, and the need for substantial investment in City infrastructure.

The City is also committed to securing the City's long-term financial health by taking direct actions to address unfunded liabilities. These actions include: maintaining and growing a Vital Services Stabilization Fund, the funding of PFRSand CalPERS Safety plan at a funding ratio of 67.2% and at 70.2% for the CalPERS Miscellaneous plan at market value of assets; the City began to partially pre-fund by \$3.8 million, the annual required contribution to the California Employer's Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) for other post-employment benefits; negotiating two-tier pension reform to significantly reduce long-term costs; and implementing the State's third-tier reform after that. Even with these measures, we cannot ignore the fact that rising pension costs are continuing to reduce funding for other General Fund priorities.

The City's general obligation credit ratings of Aa2\AA- and stable outlook from Moody's Investors Services, Inc. and Standard & Poor's Corporation, respectively, continue to show the City's fiscal prudence. The City continues to maintain strong credit ratings on the City's existing general obligation bonds from both rating agencies as its economy successfully emerges from the economic downturn. The Management's and Discussion & Analysis (MD&A) has more discussion on the City's credit ratings. The rating agencies continue to cite management's demonstrated commitment to strong fiscal management as a basis of their rationale for bestowing the City's strong ratings. These ratings translate to significant interest cost savings in the City's debt program and to the taxpayers of the City of Oakland.

Significant Events and Accomplishments

Highlights of activities and accomplishments for the year ended June 30, 2016, include the following:

- ➤ July 1, 2015: "Oakland Recycles" Launches Major New Trash, Compost And Recycling Services the Oakland Recycles program launched important new trash, compost and recycling services as Oakland takes a huge step toward its Zero Waste goals to keep all recyclable and compostable material out of landfills. The new services and features include: new natural gas-powered service trucks that run cleaner and quieter; new ways for all residents to easily dispose of mattresses, furniture and other bulky items; and for the first time ever, guaranteed compost service for condo and apartment residents.
- ➤ October 1, 2015: the East Oakland Sports Center was recognized by the East Bay Chapter of the American Institute of Architects as a 2015 Merit Award Winner.
- October 13, 2015: the Tax Compliance Section of the City of Oakland's Revenue Management Bureau initiated their 2015 Non-compliant Rental Project. The Tax Compliance Section mailed approximately 25,000 notices to owners of residential rental property in Oakland. The goal of the project is to bring all of the City's residential rental property owners into compliance with Oakland Municipal Code section 5.04.420 Rental of residential property, which requires property owners to maintain a current business license.
- ➤ October 16, 2015: In October 2015, WalletHub ranked Oakland as the 4th Best Foodie City for your Wallet in their survey of the 150 most populated U.S. cities. In ranking the cities, WalletHub analyzed 18 key metrics. Oakland ranked No. 1 in number of restaurants per capita and No. 3 in ratio of full-service restaurants to fast-food establishments. In addition, Oakland was recognized as the 9th Greenest City in America, the 2nd Most Ethno-Racially Diverse Large City, No. 7 Best Local Food Scene and 4th most pet-Friendly Rental Market.
- October 28, 2015: Mayor Schaaf delivered her inaugural State of the City address. Before, during, and after the mayor's remarks, attendees had the opportunity to visit three breakout rooms dedicated to discussions about issues facing Oakland and Mayor Schaaf's four priority areas: Holistic Community Safety, Vibrant Sustainable Infrastructure, Equitable Jobs and Housing, and Responsive Trustworthy Government.

- October 2015: Minimum Wage Increase Effective Friday, January 1, 2016, Oakland's Minimum Wage goes up to \$12.55 per hour. Measure FF, the voter-initiative ballot measure passed in November 2014, provides annual increases to Oakland's Minimum Wage based on the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers. In light of the increase, employers must be aware of the following:
 - By December 15, 2015, employers are required to provide notice to employees and prominently display posters on the new Minimum Wage;
 - On January 1, 2016, the rate goes up 30¢ to \$12.55; and
 - The other benefits mandated by Measure FF paid sick leave and payment of service charges remain the same as when the employment law took effect on March 2, 2015
- November 5, 2015: Oakland's Active Transportation Projects Win \$9.1 Million In Grants The Oakland Public Works Department announced that it recently won two grants totaling over \$9.1 million from the State of California's Active Transportation Program (ATP). These projects will improve walking and bicycling connections for Oakland residents and visitors. The 19th Street BART to Lake Merritt Urban Greenway Project was awarded \$4.6 million by the California Transportation Commission.
- March 8, 2016: Oakland Among America's Fastest-Growing Cities 2016 Forbes released its roster of America's Fastest-Growing Cities for 2016. The Oakland-Hayward-Berkeley Metropolitan Statistical Area was ranked No. 13 among areas with the fastest-growing populations and economies. Forbes noted, "Oakland is likely to grow its population 0.99% this year...and there are signs that it may be hitting critical mass as a jobs center."
- ➤ March 16, 2016: Kiva Oakland Launch Bay Area-based Kiva.org best known for crowdfunding loans for 1.7 million entrepreneurs globally launched Kiva Oakland. The City of Oakland is partnering with Kiva to bring 0% interest crowdfunded loans to hundreds of Oakland small business owners who are socially impactful and financially excluded from mainstream lending options
- March 30, 2016: Oakland Recognized As A Top Food City The San Francisco Chronicle ran an article proclaiming "Oakland is a major culinary capital." The article, entitled "Oakland ranks as foodie heaven: nation's best city for coffee, food trucks, breakfast and more," references a recent survey by Estately that ranked Oakland tops in four categories: for breakfast, for coffee, for food trucks and for Chinese food.
- May 11, 2016: Oakland, The Country's Most Diverse Large City WalletHub released its latest findings on diversity among 313 of the largest cities across the United States. This study combined household diversity with social class diversity, ethno-racial diversity and economic diversity. Oakland took the top spot as the most diverse among large cities with a population of 300,000 or more. Among all cities, Oakland ranked No. 1 for Most Racial & Ethnic Diversity.
- ➤ <u>June 30, 2016</u>: The Oakland City Council approved the mid-cycle amended budget for fiscal year 2016-2017. The budget amends the second year of the FY 2015-17 biennial budget.
- ➢ July 11, 2016: Oakland Among Best Large Cities To Live In WalletHub, a leading personal finance outlet, released its roster of 2016's Best Large Cities To Live In. Overall, Oakland was ranked No. 11 and took the top spot in the "Most Diverse Cities in America" and "Life Expectancy" rankings.
- November 8, 2016: Voters Pass New Local Measures The following significant measures passed in the general election in November 2016:

- *Measure HH* 1 cent per ounce general tax on the distribution of sugar-sweetened beverages, including products such as sodas, sports drinks, sweetened teas, energy drinks, butexempting: milk products, 100% juice, baby formula, diet drinks, or drinks taken for medical reasons.
- *Measure KK* The City of Oakland will issue \$600 million in bonds to improve public safety and invest in neighborhoods throughout Oakland by re-paving streets to remove potholes, rebuilding cracked and deteriorating sidewalks, funding bicycle and pedestrian safety improvements, funding affordable housing for Oaklanders, and providing funds for facility improvements, such as, neighborhood recreation centers, playgrounds, and libraries.
- November 18, 2016: Minimum Wage Increase Effective Sunday, January 1, 2017, Oakland's Minimum Wage goes up to \$12.86 per hour. Measure FF, the voter-initiative ballot measure passed in November 2014, provides annual increases to Oakland's Minimum Wage based on the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers. In light of the increase, employers must be aware of the following:
 - By Thursday, December 15, 2016, employers are required to provide notice to employees and prominently display posters on the new Minimum Wage;
 - On Sunday, January 1, 2017, the Minimum Wage rate goes up 31¢ to \$12.86; and
 - The other benefits mandated by Measure FF (e.g., paid sick leave and payment of service charges) remain the same as when the employment law took effect on March 2, 2015

Economic Indicators and Next Fiscal Year's Budget and Tax Rates

The City of Oakland's primary economic indicators are highlighted in the MD&A section of this report.

The Five-Year Financial Forecast

In March 2015, the City issued a Five-Year Financial Forecast that forecasted revenues and expenditures. The purpose of the Five-Year Financial Forecast is to help the City of Oakland make informed financial and operational decisions by better anticipating long-term future revenues and expenditures. Since that time the City has experienced a continued growth in revenues and boom in the local economy. This economic growth will be reflected in subsequent reports on City revenues and expenditures.

In February of 2017 the City will release a new Five-Year Financial Forecast in preparation for the FY 2017-19 Biennial Budget. This new forecast will address the projected future growth rates of expenditures and revenues, and any other fiscal concerns, based upon information available through December of 2016.

Single Audit

As a recipient of Federal, State and County financial assistance, the City is responsible for providing assurance that adequate internal controls are in place to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. These controls are periodically evaluated by management, the City Auditor's Office (internal), and the City's independent auditors (external).

As part of the City's single audit procedures, tests are performed to determine the effectiveness of the internal controls over major federal award programs and the City's compliance with applicable laws and regulations related to these federal award programs.

Budget Controls

The City's budget is a detailed operating plan that identifies estimated costs in relation to estimated revenues. The budget includes:

- The programs, projects, services and activities to be carried out during the fiscal year;
- The estimated revenue available to finance the operating plan; and
- The estimated spending requirements for the operating plan.

The budget represents a process where policy decisions by the Mayor and the City Council are adopted, implemented and controlled. The notes to the required supplementary information summarize the budgetary roles of various City officials and the timetable for their budgetary actions according to the City Charter. In June 2016, the City Council approved the City's FY 2016-17 Mid-Cycle Amended Policy Budget.

The City Charter prohibits expending monies for which there is no legal appropriation. Therefore, the City is required to adopt budgets for all City funds. The level of budgetary control (that is, the level at which expenditures cannot legally exceed the appropriated amount) is established at the fund level, although for management purposes, the line item budget is controlled at the departmental level within funds. The City Administrator is authorized to administer the budget and may transfer monies from one activity, program or project to another within the same agency and fund. Supplemental appropriations or transfers of appropriations between funds or agencies must be approved by the City Council.

The City also maintains an encumbrance accounting system to provide budgetary controls for governmental funds. Encumbrances which would result in an overrun of an account balance are suspended in the system until additional funding is made available via budget change requests or withdrawn due to lack of funding. Encumbrances outstanding at June 30 and carried forward are reported as assigned of the appropriate governmental fund's fund balance since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities. Encumbrances that do not lapse but are brought forward to the new fiscal year are incorporated as part of the budget adopted by the City Council for that year.

The City Council receives quarterly reports on the City's revenues and expenditures compared to budget as a management tool to pro-actively monitor the City's fiscal condition. The City continues to meet its responsibility for sound financial management as demonstrated by the statements and schedules included in the financial section of this report.

Consolidated Fiscal Policy

On December 9, 2014, the City Council passed an Ordinance 13279 amending the City's Financial Policy in order to add the Rainy Day Policy and consolidate all of the City's fiscal policies into a single Consolidated Fiscal Policy. This amendment allowed the City to establish a reserve fund (Vital Services Stabilization Reserve) to stabilize the provision of vital services, protect against service reductions, and prevent layoffs, furloughs, and similar measures in times of economic hardship. Additionally this policy addressed procedures that allow for accelerating debt repayment, and paying down unfunded long-term obligations by modifying the definition of excess Real Estate Transfer Tax. The Consolidated Fiscal Policy includes policies on budgeting practices, reserve funds, the budget process, fiscal planning, and public participation.

As of June 30, 2016, the Vital Services Stabilization Reserve has a balance of \$3.0 million, while \$11.7 million has been set-aside for long-term obligations.

Debt Management Policy

The City's Debt Management Policy is reviewed and adopted annually by the City Council. The goal of the Debt Management Policy is to set prudent guidelines to ensure that the City's debt portfolio is fiscally stable. It is in place to maintain long-term financial flexibility while ensuring that the City's capital needs are adequately supported. The Debt Management Policy establishes the following equally important objectives:

- To achieve the lowest possible cost of capital for the City;
- > To achieve the highest practical credit rating;
- Maintain full and complete financial disclosure and reporting;
- Ensure timely repayment of debt;
- Maintain a prudent level of financial risk
- > Utilize local and disadvantaged banking and financial firms, whenever possible
- Ensure compliance with applicable State and Federal laws.

Awards

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to the City of Oakland for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. The Certificate of Achievement is a prestigious national award recognizing conformance with the highest standards for preparation of state and local government financial reports. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, a governmental unit must publish an easily readable and efficiently organized CAFR whose contents conform to program standards. The CAFR must satisfy both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

The Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. The City of Oakland has received a Certificate of Achievement the last 26 years. The City's Fiscal Year 2015-16 CAFR will be submitted to GFOA for consideration for the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting.

Acknowledgements

Keisten

The preparation of this CAFR represents the culmination of a concerted team effort by the entire staff of the Finance Department, most particularly the Controller's Bureau, Treasury Bureau, City Administrator's Office, and other departmental staff, who have demonstrated their professionalism, dedication and efficiency in the preparation of this report. We also thank Macias Gini & O'Connell LLP for their assistance and guidance.

Finally, we wish to express our sincere appreciation to the Mayor and the members of the City Council for providing policy direction and their interest and continuing support in planning and conducting the City's financial operations in a fiscally responsible and progressive manner.

Respectfully submitted,

SABRINA B. LANDRETH

City Administrator

CHRISTINE DANIEL

Assistant City Administrator Finance Director

KIRSTEN LACASSE

Controller



Government Finance Officers Association

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to


City of Oakland California

For its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended

June 30, 2015

Executive Director/CEO

CITY OF OAKLAND ORGANIZATION CHART



LIST OF ELECTED AND APPOINTED OFFICIALS MAYOR/COUNCIL FORM OF GOVERNMENT

June 30, 2016

MAYOR

Libby Schaaf

MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL

Lynette Gibson McElhaney, *President (District 3)* Rebecca Kaplan, *Vice-Mayor (At Large)*

Dan Kalb	Abel J. Guillen	Annie Campbell Washington
District 1	District 2	District 4
Noel Gallo	Desley Brooks	Larry Reid
District 5	District 6	District 7
		(President Pro Tem)

MAYOR APPOINTED OFFICERS

Sabrina B. Landreth, City Administrator
Christine Daniel, Assistant City Administrator
Claudia Cappio, Assistant City Administrator
Stephanie Hom, Deputy City Administrator
LaTonda Simmons, City Clerk

ELECTED OFFICERS

Barbara Parker, City Attorney Brenda Roberts, City Auditor

DEPARTMENT DIRECTORS

Brooke Levin <i>Public Works</i>	Teresa Deloach Reed (Chief)	Mark Sawicki Economic & Workforce	Gerald Garzon Oakland Public Library
	Fire Department	Development	
Vacant	Sean Whent	Rachel O'Dwyer-Flynn	Michele Byrd
Finance Department	(Chief)	Planning & Building	Housing & Community
	Police Department		Development
Anil Comelo	Katano Kasaine	Sara Bedford	J. Nicholas Williams
Human Resources	(Interim)	Human Services Department	Oakland Parks &
Management	Information Technology		Recreation

CITY OF OAKLAND COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

PROJECT TEAM

AUDIT/FINANCIAL STATEMENT COORDINATOR

Kirsten LaCasse, Controller

FINANCIAL STATEMENT PREPARATION

Financial Statement Leaders

Theresa Woo Connie L. Chu
Financial Analyst Accountant III

Accounting CAFR Team

Michelle Wong Erico Parras Andy Yang
Timothy Turner Felipe Kiocho Rogelio Medalla
Lilian Falkin Young Shin

SPECIAL ASSISTANCE

Dawn Hort Katano Kasaine
David Jones Sharon Holman

SPECIAL ASSISTANCE - DEPARTMENTS & OFFICES

City Administrator's Office

City Attorney's Office

Finance Department - Treasury Bureau Human Resources Department

FINANCIAL SECTION



Sacramento

Walnut Creek

San Francisco

Oakland

Los Angeles

Century City

Encino

Newport Beach

San Diego

Independent Auditor's Report

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Oakland, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Oakland, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, the schedules of changes in net pension liability and related ratios, the schedules of employer pension contributions, the schedules of funding progress - other postemployement benefits, and the budgetary comparison schedules of the General Fund and the Other Special Revenue Fund as listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining financial statements and schedules, and statistical section, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining financial statements and schedules are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Macias Gini & O'Connell LAP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 16, 2016, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Oakland, California December 16, 2016

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

This section of the City of Oakland's (the City) Comprehensive Annual Financial Report provides an overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City for the year ended June 30, 2016. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the additional information contained in the City's financial statements and related notes and our letter of transmittal that precedes this section.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The government-wide statement of net position for the City's governmental and business-type activities indicates that as of June 30, 2016, the total assets and deferred outflows of resources exceed total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$44.3 million compared to a negative net position of \$86.3 billion at June 30, 2015:

- \$1.3 billion represents the City's investment in capital assets, less any related outstanding debt and related deferred outflows and inflows of resources used to acquire those assets (net investment in capital assets). These capital assets are used to provide services to citizens and are not available for future spending.
- \$555.1 million represents resources that are subject to restrictions on their use and are available to meet the City's ongoing obligations for programs, of which \$233.5 million pertains to Low and Moderate Income Housing Redevelopment.
- \$1.8 billion represents a deficit in unrestricted net position that has primarily resulted from the underfunding of the pension liabilities and annual other postemployment benefits cost, and other unfunded long-term liabilities (*unrestricted net position*). The net pension liabilities deficit is the biggest contributing factor at \$1.2 billion.
- \$113.3 million increase in the City's total net position was derived from governmental activities. The increases in real estate transfer tax, sales and use tax, property tax, business license, and transient occupancy tax,. These increases were off-set by increases in expenses of \$84.2 million primarily in Public Safety.
- \$17.4 million increase in net position was derived from the Business-type activities, mainly the Sewer related activities.

The City's governmental cumulative fund balances increased by 4.5 percent or \$28.7 million to \$667.8 million compared to \$639.1 million for the prior fiscal year. This increase is primarily attributed to the reduction in debt repayment resulting from the General Obligation Refunding Bonds (Series 2015).

The City met the requirements of the City Council's 7.5% reserve policy based on the total General Purpose Fund, a subfund of the General Fund, expenditures for fiscal year 2015-16 (See Note (II) I).

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis are intended to introduce the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements consist of three components:

- Government-wide Financial Statements
- Fund Financial Statements
- Notes to the Basic Financial Statements
- Required Supplementary Information

In addition, this report also contains other supplementary information.

Government-wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's finances, in a manner similar to the financial statements for a private-sector business.

The *statement of net position* presents information on all of the City's assets, deferred outflows and inflows of resources, and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether or not the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating.

The *statement of activities* presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods, such as revenues pertaining to uncollected taxes and expenses pertaining to earned but unused vacation and sick leave.

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the City that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the City include general government, public safety, community services, community and economic development, and public works. The business-type activities of the City include the sewer service system and the parks and recreation. The government-wide financial statements do not include the fiduciary funds, which comprise the private purpose trust funds and pension trust funds. Resources in the fiduciary funds are not available to support the City's own programs.

The government-wide financial statements include the primary government of the City and the Port of Oakland (Port), as a discrete component unit. Financial information for the Port is reported separately from the financial information presented for the primary government. Further information about the Port can be obtained from the Port Financial Services Division, 530 Water Street, Oakland, CA 94607 or visit the website at www.portofoakland.com.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements are designed to report information about groupings of related accounts that are used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City can be divided into the following three categories: *governmental funds, proprietary funds* and *fiduciary funds*.

Governmental Funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. Most of the City's basic services are reported in governmental funds. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on the near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on the balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the City's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the City's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City maintains several individual governmental funds organized according to their type (special revenue, capital projects, debt service and general fund). Information is presented separately in the governmental funds balance sheet and in the governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general fund, the federal and state grant special revenue fund, the low and moderate income housing asset fund (LMIHF), the municipal capital improvement fund, and the other special revenue fund, all of which are considered to be major funds. Data from the remaining funds are combined in a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of the nonmajor governmental funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

The City adopts an annual appropriated budget for its governmental funds. A budgetary comparison schedule has been provided for the general fund and the other special revenue fund in the required supplementary information to demonstrate compliance with this budget.

Proprietary Funds. Proprietary funds are generally used to account for services for which the City charges customers, either outside customers or internal units or departments of the City. Proprietary funds provide the same type of information shown in the government-wide statements only in more detail.

The City maintains the following two types of proprietary funds:

- (1) Enterprise Funds are used to report the same functions presented as business-type activities in the government-wide financial statements. The City uses enterprise funds to account for the operations of the Sewer Service System and the Parks and Recreation operations. The Sewer Service Fund is considered to be a major fund of the City.
- (2) *Internal Service Funds* are used to report activities that provide services and supplies for certain City programs and activities. The City uses internal service funds to account for its fleet of vehicles,

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

radio and communication equipment, facilities management, printing and reproduction, central stores, purchasing and information technology. Because these services predominantly benefit governmental rather than business-type functions, they have been included within governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. The internal service funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation in the proprietary funds financial statements. Individual fund data for the internal service funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

Fiduciary Funds. Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of employees and parties outside the City. The Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) Fund is reported as a pension trust fund. The private purpose trust funds along with the private pension trust fund are reported as trust funds since their resources are not available to support the City's own programs. For this reason, they are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements. The accounting used for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements

The notes to the basic financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

Required Supplementary Information

The required supplementary information includes the budgetary schedule for the General Fund and the other special revenue fund, schedules of changes in the net pension liability and related ratios and pension plan contributions, and schedules of funding progress for other postemployment benefits.

Other Information

In addition, this report presents combining statements and schedules referred to earlier in connection with nonmajor governmental funds, internal service funds and fiduciary funds that immediately follow the required supplementary information.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Government-Wide Financial Analysis

Net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of the City's financial condition. As of June 30, 2016, the City's total assets and deferred outflows of resources exceed total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by \$44.3 million compared to a negative net position of \$86.3 billion at June 30, 2015, which represents an increase in net position of \$130.7 million. Cash and investments are higher by \$100 million primarily due to higher property tax, sales and local tax revenues. Additionally, construction in progress increased by \$76.5 million. These amounts were off-set by the net increase in long-term liabilities. The City's net position reflects the net investment in capital assets of \$1.3 billion for governmental and business-type activities. Of the remaining balance, \$555.1 million are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. The unrestricted net position of \$1.8 billion is comprised of a deficit balance of \$1.8 billion for governmental activities, and a positive balance of \$28.1 million for business-type activities.

Statement of Net Position

June 30, 2016 and 2015 (In Thousands)

	Governmental Activities			Business Activities		Total	
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Assets:							
Current and other assets	\$1,236,051	\$1,164,999	\$ 58,851	\$ 62,191	\$1,294,902	\$1,227,190	
Capital assets	1,372,798	1,310,939	211,354	196,663	1,584,152	1,507,602	
Total assets	2,608,849	2,475,938	270,205	258,854	2,879,054	2,734,792	
Deferred outflows of resources:							
Loss on refunding of debt	18,798	20,371	-	-	18,798	20,371	
Related to pensions	95,780	89,930	3,368	2,929	99,148	92,859	
Total deferred outflows		_		_		_	
of resources	114,578	110,301	3,368	2,929	117,946	113,230	
Liabilities:							
Long-term liabilities	1,109,675	1,134,663	39,123	41,430	1,148,798	1,176,093	
Other liabilities	187,390	178,561	915	2,996	188,305	181,557	
Net pension liability	1,207,032	1,091,745	31,133	29,079	1,238,165	1,120,824	
Net OPEB obligation	305,024	256,922		-	305,024	256,922	
Total liabilities	2,809,121	2,661,891	71,171	73,505	2,880,292	2,735,396	
Deferred iutflows of resources:							
Gain on refunding of debt	-	-	513	553	513	553	
Related to pensions	69,768	193,107	2,089	5,286	71,857	198,393	
Total deferred inflows							
of resources	69,768	193,107	2,602	5,839	72,370	198,946	
Net Position:							
Net investment in capital assets	1,079,164	1,025,789	171,743	155,257	1,250,907	1,181,046	
Restricted	555,205	140,950	-	-	555,205	140,950	
Unrestricted (deficit)	(1,789,831)	(1,435,498)	28,057	27,182	(1,761,774)	(1,408,316)	
Total net position	\$ (155,462)	\$ (268,759)	\$199,800	\$182,439	\$ 44,338	\$ (86,320)	

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Governmental activities: The City's net position in governmental activities increased by \$113.3 million.

Total assets increased by \$132.9 million, or 5.40%, to \$2.6 billion. The significant changes in assets occurred in the following areas:

- *Capital assets* increased by \$61.9 million. The increase was due largely to additional construction in progress.
- Current and Other Assets increased by \$71.1 million mainly due to changes in cash and investments.

Total liabilities increased by \$147.3 million, or 5.5% to \$2.8 billion. The significant changes in liabilities occurred in the following areas:

- Long-term liabilities decreased by \$25.0 million primarily attributed to \$67.7 million debt service payments of government bonds. The decrease is off-set by \$18.9 million accreted interest and \$24.1 million issuance in capital leases, which includes an IT systems lease of \$17.0 million and a radio lease of \$7.1 million.
- Net pension liability increased \$115.4 million compared to the balance at June 30, 2015.
- Net OPEB Obligations increased by \$48.1 million compared to the balance at June 30, 2015.
- Other liabilities increased by \$8.8 million compared to the balance at June 30, 2015.

The net position increased by \$113.3 billion to a deficit \$155.5 million as of June 30, 2016. The City net position can be divided into three categories: net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted.

- \$1.1 billion of the net position reflects its *investment in capital assets* (e.g., land, buildings infrastructure, facilities and equipment), net of any related outstanding debt and debt-related deferred outflows and inflows of resources that was used to acquire those assets. The City uses these capital assets to provide a variety of services to citizens. These assets, therefore, are *not* available for future spending.
- \$555.1 million of the net position represents resources that are subject to restrictions on how they may be used and therefore restricted.
- \$1.8 billion represents a deficit in unrestricted net position that has primarily resulted from the underfunding of the pension liabilities and annual other postemployment benefits cost, and other unfunded long-term liabilities.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The following table indicates the changes in net position for governmental and business-type activities:

Statement of Activities For the Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

(In Thousands)


	Governmental Activities			Business-Type Activities		'otal
	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Revenues:						
Program revenues:						
Charges for services	\$ 178,309	\$ 182,293	\$ 59,414	\$ 57,839	\$ 237,723	\$ 240,132
Operating grants and contributions	90,090	92,865	-	-	90,090	92,865
Capital grants and contributions	54,043	70,322	-	-	54,043	70,322
General revenues:					-	-
Property taxes	279,764	267,534	-	-	279,764	267,534
State taxes:					-	-
Sales and use taxes	77,365	63,895	-	-	77,365	63,895
Gas tax and motor vehicle in-lieu	8,819	12,030	-	-	8,819	12,030
Local taxes:					-	-
Business license	75,504	66,677	-	-	75,504	66,677
Utility consumption	51,006	50,594	-	-	51,006	50,594
Real estate transfer	89,594	62,665	-	-	89,594	62,665
Transient occupancy	25,671	21,569	-	-	25,671	21,569
Parking	20,175	18,398	-	-	20,175	18,398
Voter approved special tax	37,793	37,443	-	-	37,793	37,443
Franchise	18,609	18,150	-	-	18,609	18,150
Interest and investment income	4,596	6,362	233	142	4,829	6,504
Other	20,987	12,745	-	-	20,987	12,745
Total revenues	1,032,325	983,542	59,647	57,981	1,091,972	1,041,523
Expenses:						
General government	99,183	82,493	-	-	99,183	82,493
Public safety	432,862	383,904	-	-	432,862	383,904
Community services	134,799	121,740	-	-	134,799	121,740
Community and economic development	85,396	75,268	-	-	85,396	75,268
Public works	114,597	105,619	-	-	114,597	105,619
Interest on long-term debt	54,335	68,033	-	-	54,335	68,033
Sewer	-	-	39,270	36,957	39,270	36,957
Parks and recreation	-	-	872	681	872	681
Total expenses	921,172	837,057	40,142	37,638	961,314	874,695
Change in net position before transfers	111,153	146,485	19,505	20,343	130,658	166,828
Transfers	2,144	2,002	(2,144)	(2,002)	_	_
Special Item - Transfer of						
excess tax allocation bond	-	107,696	-	-	-	107,696
Extraordinary gain/loss SCO asset review and DOF	-	-			-	_
Change in net position	113,297	256,183	17,361	18,341	130,658	274,524
Net position at beginning of year	(268,759)	981,818	182,439	196,334	(86,320)	1,178,152
Adjustment due to implementation of						
GASB Statement No. 68	_	(1,506,760)	-	(32,236)	_	(1,538,996)
Net position at end of year	\$(155,462)	\$ (268,759)	\$199,800	\$182,439	\$ 44,338	\$ (86,320)

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Governmental activities: Net position for governmental activities, increased by \$113.3 million during fiscal year 2015-16. Total revenue increased by 5.0 percent and expenses increased by 10.1 percent. During FY 2014-15, revenues increased at a rate of 7.4 percent and expenses increased by 1.0 percent.


Changes in net position for governmental activities are attributed to the following significant elements:

- Contributing factors resulting to increases in certain revenue categories are as follows: property tax increased by \$12.2 million due to the restoration of assessed values that Proposition 8 temporarily decreased as a result of the previously declining property values during the recession. Real estate transfer tax increased by \$26.9 million or 43.0 percent primarily due to higher volume in real estate sales, increased assessed values, and large one-time commercial sales. Real estate transfer tax is highly volatile and revenues can increase and decrease rapidly with changing market conditions as a result of the sale of high value properties. Business license taxes increased by \$8.8 million due to increases in gross receipts from businesses in the City resulting from a 2015 non-compliant rental property audit that licensed an additional 4,900 property owners. Transient occupancy taxes increased by \$4.1 million or 19.0 percent due to thriving local hotel demand. Other factors contributing to revenue increase include: parking revenue by \$1.8 million or 9.7 percent.
- Contributing factors resulting in a decrease in certain revenue categories are as follows: Capital grants and contributions decreased by \$16.3 million from the conclusion of the Trade Corridor Improvement Fund (TCIF) grant for the Oakland Army Base development, gas tax declined by \$3.2 million or 26.7 percent due low gas and jet fuel prices, higher fuel efficiency, and more hybrid/electric vehicles.



Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

- *General government* expenses increased by \$16.7 million or 20.2 percent when compared to the previous year primarily due to a negotiated compensation increase for all bargaining units in general government.
- *Public safety* expenses increased by \$49.0 million or 12.8 percent when compared to the previous year due primarily to increased overtime costs associated with operations (back-filling beats, etc.), targeted crime reduction operations, and negotiated compensation increases.
- *Community services* expenses increased by \$13.1 million or 10.7 percent primarily due to multi-year funding expenses, negotiated compensation increases and an increase in Federal and State grants.
- *Community and economic development* expenses increased by \$10.1 million or 13.5 percent primarily due to the completion of multi-year projects and negotiated compensation increases.
- Public works expenses increased by \$9.0 million or 8.5 percent from the prior year primarily due to the negotiated compensation increases and depreciation expenses.
- *Interest on long-term* debt decreased by \$13.7 million or 20.1 percent primarily due to the lower interest rate resulting from the General Obligation Refunding Bond (Series 2015), and an overall decrease in outstanding debt..




Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Business-type activities: Business-type activities ended the fiscal year with a positive change in its net position of \$17.4 million compared to \$18.3 million the previous fiscal year.

Financial Analysis of the Government's Funds

Governmental funds: The focus of City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

General Fund: The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the City. At June 30, 2016, its unassigned fund balance is \$65.1 million or 21.0 percent of the \$310.1 million total General Fund balance.



Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

For the year ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, revenues for the General Fund by revenue source are distributed as follows (in thousands):

	Gene	ral Fu	nd	Inc	crease / (1	Decrease)
	 2016		2015	An	nount	%
Revenues:						
Taxes:						
Property taxes	\$ 257,707	\$	228,072	\$	29,635	12.99%
State taxes:						
Sales and use taxes	52,192		48,827		3,365	6.89%
Motor vehicles in-lieu tax	166		177		(11)	-6.21%
Local taxes:						
Business license	75,504		66,677		8,827	13.24%
Utility consumption	51,006		50,594		412	0.81%
Real estate transfer	89,594		62,665		26,929	42.97%
Transient occupancy	20,209		16,898		3,311	19.59%
Parking	10,220		9,337		883	9.46%
Franchise	18,321		17,921		400	2.23%
Licenses and permits	1,591		1,573		18	1.14%
Fines and penalties	21,648		23,146		(1,498)	-6.47%
Interest and investment income	1,873		1,088		785	72.15%
Charges for services	85,184		82,461		2,723	3.30%
Federal and state grants and subventions	5,953		8,003		(2,050)	-25.62%
Annuity income	1,096		2,648		(1,552)	-58.61%
Other	 2,622		3,899		(1,277)	-32.75%
Total revenues	\$ 694,886	\$	623,986	\$ 7	0,900	11.36%

General Fund Revenues: Significant changes in revenues are as follows:


- Property taxes increased by \$29.6 million or 13.0 percent. The increase is mainly due to the restoration of Proposition 8 values to the assessment roll in FY 2014-15. When property values decline, Proposition 8 allows the property to be temporarily assessed at a lower value. Additionally, there was an increase in Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF) distribution as a result of the DOF disallowance of requested amounts from the review of the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) 16-17A.
- Real estate transfer tax increased by \$26.9 million or 43.0% percent primarily due to higher volume in real estate sales, increases in assessed value, and one-time large commercial property sales.
- *Business license* increased by \$8.8 million mainly due to increases in gross receipts from businesses in the City resulting from a 2015 non-compliant rental property audit that licensed an additional 4,900 property owners.
- Transient occupancy increased by \$3.3 million mainly due to thriving local hotel demand.
- *Annuity income* decreased by \$1.6 million mainly due to decreased interest and investment earnings of the New York Life annuity contract investment.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

For the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, expenditures for the General Fund by function are distributed as follows (in thousands):

	 Gene	ral Fu	nd	Increase/(Decrease)				
	2016		2015	An	nount	%		
Expenditures:								
Current:								
Elected and Appointed Officials:								
Mayor	\$ 2,197	\$	1,980	\$	217	10.96%		
Council	4,513		3,761		752	19.99%		
City Administrator	15,831		15,668		163	1.04%		
City Attorney	15,296		12,123		3,173	26.17%		
City Auditor	1,760		1,620		140	8.64%		
City Clerk	3,326		3,414		(88)	-2.58%		
Public Ethics Commission	587		-		587	N/A		
Departments:								
Administrative Services Department								
Human Resource Management	6,655		5,871		784	13.35%		
Financial Services	23,879		22,666		1,213	5.35%		
Information Technology	11,604		9,104		2,500	27.46%		
Race & Equity Department	84		-		84	N/A		
Public Safety								
Oakland Police Department	245,628		218,143		27,485	12.60%		
Oalkand Fire Department	126,669		113,553		13,116	11.55%		
Community Service Department:	,		,		,			
Parks and Recreation	23,199		20,071		3,128	15.58%		
Library	11,220		9,141		2,079	22.74%		
Human Services Department	6,233		5,935		298	5.02%		
Community and Economic Development								
Planning & Building	109		502		(393)	-78.29%		
Economic & Workforce Development	8,891		7,775		1,116	14.35%		
Housing & Community Development	4,416		2,330		2,086	89.53%		
Oakland Public Works	30,539		38,703		(8,164)	-21.09%		
Other	12,086		6,581		5,505	83.65%		
Capital outlay	2,277		4,323		(2,046)	-47.33%		
Debt Service								
Principal repayment	5,432		5,613		(181)	-3.22%		
Bond issuance costs	240		200		40	20.00%		
Interest charges	 522		629		(107)	-17.01%		
Total Expenditures	\$ 563,193	\$	509,706	\$ 5	53,487	10.49%		

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016



General Fund Expenditures: Significant changes in expenditures are as follows:

- *Public safety* increased by \$40.6 million or 12.2 percent due to the negotiated cost of living adjustment ("COLA") and overtime for sworn employees as a result of targeted crime reduction, unanticipated special enforcement, routine overtime and coverage of vacancies.
- City elected offices and departments, excluding public safety and debt service, are reporting a total increase of \$13.0 million in expenditures mainly due to negotiated COLA increases for all bargaining units of up to 4 percent for FY 2015-16.

Federal and State Grant Fund: The Federal and State Grant Fund had a deficit fund balance of \$8.1 million as of June 30, 2016 that represents an increase in deficit of \$1.9 million from the prior fiscal year. The federal/state grant fund deficit will be cleared by grant reimbursement submitted to granting agencies, but revenue has not been received within the City's availability period and is recorded as deferred inflows of resources for \$5.9 million as of June 30, 2016.

Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund ("LMIHF"): Upon the dissolution of the Former Agency, the City retained the housing activities previously funded by the Former Agency and created LMIHF and transferred the assets and affordable housing activities of the low and moderate income fund to the City. The ending fund balance as of June 30, 2015 was \$37.8 million and the fund's net loan receivable balance was \$193.6 million.

Municipal Capital Improvement Fund: The Municipal Capital Improvement Fund had a fund balance of \$214.2 million as of June 30, 2016 that represents a decrease of \$31.5 million or 12.8 percent from the prior fiscal year. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) Section 34179(h), the DOF completed its review of the Oversight Board action on the Bond Spending Plan and on November 6, 2013, it approved the Bond

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Spending Plan for Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA). The Bond Spending Plan allows ORSA to utilize proceeds derived from bonds issued prior to January 1, 2011 in a manner consistent with the original bond covenants. DOF approved the bond expenditure agreement between ORSA and the City to transfer excess tax allocation bond proceeds to the City.

The Other Special Revenue Fund accounts for activities of several Special Revenue Funds, which include mainly the following local measures; Measure Z - Violence Prevention and Public Safety Act of 2014; Measure C - Oakland Hotel Tax; Measure Q - Library Services Retention and Enhancement; Measure WW - East Bay Regional Park District local grant program; Measure N - Paramedics Services Act; Oakland Kid's First Fund; Development Service Fund and Other miscellaneous special revenue funds. The ending fund balance as of June 30, 2015 was \$56.8 million.

Proprietary Funds: The City's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements under the business-type column but in more detail.

The portion of net position invested in capital assets was \$171.7 million as of June 30, 2016, compared to \$155.3 million for the previous fiscal year. The \$16.4 million or 10.6 percent increase is related to proceeds spent from debt issued to finance sewer projects. During the fiscal year, the City capitalized \$14.4 million in sewer system completed projects, net of depreciation.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

During the year ended June 30, 2016, the General Fund had a \$1.8 million increase in budgeted revenues between the original and final amended operating budget. Actual budgetary basis revenues of \$694.1 million were \$58.5 million higher than the final amended budget. The variance is due primarily to increases in property tax revenue, business license, real estate transfer tax, and charges for services.

In addition, there was a \$54.0 million increase in appropriations between the original and final amended operating budget for the General Fund. The increase in appropriation is due primarily to the determination of actual project carryforwards for continuing appropriations for various multiyear projects, capital improvement projects, and other projects authorized by the City Council. The original approved expenditure budget contained only estimates of project carryforwards.

Actual budgetary basis expenditures of \$563.2 million were \$61.9 million less than the amended budget. Savings were experienced in all expenditure categories mainly due to budget contingency and project and encumbrance carryforwards for multi-year budgets.

Capital Assets

The City's capital assets, net of depreciation, totaled \$1.6 billion as of June 30, 2016 compared to \$1.5 billion as of June 30, 2015, an increase of \$76.6 million or 5.1 percent. Governmental activities additions of \$126.0 million in capital assets included construction in progress and furniture, machinery and equipment, which met the City's threshold for capitalization.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

These additions were offset by retirements and depreciation, the net effect of which was an increase of \$61.9 million net increase of capital assets for governmental activities. Business activities, primarily the sewer fund, increased its capital assets by \$14.7 million, net of retirements and depreciation. See Note (II) D. to the financial statements for more details on capital assets.

Construction Commitments

The City has committed to funding in the amount of \$270.1 million for a number of capital improvement projects for fiscal year 2016-17 through fiscal year 2017-18. These projects include building and facilities improvements; parks and open space; sewers and storm drains; streets and sidewalks construction; technology enhancements and traffic improvements. See Note (III) C.1. for more details in construction commitments.

Debt Administration:

General Obligation Bonds and Other Bond Ratings

A credit rating is a value assigned by one or more of the recognized rating agencies that "grade" a jurisdiction's credit, or financial trustworthiness. The three primary rating agencies are Moody's Investors Service (Moody's), Standard & Poor's Rating Services (S&P), and Fitch Ratings (Fitch). These rating agencies serve as independent assessors of municipal and corporate credit strength. Rating agencies generally focus on four major areas when assigning credit ratings: finances, management, economy and outstanding debt. The City continues to maintain strong credit ratings on the City's existing general obligation bonds from all three national rating agencies despite the difficult financial and economic conditions nationally and locally. The City of Oakland's underlying ratings for its bonds as of June 30, 2016 were as follows:

		Ratings	
Type of Bond	Moody's	S&P	Fitch
General Obligation Bonds	Aa2/Stable	AA-/Stable	N/A
Pension Obligation Bonds	Aa3:A1/Stable	A+/Stable	A/Stable
Tax Allocation Bonds	$A3^1$:Baa ²	A+:AA-/Stable	N/A

¹ Ratings as of May 21, 2014, based on Insured Rating

General Fund Bonded Debt Limit

At the end of the current fiscal year, the City's debt limit (3.75 percent of property valuation, net of exemptions subject to taxation) was \$1.3 billion. The total amount of debt applicable to the debt limit was \$201.8 million. The resulting legal debt margin was \$1.1 billion.

² Ratings as of June 24, 2015

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Long-Term Obligations

As of June 30, 2016, the City had total long-term obligations of \$2.6 billion compared to \$2.5 billion outstanding for the prior fiscal year, an increase of 5.43 percent. Of this amount, \$201.8 million is general obligation bonds backed by the full faith and credit of the City. The remaining \$2.4 billion is comprised of various long-term debt instruments listed below including accruals of year-end estimates for other long-term liabilities (in thousands):

	Governmental Activities					Business-type Activities				Total			
		2016	2015			2016		2015		2016		2015	
General obligation bonds	\$	201,830	\$	206,530	\$	-	\$	-	\$	201,830	\$	206,530	
Lease revenue bonds		91,110		109,955		-		-		91,110		109,955	
Pension obligation bonds		313,223		330,433		-		-		313,223		330,433	
Special assessment district bonds		5,685		6,020		-		-		5,685		6,020	
Accreted interest of appreciation bonds		159,476		165,290		-		-		159,476		165,290	
Sewer bonds		-		-		34,665		36,630		34,665		36,630	
Unamortized premium and discounts		24,054		25,989		4,458		4,801		28,512		30,790	
Total bonds payable		795,378		844,217		39,123		41,431		834,501		885,648	
Notes and lease payable		73,909		68,795		-		-		73,909		68,795	
Other long-term liabilities		240,388		221,651		-		-		240,388		221,651	
Subtotal		1,109,675		1,134,663		39,123		41,431		1,148,798		1,176,094	
Net pension liabilities		1,207,032		1,091,745		31,133		29,078		1,238,165		1,120,823	
Net OPEB obligations		305,024		256,922		-		-		305,024		256,922	
Total long-term obligations	\$	2,621,731	\$	2,483,330	\$	70,256	\$	70,509	\$	2,691,987	\$	2,553,839	

The City's overall bonds, notes and lease payables decreased by \$46.0 million compared to the prior fiscal year balance. The decrease is primarily attributable to \$67.8 million in net debt repayment in governmental funds and \$2.3 million in enterprise funds, off-set by \$24.1 million issuance in capital leases, which includes IT Systems lease of \$17.0 million and radio lease of \$7.1 million.

Current Year Long-Term Debt Financing:

- On July 16, 2015, the City entered into a Master Lease-Purchase Agreement in the principal amount of \$7,095,000 to finance the acquisition of professional services in order to improve the microwave network, replace the PWA portable radio fleet, replace the siren system, and deploy a mobile emergency network.
- On October 28, 2015, the City entered into a Master Lease-Purchase Agreement in the principal amount of \$17,000,000 to finance hardware, software and professional services to upgrade/re-implement and remediate the Oracle E-Business Suite applications and related infrastructure, implement a new municipal budgeting system, replace and improve the 9-1-1 public safety systems and operate and maintain current information technology systems.

Additional information on the City's long-term debt obligations can be found in Note (II) H to the financial statements.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (unaudited) (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Tax Rates

The economic indicators highlighted below, among others and including labor union contracts and concessions, were factored into the City's budget formulation process as they relate to revenue forecasting, program planning, and resource allocation for fiscal year 2015-16.

The City's economy continues to grow, which is resulting in a steady growth of General Purpose Fund, a subfund of the General Fund, revenues. While revenues are approaching pre-recession levels, the growth is not enough to restore pre-recession service levels due to rising costs. There is also pressure on the budget to fund long-term deferred maintenance and capital equipment, and long-term unfunded liabilities. The City adopted a balanced budget for fiscal year 2016-17 without layoffs, and continues to invest in public safety, stabilize our workforce, economic growth, job creation and training, education, equipment and technology, and quality of life.

In March 2015, as part of the proposed FY2013-15 Biennial Budget, the City issued a Five-Year Financial Forecast that forecasted revenues and expenditures. The purpose of the Five-Year Financial Forecast is to help the City of Oakland make informed financial and operational decisions by better anticipating long-term future revenues and expenditures. Since that time the City has experienced a continued growth in revenues and boom in the local economy. This economic growth will be reflected in subsequent reports on City revenues and expenditures.

In February of 2017 the City will release a new Five-Year Financial Forecast in preparation for the FY 2017-19 Biennial Budget. This new forecast will address the projected future growth rates of expenditures and revenues, and any other fiscal concerns, based upon information available through December of 2016.

The City of Oakland's unemployment rate increased to 5.8 percent in June 2016 compared to an average unemployment rate of 5.7 percent for June 2015.

The Bay Area's consumer price index for all urban consumers in June 2016 was 266,041 compared to 259,117 in June 2015 and to the U.S. city average consumer price index for all urban consumers at 241.038 (Base period: 1982 - 84 = 100).

Estimated population for January 1, 2016 is 422,856 with an estimated total number of households of 156,724, an average household size of 2.6 persons, and a per capita personal income of \$34,587.

PERS pension rates, and health care costs have been factored into the City's mid-cycle budget for Fiscal Year 2016-17.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the City of Oakland's finances for all those with an interest in the City's fiscal and economic affairs. Requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Finance Department, Controller's Bureau, City of Oakland, 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 6353; Oakland, California 94612-2093. This report is also available online at http://www.oaklandnet.com.

This page is intentionally left blank.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

City of Oakland Statement of Net Position June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

		Primary Governme	Component Unit	
	Governmental	Business-Type		Port of
ASSETS	Activities	Activities	Total	Oakland
Cash and investments	\$ 396,604	\$ 44,388	\$ 440,992	\$ 296,454
Receivables (net of allowance for uncollectibles of				
\$15,894 for City and \$1,088 for Port):				
Accrued interest	450	56	506	-
Property taxes	20,341	-	20,341	-
Accounts receivable	52,853	13,715	66,568	30,199
Grants receivable	30,186	-	30,186	-
Due from Port	9,068	-	9,068	-
Due from Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)	6,024	-	6,024	-
Due from pension trust funds	37	-	37	-
Internal balances	117	(117)	-	-
Due from other governments	10,271	-	10,271	-
Inventories	575	-	575	-
Restricted assets:				
Cash and investments	184,145	736	184,881	72,779
Receivables	- · · ·	-	-	3,119
Property held for resale	162,657	_	162,657	-
Notes and loans receivable (net of allowance for	,		,	
uncollectibles of \$140,823 for the City)	361,390	_	361,390	_
Prepaid expenses	1,333	73	1,406	3,219
Other	-	-	1,400	41,479
Capital assets:	_	_	_	41,472
Land and other capital assets not being depreciated	453,655	41,853	495,508	731,133
Facilities, infrastructure, and equipment,	433,033	41,033	473,300	731,130
net of depreciation	919,143	169,501	1,088,644	1 467 997
TOTAL ASSETS	2,608,849	270,205	2,879,054	1,467,887
TOTAL ASSETS	2,008,849	270,203	2,879,034	2,646,269
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Unamortized losses on refunding of debts	18,798	-	18,798	10,286
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	95,780	3,368	99,148	16,386
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	114,578	3,368	117,946	26,672
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	142,450	843	143,293	26,980
Accrued interest payable	22,661	66	22,727	8,696
Due to other governments	1,061	-	1,061	-
Due to primary government	-	_	-	9,068
Due to Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)	2,312	_	2,312	-
Unearned revenue	5,456	_	5,456	42,547
Other	13,450	6	13,456	23,300
Non-current liabilities:	13,130	o o	13,130	23,300
Due within one year	170,672	2,388	173,060	70,675
Due in more than one year	939,003	36,735	975,738	1,150,241
Net pension liability	1,207,032	31,133	1,238,165	177,204
Other postemployment benefits obligation	305,024		305,024	10,121
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2,809,121	71,171	2,880,292	1,518,832
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Unamortized gain on refunding of debt		513	513	
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	69,768	2,089	71,857	11,563
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	69,768	2,602	72,370	11,563
	09,708	2,002	12,310	11,505
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets	1,079,164	171,743	1,250,907	1,097,049
Restricted for:				
Debt service	24,764	-	24,764	-
Housing and community development	266,412	-	266,412	-
Low and moderate income housing redevelopment	233,534	-	233,534	-
Other purposes	30,495	-	30,495	14,840
Unrestricted (deficit)	(1,789,831)	28,057	(1,761,774)	30,657

City of Oakland Statement of Activities

Statement of Activities Year Ended June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

				1	Progra	am Revenu	e			-	e) Revenue a n Net Positio		Component
					Or	erating	(Capital	Pr	imary (Government	t	Unit
				arges for	Grants and		Grants and		Governmental	Business-type			Port of
Functions/Programs	Ex	penses		ervices	Cont	ributions	Con	tributions	Activities		ivities	Total	Oakland
Primary government:													
Governmental activities:													
General government	\$	99,183	\$	49,540	\$	8,116	\$	_	\$ (41,527)	\$		\$ (41,527)	
Public safety	Ψ	432,862	Ψ	21,104	Ψ	15,468	Ψ		(396,290)	Ψ	_ `	(396,290)	
Community services		134,799		7,454		39,028			(88,317)			(88,317)	
Community and economic		154,777		7,-13-1		37,020			(00,517)			(00,517)	
development		85,396		58,439		18,008		50,665	41,716		_	41,716	
Public works		114,597		41,772		9,470		3,378	(59,977)		_	(59,977)	
Interest on long-term debt		54,335				-		-	(54,335)		-	(54,335)	
TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL		34,333							(34,333)			(34,333)	
ACTIVITIES		921,172		178,309		90,090		54,043	(598,730)			(598,730)	
Business-type activities:													
Sewer		39,270		58,703		-		-	-		19,433	19,433	
Parks and recreation		872		711		-	,	-			(161)	(161)	
TOTAL BUSINESS-TYPE													
ACTIVITIES		40,142		59,414		-		-	-		19,272	19,272	
TOTAL PRIMARY			"										
GOVERNMENT	\$	961,314	\$	237,723	\$	90,090	\$	54,043	(598,730)		19,272	(579,458)	
Component unit:													
Port of Oakland	\$	342,517	\$	338,037	\$	1,419	\$	34,849					31,788
Torr or cumumu	_		_		_		_					•	
	Gen	eral revent	ies:										
	Pro	operty tax	es						279,764		-	279,764	-
	Sta	ate taxes:											
	5	Sales and u	ise ta	xes					77,365		-	77,365	-
	(Gas tax							8,653		-	8,653	-
	1	Motor veh	icle in	-lieu					166		-	166	-
	Lo	cal taxes:											
	I	Business li	cense						75,504		-	75,504	-
	τ	Utility con:	sump	tion					51,006		-	51,006	-
	I	Real estate	trans	fer					89,594		-	89,594	-
	1	Transient o	ccup	ancy					25,671		-	25,671	-
	I	Parking							20,175		-	20,175	-
	,	Voter appr	oved	special tax					37,793		-	37,793	-
	I	Franchise		-					18,609		-	18,609	-
	In	terest and	inves	tment inco	me				4,596		233	4,829	2,149
				lease termi					-		-	-	35,200
		her							20,987		-	20,987	33,651
		nsfers							2,144		(2,144)	-	-
			RAI	REVENUES	AND	TRANSFE	RS		712,027		(1,911)	710,116	71,000
		nges in ne			, ,,,,,,	TWINDIT			113,297		17,361	130,658	102,788
		position -	•						(268,759)		182,439	(86,320)	1,039,758
		Γ POSITIO	_	_					\$ (155,462)			\$ 44,338	\$ 1,142,546
	NEI	POSITIO	n - Ef	NDING					φ (133, 4 02)	Ф	199,000	p 44,338	φ 1,142,34

City of Oakland Balance Sheet

Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

		neral und		ederal/ State ant Fund	M l H	ow and loderate income lousing set Fund	(lunicipal Capital provement	5	Other Special Revenue	Gov	Other ernmental Funds		Total
ASSETS			_				_		_		_		_	
Cash and investments	\$ 2	269,455	\$	-	\$	6,458	\$	7,301	\$	62,065	\$	43,223	\$	388,502
Receivable (net of allowance														
for uncollectibles of \$14,552)														
Accrued interest and dividends		307		-		-		10		74		50		441
Property taxes		14,311		-		-		-		3,391		2,639		20,341
Accounts receivable		41,283		175		-		160		5,589		5,475		52,682
Grants receivable		-		27,516		-		-		695		1,888		30,099
Due from Port		9,068		-		-		-		-		-		9,068
Due from ORSA		1,426		-		2,307		2,291		-		-		6,024
Due from penstion trust fund		37		-		-		-		-		-		37
Due from other funds		34,748		-		-		-		-		-		34,748
Due from other governments		10,271		-		-		-		-				10,271
Notes and loans receivable (net of														
allowance for uncollectibles of \$140,823)		2,902		132,871		193,612		1,600		30,405		-		361,390
Restricted cash and investments		70,791		475		1,502		78,644		-		13,284		164,696
Property held for resale		_		_		30,677		131,980		_				162,657
Other assets		35		212		, -		´-		29		100		376
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 4	54.634	\$	161,249	\$	234,556	\$	221,986	\$	102,248	\$	66,659	\$	1,241,332
LIABILITIES Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 1	13,929	\$	10,916	\$	1,015	\$	2,544	\$	5,866	\$	5,188	\$	139,458
Due to other funds		-		17,491		3		´-		-		1,551		19,045
Due to ORSA		2,312		-		_		_		_		´-		2,312
Due to other governments		1,020		_		_		_		41		_		1,061
Unearned revenue		5,247		209		_		_		_		_		5,456
Other		2,506		1,965		4		1,469		6,549		950		13,443
TOTAL LIABILITIES		25,014		30,581		1,022		4,013		12,456		7,689		180,775
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES												.,,		
Unavailable revenue - property tax		3,410		-		-		-		2,279		2,028		7,717
Unavailable revenue - notes and loans		2,902		132,871		193,612		1,600		30,405		-		361,390
Unavailable revenue - mandated claims		10,258		-		-		-		-		-		10,258
Unavailable revenue - grants and others		2,914		5,890		-		-		292		-		9,096
Unavailable revenue - loans to OSRA		-		-		2,111		2,164		-		-		4,275
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS		19,484		138,761		195,723		3,764		32,976		2,028		392,736
FUND BALANCES														
Restricted	1	86,804		475		37,811		210,624		_		54,721		490,435
Committed	1	00,004		413		37,611		210,024		16,495		2,115		18,610
		58,203		-		-		2 505				1,429		
Assigned				(0.5(0)		-		3,585		40,321				103,538
Unassigned		65,129		(8,568)				21.4.200		- 56.016		(1,323)		55,238
TOTAL FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT)		10,136		(8,093)		37,811		214,209		56,816		56,942		667,821
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND														
FUND BALANCES	\$ 4	54,634	\$	161,249	\$	234,556	\$	221,986	\$	102,248	\$	66,659	\$	1,241,332

City of Oakland Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the **Statement of Net Position for Governmental Activities** June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

Fund balances - total governmental funds (page 23)	\$ 667,821
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different due to the following:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.	
Primary government capital assets, net of depreciation 1,372,798 Less: internal service funds' capital assets, net of depreciation (45,195)	1,327,603
Prepaid insurance premiums on long-term debt are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.	950
Interest payable on long-term debt does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not accrued as a liability in the governmental funds.	
Interest payable on long-term debt of the primary government (22,661)	
Less: interest payable on long-term debt of the internal service funds 373	(22,288)
Deferred inflows of resources recorded in governmental fund financial statements resulting from activities in which revenues were earned but funds were not available are reclassified as revenues in the government-wide financial statements.	392,736
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable and other postemployment benefits obligation, are not due and payable in the current period, and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds.	
Long-term liabilities (1,109,675)	
Net other post employment benefits obligation (305,024)	
Less: long-term liabilities for internal service funds 44,531	(1,370,168)
Deferred outflows of resources in governmental activities related to losses on refunding of debt are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental funds.	
	18,798
Net pension liability and deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions on the government-wide statement of net position are not due and payable in the current period, and therefore are not reported in the governmental funds.	
Net pension liability (1,171,232)	
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions 91,953	
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions (67,478)	(1,146,757)
Internal service funds are used by the City to charge the costs of providing supplies and services, fleet and facilities management, and use of radio and communication equipment to individual funds. Assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of internal service funds are included in governmental activities in the statement of net position.	(24,157)
NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES (page 21)	\$ (155,462)

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds Year Ended June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

	General Fund	Federal/ State Grant Fund	Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund	Municipal Capital Improvement	Other Special Revenue	Other Governmental Funds	Total
REVENUES Taxes:							
Property	\$ 257,707	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,660	\$ 6,431	\$ 279,798
Sales and use	52,192	-	-	-	-	25,173	77,365
Motor vehicle in-lieu	166	=	=	=	=	=	166
Gas	=	=	=	=	=	8,653	8,653
Local taxes:	75.504						75.504
Business license Utility consumption	75,504 51,006	-	-	-	= -	-	75,504 51,006
Real estate transfer	89,594	_	_	_	=		89,594
Transient occupancy	20,209	=	=	=	5,462	=	25,671
Parking	10,220	=	=	=	9,955	=	20,175
Voter approved special tax	=	=	Ξ	=	18,473	19,320	37,793
Franchise	18,321	288	=	=.	-	-	18,609
Licenses and permits Fines and penalities	1,591 21,648	348	=	-	27,682 878	89 1,098	29,362 23,972
Interest and investment income	1,873	292	926	246	964	278	4,579
Charges for services	85,184	2,381	6,466	2,621	28,294	634	125,580
Federal and state grants and subventions	5,953	126,580	-	25	3,663	3,898	140,119
Annuity income	1,096	-	<u>-</u>		=.	-	1,096
Other TOTAL DEVENIUES	2,622	1,785	1,303 8,695	2.060	2,287	3,164 68,738	11,238
TOTAL REVENUES	694,886	131,674	8,095	2,969	113,318	08,738	1,020,280
EXPENDITURES							
Current:							
Elected and Appointed Officials:							
Mayor	2,197	125	=	=	=	260	2,582
Council	4,513	-	-	-	-	- 7	4,513
City Administrator City Attorney	15,831 15,296	298 59	=	202	780 1,931	7 36	17,118 17,322
City Auditor	1,760	-	=	=	1,931	-	1,760
City Clerk	3,326	=	-	-	_	-	3,326
Public Ethics Commission	587	=	-	-	-	-	587
Departments:							
Administrative Service Department:							
Human Resource Management	6,655	271	=	- (5)	978	128	6,655
Financial Services Information Technology	23,879 11,604	11	_	(5)	646	128	25,251 12,261
Race and Equity Department	84	-	_	_	-	_	84
Public Safety:							
Oakland Police Department	245,628	8,366	=	=	15,702	898	270,594
Oakland Fire Department	126,669	4,231	Ξ	11	6,041	850	137,802
Community Service Department:	22.100	100			260	2.620	26.296
Parks and Recreation Library	23,199 11,220	180 146	-	-	368 15,055	2,639 304	26,386 26,725
Human Services Department	6,233	43,873	72	_	20,104	1,634	71,916
Community and Economic Development:	-,	-,				,	
Planning and Building	109	281	=	296	24,765	=	25,451
Economic & Workforce Development	8,891	6,444	-	2,561	583	916	19,395
Housing & Community Development	4,416	10,409	7,978	-	4,370	-	27,173
Oakland Public Works Other	30,539 12,086	2,672	=	4,585 1,662	5,954 4,779	38,406 67	82,156 18,594
Capital outlay	2,277	60,926	= =	21,324	2,204	12,878	99,609
Debt service:	_,,	55,720		21,224	2,204	12,070	,,,,,,,,
Principal repayment	5,432	1,090	=	=	=	42,410	48,932
Bond issuance cost	240	-	-	-		11	251
Interest charges	522 563,193	139,503	8,050	30,636	104.260	50,946	51,589
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	363,193	139,503	8,050	30,636	104,260	152,390	998,032
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	131,693	(7,829)	645	(27,667)	9,058	(83,652)	22,248
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)							
Discount on issuance of debt Proceeds from sale of capital assets	(78)	=	=	=	=	=	(78)
Insurance claims and settlements	66 4,314	-	- -	-	-	-	66 4,314
Transfers in	8,653	5,896	=	_	15,083	79,627	109,259
Transfers out	(100,843)			(3,840)		(2,434)	(107,117)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	(87,888)	5,896		(3,840)	15,083	77,193	6,444
NET CHANCE BY EVEN BATTAN	40.00-	/4		/A1	2		20
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES Fund balances (deficit) - beginning	43,805 266,331	(1,933) (6,160)	645 37,166	(31,507) 245,716	24,141 32,675	(6,459) 63,401	28,692 639,129
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$ 310,136	\$ (8,093)	\$ 37,811	\$ 214,209	\$ 56,816	\$ 56,942	\$ 667,821
		. (0,020)		,/			

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities of Governmental Activities Year Ended June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds (page 25)		\$	28,692
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different due to the following	lowing:		
Government funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, to f those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense, the amount by which capital outlay and other capital transactions exceeds depreciation in the period.	This is		
Primary government:			
Capital asset acquisition	125,962		
Capital asset retirement	(47)		
Depreciation	(64,055)		
Less: net changes of capital assets within internal service funds	(17,288)		44,572
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported revenues in the funds. Also, loans made to developers and others are treated as urban redevelopment housing expenditures at the time the loans are made and are reported as revenues when the loans collected in the funds. This represents the change in the deferred inflows during the current period.	ent and		22,841
Some expenses such as claims, workers' compensation, and vacation and sick leave reported statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources, and therefore are not reported expenditures in governmental funds.			(24,794)
The repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financing sources governmental funds. This is the amount by which principal retirement reduces the liabilities in the st of net position.			73,621
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resonand, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	ources		
Amortization of bond premiums and discounts	1,935		
Amortization of prepaid bond insurance premium on long-term debt	(217)		
Amortization of deferred outflows of refunding loss	(1,573)		
Accreted interest on appreciation bonds	(18,874)		
Changes in accrued interest on bonds and notes payable	(1,677)		
Changes in Coliseum Authority pledged obligation	3,933		
Changes in mandated environmental remediation obligations	599		
	(112,885)		
Changes in deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	5,555		
Changes in deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	119,559		
Changes on postemployment benefits other than pension benefits (OPEB)	(48,102)		.=0
Changes on fair value of the interest swap agreement	1,524		(50,223)
The net income of activities of internal service funds is reported with governmental activities		-	18,588
CHANGE IN NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES (page 22)		\$	113,297

Statement of Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

	Rusiness-tvr	e Activities - Ente	ernrise Funds	Governmental Activities
	Sewer	Nonmajor Fund Parks and	i prise runus	Internal Service
	Service	Recreation	Total	Funds
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and investments	\$ 44,388	\$ -	\$ 44,388	\$ 8,102
Interest receivable	56	-	56	9
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for				
uncollectibles of \$1,342 for the enterprise funds)	13,710	5	13,715	171
Grants receivable	-	-	-	87
Inventories	-	-	-	575
Restricted cash and investments	25	711	736	19,449
Prepaid expenses	73		73	7
Total current assets	58,252	716	58,968	28,400
Capital assets:				
Land and other assets not being depreciated	40,947	906	41,853	14,651
Facilities, equipment and infrastructure,	70,277	700	41,033	14,031
net of depreciation	167,912	1,589	169,501	30,544
Total capital assets	208,859	2,495	211,354	45,195
TOTAL ASSETS	267,111	3,211	270.322	73,595
TOTAL ASSLIS	207,111	3,211	210,322	13,393
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	3,343	25	3,368	3,827
belefied outlions of resources femiced to pensions	3,3 13		3,500	3,027
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	843	_	843	2,992
Accrued interest payable	66	_	66	373
Due to other funds	00	117	117	15,586
Other liabilities	6	117	6	7
Bonds, capital leases, notes and other payables	2,388	-	2,388	9,033
Total current liabilities	3,303	117	3,420	27,991
Total current habilities	3,303	11/	3,420	21,991
Non-current liabilities:				
Bonds, capital leases, notes and other payables	36,735		36,735	35,498
Net pension liability	30,926	207	31,133	35,800
Total non-current liabilities		207	67,868	71,298
TOTAL LIABILITIES	67,661 70,964	324	71,288	99,289
TOTALLIABILITIES	70,904	324	/1,200	99,289
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES				
	2.075	14	2.000	2 200
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions Unamortized gain on refunding of debt	2,075 513		2,089 513	2,290
				2 200
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	2,588	14	2,602	2,290
NET POSITION				
Net investment in capital assets	169,248	2,495	171 742	20,113
Unrestricted (deficit)	•	2,493	171,743	
	\$ 106,002		\$ 100,800	(44,270)
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 196,902	\$ 2,898	\$ 199,800	\$ (24,157)

Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position Proprietary Funds Year Ended June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

	Bu	ısiness-typ	e Activi	ties - Ente	rprise	e Funds		ernmental ctivities
	~	ewer ervice	Parl	ijor Fund ks and reation		Total	5	nternal Service Funds
OPERATING REVENUES								
Rental	\$	-	\$	711	\$	711	\$	-
Sewer services		58,682		-		58,682		-
Charges for services		-		-		-		70,451
Other		21				21		139
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES		58,703		711		59,414		70,590
OPERATING EXPENSES								
Personnel		16,799		115		16,914		19,741
Supplies		818		369		1,187		2,664
Depreciation and amortization		5,707		310		6,017		7,392
Contractual services and supplies		3,047		10		3,057		1,285
Repairs and maintenance		467		-		467		6,567
General and administrative		6,578		46		6,624		6,833
Rental		1,422		21		1,443		1,965
Other		3,138		1		3,139		5,509
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES		37,976		872		38,848		51,956
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)		20,727		(161)		20,566		18,634
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)								
Interest and investment income (loss)		234		(1)		233		17
Interest expense		(1,294)		-		(1,294)		(885)
Federal and State grants		-		-		-		87
Insurance claims and settlements		-		-		-		459
Other				-				274
TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)		(1,060)		(1)		(1,061)		(48)
INCOME/(LOSS) BEFORE TRANSFERS		19,667		(162)		19,505		18,586
Transfers in		-		-		-		550
Transfers out		(2,144)		-		(2,144)		(548)
Change in net position		17,523		(162)		17,361		18,588
Net position - beginning		179,379		3,060		182,439		(42,745)
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$	196,902	\$	2,898	\$	199,800	\$	(24,157)

Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Funds Year Ended June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

		Susiness-typ Sewer Service	e Activities - End Nonmajor Fund Parks and Recreation		ise Funds Total	A	vernmental Activities Internal Service Funds
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES							
Cash received from customers and users	\$	58,790	\$ -	\$	58,790	\$	70,535
Cash received from tenants for rents		-	707		707		-
Cash from other sources		21	- (100)		21		139
Cash paid to employees Cash paid to suppliers		(18,367)	(128)		(18,495) (18,004)		(21,414)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES		(17,557) 22,887	132	<u>'</u> —	23,019		(35,125)
NET CASH FROVIDED BY OF ERATING ACTIVITIES		22,007	132		23,019		14,133
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES							
Proceeds from interfund loans		_	117		117		50
Repayment of interfund loans		-	-		-		(5,615)
Other		-	-		-		733
Transfers in		-	-		-		550
Transfers out		(2,144)			(2,144)		(548)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN)							
NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES		(2,144)	117		(2,027)		(4,830)
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVIT	IES	(20.154)	(55.4)		(20.700)		(24.670)
Acquisition of capital assets		(20,154)	(554))	(20,708)		(24,679)
Long-term debt:							24.005
Proceeds from issuance of debt		(1.065)	-		(1.065)		24,095
Repayment of long-term debt Bond issuance cost		(1,965)	-		(1,965)		(11,139)
		(40)	-		(40)		(755)
Interest paid on long-term debt		(1,640)			(1,640)		(755)
NET CASH USED IN CAPITAL AND		(22.700)	/FF 4		(04.050)		(12.470)
RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES	-	(23,799)	(554)		(24,353)		(12,478)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES							
Interest received (paid)		178	(1))	177		7
		<u> </u>					
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(2,878)	(306))	(3,184)		(3,166)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning		47,291	1,017		48,308		30,717
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - ENDING	\$	44,413	\$ 711	\$	45,124	\$	27,551
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES Operating income (loss)	\$	20,727	\$ (161)) \$	20,566	\$	18,634
ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES							
Depreciation and amortization Changes in assets, liabilities, and deferred outflows and inflows of resources:		5,707	310		6,017		7,392
Receivables		108	(4))	104		84
Inventories		-	-		-		(167)
Other assets		(9)	-		(9)		(7)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(2,078)	-		(2,078)		(10,129)
Other liabilities		-	-		-		1
Net pension liability and related pension deferred items		(1,568)	(13))	(1,581)		(1,673)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$	22,887	\$ 132	\$	23,019	\$	14,135
RECONCILIATION OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS TO THE							
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION							
Cash and investments	\$	44,388	\$ -	\$	44,388	\$	8,102
Restricted cash and investments		25	711		736		19,449
TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$	44,413	\$ 711	\$	45,124	\$	27,551
	<u> </u>			-		_	***

City of Oakland Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Funds

June 30, 2016 (In thousands)

	Pension Trust Fund		Private Purpose Trust Funds		
ASSETS	Ф	2.526	Ф	c1 455	
Cash and investments	\$	2,536	\$	61,477	
Receivables:					
Accrued interest and dividends		533		401	
Accounts receivable		-		2,711	
Investments and others		8,222		-	
Due from the City		-		2,311	
Prepaid expenses		-		2,125	
Restricted:					
Cash and investments:					
Short-term investments		6,897		18,347	
U.S. government agency securities		-		3,498	
U.S. corporate bonds and mutual funds		63,787		_	
Domestic equities and mutual funds		174,113		_	
International equities and mutual funds		40,223		_	
Alternative investments		73,591		_	
Foreign currency contracts, net		29		_	
Total restricted cash and investments		358,640	•	21,845	
Securities lending collateral		45,042		21,043	
Loans receivable, net of allowance for uncollectibles of \$46,675		45,042		16,977	
Property held for resale		-			
TOTAL ASSETS		414.072		2,818	
TOTAL ASSETS		414,973		110,665	
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Unamortized loss on refunding of debt				6,396	
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		8,320		268	
Accrued interest payable		-		7,648	
Due to the City				6,061	
Securities lending liabilities		45,042		-	
Other		45,042			
Total current liabilities		53,362		148	
Total cultent natimities		33,302		14,123	
Non-current liabilities:					
Due within one year		-		30,299	
Due in more than one year				385,424	
Total noncurrent liabilities				415,723	
TOTALLIABILITIES		53,362		429,848	
NET POSITION RESTRICTED FOR:					
Employees' pension benefits		361,611			
1 1		501,011		(312 797)	
Redevelopment dissolution and other purposes TOTAL NET POSITION	Φ.	261 611	•	(312,787)	
TOTAL NET POSITION	<u> </u>	361,611	\$	(312,787)	

Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Fiduciary Funds Year Ended June 30, 2016

(In thousands)

	ר	ension Crust Fund	Private Purpose Trust Funds		
ADDITIONS:					
Trust receipts	\$	_	\$	69,265	
Investment income:					
Net depreciation in fair value of investments		(6,463)		_	
Interest		1,948		353	
Dividends		4,436		-	
Securities lending		181		-	
TOTAL INVESTMENT INCOME		102		353	
Less investment expenses:					
Investment expenses		(1,521)		-	
NET INVESTMENT INCOME		(1,419)		353	
Federal and state grants		-		3,019	
Other income		3,593		2,826	
TOTAL ADDITIONS		2,174		75,463	
DEDUCTIONS:					
Benefits to members and beneficiaries:					
Retirement		35,664		-	
Disability		21,071		-	
Death		1,706		-	
TOTAL BENEFITS TO MEMBERS AND BENEFICIARIES		58,441		-	
Administrative expenses		1,308		2,133	
Oakland Police Department		-		113	
Human Services		-		162	
Economic & Workforce Development		-		19,295	
Other		68		-	
Bond issuance cost		-		681	
Interest on debt		-		24,345	
TOTAL DEDUCTIONS		59,817		46,729	
Change in net position		(57,643)		28,734	
Net position - beginning		419,254		(341,521)	
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$	361,611	\$	(312,787)	

This page is intentionally left blank.

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements Year Ended June 30, 2016

I SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. REPORTING ENTITY

Primary Government

The City of Oakland, California (the City or Primary Government) was incorporated on May 25, 1852, by the State of California and is organized and exists under and pursuant to the provisions of State law. The Mayor/Council form of government was established in November 1998 through Charter amendment. The legislative authority is vested in the City Council and the executive authority is vested in the Mayor with administrative authority resting with the City Administrator.

The accompanying financial statements present the City and its component units, entities for which the City is considered to be financially accountable. Component units are classified as blended, discretely presented or fiduciary. Blended component units, although legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the City's operations and are combined with the data of the Primary Government within the governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statements and governmental funds in the fund financial statements.

Fiduciary Component Unit

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA) - On June 28, 2011, Assembly Bill X1 26 (AB X1 26) was enacted. This legislation is referred to herein as the Redevelopment Dissolution Law. On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of AB X1 26 and all redevelopment agencies in California were dissolved by operation of law effective February 1, 2012. The legislation provides for successor agencies and oversight boards that are responsible for overseeing the dissolution process and wind down of redevelopment activity. At the City's meeting on January 10, 2012, the City Council affirmed its decision as part of resolution number 83679 C.M.S. to serve as the ORSA, effective February 1, 2012, and as such is a fiduciary component unit of the City. Also, in the same meeting, the City Council elected as part of resolution number 83680 C.M.S. to retain the housing assets, functions and powers previously performed by the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Oakland (Former Agency).

The ORSA was created to serve as a custodian for the assets and to wind down the affairs of the Former Agency. The ORSA is a separate public entity from the City, with the Oakland City Council serving as its governing board, subject to the direction of an Oversight Board. The Oversight Board is comprised of seven-member representatives from local government bodies: two City representatives appointed by the Mayor; two County of Alameda (County) representatives; the County Superintendent of Education; the Chancellor of California Community Colleges; and a representative of the largest special district from the taxing entities.

In general, the ORSA's assets can only be used to pay enforceable obligations in existence at the date of dissolution (including the completion of any unfinished projects that were subject to legally enforceable contractual commitments). ORSA will only be allocated revenue in the amount that is necessary to pay the estimated annual installment payments on enforceable obligations of the Former Agency until all enforceable obligations of the Former Agency have been paid in full and all assets have been liquidated. Based upon the nature of the ORSA's custodial role, ORSA is reported in a fiduciary fund (private-purpose trust fund) in the City's financial statements.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Blended Component Unit

Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority (JPFA) - JPFA was formed to assist in the financing of public capital improvements. JPFA is a joint exercise agency organized under the laws of the State of California and was composed of the City and the former Agency. The Oakland City Council serves as the governing board for JPFA. JPFA transactions are reported in other governmental funds. Related debt is included in the long-term obligations of the City in the governmental activities column of the statement of net position. AB X1 26 as amended by AB 1484 was enacted and all redevelopment agencies in California were dissolved by operation of law effective February 1, 2012. The dissolution law provides that ORSA is a separate legal entity from the City, with ORSA holding all of the transferred assets and obligations of the Former Agency (other than the housing assets). Therefore, ORSA assumed the Former Agency's role as member of the JPFA as of February 1, 2012 pursuant to AB X1 26.

Discretely Presented Component Unit

Port of Oakland (Port) – The Port is a legally separate component unit established in 1927 by the City. Operations include the Oakland International Airport and the Port of Oakland Marine Terminal Facilities. Although the Port has a significant relationship with the City, it is fiscally independent and does not provide services solely to the City and, therefore, is presented discretely. All interfund transactions have been eliminated. The Port is governed by a seven-member Board of Port Commissioners (Board of Commissioners) that is appointed by the City Council, upon nomination by the Mayor. The Board of Commissioners appoints an Executive Director to administer operations. The Port prepares and controls its own budget, administers and controls its fiscal activities, and is responsible for all Port construction and operations. The Port is required by City charter to deposit its operating revenues in the City Treasury. The City is responsible for investing and managing such funds. The Port is presented in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements.

Complete financial statements of the individual component unit may be obtained from:

City of Oakland, Finance Department, Controller's Bureau 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor, Suite 6353 Oakland, CA 94612-2093

B. FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the non-fiduciary activities of the City and its component units. The effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements except for interfund services provided among funds. *Governmental activities*, which are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from *business-type activities*, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the Primary Government is reported separately from its discretely presented component unit for which the Primary Government is financially accountable.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include (1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment; and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported as *general revenues*.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and a major individual enterprise fund are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

The *General Fund* is the City's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial activities and resources of the general government except those required to be accounted for in another fund. These activities are funded principally by property taxes, sales and use taxes, business, utility and real estate transfer taxes, interest and investment income, and charges for services.

The *Federal/State Grant Fund* accounts for various Federal and State grants and certain state allocations used or expended for a specific purpose, activity or program.

The *Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund* (*LMIHF*) is a special revenue fund that was created to administer the housing assets and functions related to the Low and Moderate Income Housing program retained by the City following the dissolution of the Former Agency. Prior to the dissolution of redevelopment agencies, the LMIHF accounted for the Former Agency's affordable housing activities, including the 20% redevelopment property tax revenue (i.e. former tax increment) set-aside for low and moderate income housing and related expenditures. Upon dissolution of the Former Agency and the City Council's election to retain the housing activities previously funded by the Former Agency, the City created LMIHF and transferred the assets and affordable housing activities.

The *Municipal Capital Improvement Fund* accounts primarily for monies pertaining to capital improvement funds, which includes mainly capital financing projects funds:

- Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Unspent bond proceeds transferred to the City. The
 California Department of Finance approved the bond expenditure agreement between ORSA and
 the City to transfer excess tax allocation bond proceeds to the City. The Bond Spending Plan allows
 ORSA to utilize proceeds derived from bonds issued prior to January 1, 2011 in a manner consistent
 with the original bond covenants.
- *Measure DD* Capital improvement bond financing funds for clean water, safe parks and open space trust for the City.
- Measure G Capital improvement bond financing funds for Oakland Zoo, Museum and Chabot Space and Science Center improvements.
- Master Lease Agreement Financing Capital improvement for vehicles and equipment, and telecommunications.
- Other miscellaneous capital improvement funds The fund comprises other municipal capital improvement funds, which may be used for the lease, acquisition, construction or other improvements of public facilities.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The *Other Special Revenue Fund* accounts for activities of several Special Revenue Funds, which include mainly the following local measures and funds:

- *Measure Y Violence Prevention and Public Safety Act of 2004*. The measure provides for the following services; community and neighborhood policing; violence prevention services with an emphasis on youth and children; fire services and evaluation.
- *Measure C Oakland Hotel Tax*. This additional transient occupancy tax was approved to fund the following entities; Oakland Convention and Visitors Bureau 50%; Oakland Zoo 12.5%; Oakland Museum of California 12.5%; Chabot Space and Science Center 12.5% and the City Cultural Arts Programs and Festivals 12.5%.
- Measure Q Library Services Retention and Enhancement. In March 2004, the electorate of Oakland approved, by more than a two-thirds majority, the extension of the Library Services and Retention Act, Measure Q (formerly known as Measure O). The act re-authorized and increased a special parcel tax on residential and non-residential parcels for the purpose of raising revenue to retain and enhance library services. The term of the tax is 20 years, commencing July 1, 2004 and ending June 30, 2024.
- *Measure WW East Bay Regional Park District local grant program.* The funds are for various Oakland parks and open space renovation projects.
- *Measure N Paramedics Services Act*. The revenue from the measure are to provide for increase, enhance and support paramedic services in the City.
- Oakland Kids' First Fund. The charter requires 3.0% of the City's unrestricted general purpose fund revenues for the fund. The funds provide additional funding for programs and services benefiting children and youth.
- Development Service Fund. The revenue sources for the development service fund will be the fees and penalties for development and enforcement activities, such as land use, permit, inspection, and abatement services for both direct and indirect costs.
- Other miscellaneous special revenue funds. Account for several other restricted monies that are classified as special revenue funds.

The City reports the following major enterprise fund:

The *Sewer Service Fund* accounts for the sewer service charges received by the City based on the use of water by East Bay Municipal Utility District customers residing in the City. The proceeds from the sewer charges are used for the construction and maintenance of sanitary sewers and storm drains and the administrative costs of the fund.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund types:

The *Internal Service Funds* account for the purchases of automotive and rolling equipment; radio and other communication equipment; the repair and maintenance of City facilities; acquisition, maintenance and provision of reproduction equipment and services; acquisition of inventory provided to various City departments on a cost reimbursement basis; procurement of materials, supplies, and services for City departments; the service and maintenance of City information technology systems.

The *Pension Trust Fund* account for the closed benefit plan that cover uniformed employees hired prior to July 1976.

The *Private Purpose Trust Funds* include: (a) the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Trust Fund, which accounts for the custodial responsibilities that are assigned to the Oakland Redevelopment

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Successor Agency with passage of AB X1 26; (b) the Other Private Purpose Trust Fund, which accounts for assets and liabilities from the Former Agency and for the operations of the Youth Opportunity Program and certain gifts that are not related to the Former Agency projects or parks, recreation and cultural, activities; and (c) the Private Pension Trust Fund, which accounts for the employee deferred compensation plan.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement* focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund and fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenues as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources* measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The City considers property tax revenues to be available for the year levied and if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the fiscal period. All other revenues are considered to be available if they are collected within 120 days of the end of the fiscal period. Expenditures are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, state and local taxes, grants, licenses, charges for services, and interest and investment income associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Special assessments are recorded as revenues and receivables to the extent installments are considered available. The estimated installments receivable not considered available, as defined above, are recorded as receivables and offset by deferred inflows of resources.

Charges between the City and the Port are not eliminated because the elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and revenues reported.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with the fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the City's enterprise and internal service funds are charges for customer services including: sewers, golf courses, vehicle acquisition and maintenance, radio and telecommunication support charges, charges for facilities maintenance, and reproduction services. Operating expenses for enterprise funds and internal service funds include the cost of services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All other revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

D. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

E. New Pronouncements

During the year ended June 30, 2016, the City implemented the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application. GASB Statement No. 72 requires the City to use valuation techniques which are appropriate under the circumstances and are consistent with the market approach, the cost approach or the income approach. GASB Statement No. 72 establishes a hierarchy of inputs used to measure fair value consisting of three levels. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs. GASB Statement No. 72 also contains note disclosure requirements regarding the hierarchy of valuation inputs and valuation techniques that was used for the fair value measurements. The City made required disclosures were added to Note (II) A.

The City's adoption of GASB Statement No. 73, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement No. 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68, GASB Statement No. 76, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments, GASB Statement No. 79, Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants, and the early adoption of GASB Statement No. 82, Pension Issues – an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68 and No. 73, did not have a material impact on the City's financial statements.

The City is currently analyzing its accounting practices to determine the potential impact on the financial statements for the following GASB Statements:

- In June 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, which establishes new accounting and financial reporting requirements for OPEB plans, as well as for certain nonemployer governments that have a legal obligation to provide financial support to OPEB provided to the employees of other entities. GASB Statement No. 74 also includes requirements to address financial reporting for assets accumulated for purposes of providing defined benefit OPEB through OPEB plans that are not administered through trusts that meet the specified criteria. GASB Statement No. 74 is effective for the City's year ending June 30, 2017.
- In June 2014, the GASB issued Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions, which establishes new accounting and financial reporting requirements for governments whose employees are provided with OPEB plans, improving the accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for OPEB and provides information provided by state and local government employers about financial support for OPEB that is provided by other entities. This statement replaces the requirements of Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions and Statement No. 57, OPEB Measurements by Agent Employers and Agent Multiple-Employer Plans. GASB Statement No. 75 is effective for the City's year ending June 30, 2018.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

- In August 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 77, *Tax Abatement Disclosures*. This statement requires governments that enter into tax abatement agreements to disclose the following information about the agreements:
 - Brief descriptive information, such as the tax being abated, the authority under which tax abatements are provided, eligibility criteria, the mechanism by which taxes are abated, provisions for recapturing abated taxes, the types of commitments made by tax abatement recipients, and the gross dollar amount of taxes abated during the period.
 - Commitments made by a government, other than to abate taxes, as part of a tax abatement agreement.

Application of this statement is effective for the City's year ending June 30, 2017.

- In December 2015, the GASB issued Statement No. 78, *Pensions Provided through Certain Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plans*, which addresses a practice issue regarding the scope and applicability of Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*. The statement amends the scope and applicability of Statement No. 68 to exclude pensions provided to employees of state or local governmental employers through a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that (1) is not a state or local governmental pension plan, (2) is used to provide defined benefit pensions both to employees of state or local governmental employers and to employees of employers that are not state or local governmental employers, and (3) has no predominant state or local governmental employer (either individually or collectively with other state or local governmental employers that provide pensions through the pension plan). It also establishes requirements for recognition and measurement of pension expense, expenditures, and liabilities; note disclosures; and required supplementary information for those pensions. GASB Statement No. 78 is effective for the City's year ending June 30, 2017.
- In January 2016, the GASB issued Statement No. 80, *Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units—an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14*, to improve financial reporting by clarifying the financial statement presentation requirements for certain component units. This statement amends the blending requirements for the financial statement presentation of component units of all state and local governments, which was established in paragraph 53 of Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*. GASB Statement No. 80 is effective for the City's year ending June 30, 2017.
- In March 2016, the GASB issued Statement No. 81, *Irrevocable Split-Interest Agreements*, to improve accounting and financial reporting for irrevocable split-interest agreements by providing recognition and measurement guidance for situations in which a government is a beneficiary of the agreement. This statement requires that a government that receives resources pursuant to an irrevocable split-interest agreement recognize revenues, assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources. GASB Statement No. 81 is effective for the City's year ending June 30, 2018.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

F. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Equity

1. Cash and Investments

The City follows the practice of pooling cash of all operating funds for investment, except for the ORSA whose funds are held by outside custodians. The City measures its investments at fair value and categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is a market-based measurement, using observable market transactions or available market information. The City adjusts the carrying value of its investments to reflect their fair value at each fiscal year end, and it includes the effects of these adjustments in income for that fiscal year.

Income earned or losses arising from the investment of pooled cash are allocated on a monthly basis to the participating funds and component units based on their proportionate share of the average daily cash balance.

Proceeds from debt and other cash and investments held by fiscal agents by agreement are classified as restricted assets.

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the City considers all highly liquid unrestricted and restricted investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The proprietary funds' investments in the City's cash and investment pool are, in substance, demand deposits and are therefore considered to be cash equivalents.

2. Property Taxes

The County of Alameda is responsible for assessing, collecting, and distributing property taxes in accordance with enabling state law, and for remitting such amounts to the City. Property taxes are assessed and levied as of July 1 on all taxable property located in the City, and result in a lien on real property on January 1. Property taxes are then due in two equal installments—the first on November 1 and the second on February 1 of the following calendar year and are delinquent after December 10 and April 10, respectively. General property taxes are limited to a flat 1% rate applied to the 1975-76 full value of the property, or 1% of the sales price of the property or of the construction value added after the 1975-76 valuation. Assessed values on properties (exclusive of increases related to sales and construction) can rise a maximum of 2% per year. Taxes were levied at the maximum 1% rate during the year ended June 30, 2016.

3. Due From/Due To Other Funds and Internal Balances

During the course of operations, numerous transactions and borrowings occur between individual funds for goods provided or services rendered and funds that have overdrawn their share of pooled cash and interfund loans. In the fund financial statements, these receivables and payables are classified as "due from other funds" and "due to other funds", respectively. In the government-wide financial statements, these receivables and payables are eliminated within the governmental activities and business-type activities columns. Net receivables and payables between the governmental activities and business-type activities are classified as internal balances.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

4. Interfund Transfers

In the fund financial statements, interfund transfers are recorded as transfers in/out except for certain types of transactions that are described below:

Charges for services are recorded as revenues of the performing fund and expenditures/expenses of the requesting fund. Unbilled costs are recognized as an asset of the performing fund and a liability of the requesting fund at the end of the fiscal year.

Reimbursements for expenditures, initially made by one fund that are properly applicable to another fund, are recorded as expenditures in the reimbursing fund and as a reduction of expenditures in the fund that is reimbursed. Reimbursements are eliminated for purposes of government-wide reporting.

5. Prepaid Bond Insurance, Original Issue Discounts and Premiums, and Refundings

Prepaid bond insurance costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the life of the bonds. Amortization of these balances is recorded as a component of operating expenses. In the government-wide, proprietary fund, and fiduciary fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Bond premiums and discounts are amortized using the straight-line method over the life of the bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Gains or losses from refunding of debt are reported as deferred inflows or outflows of resources and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded debt or refunding debt. Amortizations of bond premiums and discounts and gains or losses from refunding of debt are recorded as a component of interest expense.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

6. Inventories

Inventories, consisting of materials and supplies held for consumption, are stated at cost. Cost is calculated using the average cost method. Inventory items are considered expensed when consumed rather than when purchased.

7. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, museum collections, intangibles, construction in progress, facilities and improvements, furniture, machinery and equipment, infrastructure (e.g., streets, streetlights, traffic signals, and parks), sewers and storm drains are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements and in the proprietary fund statements. Capital assets are defined by the City as assets with an initial, individual cost of \$5,000 or more and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair value at the date of donation. Capital outlay is recorded as expenditures in the governmental funds and as assets in the government-wide and proprietary financial statements to the extent the City's capitalization threshold is met.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend its useful life is not capitalized.

The City has a collection of artwork presented for public exhibition and education that is being preserved for future generations. These items are protected, kept unencumbered, cared for, and preserved by the City. The proceeds from the sale of any pieces of the collection are used to purchase other acquisitions for the collection. However, future acquisitions purchased with authorized budgeted City funds during a fiscal year will be reported as non-depreciable assets in the City's financial statements.

The City's depreciation of capital assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Facilities and improvements	5-40 years
Furniture, machinery and equipment	2-20 years
Sewer and storm drains	50 years
Infrastructure	5-50 years

The Port's depreciation of capital assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Building and improvements	5-50 years
Container cranes	25 years
Infrastructure	10-50 years
Other equipment	5-10 years
Software	20 years

8. Property Held for Resale

Property held for resale was acquired as part of the Former Agency's redevelopment program. These properties are both residential and commercial. Costs of administering the projects are charged to the municipal capital improvement fund as expenditures are incurred. A primary function of the redevelopment process is to prepare land for specific private development. For financial statement presentation, property held for resale is stated at the lower of estimated cost or estimated conveyance value. Estimated conveyance value is management's estimate of net realizable value of each property parcel based on its current intended use.

During the period it is held by the City, property held for resale may generate rental or operating income. This income is recognized as it is earned in the City's statement of activities and generally is recognized in the City's governmental funds in the same period depending on when the income becomes available on a modified accrual basis of accounting. The City does not depreciate property held for resale, as it is the intention of the City to only hold the property for a period of time until it can be resold for development.

9. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of net position will report a separate section for *deferred outflows* of resources. This separate financial statement element represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The City has deferred outflows of resources related to pension

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

contributions subsequent to measurement date and other pension-related deferred outflows. Also, losses on refunding result from the difference in the carrying value of refunded debt and its reacquisition price. This amount is deferred and amortized over the shorter of the life of the refunded or the refunding debt. Amortization of these balances is recorded as a component of interest expense.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of net position and governmental funds balance sheet will report a separate section for *deferred inflows of resources*. This separate financial statement element represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The City has deferred inflows of resources related to unavailable revenues reported under the modified accrual basis of accounting in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues from property taxes, notes and loan receivables, grant receivables/advances from the federal government and State, and other sources as appropriate. These amounts are deferred and recognized as revenues in the period the amounts become available. The City also has deferred inflows of resources related to the unamortized gains on refunding of debt and pension-related deferred inflows.

10. Compensated Absences - Accrued Vacation, Sick Leave, and Compensatory Time

The City's policy and its agreements with employee groups permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vested vacation, sick leave and other compensatory time. All earned compensatory time is accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in the governmental funds only if they are due and payable.

11. Retirement Plans

The City has three defined benefit retirement plans: Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS), and the Miscellaneous and the Public Safety Plans of the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) (collectively, the Retirement Plans). For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the City's Retirement Plans and additions to/deductions from the Retirement Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PFRS and CalPERS. Employer contributions and member contributions made by the employer to the Retirement Plans are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the provisions of the Retirement Plans. Refer to Note (III) A for additional information.

12. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

The OPEB plan covers the City's police, fire, and other (miscellaneous) employees. City retirees are eligible for retiree health benefits if they meet certain requirements relating to age and service. Retiree health benefits are described in the labor agreements between the City and local unions and in City resolutions. The demographic rates used for the CalPERS were public safety employees retirements benefits under a 3% at 50 formula and miscellaneous employees retirement benefits under a 2.7% at 55 formula. Refer to Note (III) B for additional information.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

13. Pollution Remediation Obligations

Under the provisions of GASB Statement No. 49, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pollution Remediation Obligations*, the City recorded remediation liabilities related to its pollution remediation activities. See Note (III) C for additional information.

14. Fund Balances

Under GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, governmental funds classify fund balances based primarily on the extent to which the City is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which those funds can be spent. Fund balance for the City's governmental funds consists of the following categories:

- Restricted Fund Balance: includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by external resource providers, constitutionally or through enabling legislation. Restrictions may effectively be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers. It also includes a legally enforceable requirement that the resources can only be used for specific purposes enumerated in the law.
- Committed Fund Balance: includes amounts that can only be used for the specific purposes determined by City Council ordinance, which is the City's highest level of decision-making authority. Commitments may be changed or lifted only by the City taking the same formal action that imposed the constraint originally.
- Assigned Fund Balance: comprises amounts intended to be used by the City for specific purposes that are neither restricted nor committed through City Council budgetary action, which includes of appropriations and revenue sources pertaining to the next fiscal year's budget. The City Council adopted a resolution establishing the City's policy budget, which states that assigned fund balances are intended to be used for specific purposes through City Council budgetary actions. Intent is expressed by (a) the City Council or (b) the City Administrator to which the City Council has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes. This category includes the City's encumbrances, project carry-forwards, and continuing appropriations.
- Unassigned Fund Balance: are amounts technically available for any purpose. It's the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all amounts not contained in the other classifications. Unassigned amounts are technically available for any purpose. Other governmental funds may only report a negative unassigned balance that was created after classification in one of the other four fund balance categories.

In circumstances when an expenditure is made for a purpose for which amounts are available in multiple fund balance classifications, fund balance is generally depleted in the order of restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Fund balances for all the major and nonmajor governmental funds as of June 30, 2016, were distributed as follows (in thousands):

	C	General	ral/State nt Fund	L	MIHF ¹	(unicipal Capital provement	er Special evenue	Gov	Other ernmental Funds	Total
Restricted for:										,	
Capital projects	\$	-	\$ 475	\$	1,502	\$	78,644	\$ -	\$	30,073	\$ 110,694
Pension obligations annuity		70,791	-		-		-	-		-	70,791
Pension obligations PFRS		113,020	-		-		-	-		-	113,020
Reserve stabilization fund		2,993	-		-		-	-		-	2,993
Debt service		-	-		-		-	-		24,648	24,648
Property held for resale		-	-		30,677		131,980	-		-	162,657
Housing projects		-	 -		5,632		-	-			 5,632
Total restricted		186,804	475		37,811		210,624	-		54,721	490,435
Committed for: Library, Kids First and museum trust		-	-		-		-	16,495		2,115	18,610
Assigned for: Capital projects		58,203	_		_		3,585	40,321		1,429	103,538
Unassigned		65,129	(8,568)		-		- ,			(1,323)	55,238
Total	\$	310,136	\$ (8,093)	\$	37,811	\$	214,209	\$ 56,816	\$	56,942	\$ 667,821

¹ Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund

15. Net Position

The government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position is categorized as net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted.

- Net Investment in Capital Assets groups all capital assets, including infrastructure, into one
 component of net position. Accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of debt and
 debt-related deferred outflows and inflows of resources that are attributable to the acquisition,
 construction, or improvement of these assets reduce the balance in this category.
- Restricted Net Position consists of This category represents net position that has external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors or laws or regulations of other governments and restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Enabling legislation authorizes the City to assess, levy, charge, or otherwise mandated payment of resources and includes a legally enforceable requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation.
- *Unrestricted Net Position* represents net position of the City that is not restricted for any project or purpose.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

(II) DETAILED NOTES ON ALL FUNDS

A. CASH, DEPOSIT, AND INVESTMENTS

The City maintains a cash and investment pool consisting of City funds and cash held for PFRS and the Port. The City's funds are invested according to the investment policy adopted by the City Council. The objectives of the policy are legality, safety, liquidity, diversity, and yield. The policy addresses soundness of financial institutions in which the City can deposit funds, types of investment instruments permitted by the California Government Code, duration of the investments, and the percentage of the portfolio that may be invested in:

	Maximum	Maximum Portfolio	Maximum Issuer	Credit
Investment Type	Maturity	Exposure	Exposure	Requirement
U.S. Treasury Securities	5 years	20%	n/a	n/a
Federal Agencies and Instrumentalities	5 years	None	n/a	n/a
Banker's Acceptances	180 days	40%	5%	A1, P1 or F1 or better
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	5%	A1, P1 or F1 or better
Asset-backed Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	5%	A1, P1 or F1 or better
Local Government Investment Pools	n/a	20%	n/a	Top ranking
Medium Term Notes	5 years	30%	5%	A3, A- or A- or better
Negotiable Certificates of Deposits	5 years	30%	5%	A, A2 or A or better
Repurchase Agreements	360 days	none	n/a	Collaterial limited to US securities
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20%	n/a	Limited to primary dealers
Secured Obligations and Agreements	2 years	20%	5%	AA or better
Certificates of Deposit	360 days	n/a	n/a	n/a
Money Market Mutual Funds	n/a	20%	n/a	Top ranking
State Investment Pool (LAIF)	n/a	none	n/a	n/a
Local City/Agency Bonds	5 years	none	5%	n/a
State of California Obligations and Others	5 years	none	5%	n/a
Other Local Agency Bonds	5 years	none	5%	n/a
Deposits- Private Placement	n/a	30%	10%	n/a
Supranationals	5 years	30%	n/a	AA or better

The City's investment policy stipulates that the collateral to back up repurchase agreements be priced at market value and be held in safekeeping by the City's primary custodian. Additionally, the City Council has adopted certain requirements prohibiting investments in nuclear weapons makers and restricting investments in U.S. Treasury bills and notes due to their use in funding nuclear weapons research and production.

Other deposits and investments are invested pursuant to the governing bond covenants, deferred compensation plans, or retirement systems' investment policies. Under the investment policies, the investment counsel is given the full authority to accomplish the objectives of the bond covenants or retirement systems subject to the discretionary limits set forth in the policies.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

As of June 30, 2016, total City cash, deposits, and investments at fair value are as follows (in thousands):

		Primary G	overnn	nent		Fiducia	ry Fun	ds		Com	ponent Unit
	Gov	ernmental	Busi	ness-type	Pen	sion Trust	Priva	te Purpose			
	A	ctivities	Ac	ctivities		Fund	Tru	ıst Funds	Total		Port
Cash and investments	\$	396,604	\$	44,388	\$	2,536	\$	61,477	\$ 505,005	\$	296,454
Restricted cash and											
investments		184,145		736		358,640		21,845	565,366		72,779
Securities lending											
collateral		-				45,042			 45,042		
Total	\$	580,749	\$	45,124	\$	406,218	\$	83,322	\$ 1,115,413	\$	369,233
City pooled deposits									\$ 21,993	\$	-
City restricted deposits									4,192		-
City pooled investments									425,328		308,336
City restricted investments									182,176		-
PFRS restricted investment	ts								403,682		-
ORSA deposits									5,508		
ORSA investments									72,534		-
Port's cash and investments	S								 -		60,897
Total									\$ 1,115,413	\$	369,233

Primary Government

Hierarchy of Inputs: The City categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

The City's pooled and restricted investments has the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2016:

	Leve	el One	L	evel Two	Lev	el Three	Total
Investments by fair value level:							
U.S government agency securities	\$	-	\$	554,367	\$	-	\$ 554,367
Medium term notes		-		7,090		-	7,090
Negotiable certificates of deposits		-		17,003		-	17,003
State bonds		-		4,047		-	4,047
Commercial paper		-		366		-	366
Annuity contracts				-		68,000	68,000
Total investments by fair value level	\$	-	\$	582,873	\$	68,000	650,873
Investments measured at net asset value (NAV): Money market mutual funds							214,936
Investments not subject to fair value hierarchy:							
Local agency investment fund (LAIF)							 50,031
Total investments measured at fair value							\$ 915,840

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Custodial Credit Risk: Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a failure of a depository financial institution or counterparty to a transaction, the City may be unable to recover the value of the investments or collateral securities in the possession of an outside party. To protect against fraud and potential losses from the financial collapse of securities dealers, all securities owned by the City shall be held in the name of the City for safekeeping by a third party bank trust department, acting as an agent for the City under the terms of the Custody Agreement.

At June 30, 2016, the carrying amount of the City's deposits was \$31.7 million. Deposits include checking accounts, interest earning savings accounts, money market accounts, and nonnegotiable certificates of deposit. The bank balance of \$40.7 million was covered by FDIC insurance or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution in the City's name, in accordance with Section 53652 of the California Government Code.

The California Government Code requires that a financial institutions secure its deposits made by state or local government units by pledging securities in undivided collateral pool held by the depository regulated under the state law (unless so waived by the government units). The market value of the pledged government securities and/or first trust deed mortgage notes held in the collateral pool must be at least 110% and 150%, respectively, of the deposit amount. The collateral must be held by the pledging financial institution's trust department and is considered held in the City's name.

Credit Risk: Credit risk represents the possibility that the issuer/counterparty to an investment will be unable to fulfill its obligations. The most effective method for minimizing the risk of default by an issuer is to invest in high quality securities. Under the City investment policy, short-term debt shall be rated at least A-1 by Standard & Poor's (S&P), at the time security is purchased. Long-term debt shall be rated at least A by S&P. Per the California Debt and Management Advisory Commission (CDIAC), it is recommended that the portfolio be monitored, as practical, for subsequent changes in credit rating of existing securities. As of June 30, 2016, approximately 93% of the pooled investments were invested in "AAA", "AA" and "P-1" quality securities.

The following tables show the City's credit risk for the pooled and restricted investment portfolios as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Pooled Investments

			Rating	gs as of June 3	0, 2016	
	Fair Value	AAA	AA	A	P-1	Not Rated
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$ 142,529	\$ -	\$ 142,529	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	377,854	-	377,854	-	-	-
Medium Term Notes	7,090	-	5,049	2,041	-	-
Money Market Mutual Funds	137,110	137,110	-	-	-	-
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	50,031	-	-	-	-	50,031
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	15,003	-	-	-	15,003	-
State of California, General Obligation Bonds	3,307	-	3,307	-	-	-
State of California, Revenue Bonds	740			740		
Total pooled investments	\$ 733,664	\$ 137,110	\$ 528,739	\$ 2,781	\$ 15,003	\$ 50,031

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Restricted Investments									
				Rat	ings as of	June	30, 2016		
	Fai	ir Value	AAA		AA		P-1	No	t Rated
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$	7,002	\$ -	\$	7,002	\$		\$	-
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)		26,982	-		26,982		-		-
Money Market Mutual Funds		77,826	77,115		-		-		711
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit (CD's)		2,000	-		-		2,000		-
Commercial Paper (Discount)		366	-		-		366		-
Annuity Contract		68,000	 						68,000
Total restricted investments	\$	182,176	\$ 77,115	\$	33,984	\$	2,366	\$	68,711

Concentration of Credit Risk: The City has an Investment Policy related to the City's cash and investment pool, which is subject to annual review. Under the City's Investment Policy, no more than five percent (5%) of the total assets of the investments held by the City may be invested in the securities of any one issuer, except the obligations of the United States government or government-sponsored enterprises, investment with the Local Agency Investment Fund, and proceeds of or pledged revenues for any tax and revenue anticipation notes. Per the Investment Policy, investments should conform to Sections 53600 et seq. of the California Government Code and the applicable limitations contained within the policy. Certain other investments are governed by bond covenants, which do not restrict the amount of investment in any one issuer.

Investments in one issuer that exceed 5% of the City's investment portfolio at June 30, 2016 are as follows (in thousands):

		Percent of City's Investment
Investment Type / Issuer	 Amount	Portfolio
U.S. Government Agency Securities:		
Federal Home Loan Bank	\$ 423,190	46.21%
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac)	60,065	6.56%
Annuity Contract:		
New York Life Insurance Company	68,000	7.42%

Interest Rate Risk: This risk represents the possibility that an interest rate change could adversely affect an investment's fair value. The longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity its fair value is to changes in market interest rates.

As a means for limiting its exposure to changing interest rates, Section 53601 of the State of California Government Code and the City's Investment Policy limit certain investments to short-term maturities such as certificates of deposit and commercial paper, whose maturities are limited 360 days and 270 days, respectively. Also, Section 53601 of the State of California Government Code limits the maximum maturity of any investment to be no longer than 5 years unless authority for such investment is expressly granted in advance by the City Council or authorized by bond covenants. The City continues to purchase a combination of short-term and long-term investments to minimize such risks.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The City uses the segmented time distribution method of disclosure for its interest rate risk. As of June 30, 2016, the City had the following investments and original maturities (in thousands):

Pooled Investments

				Maturity	
		Interest	12 Months		
Investment Type	Fair Value	Rates (%)	or Less	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$ 142,529	0.22 - 1.24	\$ 56,358	\$ 83,169	\$ 3,002
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	377,854	0.17 - 0.40	377,854	-	-
Medium Term Notes	7,090	0.85 - 1.42	-	7,090	-
Money Market Mutual Funds	137,110	0.43 - 0.48	137,110	-	-
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	50,031	0.58	50,031	-	-
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	15,003	0.28 - 0.58	15,003	-	-
State of California, General Obligation Bonds	3,307	0.72	-	3,307	-
State of California, Revenue Bonds	740	0.66		740	
Total pooled investments	\$ 733,664		\$ 636,356	\$ 94,306	\$ 3,002

Restricted Investments

							Matı	ırity			
			Interest	12	Months					5 Y	ears or
Investment Type	Fai	ir Value	Rates (%)	0	r Less	1 - 3 \	Years	3 - 5	Years		More
U.S. Government Agency Securities	\$	7,002	0.41 - 0.55	\$	7,002	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)		26,982	0.17 - 0.38		26,982		-				-
Money Market Mutual Funds		77,826	0.00 - 0.43		77,826		-		-		-
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit		2,000	0.27		2,000		-		-		-
Commercial Paper (Discount)		366	0.49		366		-		-		-
Annuity Contracts		68,000	2.50		-		-		-		68,000
Total restricted investments	\$	182,176		\$	114,176	\$	-	\$		\$	68,000

Other Disclosures: As of June 30, 2016, the City's investment in LAIF is \$50.0 million. A total amount invested by all public agencies in LAIF at that date is approximately \$22.7 billion. LAIF is part of the Pooled Money Investment Account (PMIA) with a total portfolio of approximately \$75.5 billion, 97.19% is invested in non-derivative financial products and 2.81% in structured notes and asset-backed securities. The Local Investment Advisory Board (Advisory Board) has oversight responsibility for LAIF. The Advisory Board consists of five members as designated by State Statute. The value of the pool shares in LAIF, which may be withdrawn, is determined on an amortized cost basis that is different than the fair value of the City's position in the pool.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS)

Deposits in the City's Investment Pool

As of June 30, 2016, cash and cash deposits consisted of cash in treasury held in the City's cash and investment pool as well as cash deposits held in bank and with a custodian. These funds are invested according to the investment policy adopted by the City Council. As of June 30, 2016, PFRS' share of the City's investment pool totaled \$2.5 million. As of June 30, 2016, PFRS also had cash and cash deposits not held in the City's investment pool totaled \$7.1 thousand.

Investments

PFRS' investment policy authorizes investment in U.S. equities, international equities, U.S. fixed income securities, instruments including U.S. Treasury notes and bonds, government agency mortgage backed securities, U.S. corporate notes and bonds, collateralized mortgage obligations, Yankee bonds and non-U.S. issued fixed income securities denominated in foreign currencies. PFRS' investment portfolio is managed by external investment managers, except for the bond iShares, which are managed internally. During the year ended June 30, 2016, the number of external investment managers was eleven.

The PFRS investments are also restricted by the City Charter. In November 2006, City voters passed Measure M to amend the City Charter to allow the PFRS Board to invest in non-dividend paying stocks and to change the asset allocation structure from 50% equities and 50% fixed income to the Prudent Person Standard as defined by the California Constitution.

PFRS' investment policy limits fixed income investments to a maximum average duration of 10 years and a maximum remaining term to maturity (single issue) at purchase of 30 years, with targeted portfolio duration of between 3 to 8 years and targeted portfolio maturity of 15 years. PFRS' investment policy with respect to fixed income investments identifies two standards for credit quality. The policy allows the fixed income managers to invest in securities with a minimum rating of B- or higher as long as the portfolio maintains an average credit quality of BBB (investment grade using Standard & Poor's, Moody's, or Fitch ratings).

PFRS' investment policy states that investments in derivative securities known as Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs) shall be limited to a maximum of 20% of a broker account's fair value with no more than 5% in any one issue. CMOs are mortgage-backed securities that create separate pools of pass-through rates for different classes of bondholders with varying maturities. The fair value of CMOs are considered sensitive to interest rate changes because they have embedded options.

The investment policy allows for each fixed income asset manager to have a maximum of 10% of any single security investment in their individual portfolios with the exception of U.S. government securities, which is allowed to have a maximum of 25% in each manager's portfolio.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The following was the Board's adopted investment policy as of June 30, 2016:

	Target
Asset Class	Allocation
Fixed income	20%
Real return	10%
Covered calls	10%
Domestic equity	43%
International equity	12%
Private equity	5%
Total	100%

Hierarchy of Inputs: The PFRS has the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

	Le	vel One	Le	vel Two	Level	Three	Total
Investments by fair value level:							
Short-term investments	\$	-	\$	1,676	\$	-	\$ 1,676
Bonds		-		55,706		170	55,876
Domestic equities and mutual funds		117,502		-		-	117,502
International equities and mutual funds		27,991		343		161	28,495
Alternative investments		73,592				_	73,592
Total investments by fair value level	\$	219,085	\$	57,725	\$	331	 277,141
Investments measured at net asset value (NAV):							
Short-term investments							5,221
Fixed income funds							7,911
Domestic equities and mutual funds							56,611
International equities and mutual funds							11,727
Securities lending collateral							 45,042
Total investments measured at NAV							 126,512
Total investments measured at fair value							\$ 403,653

Interest Rate Risk: PFRS' investment policy limits fixed income investments to a maximum average duration of 10 years and a maximum remaining term to maturity (single issue) at purchase of 30 years, with targeted portfolio duration of between 3 to 8 years and targeted portfolio maturity of 15 years. The weighted average duration for PFRS' fixed income investment portfolio excluding fixed short-term investments and securities lending investments was 5.39 years as of June 30, 2016.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

As of June 30, 2016, PFRS had the following fixed income investments by category (in thousands):

			Modified Duration
Investment Type	Fa	ir Value	(Years)
Short-Term Investment Funds	\$	6,897	n/a
Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts, net		29	n/a
Total short-term investment duration	\$	6,926	
Fixed income investments:			
Government bonds:			
U.S. Treasuries	\$	14,908	8.38
U.S. Government Agency Securities		17,403	4.19
Total government bonds		32,311	
U.S. corporate and other bonds			
Corporate bonds		30,989	4.64
Other government bonds		487	4.79
Total U.S. corporate and other bonds		31,476	
Total long-term investment duration	\$	63,787	5.39
Securities Lending	\$	45,042	-

Fair Value Highly Sensitive to Change in Interest Rates: The terms of a debt investment may cause its fair value to be highly sensitive to interest rate changes. PFRS has invested in collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), which are mortgage-backed bonds that pay pass-through rates with varying maturities. The fair values of CMOs are considered sensitive to interest rate changes because they have embedded options, which are triggers related to quantities of delinquencies or defaults in the loans backing the mortgage pool. If a balance of delinquent loans reaches a certain threshold, interest and principal that would be used to pay junior bondholders is instead directed to pay off the principal balance of senior bondholders and shortening the life of the senior bonds. The following table shows PFRS' investments in CMOs as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

		Weighted			Percent of
	Weighted	Average			Total
	Average	Maturity			Investment
Securities Name	Coupon Rate	(Years)	Fa	ir Value	Fair Value
Mortgage-backed securities	3.37%	22.3	\$	20,455	4.07%

Custodial Credit Risk: The City, on behalf of PFRS, does not have any funds or deposits that are not covered by depository insurance, which are either uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent, but not in the City's name. PFRS does not have any investments that are not registered in the name of PFRS and are either held by the counterparty or the counterparty's trust department or agent, but not in PFRS' name.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Credit Risk: This is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligation. The following tables provide information as of June 30, 2016 concerning credit risk of fixed income securities (in thousands):

	S &P/ Moody's		
Investment Type	Rating	Fai	ir Value
Short-Term Investment Funds	Not Rated	\$	6,897
Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts, net	Not Rated		29

The following tables provide information as of June 30, 2016 concerning the credit risk of fixed income investments by long-term investment rating (in thousands):

S & P/Moody's Rating	Fa	ir Value	Percent of Total Fair Value
AAA/Aaa	\$	37,457	58.7%
AA /Aa		2,540	4.0%
A/A		6,172	9.7%
BBB/Baa		9,313	14.6%
BB/Ba		241	0.4%
CCC/Caa		7,911	12.4%
Not rated		153	0.2%
Total fixed income investments		63,787	100.0%

As of June 30, 2016, the securities lending collateral of \$45.0 million was not rated.

Concentration of Credit Risk: This is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. As of June 30, 2016, the PFRS did not hold investments in any single issuer that exceeded 5% of PFRS' fiduciary net position.

Rate of return: For the year ended June 30, 2016, the annual money-weighted rate of return on pension plan investments, net of pension plan investment expense, was -0.75%. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested.

Foreign Currency Risk: Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in foreign exchange rates will adversely affect the fair values of an investment or deposit. Currency hedging is allowed under the PFRS investment policy for defensive purposes only. The investment policy limits currency hedging to a maximum of 25% of the portfolio value.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The following summarizes PFRS' investments denominated in foreign currencies as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Foreign Currency	
Australian Dollar	\$ 321
Brazilian Real	220
British Pound	4,349
Canadian Dollar	595
Danish Krone	698
Euro	7,801
Hong Kong Dollar	2,983
Indonesian Rupiah	390
Japanese Yen	2,995
Mexican Peso	615
Norwegian Krone	196
Singapore Dollar	219
Swedish Krona	295
Swiss Franc	2,187
Turkish Lira	 103
Total foreign currency	\$ 23,967

Securities Lending Transactions: PFRS is authorized to enter into securities lending transactions which are short-term collateralized loans of PFRS securities to brokers-dealers with a simultaneous agreement allowing PFRS to invest and receive earnings on the loan collateral for a loan rebate fee. All securities loans can be terminated on demand by either PFRS or the borrower, although the average term of such loans is one week.

The Bank of New York Mellon administers PFRS's securities lending program. The administrator is responsible for maintaining an adequate level of collateral in an amount equal to at least 102% of the market value of loaned U.S. government securities, common stock and other equity securities, bonds, debentures, corporate debt securities, notes, and mortgages or other obligations. Collateral received may include cash, letters of credit, or securities. The term to maturity of the loaned securities is generally not matched with the term to maturity of the investment of said collateral. If securities collateral is received, PFRS cannot pledge or sell the collateral securities unless the borrower defaults.

As of June 30, 2016, management believes that PFRS has minimized its credit risk exposure to borrowers because the amounts held by PFRS as collateral exceeded the securities loaned by PFRS. PFRS' contract with The Bank of New York Mellon requires it to indemnify PFRS if the borrowers fail to return the securities (and if the collateral is inadequate to replace the securities borrowed) or fail to pay PFRS for income distributions by the securities' issuers while the securities are on loan.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The following table summarizes investments in securities lending transactions and collateral received as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Securities Lending

Investments and Collateral Receive	ed (At Fair Val	ue)
Securities on loan:		
U.S. government and agencies	\$	6,520
U.S. corporate bonds		2,015
U.S. equity		35,779
Non-U.S. fixed income		133
Non-U.S. equity		81
Total securities on loan	\$	44,528
Collateral received:		
Repurchase agreements	\$	45,042

Derivative Instruments: PFRS reports its derivative instruments under the provisions of GASB Statement No. 53, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments*. Pursuant to the requirements of this statement, PFRS has provided a summary of derivative instrument activities during the reporting periods presented and the related risks.

As of June 30, 2016, the derivative instruments held by PFRS are considered investments and not hedges for accounting purposes. All investment derivatives are reported as investments at fair value in the statement of fiduciary net position. The gains and losses arising from this activity are recognized as incurred in the statement of changes in fiduciary net position. All investment derivatives discussed below are included within the investment risk schedules, which precede this subsection. Investment derivative instruments are disclosed separately to provide a comprehensive and distinct view of this activity and its impact on the overall investment portfolio.

The fair value of the exchange traded derivative instruments, such as futures, options, rights, and warrants are based on quoted market prices. The fair values of forward foreign currency contracts are determined using a pricing service, which uses published foreign exchange rates as the primary source. The fair values of swaps are determined by PFRS's investment managers based on quoted market prices of the underlying investment instruments.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The tables below present the notional amounts, the fair values, and the related net appreciation (depreciation) in the fair value of derivative instruments that were outstanding at June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Derivative Type/Contract	 otional nount	Fair ^J alue	Appro (Depro	Net eciation eciation) ir Value
Forwards			'	
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$ -	\$ 29	\$	29
Options				
Equity contracts	-	(580)		121
Swaps				
Credit contracts	 2,720	26		8
Total	\$ 2,720	\$ (525)	\$	158

Counterparty Credit Risk – PFRS is exposed to credit risk on non-exchange traded derivative instruments that are in asset positions. As of June 30, 2016, the fair value of forward currency contracts to purchase and sell international currencies were \$29.4 thousand and \$0.1 thousand, respectively. PFRS's counterparties to these contract held credit ratings of A, as assigned by one or more of the major credit rating organizations (S&P, Moody's and/or Fitch).

Custodial Credit Risk - The custodial credit risk disclosure for exchange traded derivative instruments is made in accordance with the custodial credit risk disclosure requirements of GASB Statement No. 40. At June 30, 2016, all of PFRS's investments in derivative instruments are held in PFRS's name and are not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Interest Rate Risk - The tables below describe the maturity periods of the derivative instruments exposed to interest rate risk at June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

		Matu	rities	
Derivative Type/Contract	Fair ⁄alue	s than Year	1 - 5	years
Forwards	 <u>uruc</u>	 		jeurs
Foreign currency exchange contracts	\$ 29	\$ 29	\$	-
Options				
Equity contracts	(580)	(580)		-
Swaps				
Credit contracts	26	-		26
Total	\$ (525)	\$ (551)	\$	26

Foreign Currency Risk - At June 30, 2016, PFRS is exposed to foreign currency risk on its investments in forwards denominated in foreign currencies as follows (in thousands):

Currency	
Australian Dollar	\$ 38

Contingent Features - At June 30, 2016, PFRS held no positions in derivatives containing contingent features.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency

The ORSA's cash and investment consists of the following at June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Cash and Investments	and Investments Amou	
Unrestricted cash and investments:		
Demand deposits	\$	5,508
Investments		50,689
Total unrestricted cash and investments		56,197
Restricted cash and investments		21,845
Total cash and investments	\$	78,042

Investments: ORSA follows the Investment Policy of the City, which is governed by provisions of the California Government Code 53600 and the City's Municipal Code. ORSA also has investments subject to provisions of the bond indentures of the Former Agency's various bond issues. According to the Investment Policy and bond indentures, ORSA is permitted to invest in LAIF, obligations of the U.S. Treasury or U.S. government agencies, time deposits, money market mutual funds invested in U.S. government securities, along with various other permitted investments.

Hierarchy: The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure fair value of the assets. At June 30, 2015, the ORSA does not have any of its investments using Level 1 and 3 inputs. The ORSA has the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

	Significant other observable inputs (Lewel 2)		Investments measured at the net asset value (NAV)	
Unrestricted investments:				
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	\$	41,989	\$	-
Money Market Mutual Funds		-		8,700
Restricted investments:				
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)		3,498		
Money Market Mutual Funds		-		18,347
Total	\$	45,487	\$	27,047

Custodial Credit Risk: As of June 30, 2016, the carrying amount of the ORSA's deposits was \$5.5 million. The deposits are insured by the FDIC insurance coverage limit of \$0.25 million, and the remaining bank balance of \$5.25 million is collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institutions as required by Section 53652 of the California Government Code.

ORSA invests in individual investments. Individual investments are evidenced by specific identifiable securities instruments, or by an electronic entry registering the owner in the records of the institution issuing the security, called the book entry system. In order to increase security, the ORSA employs the trust department of a bank or trustee as the custodian of certain ORSA investments, regardless of their form.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Interest Rate Risk: ORSA Investment Policy has mitigated interest rate risk by establishing policies over liquidity.

Credit Risk: ORSA's Investment Policy has mitigated credit risk by limiting investments to the safest types of securities, by prequalifying financial institutions, by diversifying the portfolio and by establishing monitoring procedures.

As of June 30, 2016, ORSA had the following investments, credit risk ratings, and maturities (in thousands):

	Current Yield	Credit Ratings	Maturities Less than 1
Type of Investment	(%)	(S&P)	Year
Unrestricted investments:			
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	0.17-0.25	AA	\$ 41,989
Money Market Mutual Funds	0.10-0.11	AAA	8,700
Total unrestricted investments			\$ 50,689
Restricted investments:			
U.S. Government Agency Securities (Discount)	0.33	AA	\$ 3,498
Money Market Mutual Funds	0.28	AAA	18,347
Total restricted investments			\$ 21,845

Concentration of Credit Risk: The following table show's ORSA's investments in one issuer that exceed 5% of ORSA's investment portfolio at June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Type of Investment/Issuer	A	mount	% of ORSA's Unrestricted Portfolio
U.S. Government Agency Securities			
Federal Home Loan Bank	\$	35,991	71.0%
Freddie Mac		5,998	11.8%
			% of ORSA's Restricted
Type of Investment/Issuer	A	mount	Portfolio
U.S. Government Agency Securities			
Federal Home Loan Bank	\$	3,498	16.0%

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Discretely Presented Component Unit - Port of Oakland

The Port's cash, cash equivalents, investments and deposits in escrow consisted of the following at June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Deposits in escrow	\$ 2,685
City investment pool	308,336
U.S. Treasury Notes	57,719
Government securities money market mutual funds	 493
Total cash and investments	\$ 369,233

Deposits in escrow consist of amounts received from construction contractors that are deposited into an escrow account in-lieu of retention withheld from construction progress billings. Interest on these deposits accrues to the contractor.

Investments Senior Lien Bonds reserves are on deposit with the Senior Lien Bonds trustee. The investment of funds held by the Senior Lien Bonds trustee is governed by the Senior Trust Indenture and is currently invested in either 1) U.S. Treasury Notes or 2) Government Securities Money Market Mutual Funds. There were no investments pertaining to the Intermediate Lien Debt.

Under the City of Oakland Charter, all cash receipts from the operations of the Port are deposited in the City Investment Pool. These funds are managed and invested by the City, pursuant to the City's Investment Policy, that the City administers and reviews annually. For this reason, the Port does not maintain its own investment policy and relies on the City Investment Policy to mitigate the risks described below.

At June 30, 2016 the Port had the following investments (in thousands):

					Maturity		ırity	
	Fa	ir Value	Fair Value Hierarchy	Credit Ratings per Moody's	Less than 1 Year		1 - 5	years
U.S. Treasury Notes	\$	57,719	Level 1	Aaa	\$	57,719	\$	-
Government Securities Money Market Mutual Funds		493	Exempt	Aaa		493		-
City investment pool		308,336	Exempt	Not Rated		308,336		-
Total investments	\$	366,548			\$	366,548	\$	

Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements: The following are the types of investments generally allowed under the Senior Trust Indenture and the Intermediate Trust Indenture dated as of October 1, 2007 (Intermediate Trust Indenture, together with the Senior Trust Indenture, are referred to as the Trust Indentures): U.S. Government Securities, U.S. Agency Obligations, obligations of any State in the U.S., prime commercial paper, FDIC insured deposits, certificates of deposit/banker's acceptances, money market mutual funds, long or medium-term corporate debt, repurchase agreements, statesponsored investment pools, investment contracts, and forward delivery agreements.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Interest Rate Risk: Pursuant to the City Charter, all cash receipts from Port operations are deposited in the City Investment Pool. For this reason, the Port does not have a formal policy to manage interest risk. In order to manage interest rate risk:

- Proceeds from bonds are invested in permitted investments, as stated in the Trust Indentures, with short-term maturities.
- The deposits held by the City Treasury pursuant to the City's Investment Policy and Section 53601 of the State of California Government Code, limits the maximum maturities of certain investments. Also, Section 53601 limits the maximum maturity of any investment to be no longer than 5 years unless authority for such investment is expressly granted in advance by the City Council or authorized by bond covenants.

Credit Risk: Pursuant to the City Charter, all cash receipts from Port operations are deposited in the City Investment Pool. For this reason, the Port does not have a formal policy to manage risk. In order to manage credit risk:

- Provisions of the Trust Indentures prescribe restrictions on the types of permitted investments
 of the monies held by the trustee in the funds and accounts created under the Trust Indentures,
 including agreements or financial institutions that must meet certain ratings, such as certain
 investments that must be rated in either of the two highest ratings by S&P and Moody's.
- Concentration of Credit Risk: The Trust Indentures place no limit on the amount the Port may invest in any one issuer

Custodial Credit Risk: Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution or counterparty to a transaction, the Port will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in possession of another party. To protect against custodial credit risk all securities owned by the Port under the terms of the Trust Indentures are held in the name of the Port for safekeeping by a third party bank trust department, acting as an agent for the Port. The Port had investments held by a third party bank trust department in the amount of \$58.2 million at June 30, 2016.

The carrying amount of the Port's deposits in escrow was \$2.7 million at June 30, 2016. Of this amount, bank balances and escrow deposits of \$250,000 on June 30, 2016 are insured or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department in the Port's name and the remaining balance was exposed to custodial credit risk by not being insured or collateralized.

B. INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

"Due to" and "due from" balances have primarily been recorded when funds overdraw their share of pooled cash and interfund loans. The amounts due from the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency are related to advances and interfund loans made by the City for projects, loans, and services. The receivable amounts of ORSA relate to project advances made by ORSA for the City. The internal service funds' borrowing will be repaid over a reasonable period of time as described in Note (III) D.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Primary Governments

1. Due from/Due to other funds

The composition of interfund balances as of June 30, 2016, with explanations of transactions, is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Receivables Payable Fund				
General Fund	Federal/State Grant Funds	\$	17,491	
	Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund		3	
	Other Governmental Funds		1,551	
	Parks and Recreation Enterprise Fund		117	
	Internal Service Funds		15,586	
	Total	\$	34,748	

In addition, the General Fund has \$37,000 as of June 30, 2016 due from the Private Pension Trust Fund to cover its overdraft position.

2. Interfund Transfers:

Transfer Out	Transfer In	Amount		
General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	\$	79,314	(1)
	Federal/State Grant Fund		5,896	(2)
	Other Special Revenue Fund		15,083	(3)
	Internal Service Funds		550	
Municipal Capital Improvement Fund	General Fund		3,528	(4)
	Other Governmental Funds		312	
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund		2,433	(5)
Sewer Service Fund	General Fund		2,144	(6)
Internal Service Funds	General Fund		548	
	Total	\$	109,808	

Significant transfers for the year ended June 30, 2016 include the following:

⁽¹⁾ Transfers of \$78.0 million, \$1.1 million, and \$0.01 million for debt service payments, to provide funds for park prioritization study and Lake Merritt maintenance, and to cover the Central Service Overhead cost for Paratransit funds, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Transfers to provide funds to cover the Central Service Overhead cost for certain federal funds.

⁽³⁾ Transfers for the Kids' First Children's Program.

⁽⁴⁾ Transfers of parking garage revenues to cover operating costs.

⁽⁵⁾ Transfers to provide additional funds to cover the City's self-insurance programs.

⁽⁶⁾ Transfers for the City's claims and liability payments.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

3. Due from the City

As of June 30, 2016, ORSA has a total due from the City in the amount of \$2.3 million, which has no change compared to the \$2.3 million at June 30, 2015. The ending balance is composed of the Former Agency's assets transferred to the Housing Successor, which include the Former Agency's Central District Project Area Fund loan receivable from the City in the amount of \$1.5 million, land sale receivable of \$0.3 as well as the Former Agency's Coliseum Project Area Fund loan receivable from the City in the amount of \$0.5 million.

4. Due to the City

At June 30, 2016, ORSA has a payable to the City in the amount of \$6.0 million, which included the Former Agency's Low and Moderate Housing Fund loan of \$1.5 million to the Central City East Project Funds where the Low and Moderate Housing Funds Assets were transferred to the Housing Successor, a loan of \$2.7 million from the Capital Project Fund to the West Oakland Project for public improvements, and a payable of \$1.8 million to the City for support services.

Component Unit- Port of Oakland (Port)

The City has entered into agreements with the Port for various services such as aircraft rescue and firefighting ("ARFF"), Special Services, General Services, and Lake Merritt Trust Services. The City provides these services to the Port.

Special Services include designated police services, personnel, City clerk, legislative programming, and treasury services. General Services includes fire, rescue, police, street maintenance, and similar services. Lake Merritt Trust Services includes items such as recreation services, grounds maintenance, security, and lighting.

Payments to the City for these services are made upon execution of appropriate agreements and/or periodic findings and authorizations from the Board.

1. Special Services and Aircraft Rescue & Fire Fighters (ARFF)

Payments for special services and ARFF are treated as a cost of Port operations pursuant to the City Charter Section 717(3) Third Clause and have priority over certain other expenses of Port revenues. Special services and ARFF totaled \$7.1 million and are included in operating expenses. At June 30, 2016, \$6.8 million was accrued as a current liability by the Port and as a receivable by the City.

2. General Services and Lake Merritt Trust Services

Payments for General Services provided by the City are payable only to the extent the Port determines annually that surplus monies are available under the Charter for such purposes. As of June 30, 2016, the Port accrued approximately \$676 thousand of payments for General Services as a current liability and by the City as a receivable. Additionally, subject to certain conditions, the Port accrued approximately \$1.6 million to reimburse the City for General Services for net City expenditures for Lake Merritt Tideland Trust properties in fiscal year 2016. Subject to availability of surplus monies, the Port expects that it will continue to reimburse the City annually for General Services and Lake Merritt Tideland Trust services.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

C. NOTES AND LOANS RECEIVABLE, NET OF ALLOWANCE

Primary Government

The composition of the City's notes and loans receivable for governmental activities, net of the allowance for uncollectible accounts, as of June 30, 2016, is as follows (in thousands):

Type of Loan	eneral Fund	_	Federal/ nte Grant Fund	1	LMIHF ¹	In	inicipal Capital iprove- ment	1	Other Govern- mental Funds	Total
HUD loans	\$ -	\$	72,898	\$	296,231	\$	1,600	\$	-	\$ 370,729
Economic development loans and other	2,938		94,963		-		-		33,583	131,484
Less: allowance for uncollectable accounts	(36)		(34,990)		(102,619)				(3,178)	 (140,823)
Total notes and loans receivables, net	\$ 2,902	\$	132,871	\$	193,612	\$	1,600	\$	30,405	\$ 361,390

¹ Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund

As of June 30, 2016, the City has a total of \$361.4 million net notes and loans receivable, which is not expected to be received in the next twelve months. All of the City's notes and loans receivables are offset with deferred inflows of resources in the governmental funds as the collection of those notes and loans did not occur within the City's availability period.

Prior to effective date of the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, California Community Redevelopment Law required that at least 20% of the incremental tax revenues generated from certain redevelopment project areas be used to increase, improve, and preserve the affordable housing stock for families and individuals with very low, low, and moderate incomes. In response to this former requirement, the City established its 20% Housing Program and an additional 5% of the former tax increment to offer financial assistance to qualified developers, families, and individuals by providing loans at "below market" rates. Upon dissolution of the Former Agency, the City assumed the housing activity function of the Former Agency. All loans receivable relating to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Program have been transferred from the Former Agency to the LMIHF, which was established as of February 1, 2012 pursuant to City Council Resolution No. 83680 C.M.S. As of June 30, 2016, loans receivable relating to the LMIHF program totaled approximately \$193.6 million, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)

Composition of loans receivable as of June 30, 2016 is as follows (in thousands):

Type of Loan	A	mount
Housing developments project	\$	1,576
Economic development		62,076
Gross notes and loans receivable		63,652
Less: allowance for uncollectible		(46,675)
Total notes and loans receivables, net	\$	16,977

D. CAPITAL ASSETS AND LEASES

Primary Government

1. Summary Schedule

The following is a summary of governmental activities capital assets activity for the year ended June 30, 2016:

	Balance								Balance
	June 30, 2015	Addition	ns	Del	etions	Transfers		J	une 30, 2016
Governmental activities:					-		•		
Capital assets, not being depreciated:									
Land	\$ 87,060	\$	-	\$	-	\$	16	\$	87,076
Intangibles (easements)	2,607		-		-		-		2,607
Museum collections	793		-		-		-		793
Construction in progress	287,443	118,5	596				(42,860)		363,179
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	377,903	118,5	596				(42,844)		453,655
Capital assets, being depreciated:									
Facilities and improvements	821,739		-		216		7,142		828,665
Furniture, machinery, and equipment	214,545	7,3	366		4,124		7,145		224,932
Infrastructure	770,707		-				28,557		799,264
Total capital assets, being depreciated	1,806,991	7,3	366		4,340		42,844		1,852,861
Less accumulated depreciation:									
Facilities and improvements	399,004	24,5	599		216		-		423,387
Furniture, machinery, and equipment	171,024	13,4	401		4,076		-		180,349
Infrastructure	303,927	26,0)55		_		_		329,982
Total accumulated depreciation	873,955	64,0)55		4,292		_		933,718
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	933,036	(56,0	589)		48		42,844		919,143
Governmental Activities - capital assets, net	\$ 1,310,939	\$ 61,9	907	\$	48	\$		\$	1,372,798

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The following is a summary of business-type activities capital assets activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016:

	Balance June 30, Additions		Tr	ansfers	Balance June 30,		
Business-Type Activities:	_				,		
Sewer Service Fund: Capital assets, not being depreciated:							
Land	\$	4	\$ _	\$	_	\$	4
Construction in progress		32,311	 18,331		(9,699)		40,943
Total capital assets, not being depreciated		32,315	 18,331		(9,699)		40,947
Capital assets, being depreciated:							
Facilities and improvements		311	-		-		311
Furniture, machinery and equipment		5,353	1,823		-		7,176
Sewer and storm drains		265,886	 -		9,699		275,585
Total capital assets, being depreciated		271,550	 1,823		9,699		283,072
Less accumulated depreciation:							
Facilities and improvements		259	21		-		280
Furniture, machinery and equipment		3,074	1,114		-		4,188
Sewer and storm drains		106,120	 4,572		-		110,692
Total accumulated depreciation		109,453	5,707				115,160
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net		162,097	(3,884)		9,699		167,912
Sewer Service Fund, capital assets, net	\$	194,412	\$ 14,447	\$	-	\$	208,859
Parks and Recreation Fund:							
Capital assets, not being depreciated:							
Land	\$	361	\$ -	\$	-	\$	361
Construction in progress		-	545		_		545
Total capital assets, not being depreciated		361	 545				906
Capital assets, being depreciated:							
Facilities and improvements		4,433	-		-		4,433
Furniture, machinery and equipment		459	9		-		468
Infrastructure		85	 -		_		85
Total capital assets, being depreciated		4,977	 9				4,986
Less accumulated depreciation:							
Facilities and improvements		2,647	280		-		2,927
Furniture, machinery and equipment		398	24		-		422
Infrastructure		42	 6				48
Total accumulated depreciation		3,087	 310				3,397
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net		1,890	 (301)				1,589
Parks and Recreation Fund, capital assets, net	\$	2,251	\$ 244	\$	-	\$	2,495
Business-Type Activities - capital assets, net	\$	196,663	\$ 14,691	\$	-	\$	211,354

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

2. Depreciation

Depreciation expense was charged to various governmental and business-type activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 is as follows:

Governmental Activities:	
General Government	\$ 5,953
Public Safety	4,421
Community Services:	
Parks & Recreation	5,201
Library	2,435
Human Services	573
Community and Economic Development:	
Planning & Building	2,532
Economic & Workforce Development	6,669
Housing & Community Development	6
Public Works	28,873
Capital assets held by internal service funds that are charged to	
various functions based on their usage of the assets	7,392
Total	\$ 64,055
Business-Type Activities:	
Sewer	\$ 5,707
Parks and Recreation	310
Total	\$ 6,017

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Component Unit - Port of Oakland

1. Summary Schedule

A summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2016, is as follows (in thousands):

	Balance June 30, 2015 Additions Deletions		Transfers	Balance June 30, 2016	
Capital assets, not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 523,339	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35	\$ 523,374
Intangibles (noise easements					
and air rights)	25,852	-	-	-	25,852
Construction in progress	167,751	90,264	(698)	(75,410)	181,907
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	716,942	90,264	(698)	(75,375)	731,133
Capital assets, being depreciated:					
Building and improvements	852,565	-	(629)	118	852,054
Container cranes	148,697	-	-	-	148,697
Systems and structures	1,871,579	-	(44)	72,416	1,943,951
Intangibles (software)	13,676	-	-	62	13,738
Other equipment	93,464	2,031	(379)	2,779	97,895
Total capital assets, being depreciated	2,979,981	2,031	(1,052)	75,375	3,056,335
Less accumulated depreciation:					
Building and improvements	548,285	23,147	53	-	571,379
Container cranes	93,997	4,823	-	-	98,820
Systems and structures	775,369	68,868	(53)	-	844,290
Intangibles (software)	5,470	1,367	-	-	6,837
Other equipment	61,391	5,870	139		67,122
Total accumulated depreciation	1,484,512	104,075	139		1,588,448
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	1,495,469	102,044	(913)	75,375	1,467,887
Port - capital assets, net	\$ 2,212,411	\$ (11,780)	\$ (1,611)	\$ -	\$ 2,199,020

2. Capital Assets Under Operating Leases as Lessor

The capital assets leased to others at June 30, 2016, consist of the following (in thousands):

Land	\$ 330,158
Container cranes	148,697
Buildings and improvements	188,134
Infrastructure	988,456
	1,655,445
Less accumulated depreciation	 (678,561)
Net capital assets, on lease	\$ 976,884

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

3. Operating Leases as Lessor

A major portion of the Port's capital assets are held for lease. Leased assets include maritime facilities, aviation facilities, office and commercial space, and land. The majority of the Port's leases are classified as operating leases.

Certain maritime facilities are leased under agreements, which provide the tenants with preferential, but nonexclusive, use of the facilities. Certain leases provide for rentals based on gross revenues of the leased premises or, in the case of marine terminal facilities, on annual usage of the facilities. The leases generally provide for minimum rentals with percentage rent contingent on business sales or activity. Certain maritime facilities are leased under agreements that provide the tenants with preferential, but nonexclusive, use of the facilities.

A summary of revenues from long-term leases for the year ended June 30, 2016, is as follows (in thousands):

Total	\$ 181,813
Contingent rentals in excess of minimums	15,054
Minimum non-cancelable rentals, including preferential assignments	\$ 166,759

The Port and Outer Harbor Terminal, LLC (formerly Ports America Outer Harbor Terminal, LLC), a private company, entered into a long-term concession and lease agreement on January 1, 2010 for the operation of berths 20-24 for 50 years. A \$60.0 million upfront fee was paid to the Port in fiscal year 2010, which is being amortized over the life of the lease. In February 2016, Outer Harbor Terminal, LLC filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection and subsequently announced their intent to cease operations at the Port. The Port terminated its long-term concession and lease agreement with Outer Harbor Terminal, LLC at the end of March 2016. These events resulted in the immediate recognition of the unamortized balance of the upfront fee, approximately \$47.0 million.

Minimum future rental revenues for years ending June 30 under non-cancelable operating leases having an initial term in excess of one year are as follows (in thousands):

Year	Rent	Rental Revenues		
2017	\$	140,312		
2018		114,258		
2019		86,820		
2020		85,470		
2021		85,806		
2022 - 2026		195,026		
2027 - 2031		114,463		
2032 - 2036		98,283		
2037 - 2041		28,864		
2042 - 2046		13,174		
2047 - 2051		10,558		
Thereafter		34,760		
Total	\$	1,007,794		

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The Port turned over the operation of its Marina to a private company through a long-term financing lease and operating agreement on May 1, 2004. Minimum future lease payments to be received, which is a component of unearned revenue, for years ending June 30 are as follows (in thousands):

Year	Renta	l Revenues
2017	\$	426
2018		438
2019		452
2020		465
2021		479
2022 - 2026		2,620
2027 - 2031		3,037
2032 - 2036		3,521
2037 - 2041		4,082
2042 - 2046		4,732
2047 - 2051		5,486
Thereafter	- <u></u>	3,485
Total	\$	29,223

E. PROPERTY HELD FOR RESALE

Primary Government

On August 21, 2013, the State Controller's Office issued the asset transfer review pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 34167.5 and reversed the March 3, 2011 agreement entered between the City and the Former Agency for the purchase and sale agreement of various Former Agency properties to the City. As a result, during the year ended June 30, 2015, the ORSA Trust Fund transferred property held for resale totaling \$85.7 million to the City. At June 30, 2016, the City has a total of \$162.7 million of property held for resale.

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)

As of June 30, 2016, ORSA has a total \$2.8 million for properties booked at the lower of cost or estimated conveyance value. On May 29, 2014, pursuant to HSC Section 34191.4, the California Department of Finance approved the ORSA's Long-Range Property Management Plan addressing the disposition and use of Former Agency properties and authorizing the disposition of properties pursuant to the plan.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

F. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities as of June 30, 2016, for the City are as follows (in thousands):

Primary Government – Governmental Activities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities for the governmental activities at June 30, 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

	Ac	ecounts	P	ccrued ayroll/ nployee	
	P	ayable	B	enefits	 Total
Governmental Activities:					
Governmental Funds:					
General Fund	\$	19,420	\$	94,509	\$ 113,929
Federal/State Grant Fund		10,916		-	10,916
Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund		1,015		-	1,015
Municipal Capital Improvement Fund		2,544		-	2,544
Other special revenue funds		5,866		-	5,866
Other governmental funds		5,188		-	5,188
Total governmental funds		44,949		94,509	139,458
Internal service funds		2,987		5	2,992
Total governmental activities	\$	47,936	\$	94,514	\$ 142,450

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities for the pension trust fund at June 30, 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

Pension Trust Fund	
Accounts payable	\$ 42
Member benefits payable	4,834
Investments payable	3,109
Accrued investment management fees	335
Total pension trust fund	\$ 8,320

G. TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES PAYABLE

During the year ended June 30, 2016, the City issued tax and revenue anticipation notes in the amount of \$158.2 million in advance of property tax collections. The notes were used to satisfy General Fund obligations and carried an interest rate of 2.00% to yield at 0.29% at maturity for the Series A and an interest rate of 1.00% to yield at 0.42% at maturity for the Series B. Principal and interest was paid on June 30, 2016.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

H. LONG-TERM AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS

Primary Government

1. Summary Schedule of Long-Term Debt

The following is a summary of long-term obligations of the City as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Coverni	nental	Activities	

	Final Maturity	Remaining		
Type of Obligation	Year	Interest Rates	Amount	
Bonds payable:				
General obligation bonds	2039	3.50 - 5.00%	\$	201,830
Lease revenue bonds	2027	4.00 - 5.25%		91,110
Pension obligation bonds	2026	2.37 - 6.89%		313,223
Accreted interest on appreciation bonds	2023	n/a		159,476
City guaranteed special assessment district bonds	2039	3.00 - 6.70%		5,685
Unamortized premiums and discounts, net				24,054
Total bonds payable			\$	795,378
Notes payable and capital leases:				
Notes payable	2017	1.33 - 3.93%	\$	2,060
Capital leases	2025	1.17 - 5.46%		71,849
Total notees payable and capital leases			\$	73,909

Business-Type Activities

	Final Maturity	Remaining		
Type of Obligation	Year	Interest Rates	A	mount
Bonds payable:				
Sewer revenue bonds	2029	2.00 - 5.00%	\$	34,665
Unamortized bond premium				4,458
Total bonds payable			\$	39,123

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

2. Interest Rate Swap

Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds, 1998 Series A1/A2

Objective of the Interest Rate Swap: On January 9, 1997, the City entered into a forward-starting synthetic fixed rate swap agreement (the "Swap") with Goldman Sachs Mitsui Marine Derivatives Products, U.S., L.P. (the "Counterparty") in connection with the \$187.5 million Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority (the "Authority") Lease Revenue Bonds, 1998 Series A1/A2 (the "1998 Lease Revenue Bonds"). Under the swap agreement, which effectively changed the City's variable interest rate on the bonds to a synthetic fixed rate, the City would pay the Counterparty a fixed rate of 5.6775% through the end of the swap agreement in 2021 and receive a variable rate based on the Bond Market Association index. The City received an upfront payment from the Counterparty of \$15.0 million for entering into the Swap.

On March 21, 2003, the City amended the swap agreement to change the index on which the Swap is based from the Bond Market Association index to a rate equal to 65% of the 1-month London Interbank Offered Rates ("LIBOR"). This amendment resulted in an additional upfront payment from the Counterparty to the City of \$6.0 million.

On June 21, 2005, all of the outstanding 1998 Lease Revenue Bonds were defeased by the Oakland Joint Powers Financing Authority Refunding Revenue Bonds, 2005 Series A-1, A-2 and B ("Series 2005 A & B Bonds"). \$143.0 million was deposited with the trustee to defease the 1998 Lease Revenue Bonds. However, the Swap associated with the 1998 Lease Revenue Bonds still remains in effect. This is now a stand-alone swap with no association to any bond.

The amortization schedule is as follows as of June 30, 2016:

Calculation period (July 31)	Notional Amount	Fixed Rate To Counterparty	65% of LIBOR ¹	Net Rate
2016	\$ 39,300,000	5.6775%	0.3023%	5.3752%
2017	32,500,000	5.6775%	0.3023%	5.3752%
2018	25,800,000	5.6775%	0.3023%	5.3752%
2019	19,300,000	5.6775%	0.3023%	5.3752%
2020	12,800,000	5.6775%	0.3023%	5.3752%
2021	6,400,000	5.6775%	0.3023%	5.3752%

The 1-month LIBOR rate is 0.4657% as of June 30, 2016. Rates are projections, the LIBOR rate fluctuates daily.

Terms: The swap agreement terminates on July 31, 2021, and has a notional amount as of June 30, 2016 of \$39.3 million. The notional amount of the swap declines through 2021. Under the Swap, the City pays the Counterparty a fixed payment of 5.6775% and receives a variable payment computed at 65% of LIBOR rate (total rate not to exceed 12%). The City's payments to the Counterparty under the Swap agreement are insured by the third party bond insurer.

Fair Value: The fair value takes into consideration the prevailing interest rate environment and the specific terms and conditions of the Swap. The fair value was estimated using the zero-coupon method. This method calculates the future net settlement payments required by the Swap, assuming that the current forward rates implied by the yield curve correctly anticipate future spot interest rates. These payments are then discounted using the spot rates implied by the current yield curve for

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

hypothetical zero-coupon bonds due on the date of each future net settlement on the Swap. The fair value hierarchy of the interest rate swap is Level 2. Because interest rates have declined since the execution of the Swap, the Swap had a negative fair value of \$6.0 million as of June 30, 2016.

Credit Risk: The issuer and the counterparty take a credit risk to each other over the life of the swap agreement. This is the risk that either the issuer or the counterparty will fail to meet its contractual obligations under the swap agreement. The Counterparty was rated Aa2 by Moody's , and AA- by S& P as of June 30, 2016. To mitigate the potential for credit risk, if the Counterparty's credit quality falls below A3 by Moody's or A- by S&P, the Swap provides the Counterparty, the City, the bond insurer for the Bonds and a third party collateral agent to execute a collateral agreement within 30 days of such a downgrade.

Termination Risk: An interest rate swap has some degree of termination risk. Linked to counterparty risk, a termination of the swap will result in a payment being made or received by the City depending on the then prevailing interest rate environment. The City may terminate the Swap if the Counterparty fails to perform under the terms of the contract. The City also may terminate the Swap if the Counterparty fails to execute a collateral agreement satisfactory to the City and the bond insurer within 30 days of the counterparty's ratings falling below "A3" by Moody's or "A-" by S&P.

The Counterparty may terminate the Swap if the City fails to perform under the terms of the contract. The Counterparty also may terminate the Swap if the City's ratings fall below "Baa3" by Moody's or "BBB-" by S&P. If at the time of termination, the Swap has a negative fair value, the City would be liable to the Counterparty for a payment equal to the Swap's fair value.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

3. Summary of Changes in Long-term Obligations

Primary Government

The changes in long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

Suly 1, 2015 Additions Reductions June 30, 2016	\$ 11,675 19,775 16,369 26,774 350 1,604 76,547 2,060 15,462
Bonds payable: General obligation bonds (A) \$ 206,530 - \$ 4,700 \$ 201,830 Lease revenue bonds (B) 109,955 - 18,845 91,110 Pension obligation bonds (C) 330,433 - 17,210 313,223 Accreted interest on appreciation bonds (B) and (C) 165,290 18,874 24,688 159,476 City guaranteed special assessment district bonds (C) 6,020 - 335 5,685 Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability -	19,775 16,369 26,774 350 1,604 76,547
General obligation bonds (A) \$ 206,530 \$ - \$ 4,700 \$ 201,830 Lease revenue bonds (B) 109,955 - 18,845 91,110 Pension obligation bonds (C) 330,433 - 17,210 313,223 Accreted interest on appreciation bonds (B) and (C) 165,290 18,874 24,688 159,476 City guaranteed special assessment district bonds (C) 6,020 - 335 5,685 Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	19,775 16,369 26,774 350 1,604 76,547
Lease revenue bonds (B) 109,955 - 18,845 91,110 Pension obligation bonds (C) 330,433 - 17,210 313,223 Accreted interest on appreciation bonds (B) and (C) 165,290 18,874 24,688 159,476 City guaranteed special assessment district bonds (C) 6,020 - 335 5,685 Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation	19,775 16,369 26,774 350 1,604 76,547
Pension obligation bonds (C) 330,433 - 17,210 313,223 Accreted interest on appreciation bonds (B) and (C) 165,290 18,874 24,688 159,476 City guaranteed special assessment district bonds (C) 6,020 - 335 5,685 Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	16,369 26,774 350 1,604 76,547
Accreted interest on appreciation bonds (B) and (C) 165,290 18,874 24,688 159,476 City guaranteed special assessment district bonds (C) 6,020 - 335 5,685 Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	26,774 350 1,604 76,547 2,060
appreciation bonds (B) and (C) 165,290 18,874 24,688 159,476 City guaranteed special assessment district bonds (C) 6,020 - 335 5,685 Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	350 1,604 76,547 2,060
City guaranteed special assessment district bonds (C) 6,020 - 335 5,685 Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	350 1,604 76,547 2,060
assessment district bonds (C) 6,020 - 335 5,685 Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	1,604 76,547 2,060
Unamortized premium and discounts 25,989 - 1,935 24,054 Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	1,604 76,547 2,060
Total bonds payable 844,217 18,874 67,713 795,378 Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	76,547 2,060
Notes payable and capital leases: Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	2,060
Notes payable (B) and (D) 3,150 - 1,090 2,060 Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	,
Capital leases (B) and (D) 65,645 24,095 17,891 71,849 Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	,
Total notes payable and capital leases 68,795 24,095 18,981 73,909 Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	15,462
Other long-term liabilities: Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability - Self -insurance liability - - <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
Accrued vacation and sick leave (E) 39,697 63,691 56,337 47,051 Pledge obligation for Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability - Self -insurance liability -	17,522
Pledge obligation for 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 599 873 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability - - 34,654 21,425 99,955	
Coliseum Authority debt (B) 49,445 - 3,933 45,512 Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 873 - - - - - - 873 -	35,862
Estimated environmental cost (B) 1,472 - 599 873 Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	
Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	4,128
workers' compensation (B) 86,726 34,654 21,425 99,955 Self -insurance liability -	500
Self -insurance liability -	
•	19,330
general liability (B) 36.768 25.127 20.917 40.978	
	16,783
Interest rate swap agreement 7,543 - 1,524 6,019	<u> </u>
Total other long-term liabilities 221,651 123,472 104,735 240,388	76,603
Total governmental activities \$ 1,134,663 \$ 166,441 \$ 191,429 \$ 1,109,675	\$ 170,672
Business-type activities:	·
••	\$ 2,045
Unamortized bond premium 4,801 - 343 4,458	
Total business-type activities \$ 41,431 \$ - \$ 2,308 \$ 39,123	343

Debt service payments are made from the following sources:

- (A) Property tax recorded in the debt service funds
- (B) Revenues recorded in the general fund
- (C) Property tax voter approved debt
- (D) Revenues recorded in the special revenue funds
- (E) Compensated absences are financed by governmental funds (General Fund, Federal/State Grant Fund, LMIHF, Municipal Capital Improvement Fund, and Other Governmental Funds) and proprietary funds (Sewer Service Fund) have funded the compensated absences through contributions to the General Fund.

Internal service funds predominantly serve governmental funds and therefore, the long-term liabilities of these funds are included as part of the above totals for governmental activities. At June 30, 2016, \$44.5 million of bonds, notes payable, and capital leases related to the internal service funds are included in the above amounts.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

4. Annual Requirements to Maturity

Primary Government

The annual repayment schedules for governmental activities' long-term debt as of June 30, 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

	A 40 040 I
Governmental	Activities

Year Ending	G	Seneral Obli	ligation Bonds I			Lease Revenue Bonds			Special Assessment District Bonds			
June 30		Principal		nterest	P	Principal I		Interest		incipal	Interest	
2017	\$	11,675	\$	9,716		19,775	\$	4,382	\$	350	\$	249
2018		12,195		9,219		5,660		3,398		365		237
2019		12,830		8,610		5,935		3,125		370		225
2020		13,490		7,968		6,230		2,831		400		211
2021		13,035		7,294		6,545		2,512		395		197
2022-2026		49,960		27,252		38,125		7,166		1,745		776
2027-2031		44,385		16,499		8,840		221		560		550
2032-2036		32,365		6,113		-		-		735		357
2037-2040		11,895		964		-		-		765		99
Total	\$	201,830	\$	93,635	\$	91,110	\$	23,635	\$	5,685	\$	2,901

Year Ending		Notes Payable					Capital Leases			
June 30	Pr	Principal		Interest		incipal	In	terest		
2017	\$	2,060	\$	53	\$	15,462	\$	1,614		
2018		-		-		15,775		1,282		
2019		-		-		13,710		950		
2020		-		-		10,529		647		
2021		-		-		6,669		418		
2022-2025		-		-		9,704		535		
Total	\$	2,060	\$	53	\$	71,849	\$	5,446		

	Pension Obligation Bonds					Total						
Year Ending	Ending Accreted						Accreted					
June 30	P	rincipal]	interest]	Interest	Prin	cipal	Interest		Interest	
2017	\$	16,369	\$	26,774		37,182	\$	65,691	\$	26,774	\$	53,196
2018		25,274		28,807		39,162		59,269		28,807		53,298
2019		24,708		30,841		41,001		57,553		30,841		53,911
2020		24,316		32,801		42,710		54,965		32,801		54,367
2021		23,992		34,778		44,390		50,636		34,778		54,811
2022-2026		198,564		74,655		104,433		298,098		74,655		140,162
2027-2031		-		-		-		53,785		-		17,270
2032-2036		-		-		-		33,100		-		6,470
2037-2040		-		-		-		12,660		-		1,063
Subtotal		313,223		228,656		308,878		685,757		228,656		434,548
Less: unaccreted interest		-		(69,180)		-		-		(69,180)		-
Total	\$	313,223	\$	159,476	\$	308,878	\$	685,757	\$	159,476	\$	434,548

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The annual repayment schedules for business-type activities' long-term debt as of June 30, 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

Business-Type Activities

Year Ending	Sewer Revenue Bonds					
June 30	P	rincipal	Interest			
2017	\$	2,045	\$	1,601		
2018		2,125		1,519		
2019		2,235		1,413		
2020		2,275		1,368		
2021		2,370		1,277		
2022-2026		13,680		4,555		
2027-2031		9,935		1,100		
Total	\$	34,665	\$	12,833		

The City pledged future net revenues to repay its sewer revenue bonds. The total principal and interest remaining to be paid on the bonds is \$47.5 million. The principal and interest payments made in 2016 were \$3.6 million and pledged revenues (total net revenues calculated in accordance with the bond indenture) for the year ended June 30, 2016 were \$26.4 million.

5. New Debt Issuance

Master Lease - Radio Lease 2015, Equipment Schedule No. 2

On July 16, 2015, the City entered into a Master Lease-Purchase Agreement in the principal amount of \$7,095,000. This financing provides funding to purchase equipment and professional services in order to improve the microwave network, replace the PWA portable radio fleet, replace the siren system, and deploy a mobile emergency network. The equipment group upgrades the current mission-critical public safety communication systems and related infrastructure. The final maturity is August, 2020 and has an interest rate of 1.48%.

Master Lease - IT Systems Lease 2015, Equipment Schedule No. 1

On October 28, 2015, the City entered into a Master Lease-Purchase Agreement in the principal amount of \$17,000,000. This financing provides funding for hardware, software and professional services to upgrade/re-implement and remediate the Oracle E-Business Suite applications and related infrastructure, implement a new municipal budgeting system, replace and improve the 9-1-1 public safety systems and operate and maintain current information technology systems. The equipment group modernizes and maintains mission-critical information technology systems and related infrastructure. The final maturity is August 1, 2021 and has an interest rate of 2.81%.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency (ORSA)

1. Summary Schedule of Long-Term Debt

The following is a summary of ORSA's long-term debt as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

	Final Maturity	Remaining		
Type of Obligation	Year Interest Rat		Amount	
Bonds payable:				
Tax Allocation Bonds	2041	4.00 - 8.50%	\$	273,060
Subordinated Housing Set-Aside Bonds	2042	5.38 - 9.25%		45,225
ORSA Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds	2037	1.33 - 5.00%		89,185
Unamortized premiums and discounts, net				8,253
Total bonds payable			\$	415,723

2. Revenues Pledged for the Repayment of Debt Service

Tax Allocation Bonds

The Tax Allocation Bonds (TAB), which are comprised of Series 2006T, Series 2009T, Series 2006A TE/T, Series 2006C TE/T, Series 2010T and Refunding Bond Series 2013 are issued primarily to finance redevelopment projects and are all secured by pledge of redevelopment property tax revenues (i.e. former tax increment), consisting of a portion of taxes levied upon all taxable properties within each of the tax increment generating redevelopment project areas, and are equally and ratably secured on a parity with each TAB series.

As of June 30, 2016, the total principal and interest remaining on these TABs was estimated at \$394.0 million and the property tax revenues are pledged until the year 2041, the final maturity date of the bonds. The Former Agency's debt service payments are requested through the ROPS as enforceable obligations until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

Historically, upon receipt of property tax increment, the Former Agency calculated the 80 percent and 20 percent and the voluntary 5 percent amount of tax increment and would then transfer the 20 percent and 5 percent portion to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, as required by the California HSC and the Former Agency board resolution. The previous requirement to bifurcate the tax increment into 80 percent and 20 percent portions was eliminated in AB X1 26. However, in order to maintain compliance with bond indentures secured by the 80 percent and 20 percent tax increment, the ORSA plans to request the funds through the ROPS from the Trust Fund pursuant to HSC Section 34183(a)(2)(A), and segregate the funds in the debt service funds accordingly until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

Housing Set-Aside Bonds

The Housing set-aside bonds, which are comprised of Series 2006A, Series 2006A-T and Series 2011A-T are issued to finance affordable housing projects and are secured by a pledge and lien upon the 20% redevelopment property tax revenue (i.e. former tax increment) set-aside for the low and moderate income housing fund.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

As of June 30, 2016, the total principal and interest remaining on the Housing set-aside bonds was estimated at \$102.3 million and the property tax revenues are pledged until the year 2042, the final maturity date of the bonds. The former Agency's debt service payments are requested through the ROPS as enforceable obligations until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

In the future, in order to maintain compliance with bond indentures secured by the 20 percent tax increment, the ORSA plans to request the funds through the ROPS from the Trust Fund pursuant to HSC Section 34183 (a)(2)(A), and segregate the funds in the debt service funds accordingly until the debt obligations have been satisfied.

Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds

The 2015 Bonds are limited obligations of the ORSA and payable from and secured by pledged tax revenues. Pledged tax revenues are tax increment revenues that were eligible for allocation to the Former Agency and are allocated to the ORSA, excluding (i) tax revenues required to pay debt service on the existing bonds, (ii) certain amounts required to be paid under the Uptown Ground Lease and the 17th Street Garage Disposition and Development Agreement, and (iii) amounts required to be paid to taxing entities pursuant to the Dissolution Act, unless such payments are subordinated.

3. Summary of Changes in Long-Term Obligations

The changes in long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Amounts due Balance at Balance at within one June 30, 2016 July 1, 2015 Additions Reductions year Tax allocation bonds 317,575 44,515 273,060 23,171 112,615 67,390 45,225 Housing set-aside bonds 5,505 Subordinated tax allocation refunding bonds 89,185 89,185 440 Unamortized premium and discounts: 9,801 2,067 10,232 Issuance premiums 2,498 1,319 Issuance discounts (136)(1,979)(2,115)(136)**Total ORSA** 437,876 91,683 113,836 415,723

On August 11, 2015, the ORSA issued Subordinated Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, 2015-TE (tax-exempt) and Series 2015-T (taxable) (the "Series 2015 Bonds") in the aggregate principal amount of \$89.2 million and original issue premium of \$2.5 million. The Series 2015 Bonds have interest rates ranging from 1.329% to 5.0% and principal maturing from September 2016 through September 2036. The proceeds of the Series 2015 Bonds will be used to pay the costs associated with the issuance of the Series 2015 Bonds, purchase the 2015 Reserve Policy for deposit in the Reserve Account to satisfy the Reserve Requirement for the 2015 Series Bonds, purchase the 2015 Insurance Policy to guarantee payment of principal and interest on the 2015 Bonds, and refund all or a portion of the outstanding bonds as follows:

Description of Refunded Bonds			
Subordinated Housing Set Aside Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006A	\$	2,195	
Subordinated Housing Set Aside Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006A-T		59,955	
Central City East Redevelopment Project Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006A-TE		13,780	
Coliseum Area Redevelopment Project Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006B-TE		4,745	
Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Redevelopment Project Tax Allocation Bonds, Series 2006C-TE		4,945	
Total refunded bonds	\$	85,620	

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

In September 2015, a portion of the proceeds of the 2015 Series Bonds were deposited with The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as escrow agent for the subordinated housing set aside revenue refunding bonds, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as escrow agent for the tax allocation bonds. The funds deposited and held with the escrow agents are sufficient, together with investment earnings thereon, to pay principal and interest on the refunded bonds to be redeemed on September 1, 2016. The amounts deposited were invested in direct noncallable obligations of, or unconditionally guaranteed by, the United States of America (Federal Securities) and/or senior debt obligations of the Federal Home Loan Bank system. Upon such deposit, all obligations of the ORSA with respect to the advance refunded bonds were legally defeased except for the ORSA's obligation to pay the principal and interest on the advance refunded bonds from such funds deposited with the escrow agents. Accordingly, the liability for the refunded bonds has been removed from the statement of fiduciary net position.

The refunding resulted in the recognition of accounting loss of \$6.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2016. The ORSA in effect reduced its aggregate debt service payments by \$10.3 million and obtained a net present value savings of \$8.0 million or 7.7% of the refunded bonds.

4. Annual Requirements to Maturity

The debt service requirements for all debt are based upon a fixed rate of interest. The annual requirements to amortize outstanding tax allocation bonds and other long-term debt outstanding as of June 30, 2016, including mandatory sinking fund payments, are as follows (in thousands):

Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Subordinate Refunding **Tax Allocation Bonds Tax Allocation Bonds** Year Ending **Housing Set-Aside Bonds** June 30 Principal Principal Interest Principal Interest Interest 23,171 14,712 5,505 440 2017 \$ 3,637 \$ 3,752 23.521 1.935 4.340 2018 13.366 3,389 3.710 2019 25.384 11.973 2.075 3,239 4,425 3.622 26,557 2020 10.479 2.235 3.077 4,515 3.509 28.027 2,400 2021 8.871 2.897 4,645 3.373 2022-2026 53,906 31.129 7.310 12.073 15,980 14,717 2027-2031 40,694 1,030 12,285 12,079 20.516 10.583 8,489 10,507 20,045 7,909 2032-2036 40,957 35 2037-2041 10,843 1,369 17,325 7,469 22,510 337 2042 249 249 5,375 273,060 120,904 45,225 57,120 89,185 53,257 **Total**

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Component Unit- Port of Oakland (Port)

1. Summary Schedule of Long-Term Debt

The following is a summary of long-term debt of the Port as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Component Unit - Port of Oakland

	Final Maturity	Remaining			
Type of Obligation	Year	Interest Rates	Amount		
Bonds, notes, and loans payable					
Senior and intermediate lien bonds	2033	2.25 - 5.125%	\$ 1,028,550		
Notes and loans	2030	0.05 - 4.50%	89,269		
Unamortized bond discounts and premiums, net			 44,367		
Total bonds, notes, and loans payable			\$ 1,162,186		

2. Revenues Pledged for the Repayment of Debt Service

The Port's long-term debt and final maturity consists primarily of tax-exempt bonds, short-term commercial paper notes and a loan from the California Department of Boating and Waterways. All of the Port's outstanding bonds, loans and commercial paper notes have been issued to finance or refinance capital improvements to the Port's aviation, maritime, and commercial real estate infrastructure. The majority of the Port's outstanding bonds are revenue bonds, which are secured by Pledged Revenues of the Port. Pledged Revenues are substantially all revenues and other cash receipts of the Port, including, without limitation, amounts held in the Port Revenue Fund with the City, but excluding amounts received from certain taxes, certain insurance proceeds, special facilities revenues, and certain other gifts, fees, and grants that are restricted by their terms to purposes inconsistent with the payment of debt service. In fiscal year 2016 pledged revenues amounted to \$340.1 million.

Pledged Revenues do not include cash received from passenger facility charge (PFCs) or customer facility charge (CFCs) unless projects included in a financing are determined to be PFC or CFC eligible and bond proceeds are expended on such eligible projects and the Port elects to pledge PFCs or CFCs as supplemental security to such applicable bonds. Currently, the Port has no bonds for which PFCs or CFCs are pledged.

The Port did not capitalize any interest cost in fiscal year 2016.

Senior Lien Bonds

2011 Series O, 2012 Series P, and 2012 Series Q (collectively, the Senior Lien Bonds) are issued under the Senior Trust Indenture and are paid from Pledged Revenues first. As long as any Senior Lien Bonds remain outstanding, the Port has covenanted to collect rates, tolls, fees, rentals and charges so that Pledged Revenues in each fiscal year will be sufficient to pay all of the following amounts: (i) the sum of principal and interest on the outstanding Senior Lien Bonds; (ii) all other payments required for compliance with terms of the Senior Trust Indenture including, but not limited to, required deposits to any Reserve Fund; (iii) all other payments necessary to meet ongoing legal obligations to be paid from Pledged Revenues; and (iv) operation and maintenance expenses of the Port. In addition, payment of principal and interest on the Senior Lien Bonds when due is secured by a reserve fund held by the trustee and invested in U.S. Treasury Notes.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The Port has also covenanted in the Senior Trust Indenture that Net Pledged Revenue (Revenues less the Operation and Maintenance Expenses) will be equal to at least 125% of actual debt service for the Senior Lien Bonds (Senior Lien Debt Service Coverage Ratio).

California Department of Boating and Waterways (DBW) Loan

The DBW Loan is subordinate to the Senior Lien Bonds but superior to the Intermediate Lien Bonds and the Port's Commercial Paper Notes with respect to the Pledged Revenues. The Port turned over the operation of its marina, financed, in part, with DBW Loans, to a private company through a fifty-year capital lease in May 2004. As of June 30, 2016, only one DBW Loan remained outstanding with a balance of \$4.7 million.

Intermediate Lien Bonds

The 2007 Series A, Series B and Series C Bonds (collectively, the Intermediate Lien Bonds) issued under the Intermediate Trust Indenture are next in payment priority. The Intermediate Lien Bonds are paid from the Intermediate Lien Pledged Revenues. The Intermediate Lien Pledged Revenues are the Pledged Revenues after payment first, of all amounts payable for any Senior Lien Bonds and second, any debt service requirements payable on the DBW Loan. Payment of principal and interest on the Intermediate Lien Bonds when due is secured by a debt service reserve surety policy, as well as being insured by municipal bond insurance policies.

The Port covenanted in the Intermediate Trust Indenture that Net Pledged Revenues will be equal to at least 110% of the actual debt service becoming due and payable on the combined Intermediate Lien Bonds, Senior Lien Bonds, and DBW Loan (Intermediate Lien Debt Service Coverage Ratio).

Commercial Paper Notes

Commercial Paper Notes (CP Notes) have the lowest payment priority. The Board authorized a \$150.0 million Commercial Paper program in 1998 and a further \$150.0 million was authorized in 1999. The maximum maturity of the CP Notes is 270 days and the maximum interest rate is 12%. The Port has classified the CP Notes as long-term debt as the Port intends and has the ability to reissue CP Notes until the expiration of the two irrevocable Letters of Credit (LOC), discussed below. Interest income paid to the holders of the CP Notes may fall under one of three tax treatments: tax-exempt Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT), tax-exempt non-AMT and taxable.

On May 2, 2016, the Port amended its LOC with JPMorgan Chase Bank National Association (JPMorgan), reducing its maximum stated amount of \$108.9 million (principal of \$100.0 million and interest of \$8.9 million) to \$54.4 million (principal of \$50.0 million and interest of \$4.4 million). The expiration date of the LOC was also extended one year, from June 30, 2016 to June 30, 2017. On June 13, 2016, the Port substituted its then-outstanding Wells Fargo Bank National Association LOC, with a new LOC supported by Bank of America National Association (BANA). The maximum stated amount of this LOC is \$163.3 million (principal of \$150.0 million and interest of \$13.3 million) and expires on June 30, 2019. As of June 30, 2016, the Port has capacity based on available credit to issue an aggregate principal amount of CP Notes up to \$200.0 million.

As of June 30, 2016, the outstanding balance of CP Notes issued under the BANA LOC is \$38.2 million, while the outstanding balance of CP Notes issued under the JPMorgan LOC is \$46.4 million.

The Port covenants in the LOC and Reimbursement Agreements with BANA and JPMorgan that the Intermediate Lien Debt Service Coverage Ratio will equal to at least 110%.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

3. Summary of Changes in Long-Term Obligations

The changes in the Port's long-term obligations for the year ended June 30, 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

Component Unit - Port of Oakland

	_	Balance at	Ad	lditions	Rec	ductions	Salance at ne 30, 2016	ounts due thin one year
Bonds and notes payable:								
Senior and intermediate lien bonds	\$	1,075,075	\$	-	\$	46,525	\$ 1,028,550	\$ 48,985
Notes and loans payable		79,312		38,176		28,219	89,269	254
Unamortized premium and discounts, net		50,390		(52)		5,971	 44,367	5,583
Total bonds and notes payable		1,204,777		38,124		80,715	1,162,186	54,822
Other long-term liabilities:								
Accrued vacation, sick leave,								
and compensatory time		6,594		1,589		1,672	6,511	5,586
Environmental remediation		11,700		13,604		10,242	15,062	3,023
Self -insurance liability -								
workers' compensation		12,661		876		1,288	12,249	1,290
Other long-term liabilities		1,834		23,236		162	24,908	 5,954
Total other long-term liabilities		32,789		39,305		13,364	58,730	15,853
Total component unit	\$	1,237,566	\$	77,429	\$	94,079	\$ 1,220,916	\$ 70,675

4. Annual Requirements to Maturity

The Port's required annual debt service payment for the outstanding long-term debt, not including Commercial Paper Notes, as of June 30, 2016, are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending June 30	Principal		Interest		 Total
2017	\$	77,429 (1)	\$	55,841	\$ 133,270
2018		81,405		51,814	133,219
2019		85,597		46,798	132,395
2020		54,752		42,331	97,083
2021		56,415		40,032	96,447
2022-2026		332,684		154,664	487,348
2027-2031		357,522		66,769	424,291
2032-2033		72,015		5,179	77,194
Total	\$	1,117,819	\$	463,428	\$ 1,581,247

⁽¹⁾ Although the Port intends to refinance the Commercial Paper debt in the future, for purposes of this schedule, Commercial Paper debt is amortized over the fiscal years 2017-2020 pursuant to the "Term Loan" provisions of the Commercial Paper Reimbursement Agreements.

The Port's required debt service payments on its Senior Lien Bonds and Intermediate Lien Bonds are due each May 1 and November 1 through May 1, 2033. The California Department of Boating and Waterways loan is due each August 1 through August 1, 2029. Commercial Paper has been classified as long-term debt because the Port has the intent and ability to continue to refinance this debt.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

City-Wide Long-Term Debt

1. Debt Compliance

There are a number of limitations and restrictions contained in the various bond indentures held by the City, ORSA, and the Port. The City believes it is in compliance with all significant limitations and restrictions for which noncompliance would adversely affect its ability to pay debt service. During the course of the fiscal year, the City identified several noncompliant issues with the continuing disclosure requirements and these have been remedied.

2. Legal Debt Limit and Legal Debt Margin

As of June 30, 2016, the City's debt limit (3.75% of valuation subject to taxation) was \$1.3 billion. The total amount of debt applicable to the debt limit was \$201.8 million. The resulting legal debt margin was \$1.1 billion.

3. Prior Years' Debt Defeasance

In prior years, the City defeased various bond issues by creating separate irrevocable escrow funds. New debt has been issued and the proceeds have been used to purchase U.S. government securities that were placed in the escrow funds. The investments and fixed earnings from the investments are sufficient to fully service the defeased debt until the debt is called or matures. For financial reporting purposes, the debt is considered defeased and is therefore removed as a liability from the City's government-wide financial statements. As of June 30, 2016, the principal amount of defeased debt outstanding is \$57.2 million.

4. Authorized and Unissued Debt

The City has \$62.3 million (Measure DD) General Obligation Bonds authorized and unissued. The voters, in a City election on November 5, 2002, authorized these bonds. The bonds are to be issued by the City in general obligation bonds for the improvement of Lake Merritt, the Estuary, inland creeks, Studio One, and other specifically identified projects in the City.

5. Conduit Debt

The following long-term debt has been issued by the City on behalf of named agents of the City. The bonds do not constitute an indebtedness of the City. The bonds are payable solely from revenue sources defined in the individual bond documents, and from other monies held for the benefit of the bond holders pursuant to the bond indentures. In the opinion of City officials, these bonds are not payable from any revenues or assets of the City, and neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing authority of the City, State or any political subdivision thereof is obligated for the payment of the principal or interest on the bonds. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded.

The conduit debt issued and outstanding at June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

	Authorized and Issued		Maturity	June 30, 2016
Oakland JPFA Revenue Bond 2001 Series A Fruitvale				
Transit Village (Fruitvale Development Corporation)	\$	19,800	07/01/33	\$ 13,655

Outstanding

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

I. GENERAL FUND BALANCE RESERVE POLICY

The City Council approved the original City Reserve Policy on March 22, 1994. Creation of the policy was to help pay any unanticipated expenditures and pay for claims arising from the City's insurance program. In May 2010, the City adopted a revised reserve policy equal to seven and one-half percent (7.5%) for unassigned fund balance of the General Purpose Fund (GPF) appropriation for each fiscal year. The GPF accounts for the City's operating budget that pays for basic programs and services as well as elected offices and municipal business functions. The GPF is reported within the General Fund.

On December 9, 2014, the City Council revised the reserve policy criteria for the definition and use of excess Real Estate Transfer Tax (RETT) revenue, and use of one-time revenues (Ordinance No. 13279). The policy defines excess Real Estate Transfer Tax as any amounts of projected RETT revenues whose value exceeds 14% of the corresponding General Purpose Fund Tax Revenues (inclusive of RETT). The excess RETT shall be used in the following manner:

- At least 25% shall be allocated to the Vital Services Stabilization Fund. Until the value in such fund is projected to equal to 15% of General Purpose Fund revenues over the coming fiscal year.
- At least 25% shall be used to fund accelerated debt retirement and unfunded long-term obligations: including negative funds balances, to fund the Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) liability, to fund other unfunded retirement and pension liabilities, unfunded paid leave liabilities, to fund Other Postemployment Retirement Benefits (OPEB).
- The remainder shall be used to fund one-time expenses; augment the General Purpose Fund Emergency Reserve, and to augment the Capital Improvements Reserve Fund.

Use of the "excess" RETT revenue for purposes other than those established above may only be allowed by a super majority vote (6 out of 8) of the City Council through a separate resolution. The policy also requires the City to conform to the following regarding the use of one-time discretionary revenues:

• Fiscal prudence and conservancy requires that one time revenues not be used for recurring expenses. Therefore, upon receipt of one time revenues, such revenues shall be used in the following manner, unless legally restricted to other purposes: to fund one time expenditures, to fund accelerated debt retirement and unfunded long-term obligations: including negative funds balances, to fund the Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) liability, to fund other unfunded retirement and pension liabilities, unfunded paid leave liabilities, to fund Other Postemployment Retirement Benefits (OPEB);or shall remain as fund balance in the appropriate fund.

Use of the "one time revenues" for purposes other than those established above may only be allowed by a super majority vote (6 out of 8) of the City Council through a separate resolution. Additionally, the policy includes the requirement that the City maintain a Vital Services Stabilization Fund. In years when the city projects that total General Purpose Fund revenues for the upcoming fiscal year will be less than the current year's revenues, or anytime service reductions (such as layoffs or furloughs) are contemplated due to adverse financial conditions, use of this fund must be considered so as to maintain existing service levels as much as possible, and to minimize associated impacts; and the adopted budget may appropriate funds from the Vital Services Stabilization Fund to preserve City operations; however, the budget may not appropriate more than sixty percent of the reserve balance in any year.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

As of June 30, 2016, the City has \$116.7 million in the GPF fund balance. Of this amount, \$58.2 million is set aside to meet the mandated 7.5% required reserve, and is reported in the assigned fund balance of the General Fund.

J. ESTIMATED LIABILITY FOR SELF-INSURANCE

Primary Government

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts: theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; employee's injuries; natural disasters; unemployment coverage; and providing health benefits to employees, retirees and their dependents. For the past three years, there have been no significant reductions in any of the City's insurance coverage and no settlement amounts have exceeded commercial insurance coverage.

The City is self-insured for its general liability, malpractice liability, public official's errors and omissions, products and completed operations, employment practices liability, and auto liability up to \$3,000,000 retention level and up to \$750,000 retention level for workers' compensation and has excess insurance with the California State Association of Counties – Excess Insurance Authority as described in the Insurance Coverage section.

1. Property Damage

Property damage risks are covered on an occurrence basis by commercial insurance purchased from independent third parties. All properties are insured at full replacement values after a \$10,000 deductible to be paid by the City. Vehicles are insured at full replacement value after a \$20,000 deductible. Equipment valued at more than \$250,000 is insured at full replacement after a \$100,000 deductible.

2. Workers' Compensation

The City is self-insured for workers' compensation. Payment of claims is provided through annual appropriations, which are based on claim payment experience and supplemental appropriations. Of the \$100.0 million in claims liabilities as of June 30, 2016, approximately \$19.3 million is estimated to be due within one year.

Changes in self-insurance workers' compensation for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows (in thousands):

	2010	2015
Self -insurance liability -	 	
workers' compensation, beginning of year	\$ 86,726	\$ 83,484
Current year claims and changes in estimates	34,654	35,384
Claims payments	 (21,425)	(32,142)
Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation, end of year	\$ 99,955	\$ 86,726

The estimated undiscounted liability for claims and contingencies is based on the results of actuarial studies and includes amounts for claims incurred but not reported and allocated loss adjustment expenses. The estimated liability is calculated considering the effects of inflation, recent claim settlement trends, including frequency and amount of payouts, and other economic and social factors.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

3. General Liability

Numerous lawsuits are pending or threatened against the City. The City estimates that as of June 30, 2016, the amount of liability determined to be probable of occurrence is approximately \$41.0 million. Of this amount, claims and litigation approximating \$16.8 million are estimated to be due within one year. The recorded liability is the City's best estimate based on available information and may be revised as further information is obtained and as pending cases are litigated. The City and the ORSA are involved in various claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of its activities. In the opinion of the ORSA's in-house counsel and the City Attorney's Office for the City, none of these claims are expected to have a significant impact on the financial position or changes in financial position of the City and the ORSA. The City has not accumulated or segregated assets or set aside fund balances for the payment of estimated claims and judgments.

Changes in general claims liabilities for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 are as follows (in thousands):

	2016	2015
Self -insurance liability - general liability, beginning of year	\$ 36,768	\$ 32,341
Current year claims and changes in estimates	25,127	21,457
Claims payments	 (20,917)	 (17,030)
Self -insurance liability - general liability, end of year	\$ 40,978	\$ 36,768

The estimated undiscounted liability for claims and contingencies is based on the results of actuarial studies and includes amounts for claims incurred but not reported and allocated loss adjustment expenses. The estimated liability is calculated considering the effects of inflation, recent claim settlement trends, including frequency and amount of payouts, and other economic and social factors.

4. Insurance Coverage

On July 15, 2002, the City entered into a contract with the California State Association of Counties Excess Insurance Authority (CSAC EIA), a joint powers authority, whose purpose is to develop and fund programs of excess insurance for its member counties and cities. Effective July 1, 2015, the self-insured retention levels and purchased insurance per occurrence are as follows:

Type of Coverage	Limits	Deductible Per Occurrence
General Liability	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
Automobile Liability	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
Public Officials Errors and Omissions	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
Products and Completed Operations	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
Employment Practices Liability	Up to \$3.0 million	\$3.0 to \$25.0 million
Workers' Compensation	Up to \$750,000	\$750,000 to \$100.0 million

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Component Unit - Port of Oakland

1. Workers' Compensation

The Port is self-insured for other workers' compensation of the Port's employees. The workers' compensation liability of \$12.2 million at June 30, 2016 is based upon an actuarial study performed as of June 30, 2016 that assumed a probability level of 80% and a discount rate of 0.0%. Changes in liability, which is included as part of non-current liabilities, follows (in thousands):

		2010	2015
Self -insurance liability -	•		
workers' compensation, beginning of year	\$	12,661	\$ 11,182
Current year claims and changes in estimates		876	2,792
Claims payments		(1,288)	(1,313)
Self -insurance liability - workers' compensation, end of year	\$	12,249	\$ 12,661

2. General Liability - Insurance

The Port purchases insurance on certain risk exposures including but not limited to property, automobile liability, airport liability, umbrella liability, environmental liability, fidelity, fiduciary liability, and public officials liability. Port deductibles for the various insured programs range from \$10,000 to \$1,000,000 each claim. The Port is self-insured for other general liability and liability/litigation-type claims, and most first party exposures. During fiscal year 2016, the Port carried excess insurance over \$1,000,000 for the self-insured general liability and workers compensation exposures. There have been no claim payments related to these programs that exceeded insurance limits in the last three years.

3. Capital Improvement Projects

The Port maintains an Owner Controlled Insurance Program (OCIP) and Professional Liability Insurance Program (PLIP) for contractors and consultants working on Port Capital Improvement Projects (CIP).

OCIP provides general liability insurance and workers' compensation insurance for contractors working on CIP projects. The Port is responsible for payment of the deductible/self-insured retention, which is currently \$250,000 for each general liability and workers' compensation claim.

The PLIP provides professional liability insurance for consultants working on Port CIP projects. Subject to this program, the consultants separately are responsible for paying the deductible/self-insured retentions, which are \$50,000 for consultants with annual revenues under \$20,000,000 and \$1,000,000 for consultants with annual revenues over \$20,000,000. The Port's deductible/self-insured retention is \$1,000,000. There is no actuarial forecast for this coverage.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

4. Outer Harbor Terminal Closure

In February 2016. Outer Harbor Terminal, LLC (formerly Ports America Outer Harbor Terminal, LLC) ("OHT") filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. At that time, OHT held a 50-year lease with the Port to operate Berths 20-24, a month-to-month lease to operate Berth 25/26 (including crane maintenance), and a separate lease to operate and maintain cranes at Berths 20-24. On February 20, 2016, the Port reached a settlement agreement with OHT by which the Port would let OHT out of its lease obligations. This agreement was subsequently approved by the bankruptcy court. This event returned property to the Port that was in need of significant repairs and deferred maintenance of which the Port estimates will cost approximately \$22.3 million to complete over the next three years. In fiscal year 2016, the Port recognized a gain on the lease termination composed of the following (in thousands):

Total	\$ 35,200
Lease terminal loss contingency	 (22,337)
Security deposit and lease termination fee	10,560
Unamortized "Upfront Fee" from Berth 20-24 Lease	\$ 46,977

K. JOINT VENTURE

Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum

The City is a participant with the County of Oakland (Alameda) in a joint exercise of powers agreement forming the Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Authority (Coliseum Authority), which was formed on July 1, 1995 to assist the City and the County in the financing of public capital improvements in the Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Complex (Coliseum Complex) pursuant to the Marks-Roos Local Bond Pooling Act of 1985. The Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Financing Corporation (Financing Corporation) is reported as a blended component unit of the Coliseum Authority. The eight-member Board of Commissioners of the Coliseum Authority consists of two council members from the City, two members of the Board of Supervisors from the County, two appointees of the City Council, and two appointees of the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Directors of the Financing Corporation consists of the City Manager and the County Administrator.

Stadium Bonds - Background

In August 1995, the Coliseum Authority issued \$9.2 million in Fixed Rate Refunding Lease Revenue Bonds and \$188.5 million in Variable Rate Lease Revenue Bonds (collectively known as the Stadium Bonds) to satisfy certain obligations of the Coliseum Authority, the City, the County, the Financing Corporation and Oakland-Alameda County Coliseum Inc. (Coliseum Inc.), which then managed the operations of the Coliseum Complex, to finance the costs of remodeling the stadium portion of the Coliseum complex as well as relocating the Raiders to the City.

On May 31, 2012, the Coliseum Authority issued \$122.8 million in Refunding Bonds Series 2012 A with coupons of 2 to 5 percent to refund and defease all outstanding variable rate 2000 Series C Refunding Bonds. The bonds were priced at a premium, bringing total proceeds to \$138.1 million. These funds coupled with \$13 million in the 2000 Series C reserve fund generated available funds of \$151.1 million which was used to refund the 2000 C Refunding Bonds of \$137.4 million, fund a reserve fund of \$12.8 million and to pay underwriter's discount and issuance cost of \$0.9 million. The all-in-interest cost of the 2012A refunding bonds was 3.04 percent. There was an economic loss of \$23 million (difference between the present value of the old and the new debt service payments) due

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

to the low variable interest rates on the old bonds and the higher fixed rates on the new bonds. The Coliseum Authority was unable to maintain the bonds at a variable rate because it was not able to renew the letters of credit as required due to the tightening of the credit markets since 2008. However, the Coliseum Authority was able to take advantage of the fixed rate market with historically low interest rates and issued fixed rate bonds that generated a premium of \$15.3 million.

The Stadium Bonds are limited obligations of the Coliseum Authority payable solely from certain revenues of the Coliseum Authority, including revenues from the Stadium and Arena Complex and base rental payments from the City and the County. The source of the Coliseum Authority's revenues relating to football games consists primarily of a portion of the club dues, concession, and parking payments. The Coliseum Authority has pledged the base rental payments and most other revenues received under the Master Lease from the lessees, the City, and the County to the trustee to pay debt service on the bonds. In the event that football revenues and other revenues received in connection with the Stadium are insufficient to make base rental payments, the City and the County are obligated to make up the shortfall in the base rental payments from their respective general funds. The City and the County each have covenanted to appropriate \$11 million annually to cover such shortfall in revenue; however, the City and the County are jointly and severally liable to cover such shortfall, which means that the County could have to pay up to \$22 million annually in the event of default by the City. Base rental payments are projected to cover one hundred percent of the debt service requirements over the life of the bonds. The obligation of the City and the County to make such payments is reduced to the extent the Coliseum Authority receives revenues generated at the complex to pay debt service and for operations and maintenance. The Stadium Bonds are not general obligations of either the City or the County.

Arena Bonds - Background

On August 2, 1996, the Coliseum Authority issued \$70 million Series A-1 and \$70 million Series A-2 Variable Rate Lease Revenue Bonds (Arena Bonds) to finance the costs of remodeling the Coliseum Arena (Arena) and to satisfy certain obligations of the Coliseum Authority, the City, the County, and Coliseum Inc. in connection with the retention of the Golden State Warriors (the Warriors) to play professional basketball at the Arena for at least 20 basketball seasons, beginning with the 1997-98 season. These obligations are evidenced in a series of agreements (the Warriors Agreements) among the Warriors and the City, the County, Coliseum Inc., and the Coliseum Authority.

On April 14, 2015, the Authority issued \$79,735,000 in Refunding Bonds Series 2015 with coupons of .8 to 3.793 percent to refund and defease all outstanding variable rate 1996 Series A-1 and A-2 Bonds. The bonds were sold at par, bringing total proceeds to \$79,735,000. These funds coupled with \$3,319,013 in the 1996 Series A reserve fund generated available funds of \$83,054,013, which was used to refund the 1996 Series A Refunding Bonds of \$79,735,000, to fund a reserve fund of \$2,168,103, to pay underwriter's discount and issuance cost of \$659,928 and \$490,983 was returned to the Authority's general fund. The all-in true interest cost of the 2015A refunding bonds was 3.33 percent. There was an economic loss of \$13,479,519 (difference between the present value of the old and the new debt service payments) due to the low variable interest rates on the old bonds and the higher fixed rates on the new bonds. The Authority was unable to maintain the bonds at a variable rate because it was not able to renew the letters of credit as required due to the tightening of the credit markets since 2008. However, the Authority was able to take advantage of the fixed rate market with historically low interest rates and issued fixed rate bonds.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Under the Warriors Agreements, the Arena Bonds are limited obligations of the Coliseum Authority, payable solely from revenues received by the Coliseum Authority on behalf of the City and the County. Revenues consist of base rental payments from the City and County, certain payments from the Warriors of up to \$7.4 million annually from premium seating revenues, the sale of personal seat licenses by the Coliseum Authority, concessionaire payments and Arena naming rights. If necessary to prevent default, additional premium revenues up to \$10 million may be pledged to service Arena debt. If the revenues received from the Warriors and from Arena operations are not sufficient to cover the debt service requirements in any fiscal year, the City and the County are obligated to make up the shortfall in the base rental payments from their respective general funds. The County and the City each have covenanted to appropriate up to \$9.5 million annually to cover such shortfalls in revenue; however, the City and the County are jointly and severally liable to cover such shortfall, which means that the County could have to pay up to \$19 million annually in the event of default by the City.

Debt Compliance

Long-term debt outstanding as of June 30, 2016 is as follows (in thousands):

Type of Indebtedness	Maturity					anding as of e 30, 2016
Stadium Bonds: 2012 Refunding Series A Lease revenue bonds	February 1, 2025	2.0% - 5.0%	\$	122,815	\$	91,025
Arena Bonds: 2015 Refunding Series A Lease revenue bonds Total	February 1, 2025	1.0% - 4.0%	\$	79,735 202,550	\$	74,335 165,360

Debt payments during the year ended June 30, 2016 were as follows (in thousands):

	S1	Stadium		Arena		Total
Principal	\$	7,865	\$	5,400	\$	13,265
Interest		4,949		1,674		6,623
Total	\$	12,814	\$	7,074	\$	19,888

The following is a summary of long-term debt transactions for the year ended June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Outstanding lease revenue bonds, beginning of year	\$ 178,625
Principal repayments	(13,265)
Outstanding lease revenue bonds, end of year	\$ 165,360

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the lease revenue bonds, including interest payments, are as follows (in thousands):

Year		Stadiun	n Bond	ls		Arena Bonds			Total			
Ending June 30,	Pr	incipal	Int	erest (1)	Pı	rincipal	Int	erest (2)	P	rincipal	Iı	nterest
2017	\$	8,255	\$	4,551	\$	5,800	\$	2,168	\$	14,055	\$	6,719
2018		8,670		4,139		6,200		2,096		14,870		6,235
2019		9,100		3,705		6,600		1,991		15,700		5,696
2020		9,555		3,250		7,000		1,837		16,555		5,087
2021		10,035		2,772		7,600		1,650		17,635		4,422
2022-2026		45,410		5,814		41,135		4,201		86,545		10,015
Total	\$	91,025	\$	24,231	\$	74,335	\$	13,943	\$	165,360	\$	38,174

Management of Coliseum Authority

The Coliseum Authority entered into an agreement with the Oakland Coliseum Joint Venture (OCJV) to manage the entire Coliseum complex beginning July 1, 1998. On January 1, 2001, the Coliseum Authority terminated its agreement with OCJV and reinstated its Operating Agreement with Coliseum Inc. Coliseum Inc. subcontracted all of the operations of the Coliseum Complex to OCJV. The Operating Agreement between the Coliseum Authority and Coliseum Inc. expired, by its terms, on July 31, 2006. The Coliseum Authority entered into a Termination Agreement whereby, in return for certain consideration, the Coliseum Authority agreed to perform the duties of Coliseum, Inc. on and after August 1, 2006. The Authority's management agreement with OCJV expired in June 2012. In July 2012, AEG Management Oakland, LLC took over management of the Coliseum Complex after signing a five year agreement.

Under the joint exercise of power agreement, which formed the Coliseum Authority, the City is responsible for funding up to 50 percent of the Coliseum Authority's operating costs and debt service requirements; to the extent such funding is necessary. During the year ended June 30, 2016, the City made contributions of \$11.0 million to fund its share of operating deficits and debt service payments of the Coliseum Authority.

The Coliseum Authority has anticipated a deficit for operating costs and repayment of its Stadium Bonds, such that the City and County will have to contribute to base rental payments. Of the \$22.0 million obligated, for the year ending June 30 2017, it is estimated that the City will have to contribute \$11.02 million, which is appropriated in the debt service fund. There are many uncertainties in the estimation of revenues for the Coliseum Authority beyond one year into the future; therefore, the City has established a contingent liability to fund the Coliseum Authority deficit in the statement of net position in an amount equal to its contingent share (50 percent) of the outstanding Stadium Bonds, in the amount of \$45.5 million. The City has not established a contingent liability for the Arena Bonds because management is of the opinion that revenues from the Arena, including payments from the Warriors and revenues from Arena operations, will be sufficient to cover the debt payments.

Complete financial statements for the Coliseum Authority can be obtained from the County Auditor-Controller's Office at 1221 Oak Street, Room 249, Oakland, CA 94612.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

(III) OTHER INFORMATION

A. DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS

1. General Information About the Pension Plans

The City has three defined benefit retirement plans: Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS), the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) Safety Plan, and the CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan. PFRS is a closed single employer pension plan that covered employees hired prior to July 1976. Public safety employees hired subsequent to PFRS' closure date and certain employees hired before the closure date who elected to change plans are covered by CalPERS. PFRS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the PFRS Plan. PFRS' standalone financial statements are available by contacting the City Administrator's Office, One Frank Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA 94612 or can access the financial statements via the City's website, www.oaklandnet.com.

The CalPERS Safety and Miscellaneous Plans are agent multi-employer pension plans. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for various local and state governmental agencies within the State of California. Benefit provisions and other requirements are established by State statute, employer contract with CalPERS and by City resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website at www.calpers.ca.gov.

2. Benefits

PFRS – PFRS provides death, disability, and service retirement benefits to uniformed employees and their beneficiaries. Members who completed at least 25 years of service, or 20 years of service and have reached the age of 55, or have reached the age of 65, were eligible for retirement benefits. The basic retirement allowance equals 50% of the compensation attached to the average rank held during the three years immediately preceding retirement, plus an additional allowance of 1-2/3% of such compensation for each year of service (up to ten) subsequent to: a) qualifying for retirement, and b) July 1, 1951. Early retirees received reduced benefits based on the number of years of service. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by the City Charter (Charter).

CalPERS – CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on a final average compensation period of 36 months. The cost of living adjustments for the CalPERS plans are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law. The California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act (PEPRA), which took effect in January 2013, changes the way CalPERS retirement and health benefits are applied, and places compensation limits on members. As such members who established CalPERS membership on or after January 1, 2013 are known as "PEPRA" members.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The CalPERS' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2016, are summarized as follows:

Tier Pension Plans	CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan	CalPERS Safety Plan
Tier One (Classic Member)	Receive 2.7% at age 55. Final compensation is based on the twelve (12) highest paid consecutive months.	Receive 3% at age 50. Pension benefits are based on the one year of highest salary.
Tier Two (New Hires as of June 9, 2012)	Receive 2.5% at age 55. Final compensation is based on the highest average annual compensation of the three consecutive years.	Receive 3% at age 55. Pension benefits are based on the final average salary of 3 years under the Government Code 20037.
Tier Three: AB 340 (January 1, 2013)	Receive 2% at 62. Pension benefits are based on the final average salary of the three years subject to established cap.	Basic: 2% at age 57. Option 1: 2.5% at age 57. Option 2: 2.7% at age 57. Pension benefits are based on the final average salary of 3 years subject to established cap.

Covered Employees - As of June 30, 2016, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms of each pension plan:

	PFRS	CalPERS	CalPERS
	Plan	Miscellaneous Plan	Safety Plan
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	929	3,324	1,061
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	-	1,616	375
Active employees	-	2,558	1,145
Total	929	7,498	2,581

3. Contributions

For the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City's actuarial determined contributions were as follows (in thousands):

	 2016	2015 *
PFRS Plan	\$ -	\$ -
CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan	65,399	63,531
CalPERS Safety Plan	 46,264	44,366
Total	\$ 111,663	\$ 107,897

^{*} In fiscal year 2015, the contributions for the CalPERS plans were based on an estimate. The City made a \$0.06 million and \$0.25 million adjustment to align the estimated employer contributions with the actual employer contributions per the 2015 Agent-Multiple Employer CalPERS reports for the Miscellaneous Plan and the Safety Plan, respectively.

PFRS – The City contributes, at a minimum, such amounts that are necessary, determined on an actuarial basis, to provide assets sufficient to meet benefits to be paid to PFRS members. The City is required to fund all liabilities for future benefits for all members by June 30, 2026. In order to do so, the City makes contributions at rates established by consulting actuaries based upon plan valuations

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

using various assumptions as to salary progression, inflation, and rate of return on investments. The City's contributions are based on a level percentage of all uniformed employees' compensation. Significant actuarial assumptions used to compute actuarially determined contribution requirements are the same as those used to compute the pension benefits.

On July 30, 2012, the City issued additional Pension Obligation Bonds (Series 2012) and contributed \$210.0 million to PFRS. As a result of a funding agreement entered into between the PFRS Board and the City, no additional contributions are required until July 1, 2017. See Note (II) H for additional information on pension obligation bonds.

CalPERS – Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for the plans is determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by public employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability.

4. Net Pension Liability

The table below shows how the net pension liability as of June 30, 2016, is distributed.

Component Unit - Port of Oakland Total	•	177,204 1,415,369
Business-type Activities Component Unit - Port of Oakland		31,133 177.204
Governmental Activities	\$	1,207,032

As of June 30, 2016, the City's net pension liability is comprised of the following:

Total	\$ 1,415,369
CalPERS Safety Plan	 507,470
CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan	691,564
PFRS Plan	\$ 216,335

The City's net pension liability is measured for each plan as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability is measured as of June 30, 2015, using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2014, rolled forward to June 30, 2015, using standard update procedures.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The changes in the net pension liability for the PFRS Plan are as follows:

	Increase (Decrease)					
	Total		Plan			
	P	ension	Fiduciary		Net Pension	
	Li	iability	Net	Position	I	Liability
Balance at June 30, 2014 (valuation date)	\$	640,323	\$	463,807	\$	176,516
Changes for the year:						
Interest on the total pension liability		41,263		-		41,263
Changes in assumptions		34,219		-		34,219
Differences between expected and						
actual experience		(21,209)		-		(21,209)
Net investment income		-		15,439		(15,439)
Administrative expenses		-		(985)		985
Benefit payments, including refunds of						
employee contributions		(59,008)		(59,008)		
Net changes		(4,735)		(44,554)		39,819
Balance at June 30, 2015 (measurement date)	\$	635,588	\$	419,253	\$	216,335

The changes in the net pension liability for each CalPERS plan are as follows:

	CalPE	RS Miscellaneo	us Plan	CalPERS Safety Plan				
	Inc	crease (Decrea	se)	Increase (Decrease)				
	Total	Plan		Total	Plan			
	Pension	Fiduciary	Net Pension	Pension	Fiduciary	Net Pension		
	Liability	Net Position	Liability	Liability	Net Position	Liability		
Balance at June 30, 2014 (valuation date)	\$ 2,348,971	\$ 1,704,213	\$ 644,758	\$ 1,634,999	\$ 1,170,937	\$ 464,062		
Changes for the year:								
Service cost	37,347	-	37,347	32,899	-	32,899		
Interest on the total pension liability	172,693	-	172,693	121,444	-	121,444		
Changes in assumptions	(39,092)	-	(39,092)	(31,738)	-	(31,738)		
Differences between expected and								
actual experience	(7,769)	-	(7,769)	4,892	-	4,892		
Contributions from the employer	-	63,531	(63,531)	-	44,366	(44,366)		
Contributions from employees	-	16,904	(16,904)	-	15,027	(15,027)		
Plan to plan movement	-	24	(24)	-	(24)	24		
Net investment income	-	37,833	(37,833)	-	26,057	(26,057)		
Administrative expenses	-	(1,919)	1,919	-	(1,337)	1,337		
Benefit payments, including refunds of								
employee contributions	(126,730)	(126,730)		(74,198)	(74,198)			
Net changes	36,449	(10,357)	46,806	53,299	9,891	43,408		
Balance at June 30, 2015 (measurement date)	\$2,385,420	\$1,693,856	\$ 691,564	\$1,688,298	\$1,180,828	\$ 507,470		

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

5. Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For the year ended June 30, 2016, the City and the Port recognized pension expense of \$78.4 million. At June 30, 2016, the City deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension items are from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		In	Deferred aflows of esources
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	\$	111,663	\$	-
Change in assumptions		-		(23,362)
Differences between expected and actual experiences		3,871		(22,057)
Net differences between projected and actual earnings on plan				
investments		_		(38,001)
Total	\$	115,534	\$	(83,420)

At June 30, 2016, the City reported \$111.7 million as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date, which will be recognized as a reduction to net pension liability in the year ending June 30, 2017. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

Year Ending June 30	Outflo	Deferred ows/(Inflows) Resources
2017	\$	(45,588)
2018		(43,401)
2019		(23,721)
2020		33,161
Total	\$	(79.549)

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

6. Actuarial Assumptions

A summary of the actuarial assumptions and methods used to calculate the total pension liability as of June 30, 2015 is provided below, including any assumptions that differ from those used in the July 1, 2014 actuarial valuation.

		CalPERS Miscellaeous and
	PFRS Plan	Safety Plans
Valuation date	July 1, 2015	June 30, 2014
Measurement date	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry-age normal cost method	Entry-age normal cost method
Actuarial cost method:		
Discount rate	6.54%	7.65%
Investment rate of return	6.44%	7.65%, net of pension plan
		investment expenses, including
		inflation
Inflation rate	2.75% to 2.85%	2.75%
Payroll growth	n/a	3.00%
Post retirement benefit	3.25%	Purchasing power allowance
increases		floor on purchasing power
		applies, 2.75% thereafter

For the PFRS Plan, the mortality rates for healthy and disabled lives were based on the CalPERS Healthy Table from the 2006-2011 Experience Study, and the CalPERS Industrial Disability Mortality Table from the 2006-2011 Experience Study, respectively. Mortality improvement tables are based on Scale MP-2014 using a base year of 2009. Actuarial assumptions used in the PFRS July 1, 2015 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2014.

For CalPERS, the mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS' specific data. The table includes 20 years of mortality improvements using Society of Actuaries Scale BB. For more details on this table, please refer to the CalPERS 2014 experience study report available on CalPERS website.

Change in Assumptions - GASB Statement No. 68 states that the long-term expected rate of return should be determined net of pension plan investment expense but without reduction for pension plan administrative expense. The discount rate of 7.50% used for the June 30, 2014 measurement date was net of administrative expenses. The discount rate of 7.65% used for the June 30, 2015 measurement date is without reduction of pension plan administrative expense.

Discount Rates

PFRS – The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimates ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major class included in the PFRS's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2015 measurement date are summarized in the following table:

	Long-Term Expected
	Real Rate of
Asset Class	Return
Fixed Income	2.65%
Domestic Equity	6.90%
International Equity	7.20%
Real Return	5.20%
Covered Calls	6.21%
Private Equity	8.80%
Cash	2.00%

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.54 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the City would contribute to the PFRS Plan based on its July 1, 2012 funding agreement with the PFRS. This agreement suspends City contributions until the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2017, after which they will resume, based upon the recommendation of the actuary, with a Charter requirement that the PFRS Plan's liabilities be fully funded by July 1, 2026. A cash flow projection showed that the projected fiduciary net position would be greater than or equal to the benefit payments projected for each future period. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

CalPERS - The discount rate used to measure each of the CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan and the Safety Plan total pension liability was 7.65 percent. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for each plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans ran out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.65 percent discount rate is appropriate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not deemed necessary. The long-term expected discount rate of 7.65 percent is applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund. The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Such cash flows were developed assuming that both members and employers will make their required contributions on time and as scheduled in all future years. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound geometric returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. The target allocation shown was adopted by the CalPERS Board effective on July 1, 2014.

	New Strategic	Real Return	Real Return
Asset Class	Allocation	Years 1 - 10 ¹	Years 11+ ²
Global Equity	51.00%	5.25%	5.71%
Global Fixed Income	19.00%	0.99%	2.43%
Inflation Sensitive	6.00%	0.45%	3.36%
Private Equity	10.00%	6.83%	6.95%
Real Estate	10.00%	4.50%	5.13%
Infrastructure and Forestland	2.00%	4.50%	5.09%
Liquidity	2.00%	-0.55%	-1.05%

⁽¹⁾ An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.

Sensitivity of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each of the City's retirement plans, calculated using the discount rate, as well as what the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1% lower or 1% higher than the current rate.

	Decrease t 5.54%	 at 6.54%	1% Increase at 7.54%		
PFRS Plan	\$ 278,663	\$ 216,335	\$	163,584	
	 Decrease t 6.65%	 asurement at 7.65%		Increase 8.65%	
CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan	\$ 984,156	\$ 691,564	\$	447,699	
CalPERS Safety Plan	750,850	507,470		309,492	

⁽²⁾ An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

B. POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS OTHER THAN PENSION BENEFITS

Primary Government

1. Plan Description

The City has three programs in place to partially pay health insurance premiums for certain classes of retirees from City employment. City retirees are eligible for retiree health benefits if they meet certain requirements relating to age and service. The retiree health benefits are described in the labor agreements between the City and local unions and in City resolutions. The demographic rates used for the CalPERS plans were public safety employees retirements benefits under a 3% at 50 formula and miscellaneous employees retirement benefits under a 2.7% at 55 formula.

In 2014, the City began to partially pre-fund the annual required contribution (ARC) to the California Employer's Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by CalPERS. The CERBT is an Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 115 Trust and an investment vehicle that can be used by all California public employers to prefund future retiree health and OPEB costs.

The City's single-employer defined benefit retiree health plan (Retiree Health Plan) allows eligible retirees and their dependents to receive employer-paid medical insurance benefits through CalPERS. The medical insurance reimbursement is not to exceed the Kaiser-HMO family plan rate. The Retiree Health Plan also includes dental and vision benefits and reimbursement of Medicare part B monthly insurance premium. The Retiree Health Plan does not issue a separate financial report.

2. Funding Policy

The City pays part of the health insurance premiums for all retirees from City employment receiving a pension annuity earned through City service and participating in a City-sponsored CalPERS health benefit plan on a pay-as-you-go basis. The City paid \$20.5 million for retirees under this program for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

3. Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The City's annual postemployment benefit cost and net OPEB obligation for the Retiree Health Plan as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016 were as follows (in thousands):

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$ 74,094
Interest on net OPEB obligation	10,277
Adjustment to ARC	 (15,787)
Annual OPEB cost	68,584
Employer contribution	 (20,482)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	48,102
Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year	 256,922
Net OPEB obligation, end of year	\$ 305,024

The City's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed during the fiscal year, and the net OPEB obligation at the end of the year for the City's single employer Retiree Health Plan were as follows (in thousands):

			Percentage of								
				Annual OPEB							
	Year Ended	A	nnual	Cost	N	et OPEB					
	June 30,	OF	PEB Cost	Contributed	Obligation						
,	2014	\$	40,476	51.0%	\$	235,095					
	2015		41,585	47.5%		256,922					
	2016		68,584	29.9%		305,024					

OPEB Funded Status and Funding Progress

As summarized in the table below, as of July 1, 2015, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the City's Retiree Health Plan was 0.3 percent funded on an actuarial basis. Changes to the UAAL for the OPEB Plan was primarily the result of the actuarial value of assets being zero. The City is on a pay-as-you-go funding progress.

The specific funded status for the OPEB plan is summarized in the table below, as of July 1, 2015 (in thousands):

	Actuarial					UAAL as a
	Accrued	Actuarial				Percentage of
Actuarial	Liability	Value of	Unfunded		Covered	Covered
Valuation	(AAL)	Assets	AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Payroll	Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(a-b)	(b/a)	(c)	((a-b)/c)
7/1/2015	\$ 862,892	\$ 2,902	\$ 859,990	0.3%	\$ 360,858	238.3%

The Schedule of Funding Progress, presented as Required Supplementary Information (RSI) following the Notes to the Basic Financial Statements, presents information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets increased or decreased in relation to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

4. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions for OPEB Plan

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan in effect and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and healthcare cost trend. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrual liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations. The more significant actuarial methods and assumptions used in the calculations of the annual OPEB cost and the annual required contribution for the year ended June 30, 2016 and the funded status as of July 1, 2015 are as follows:

Description	Method/Assumption
Valuation Date	July 1, 2015 ¹
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal Cost Method
Amortization Method	Level Percent of Payroll, Open Period
Average Remaining Period	30 years
Asset Valuation Method	Market Value
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Blended Discount Rate ¹	4.00%
Investment Rate of Return	7.28%
Expected Return on City Assets	3.80%
Projected Salary Increases	2.5% per year growth
Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation	4.50%
Years to Ultimate Rate of Medical Inflation	20 years
Inflation	2.50%
Demographic Rate	Retirement benefit at 3% @ 50 formula for Safety employees and at 2.7% @ 55 formula for
	Miscellaneous employees.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The City began to partially pre-fund the ARC in June 2014 by participating in CERBT sponsored by CalPERS, and therefore the discount rate is a blend of the expected return on assets for the CERBT assets and the expected return on the City's general assets.

Changes in Actuarial Assumptions from the City's prior valuation dated July 1, 2013 include:

- Discount rate The discount rate was lowered from 5.59% to 4.0%, reflecting the actual amount of payments made to the CERBT in addition to benefits paid.
- Implicit subsidy The true cost of coverage for retirees age 55 to 64 is greater than the cost of the same coverage for the typical group of active employees. Employers who also treat the cost as being the same often are providing implicit subsidies for retirees. The cost difference, implicit subsidy, is equal to the "true" cost of providing retiree medical coverage minus the average active/retiree cost (i.e. the premium charged). Until recently, an implicit subsidy was assumed to not exist for community rated plans. However, Actuarial Standard of Practice (ASOP) No. 6 modified this assumption, making it necessary to value an implied subsidy cost for these plans effective for actuarial valuations on or after March 31, 2015. Since the City participates in the Public Employees' Medical and Hospital Care Act (PEMHCA) plans, which are considered community rated plans, the City has not needed to value an implied subsidy cost until this actuarial valuation.
- Demographic assumptions The rates of retirement, withdrawal, disability retirement, and mortality assumptions are used for participants in CalPERS, and are based on the most recent CalPERS Experience Study completed January 2014 and approved by the CalPERS Board in February 2014.

Component Unit - Port of Oakland

1. Plan Description

The Port contributes to the CERBT, an agent multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by CalPERS. The CERBT is an Internal Revenue Code Section 115 trust and an investment vehicle that can be used by all California public employers to prefund future retiree health and OPEB costs.

The Port's Retiree Health Plan allows eligible retirees and their dependents to receive employer paid medical insurance benefits through CalPERS, subject to certain limitations described below. Additionally, through the Port's Retiree Health Plan, employees hired before October 1, 2009 [before January 1, 2013 for members of the Services Employees International Union (SEIU) and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW)] are eligible to receive dental and vision benefits.

Prior to 2011, eligible retirees must have attained the age of fifty or over at the time of retirement, have five or more years of CalPERS service, and must be eligible to receive CalPERS retirement benefits. On July 21, 2011, the Port adopted resolutions that established a Health Benefit Vesting Requirement for employees hired on or after September 1, 2011 (on or after April 1, 2013 for members of SEIU and IBEW). The vesting schedule does not apply to employees that are granted a disability retirement.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

Under the adopted vesting schedule, the Port shall pay a percentage of retiree medical coverage for a retiree and his or her eligible dependents based on the provisions of Section 22893 of the California Government Code. Under these rules, a retiree must have at least 10 years of credited service with a CalPERS agency, at least 5 of which are with the City/Port.

The Port will pay a percentage of employer contributions for the Retiree based upon the following:

Years of Credited Service (at least 5 of which are with the City/Port)	Percentage of Employer Contributions
10	50%
11	55%
12	60%
13	65%
14	70%
15	75%
16	80%
17	85%
18	90%
19	95%
20	100%

2. Funding Policy

Benefit provisions are established and are amended through negotiations between the Port and the various bargaining units during each bargaining period. The Port pays a portion of retiree benefit expenses on a pay-as-you-go basis to third parties, outside of the CERBT fund, and funds the remaining annual required contribution (ARC) to the CERBT fund.

As of June 30, 2016, there were approximately 505 employees who had retired from the Port and were participating in the Port's Retiree Health Plan. During the year ended June 30, 2016, the Port contributed \$6.4 million to the CERBT and made payments of \$7.4 million on behalf of eligible retirees to third parties outside of the CERBT fund.

3. Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The Port's annual OPEB cost is equal to (a) ARC, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45, plus (b) one year's interest on the beginning balance of the net OPEB obligation, and minus (c) an adjustment to the ARC. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover the normal cost of each year and any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) amortized over a "closed" period of 30 years.

The following table shows the components of the Port's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount contributed to the CERBT, and changes in the Port's net OPEB obligation to the Plan as of June 30, 2016 (in thousands):

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	\$ 13,725
Interest on net OPEB obligation	717
Adjustment to ARC	(789)
Annual OPEB cost	13,653
Employer Contribution	(13,781)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	(128)
Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year	10,249
Net OPEB obligation, end of year	\$ 10,121

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The Port's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the current and prior two years are as follows (in thousands):

			Percentage of Annual OPEB	
Year Ended June 30,	Ann	ual OPEB Cost	Cost Contributed	et OPEB oligation
2014	\$	12,789	100.3%	\$ 10,414
2015		12,780	101.3%	10,249
2016		13 653	100 9%	10 121

4. Funded Status and Funding Progress

The table below indicates the funded status of the Plan as of June 30, 2015, the most recent actuarial valuation date (in thousands):

	Α	ctuarial									UAAL as	a
	A	Accrued	A	ctuarial							Percentage	of
Actuarial	I	Liability	V	alue of	U	nfunded			C	overed	Covered	
Valuation		(AAL)		Assets	AA	L (UAAL)	Funded Rati	0	P	ayroll	Payroll	
Date		(a)		(b)		(a-b)	(b/a)			(c)	((a-b)/c)	
6/30/2015	\$	157.351	\$	47.870	\$	109.481	30.4%			50.093	219%	

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

5. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan in effect and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of plan assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

The actuarial cost method used for determining the benefit obligations of the Port is the Projected Unit Credit Cost Method. Under the principles of this method, the actuarial present value of the projected benefits is the value of benefits expected to be paid for active and retired employees. The AAL is the present value of benefits attributed to employee service rendered prior to the valuation date. The AAL equals the present value of benefits multiplied by a fraction equal to service to date over service at expected retirement. The ARC for fiscal year 2016 was based on an actuarial valuation of the Port's plan as of June 30, 2015, which amortized the Port's UAAL over a "closed" period of 30 years beginning June 30, 2013. There are 28 years remaining as of June 30, 2015.

Actuarial assumptions used for the valuation of the Port's plan include a discount rate, which is based on the CERBT expected rate of return for the plan assets, and annual health care cost trends, which is based on the "Getzen" model published by the Society of Actuaries. The June 30, 2015 valuation used a discount rate of 7.00% and annual healthcare costs were assumed to increase at rates ranging from 2.75% to 8.25%, and a general inflation rate of 2.5% was used.

The schedules presented as Required Supplementary Information following the notes to basic the financial statements, presents multiyear trend information. The Schedule of Funding Progress – Port of Oakland Postemployment Benefits presents information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

C. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

1. Construction Commitments

As of June 30, 2016, the City had construction commitments for the acquisition and construction of assets as follows (in thousands):

					M	unicipal	(Other	(Other	Iı	ıternal		Total		
			Fed	eral/S tate	(Capital	S	pecial	Gove	ernmental	S	ervice	Gov	ernmental		
	Gene	ral Fund	Gr	Grant Fund		Grant Fund Improve		rovement	Re	evenue	Funds		Func		unds Activitie	
Building, facilities and infrastructure	\$	1,882	\$	3,271	\$	13,282	\$	309	\$	217	\$	1,732	\$	20,693		
Parks and open space		-		1,006		5,474		1,700		2		-		8,182		
Sewers and storm drains		-		277		138		-		-		-		415		
Streets and sidewalks		1,202		92,629		30,057		974		21,241		-		146,103		
Technology enhancements		3,283		-		7,254		3,359		-		15,008		28,904		
Traffic improvements		194		9,820		446		368		5,950		-		16,778		
Total	\$	6,561	\$	107,003	\$	56,651	\$	6,710	\$	27,410	\$	16,740	\$	221,075		
	-															

			Noi	ımajor		Total
	:	Sewer	Par	ks and	Busi	ness-Type
		Rec	reation	Activities		
Parks and open space	\$	-	\$	550	\$	550
Sewers and storm drains		48,990		-		48,990
Total	\$	48,990	\$	550	\$	49,540
Total	\$	48,990	\$	550	\$	49,54

2. Other Commitments and Contingencies

ORSA Encumbrances

As of June 30, 2016, the ORSA had encumbered \$877.5 million for contracted obligations, per the Recognized Obligations Payment Schedule covering the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017, which was approved by the California Department of Finance on May 19, 2016.

Component Unit - Port of Oakland

As of June 30, 2016, the Port had construction commitments for the acquisition and construction of assets as follows (in thousands):

Total	\$ 53,956
Maritime	6,432
Aviation	\$ 47,524

The most significant projects for which the Port has contractual commitments for construction is the Airport Terminal 1 retrofit and renovation program of \$43.8 million.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

1. Power Purchases

The Port purchases electrical power for resale and self-consumption and currently has three power purchase agreements with East Bay Municipal Utility ("EBMUD"), the Western Area Power Administration ("WAPA") and SunEdison, LLC ("SunEdison") with expiration dates greater than four years.

Counterparty	Contract Ending Year	Contract Structure	Estimated Output	Estimated Annual Cost
EBMUD	2022	Take and Pay - (Pay contract price only if energy is received)	8,000 MWH	Approximately \$584,000 with no annual escalator through 2017; approximate \$464,000 with no annual escalator from 2017-2022.
WAPA	2024	Take or Pay - (Pay contract price without regard to energy received)	17,000 MWH	Approximately \$800,000 (Changes annually depending on revenue requirement for power generation projects).
SunEdison	2027	Take and Pay - (Pay contract price only if energy is received)	1,200 MWH	Approximately \$200,000 with annual escalator.

In addition to the aforementioned power purchase agreements, the Port had outstanding, as of June 30, 2016, multiple forward power purchase contracts totaling approximately \$4.8 million with Powerex Corporation and Shell Energy North America. The forward power purchase contracts have various expiration dates through December 31, 2019.

2. Environmental Remediation

The entitlements for the Airport Development Program ("ADP") subject the Port to obligations arising from the adopted ADP Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program required under: the California Environmental Quality Act; permits issued by numerous regulatory agencies including the Regional Water Quality Control Board and the Bay Conservation and Development Commission; and settlement agreements. The majority of these obligations have been met, and monitoring and reporting are ongoing.

A summary of the Port's environmental remediation liability accounts, net of the estimated recoveries, included in long-term obligations on the statement of net position at June 30, 2016, is as follows (in thousands):

Tonows (in thousands).			Esti	mated
Obligating Event	Liability		Recovery	
Pollution poses an imminent danger to the public or environment	\$	1,678	\$	-
Identified as responsible to clean up pollution		10,916		193
Begins or legally obligates to clean up or post-clean up activities		2,468		50
Total by obligating event	\$	15,062	\$	243

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

The environmental remediation liability accounts in the summary tables are listed by the initial obligating event. Due to new information, the obligating event may change from the initial obligating event. Obligating events include without limitations: 1) the Port is named, or evidence indicates that it will be named, by a regulator such as the Department of Toxic Substances Control or the Regional Water Quality Control Board, as a responsible party or potentially responsible party for remediation; and 2) the Port has commenced, or has legally obligated itself to commence, clean-up activities or monitoring or operation and maintenance of the remediation effort (e.g., by undertaking a soil and groundwater pre-development investigation).

Methods and Assumptions

The Port measured the environmental liabilities for pollution remediation sites on Port-owned property using the Expected Cash Flow technique. The measurements are based on the current value of the outlays expected to be incurred. The cash flow scenarios include each component which can be reasonably estimated for outlays such as testing, monitoring, legal services and indirect outlays for Port labor instead of ranges of all components. Reasonable estimates of ranges of possible cash flows are limited from a single scenario to a few scenarios. Data used to develop the cash flow scenarios is obtained from outside consultants, Port staff, and the Port's outside legal counsel.

Changes to estimates will be made when new information becomes available. Estimates for the pollution remediation sites will be developed when the following benchmarks or changes in estimated outlays occur:

- Receipt of an administrative order.
- Participation, as a responsible party or a potentially responsible party, in the site assessment or investigation.
- Completion of a corrective measures feasibility study.
- Issuance of an authorization to proceed.
- Remediation design and implementation, through and including operation and maintenance and post-remediation monitoring.
- Change in the remediation plan or operating conditions, including but not limited to type of equipment, facilities and services that will be used and price increases.
- Changes in technology.
- Changes in legal or regulatory requirements.

3. Recoveries

The environmental liabilities balances listed on the prior page have been reduced by estimated future recoveries. In calculating the estimated future recoveries, Port staff and outside legal counsel reviewed and applied the requirements of GASB Statement No. 49 for accounting for recoveries. For example, if a Port tenant has a contract obligation to reimburse the Port for certain pollution remediation costs, or if an insurance carrier has paid money on a certain claim and the Port is pursuing additional costs from the insurance carrier associated with the claim, then a recovery was estimated. If an insurance carrier has not yet acknowledged coverage, then a recovery was not estimated.

Notes to the Basic Financial Statements (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

D. DEFICIT FUND BALANCES/NET POSITION

As of June 30, 2016, the following funds reported deficits in fund balance/net position (in thousands):

Special Revenue Funds:	
Federal/State Grant Fund	\$ (8,093)
Landscape and Lighting Assessment District	(297)
Debt Service Fund:	
JPFA Fund	(3)
Internal Service Funds:	
Facilities	(26,203)
Reproduction	(2,579)
Central Stores	(4,692)
Purchasing	(1,399)

The deficit in the Federal/State Grant Fund will be cleared by grant reimbursement submitted to granting agencies, but revenue has not been received within the City's availability period. The deficit in the Landscape and Lighting Assessment District they collected from special assessments. The City's facilities, reproduction, central stores, and purchasing fund deficits are expected to be funded through increased user charges in future years. During the 2011-13 Budget, the City revised the repayment plan for the internal service funds to eliminate the funds' net position deficit by 2019.

At June 30, 2016, ORSA has a negative net position of \$317.9 million. Under the former California Redevelopment Law, the Former Agency issued bonds or incurs long-term debt to finance its redevelopment projects by pledging future tax increment revenues. In general, ORSA's revenues can only be used to pay enforceable obligations in existence at the date of dissolution (including the completion of any unfinished projects that were subject to legally enforceable contractual commitments).

E. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

Debt Issuance – Port

On August 16, 2016, the Port issued \$11.0 million of Series A (AMT) commercial paper notes, in order to reimburse prior capital expenditures, which included expenditures for the Port's Runway Safety Area project and for the Terminal 1 retrofit and renovation project. This transaction is part of a larger plan to finance PFC-eligible Airport projects by utilizing debt when the rate of project expenditures exceed the rate of PFC collections. In its fiscal year 2017 Capital Budget, the Port has estimated that a total of \$68.7 million will be issued for this purpose over the 5-year Capital Improvement Program period.

This page is intentionally left blank.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios – Police and Fire Retirement System Last Three Fiscal Years*

(In Thousands)

Fiscal year	 2016-17		2015-16		2014-15	
Measurement period	2015-16		2014-15		2013-14	
Total pension liability						
Service cost	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
Interest on the total pension liability	42,480		41,263		42,333	
Changes of assumptions	43,480		34,219		-	
Differences between expected and actual experience	6,978		(21,209)		-	
Benefit payments, including refunds of						
employee contributions	 (58,441)		(59,008)		(57,409)	
Net change in total pension liability	34,497		(4,735)		(15,076)	
Total pension liability, beginning	 635,588		640,323		655,399	
Total pension liability, ending	\$ 670,085	\$	635,588	\$	640,323	
Plan fiduciary net position						
Contributions, employer	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
Contributions, employee	-		-		4	
Net investment income	(1,419)		15,439		66,392	
Administrative expenses	(1,376)		(985)		(776)	
Claims and settlements	3,593		-		-	
Benefit payments, including refunds of						
employee contributions	 (58,441)		(59,008)		(57,409)	
Net change in plan fiduciary net position	(57,643)		(44,554)		8,211	
Plan fiduciary net position, beginning	 419,253		463,807		455,596	
Plan fiduciary net position, ending	\$ 361,610	\$	419,253	\$	463,807	
Plan net pension liability	\$ 308,475	\$	216,335	\$	176,516	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	54.0%		66.0%		72.4%	
Covered payroll	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
Plan net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll	n/a		n/a		n/a	

Note to schedule:

^{*} Fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 was the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, therefore only three years of information is shown.

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios – CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan Last Two Fiscal Years*

(In Thousands)

Fiscal year		2015-16	2014-15		
Measurement period		2014-15	2013-14		
Total pension liability					
Service cost	\$	37,347	\$	37,135	
Interest on the total pension liability		172,693		166,822	
Changes of assumptions		(39,092)		-	
Differences between expected and actual experience		(7,769)		-	
Benefit payments, including refunds of					
employee contributions		(126,730)		(121,423)	
Net change in total pension liability		36,449		82,534	
Total pension liability, beginning		2,348,971		2,266,437	
Total pension liability, ending	\$	2,385,420	\$	2,348,971	
Plan fiduciary net position					
Contributions, employer	\$	63,531	\$	50 556	
Contributions, employee	Ф	16,904	Ф	52,556 17,431	
Plan ot plan resource movement		16,904		17,431	
Net investment income		37,833		256,552	
Administrative expenses		(1,919)		230,332	
Benefit payments, including refunds of		(1,919)		-	
employee contributions		(126,730)		(121,423)	
Net change in plan fiduciary net position		(10,357)		205,116	
Plan fiduciary net position, beginning		1,704,213		1,499,097	
Plan fiduciary net position, ending	\$	1,693,856	<u>\$</u>	1,704,213	
Plan net pension liability	\$	691,564	\$	644,758	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		71.0%		72.6%	
Covered payroll	\$	200,562	\$	188,886	
Plan net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll		344.8%		341.3%	

Note to schedule:

Benefit Changes - The figures above do not include any liability impact that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after the June 30, 2014 valuation date. This applies for voluntary benefit changes as well as any offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes).

Change in assumptions - The discount rate was changed from 7.50 percent (net of administrative expense) in 2015 to 7.65% in 2016.

^{*} Fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 was the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, therefore only two years of information is shown.

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios – CalPERS Safety Plan Last Two Fiscal Years*

(In Thousands)

Fiscal year		2015-16	2014-15		
Measurement period		2014-15	2013-14		
Total pension liability					
Service cost	\$	32,899	\$	34,590	
Interest on the total pension liability		121,444		115,261	
Changes of assumptions		(31,738)		-	
Differences between expected and actual experience		4,892		-	
Benefit payments, including refunds of					
employee contributions		(74,198)		(68,751)	
Net change in total pension liability		53,299		81,100	
Total pension liability, beginning		1,634,999		1,553,899	
Total pension liability, ending	<u>\$</u>	1,688,298	\$	1,634,999	
Plan fiduciary net position					
Contributions, employer	\$	44,366	\$	37,007	
Contributions, employee		15,027		14,598	
Plan to plan resource movement		(24)		-	
Net investment income		26,057		175,344	
Administrative expenses		(1,337)		-	
Benefit payments, including refunds of					
employee contributions		(74,198)		(68,751)	
Net change in plan fiduciary net position		9,891		158,198	
Plan fiduciary net position, beginning		1,170,937		1,012,739	
Plan fiduciary net position, ending	\$	1,180,828	\$	1,170,937	
Plan net pension liability	\$	507,470	\$	464,062	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		69.9%		71.6%	
Covered payroll	\$	119,980	\$	120,396	
Plan net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll		423.0%		385.4%	

Note to schedule:

Benefit Changes - The figures above do not include any liability impact that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after the June 30, 2014 valuation date. This applies for voluntary benefit changes as well as any offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes).

Change in assumptions - The discount rate was changed from 7.50 percent (net of administrative expense) in 2015 to 7.65% in 2016.

^{*} Fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 was the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, therefore only two years of information is shown.

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions – Police and Fire Retirement System Last Three Fiscal Years* (In Thousands)

(III IIIOUSUIU

Oakland Police and Fire Retirement System

Fiscal year ended June 30	2016 *2015		015	2014		
Actuarially determined contributions (ADC)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,300
Contributions in relation to the ADC		-		-		_
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,300
Covered payroll	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Contributions as a percentage of						
covered payroll	1	n/a	1	n/a		n/a

^{*} Although an actuarial valuation was performed as of June 30, 2013, 2014 and 2015, no ADC was determined for 2014, 2015, and 2016, based on the City's funding policy. In July 2012, the City contributed \$210 million in pension obligation bonds proceeds to the plan.

The actuarial methods and assumptions used to set the actuarially determined contributions were as follows:

Actuarial cost method Asset valuation method Recognizes 20% difference between market value and expected actuarial value each year, with a corridor of 10% around market value. Amortization method Level dollar closed (23 years remaining as of 7/1/2013) Inflation 3.25% to 3.375% Discount rate 6.75% Projected benefit increases Following expiration of current MOUs (6/30/15 for Police, 10/31/17 for Fire): Police 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.	Actuarial valuation date	July 1, 2012
and expected actuarial value each year, with a corridor of 10% around market value. Amortization method Level dollar closed (23 years remaining as of 7/1/2013) Inflation 3.25% to 3.375% Discount rate 6.75% Projected benefit increases Following expiration of current MOUs (6/30/15 for Police, 10/31/17 for Fire): Police 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.	Actuarial cost method	Entry-Age Normal Cost Method
corridor of 10% around market value. Amortization method Level dollar closed (23 years remaining as of 7/1/2013) Inflation 3.25% to 3.375% Discount rate 6.75% Projected benefit increases Following expiration of current MOUs (6/30/15 for Police, 10/31/17 for Fire): Police 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.	Asset valuation method	Recognizes 20% difference between market value
Amortization method Level dollar closed (23 years remaining as of 7/1/2013) Inflation 3.25% to 3.375% Discount rate 6.75% Projected benefit increases Following expiration of current MOUs (6/30/15 for Police, 10/31/17 for Fire): Police 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.		•
Inflation 3.25% to 3.375% Discount rate 6.75% Projected benefit increases Following expiration of current MOUs (6/30/15 for Police, 10/31/17 for Fire): Police 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.		corridor of 10% around market value.
Discount rate 6.75% Projected benefit increases Following expiration of current MOUs (6/30/15 for Police, 10/31/17 for Fire): Police 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.	Amortization method	Level dollar closed (23 years remaining as of 7/1/2013)
Projected benefit increases Following expiration of current MOUs (6/30/15 for Police, 10/31/17 for Fire): Police 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.	Inflation	3.25% to 3.375%
Police, 10/31/17 for Fire): 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.	Discount rate	6.75%
Police 2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.	Projected benefit increases	Following expiration of current MOUs (6/30/15 for
(Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.		Police, 10/31/17 for Fire):
per year.	Police	2% per year, 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975%
		(Bay Area inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase)
		per year.
Fire 3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area	Fire	3% per year for 3 year, then 3.975% (Bay Area
inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.		inflation plus 0.60% productivity increase) per year.
Mortality (healthy) RP-2000 Combined Healthy Table (for males, rates	Mortality (healthy)	RP-2000 Combined Healthy Table (for males, rates
multiplied by 97% and ages set back 1 year), projected		multiplied by 97% and ages set back 1 year), projected
to improve with Scale AA using a 2006 base year.		to improve with Scale AA using a 2006 base year.
Mortality (disabled) CalPERS Industrial Disability Mortality Table (from	Mortality (disabled)	CalPERS Industrial Disability Mortality Table (from
1997 - 2007 experience study) projected to improve		1997 - 2007 experience study) projected to improve
with Scale AA using 2010 base year.		with Scale AA using 2010 base year.

^{*} Fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 was the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, therefore only three years of information is shown.

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) Schedule of Employer Pension Contributions – CalPERS Plans Last Three Fiscal Years* (In Thousands)

Miscellaneous Plan	2017		504 Fabric		2014	
Fiscal year ended June 30	 2016		2015**	2014		
Actuarially determined contributions (ADC)	\$ 65,399	\$	59,468	\$	52,556	
Contributions in relation to the ADC	 (65,399)		(63,531)		(52,556)	
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	\$	(4,063)	\$	-	
Covered payroll	\$ 200,132	\$	200,562	\$	188,886	
Contributions as a percentage of						
covered payroll	32.68%		31.68%		27.82%	
Safety Plan						
Fiscal year ended June 30	2016	2	2015**	2014		
Actuarially determined contributions	\$ 46,264	\$	43,747	\$	37,007	
Contributions in relation to the ADC	 (46,264)		(44,366)		(37,007)	
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	\$	(619)	\$	-	
Covered payroll	\$ 125,299	\$	119,980	\$	120,396	
Contributions as a percentage of						
covered payroll	36.92%		36.98%		30.74%	

The actuarial methods and assumptions used to set the actuarially determined contributions were as follows:

ADC for fiscal year	June 30, 2016
Actuarial valuation date	June 30, 2013
Actuarial cost method	Entry-Age Normal Cost Method
Asset valuation method	Actuarial value of assets
Inflation	2.75%
Salary increases	Varies by entry age and services
Payroll growth	3.00%
Investment rate of return	7.50%, net of pension plan investment and
	administrative expenses, includes inflation.
Retirement age	The probabilities of retirement are based on the
	2010 CalPERS Experience Study for the period
	1997 to 2007.
Mortality	The probabilities of mortality are based on the 2010
• • •	CalPERS Experience Study for the period from
	1997 to 2007. Pre-retirement and Post-retirement
	mortality rates include 5 years of projected mortality

^{*} Fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 was the first year of implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, therefore only three years of information is shown.

improvement using Scale AA published by the

Society of Actuaries.

^{**} In fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions were based on estimates. The City made a \$0.06 million and a \$0.25 million adjustment to align the estimated employer contributions with the actual employer contributions per the 2015 agent-multiple employer CalPERS reports for the CalPERS Miscellaneous Plan and the Safety Plan, respectively.

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) Schedules of Funding Progress – Other Postemployment Benefits Year Ended June 30, 2016

(In Thousands)

The schedules of funding progress below show the recent history of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, their relationship, and the relationship of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability to covered payroll. The required contributions were determined as part of the actuarial valuation using the entry age normal actuarial cost method.

City Other Postemployment Benefits

				· ·	U	nfunded						
	A	Actuarial	A	ctuarial	(O	verfunded)				UAAL as a		
	Accrued		V	Value of		Value of		AAL Funded		Covered		percent of
Valuation	Liab	ility (AAL)		Assets	(UAAL) Ratio		Payroll		Covered Payroll			
Date		(a)		(b) *		(a-b)	(b)/(a)		(c)	((a-b) / c)		
7/1/2012	\$	553,530	\$	-	\$	553,530	0.0%	\$	304,373	181.9%		
7/1/2013		463,851		-		463,851	0.0%		322,170	144.0%		
7/1/2015		862,892		2.902		859,990	0.3%		360,858	238.3%		

^{*} The City began to partially pre-fund the annual required contribution in the year ended June 30, 2014 by participating in California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust sponsored by CalPERS.

	Port Other Postemployment Benefits															
					U	nfunded										
	A	Actuarial	A	ctuarial	(O	verfunded)				UAAL as a						
	1	Accrued	V	Value of		Value of		Value of		Value of A.		AAL	Funded	C	Covered	percent of
Valuation	Liab	ility (AAL)		Assets	(UAAL)		Ratio	I	Payroll	Covered Payroll						
Date		(a)		(b)	(a-b)		(b)/(a)		(c)	((a-b) / c)						
6/30/2011	\$	128,906	\$	19,145	\$	109,761	14.9%	\$	44,627	246.0%						
6/30/2013		136,616		30,715		105,901	22.5%		47,823	221.4%						
6/30/2015		157,351		47,870		109,481	30.4%		50,093	218.6%						

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2016 (In Thousands)

		Original Budget		Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis		etary Pos	
REVENUES								
Taxes:			_					
Property	\$	235,850	\$	235,850	\$	257,707	\$	21,857
Sales and use Motor vehicle in-lieu		52,518		55,426		52,192 166		(3,234) 166
Local taxes:		-		-		100		100
Business license		70,048		70,048		75,504		5,456
Utility consumption		50,000		50,000		51,006		1,006
Real estate transfer		61,176		61,176		89,594		28,418
Transient occupancy		16,900		16,900		20,209		3,309
Parking		10,211		10,211		10,220		9
Franchise		15,635		15,635		18,321		2,686
Licenses and permits Fines and penalities		2,345 24,443		2,344 24,677		1,591 21,648		(753) (3,029)
Interest and investment income		715		715		1,097		382
Charges for services		79,395		80,164		85,184		5,020
Federal and state grants and subventions		4,214		2,094		5,953		3,859
Annuity income		8,857		8,857		1,096		(7,761)
Other		1,519		1,521		2,622		1,101
TOTAL REVENUES		633,826		635,618		694,110		58,492
EXPENDITURES Current:								
Elected and Appointed Officials:								
Mayor		2,535		2,542		2,197		345
Council		4,436		4,706		4,513		193
City Administrator		17,665		19,376		15,831		3,545
City Attorney		12,337		16,605		15,296		1,309
City Auditor		1,904		1,907		1,760		147
City Clerk		3,425		4,025		3,326		699
Public Ethics Commission		-		11,116		587		10,529
Departments: Administrative Service Department:								
Human Resource Management		6,452		7,506		6,655		851
Financial Services		26,119		28,195		23,879		4,316
Information Technology		10,507		13,358		11,604		1,754
Race and Equity Department		304		11,420		84		11,336
Public Safety:								
Oakland Police Department		217,872		237,932		245,628		(7,696)
Oakland Fire Department		124,714		127,423		126,669		754
Community Service Department:		22.251		22.050		22 100		7.00
Parks and Recreation Library		22,361 11,129		23,968 11,420		23,199 11,220		769 200
Human Services Department		6,526		8,065		6,233		1,832
Community and Economic Development:		0,320		8,005		0,233		1,032
Planning and Building		299		1,020		109		911
Economic & Workforce Development		9,267		10,061		8,891		1,170
Housing & Community Development		6,684		9,900		4,416		5,484
Oakland Public Works		34,422		39,849		30,539		9,310
Other		44,179		21,267		12,086		9,181
Capital outlay		1,373		7,329		2,277		5,052
Debt service:		6.005		5 572		£ 422		141
Principal repayment Bond issuance cost		6,095		5,573		5,432 240		(240)
Interest charges		522		522		522		(240)
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		571,127		625,085		563,193		61,892
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES								
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		62,699		10,533		130,917		(3,400)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)								
Premiums (discount) on issuance of debt		_		_		(78)		(78)
Proceeds from sale of capital assets		4,452		4,452		66		(4,386)
Insurance claims and settlements		_		_		4,314		4,314
Transfers in		72,257		77,492		8,653		(68,839)
Transfers out		(143,931)		(165,134)		(100,843)		64,291
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		(67,222)		(83,190)		(87,888)		(4,698)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE Fund balance (deficit) - beginning		(4,523) 269,689		(72,657) 269,689		43,029 269,689		(8,098)
FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$	265,166	\$	197,032	\$	312,718	\$	(8,098)
	4	_55,100	4	-2.,932	Ψ.	_1_,/10	4	(0,000)

Required Supplementary Information (unaudited) Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Other Special Revenue Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)
REVENUES				
Taxes:				
Property	\$ 15,978	\$ 15,978	\$ 15,660	\$ (318)
Local taxes:				
Transient occupancy	4,728	4,877	5,462	585
Parking	8,680	8,680	9,955	1,275
Voter approved special tax	19,115	19,115	18,473	(642)
Licenses and permits	15,418	15,418	27,682	12,264
Fines and penalities	1,116	1,116	878	(238)
Interest and investment income	10	412	964	552
Charges for services	21,643	21,646	28,294	6,648
Federal and state grants and subventions	1,449	1,904	3,663	1,759
Other	959	3,134	2,287	(847)
TOTAL REVENUES	89,096	92,280	113,318	21,038
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Elected and Appointed Officials:				
Mayor	83	100	_	100
City Administrator	1,444	2,995	780	2,215
City Attorney	1,843	1,926	1,931	(5)
Departments:	1,013	1,520	1,551	(3)
Administrative Service Department:				
Financial Services	645	764	978	(214)
Information Technology	692	607	646	(39)
	092	007	040	(39)
Public Safety:	14.020	15 522	15 702	(170)
Oakland Police Department	14,938	15,532	15,702	(170)
Oakland Fire Department	7,097	8,317	6,041	2,276
Community Service Department:				
Parks and Recreation	-	440	368	72
Library	16,527	17,505	15,055	2,450
Human Services Department	23,236	30,571	20,104	10,467
Community and Economic Development:				
Planning and Building	26,520	33,674	24,765	8,909
Economic & Workforce Development	576	1,184	583	601
Housing & Community Development	1,848	5,428	4,370	1,058
Oakland Public Works	5,775	8,305	5,954	2,351
Other	4,152	4,301	4,779	(478)
Capital outlay	603	6,899	2,204	4,695
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	105,979	138,548	104,260	34,288
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES				
	(16 992)	(46.269)	0.059	(12.250)
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(16,883)	(46,268)	9,058	(13,250)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Transfers in	17,638	18,348	15,083	(3,265)
Transfers out	(755)	(902)	-	902
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING	` '	· /		
SOURCES (USES)	16,883	17,446	15,083	(2,363)
NICE CITA NICE IN FUND DATA NICE		(00.000)	24.141	(15 (10)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	-	(28,822)	24,141	(15,613)
Fund balance (deficit) - beginning	32,675	32,675	32,675	Ф (1 = 110)
FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$ 32,675	\$ 3,853	\$ 56,816	\$ (15,613)

Notes to Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

(1) BUDGETARY DATA

In accordance with the provisions of the City Charter, the City prepares and adopts a budget on or before June 30 for each fiscal year. The City Charter prohibits expending funds for which there is no legal appropriation. Therefore, the City is required to adopt budgets for all City funds.

Prior to July 1, the original adopted budget is finalized through the passage of a resolution by the City Council. The level of legal budgetary control by the City Council is established at the fund level. For management purposes, the budget is controlled at the departmental level of expenditure within funds.

In June 2015, the City Council approved the City's two-year budget for fiscal years 2016 and 2017. Although appropriations are adopted for a 24-month period, they are divided into two one-year spending plans. Agencies/departments ending the first year with budgetary non-project surplus, according to Council policy, will be allowed to carry-forward 1/3 for their operating budget, 1/3 for their capital spending, and 1/3 for reverting to the General Fund balance.

The final budgetary data presented in the required supplementary information reflects approved changes to the original 2015-16 budget. Certain projects are appropriated on a multiyear rather than annual basis. If such projects or programs are not completed at the end of the fiscal year, unexpended appropriations are carried forward to the following year with the approval of the City Administrator.

Transfers of appropriations between funds and supplemental appropriations financed by unanticipated revenues must be approved by the City Council.

Transfers of appropriations between projects within the same fund must be approved by the City Administrator. Final budget amounts reported in the required supplementary information reflect both the appropriation changes approved by the City Council and the transfers approved by the City Administrator.

Budgetary Basis of Accounting

The City adopts budgets each fiscal year on a basis of accounting which is substantially the same as accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) except for certain investment earnings.

Certain funds of the City contain capital projects, grant projects, loan programs or other programs that are budgeted on a multiyear basis. The amounts of the projects and programs budgeted on a multiyear basis are significant compared to the items budgeted on an annual basis; therefore, a comparison of budget to actual for the fund would not be meaningful. As a result, such funds that are excluded from budgetary reporting are:

- Federal/State Grant Fund
- Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund
- Municipal Capital Improvement Fund

While the City adopts budgets for all funds, the budgets to actual comparisons for proprietary and fiduciary funds are not presented because some projects and programs are adopted on a multiyear basis.

Notes to Required Supplementary Information (continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

(2) RECONCILIATION OF OPERATIONS ON MODIFIED ACCRUAL BASIS TO BUDGETARY BASIS

The governmental fund financial statements have been prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with GAAP. The "Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund" has been prepared on a budgetary basis, which is different from GAAP.

The budgetary process is based upon accounting for certain transactions on a basis other than GAAP. The results of operations are presented in the budget to actual comparison schedule in accordance with the budgetary process (Budgetary Basis) to provide a meaningful comparison with the budget.

The main difference between actual amounts on a budgetary basis and a GAAP basis is due to timing.

In October 2001, the City entered into a debt service deposit agreement with a third party whereby the City received approximately \$9.6 million in exchange for forgoing its right to receive investment earnings on the amounts deposited with the trustee in advance of the date that the related debt was due to the bondholders. The compensation to the City was recorded as revenue in fiscal year 2002 when received on a budgetary basis. On a GAAP basis, the revenue was deferred and is being recognized over the 21-year life of the agreement. Amortization for the year ended June 30, 2016, was \$0.8 million.

The following schedule is a reconciliation of the GAAP and budgetary results of operations (in thousands):

	Gen	eral Fund
Net change in fund balance - GAAP basis	\$	43,805
Amortization of debt service deposit agreement		(776)
Net change in fund balance - Budgetary basis	\$	43,029

The General Fund's fund balance on a GAAP Basis is reconciled to a Budgetary Basis as of June 30, 2016, which is as follows (in thousands):

	Gene	eral Fund
Fund balance as of June 30, 2016 - GAAP basis	\$	310,136
Unamortized debt service deposit agreement		2,582
Fund balance as of June 30, 2016 - Budgetary basis	\$	312,718

COMBINING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds June 30, 2016

	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Total
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ 31,035	\$ 12,188	\$ 43,223
Receivable, net:			
Accrued interest and dividends	35	15	50
Property taxes	2,332	307	2,639
Accounts receivable	5,475	-	5,475
Grants receivable	1,888	-	1,888
Restricted cash and investments	1,024	12,260	13,284
Other assets	100		100
TOTALASSETS	\$ 41,889	\$ 24,770	\$ 66,659
LIABILITIES			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 5,180	\$ 8	\$ 5,188
Due to other funds	1,549	2	1,551
Other	950	-	950
TOTALLIABILITIES	7,679	10	7,689
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES			
Unavailable revenue - property tax	 1,913	 115	2,028
FUND BALANCES			
Restricted	30,073	24,648	54,721
Committed	2,115	-	2,115
Assigned	1,429	-	1,429
Unassigned	(1,320)	(3)	(1,323)
TOTAL FUND BALANCES	32,297	 24,645	 56,942
TOTAL LIA BILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS			
OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$ 41,889	\$ 24,770	\$ 66,659

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Himinations	Total
REVENUES				
Taxes:				
Property	\$ -	\$ 6,431	\$ -	\$ 6,431
Sales and use	25,173	-	-	25,173
Gas	8,653	-	-	8,653
Voter approved special tax	19,320	-	-	19,320
Licenses and permits	89	-	-	89
Fines and penalities	1,085	13	-	1,098
Interest and investment income	134	144	-	278
Charges for services	320	314	-	634
Federal and state grants and subventions	3,898	-	-	3,898
Other	2,751	413		3,164
TOTAL REVENUES	61,423	7,315		68,738
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Elected and Appointed Officials:				
Mayor	260	-	-	260
City Administrator	7	-	-	7
City Attorney	36	-	_	36
Departments:				
Administrative Service Department:				
Financial Services	82	46	-	128
Public Safety:				
Oakland Police Department	898	-	-	898
Oakland Fire Department	850	-	-	850
Community Service Department:				
Parks and Recreation	2,639	-	-	2,639
Library	304	-	-	304
Human Services Department	1,634	-	-	1,634
Community and Economic Development:				
Economic & Workforce Development	916	-	-	916
Oakland Public Works	38,406	-	-	38,406
Other	-	67	-	67
Capital outlay	12,878	-	-	12,878
Debt service:				
Principal repayment	-	42,410	-	42,410
Bond issuance cost	-	11	-	11
Interest charges		50,946		50,946
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	58,910	93,480		152,390
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES				
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	2,513	(86,165)	-	(83,652)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Transfers in	1,249	81,162	(2,784)	79,627
Transfers out	(1,772)	(3,446)	2,784	(2,434)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING	(500)			== 400
SOURCES (USES)	(523)	77,716	-	77,193
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	1,990	(8,449)	_	(6,459)
Fund balances - beginning	30,307	33,094	_	63,401
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 32,297	\$ 24,645	\$ -	\$ 56,942
TOTAL DISTRICTOR LANDING	Ψ 32,291	Ψ 27,073	<u> </u>	9 30,742

NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

Special revenue funds account for certain revenue sources that are legally restricted or committed to be spent for specified purposes. Other restricted sources are accounted for in fiduciary, debt service, and capital projects funds.

Traffic Safety and Control Fund accounts for monies received from 3-5% parking meter collections and from fines and forfeitures for misdemeanor violations of vehicle codes which are expended or disbursed for purposes immediately connected with traffic safety and control.

State Gas Tax Fund accounts for the subventions received from state gas taxes under the provision of the Streets and Highways Code. State gas taxes are restricted to uses related to local streets and highways and would include acquisitions of real property, construction and improvements, and repairs and maintenance of streets and highways.

The Landscape and Lighting Assessment District Fund is an assessment district fund that is used to account for monies restricted to installing, maintaining and servicing public lighting, landscaping and park facilities.

Assessment Districts Fund accounts for monies restricted to specific improvements that beneficially affect a well defined and limited area of land.

Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Fund accounts for monies held for the general betterment and beautification of city parks, recreation centers, the Oakland Public Museum, and the Oakland Public Library.

Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds June 30, 2016

	S	Fraffic afety & Control	State las Tax	Li Ass	ndscape and ghting essment istrict	essment stricts	Rec	arks, reation, Cultural	Total
ASSETS									
Cash and investments	\$	18,584	\$ 3,969	\$	-	\$ 3,788	\$	4,694	\$ 31,035
Receivable, net:									
Accrued interest and dividends		21	5		-	4		5	35
Property taxes		-	-		2,058	76		198	2,332
Accounts receivable		4,385	-		1,068	22		-	5,475
Grants receivable		1,888	-		-	-		-	1,888
Restricted cash and investments		-	-		1,023	-		1	1,024
Other as sets		100	 -		-	 -		-	 100
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	24,978	\$ 3,974	\$	4,149	\$ 3,890	\$	4,898	\$ 41,889
LIABILITIES									
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	2,776	\$ 686	\$	1,219	\$ 268	\$	231	\$ 5,180
Due to other funds		· -	-		1,549	-		-	1,549
Other		_	_		-	-		950	950
TOTAL LIABILITIES		2,776	686		2,768	268		1,181	7,679
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES									
Unavailable revenue - property tax		-	 -		1,678	 63		172	 1,913
FUND BALANCES									
Restricted		22,202	3,288		1,023	3,559		1	30.073
Committed		-	-		-	-		2.115	2.115
Assigned		_	_		_	_		1,429	1,429
Unassigned		_	_		(1,320)	_		-,,	(1,320)
TOTAL FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT)		22,202	 3,288		(297)	 3,559		3,545	 32,297
,		<u> </u>	· ·			 -		<u> </u>	·
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOW	S								
OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	24,978	\$ 3,974	\$	4,149	\$ 3,890	\$	4,898	\$ 41,889

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Traffic Safety & Control	State Gas Tax	Landscape and Lighting Assessment District	Assessment Districts	Parks, Recreation, and Cultural	Total
REVENUES						
Taxes:						
Sales and use	\$ 25,173	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,173
Gas	-	8,653	-	-	-	8,653
Voter approved special tax	-	-	19,155	165	-	19,320
Licenses and permits	-	2	87	-	-	89
Fines and penalities	1,085	-	-	-	-	1,085
Interest and investment income	69	23	1	14	27	134
Charges for services	104	9	207	-	-	320
Federal and state grants and subventions	3,325	138	-	-	435	3,898
Other	1,828	4	104	4	811	2,751
TOTAL REVENUES	31,584	8,829	19,554	183	1,273	61,423
EXPENDITURES						
Current:						
Elected and Appointed Officials:						
Mayor	245	-	-	-	15	260
City Administrator	-	-	6	1	-	7
City Attorney	36	-	-	-	-	36
Departments:						
Administrative Service Department:						
Financial Services	47	-	33	2	-	82
Public Safety:						
Oakland Police Department	898	-	-	-	-	898
Oakland Fire Department	-	-	-	850	-	850
Community Service Department:						
Parks and Recreation	-	-	2,638	-	1	2,639
Library	-	-	-	-	304	304
Human Services Department	1,634	-	-	-	-	1,634
Community and Economic Development:						
Economic & Workforce Development	404	-	-	-	512	916
Oakland Public Works	11,517	11,164	15,708	32	(15)	38,406
Capital outlay	11,632	966	16	245	19	12,878
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	26,413	12,130	18,401	1,130	836	58,910
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES						
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	5,171	(3,301)	1,153	(947)	437	2,513
					·	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)						
Transfers in	137	-	612	500	-	1,249
Transfers out			(1,772)			(1,772)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING						
SOURCES (USES)	137		(1,160)	500		(523)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	5,308	(3,301)	(7)	(447)	437	1,990
Fund balances (deficit) - beginning	16,894	6,589	(290)	4,006	3,108	30,307
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$ 22,202	\$ 3,288	\$ (297)	\$ 3,559	\$ 3,545	\$ 32,297

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

		Traffic Safe	ty & Control			State (Gas Tax	
			Actual	Variance			Actual	Variance
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Budgetary Basis	Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Budgetary Basis	Positive (Negative)
REVENUES				(rieguerie)		Duager		(Fieguri (e)
Taxes:								
Sales and use	\$ 24,646	\$ 24,646	\$ 25,173	\$ 527	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Gas	-	-	-	-	9,012	9,012	8,653	(359)
Licenses and permits	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
Fines and penalities	2,727	2,727	1,085	(1,642)	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income	11	11	69	58	-	-	23	23
Charges for services	115	115	104	(11)	-	-	9	9
Federal and state grants and subventions	1,638	1,848	3,325	1,477	20	141	138	(3)
Other	-	93	1,828	1,735	141	20	4	(16)
TOTAL REVENUES	29,137	29,440	31,584	2,144	9,173	9,173	8,829	(344)
EXPENDITURES								-
Current:								
Elected and Appointed Officials:								
Mayor	210	210	245	(35)	_	-	_	_
City Attorney	30	30	36	(6)	_	-	_	_
Departments:								
Administrative Service Department:								
Financial Services	39	39	47	(8)	_	_	_	_
Public Safety:				(-)				
Oakland Police Department	2,366	2,760	898	1,862	-	_	_	_
Human Services Department	2,367	3,147	1,634	1,513	_	-	_	_
Community and Economic Development:								
Economic & Workforce Development	-	426	404	22	-	-	-	-
Oakland Public Works	9,126	13,782	11,517	2,265	11,153	11,441	11,164	277
Capital outlay	19,937	30,849	11,632	19,217	150	3,096	966	2,130
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	34,075	51,243	26,413	24,830	11,303	14,537	12,130	2,407
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES		-71						
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(4,938)	(21,803)	5,171	26,974	(2,130)	(5,364)	(3,301)	2,063
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		<u> </u>						-
Transfers in	4,938	4,938	137	(4,801)	2,130	2,130	_	(2,130)
Transfers out	,,,,,,	-	-	- (1,001)	-	(35)	-	35
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING						(55)		
SOURCES (USES)	4,938	4,938	137	(4,801)	2,130	2,095	-	(2,095)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	-	(16,865)	5,308	22,173	-	(3,269)	(3,301)	(32)
Fund balances (deficit) - beginning	16,894	16,894	16,894	-	6,589	6,589	6,589	-
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$ 16,894	\$ 29	\$ 22,202	\$ 22,173	\$ 6,589	\$ 3,320	\$ 3,288	\$ (32)

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Land	Landscape and Lighting Assessment District Assessment District Actual Variance Actual						
			Actual	Variance			Actual	Variance
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Budgetary Basis	Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Budgetary Basis	Positive (Negative)
REVENUES								
Taxes:								
Sales and use	\$ 19,300	\$ 19,300	\$ -	\$ (19,300)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Voter approved special tax	-	-	19,155	19,155	134	134	165	31
Licenses and permits	53	53	87	34	-	-	-	-
Interest and investment income	-	-	1	1	-	-	14	14
Charges for services	172	172	207	35	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	104	104	3	3	4	1
TOTAL REVENUES	19,525	19,525	19,554	29	137	137	183	46
EXPENDITURES								
Current:								
Elected and Appointed Officials:								
City Administrator	26	74	6	68	3	4	1	3
Departments:								
Administrative Service Department:								
Financial Services	28	33	33	-	131	-	2	(2
Public Safety:								
Oakland Fire Department	-	-	-	-	1,895	2,358	850	1,508
Community Service Department:								
Parks and Recreation	2,628	2,631	2,638	(7)	-	-	-	-
Community and Economic Development:								
Economic & Workforce Development	=	(1)	-	(1)	-	-	-	-
Oakland Public Works	15,157	16,007	15,708	299	-	59	32	27
Capital outlay	185	248	16	232	-	306	245	61
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	18,024	18,992	18,401	591	2,029	2,727	1,130	1,597
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES								
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	1,501	533	1,153	620	(1,892)	(2,590)	(947)	1,643
OT HER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)								-
Transfers in	585	610	610	-	1,892	2,346	500	(1,846
Transfers out	(2,086)	(2,086)	(1,772)	314	-,	(131)	-	131
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING	(2,000)	(2,000)	(1,2)			(151)		
SOURCES (USES)	(1,501)	(1,476)	(1,162)	314	1,892	2,215	500	(1,715
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES		(943)	(9)	934		(375)	(447)	(72
Fund balances (deficit) - beginning	(290)	(290)	(290)	- 934	4,006	4,006	4,006	-
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$ (290)	·			\$ 4,006	\$ 3,631	\$ 3,559	\$ (72

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Special Revenue Funds (continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

			Park	s, Recreati	on, ar	nd Cultural		
						Actual	Va	riance
	Or	riginal		Final	Bı	ıdgetary	Po	sitive
	В	udget]	Budget	Basis		(Negative)	
REVENUES								
Interest and investment income	\$	33	\$	33	\$	27	\$	(6)
Federal and state grants and subventions		-		1,179		435		(744)
Other		180		164		811		647
TOTAL REVENUES		213		1,376		1,273		(103)
EXPENDITURES								
Current:								
Elected and Appointed Officials:								
Mayor		-		64		15		49
Departments:								
Administrative Service Department:								
Race and Ethnic Diversity		-		-		-		-
Community Service Department:								
Parks and Recreation		69		398		1		397
Library		111		724		304		420
Community and Economic Development:								
Economic & Workforce Development		-		1,072		512		560
Oakland Public Works		-		91		(15)		106
Other		33		33		-		33
Capital outlay				519		19		500
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		213		2,901		836		2,065
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES		-		(1,525)		437		1,962
Fund balances (deficit) - beginning		3,108		3,108		3,108		
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - ENDING	\$	3,108	\$	1,583	\$	3,545	\$	1,962

This page is intentionally left blank.

NONMAJOR DEBT SERVICE FUNDS

Debt service funds account for the accumulation of resources to be used for the payment of general long-term debt principal and interest.

The **General Obligation Bonds Fund** accounts for monies received in connection with the General Obligation Bonds and the related payments on such debt. Proceeds from the General Obligation Bonds are to be used by the City to expand and develop park and recreation facilities, and to enhance the City's emergency response capabilities and for seismic reinforcement of essential public facilities and infrastructure.

The **Lease Financing Fund** accounts for monies received in connection with leases between the City and the ORSA, and the City and the California Statewide Communities Development Authority. It also accounts for payments on bonds and other debt issued for the Oakland Museum, for capital improvements to certain City properties, and for the Scotlan and Kaiser Convention Centers.

The JPFA Fund accounts for monies received in connection with leases between the City and the JPFA.

The **Other Assessment Bonds Fund** accounts for special assessment monies received from property owners within the various special assessment districts to liquidate the improvement bonds. These districts include Rockridge Area Water Improvement, and the Fire Area Utility Underground.

The **Special Revenue Bonds Fund** accounts for financing received in connection with the Special Refunding Revenue Bonds (Pension Financing) and for payments on such bonds. The revenues for this fund comes from the "Tax Override Revenues" consist of the revenues generated and collected by the City as proceeds of its annual tax levy authorized Resolution No. 59916 C.M.S adopted in August 1981 by the City Council to fund the City's obligations under Measure R and Measure O. The revenues are used by the City to fund a portion of the City's liability for public safety employee pensions.

Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds

June 30, 2016 (In Thousands)

	Ob	eneral ligation Bonds		ease ancing	-	PFA Fund	Ass	Other essment Bonds	R	Special Levenue Bonds		Total
ASSETS												
Cash and investments	\$	6,950	\$	437	\$	-	\$	1,224	\$	3,577	\$	12,188
Receivables, net:		0								-		1.5
Accrued interest and dividends		8 251		1		-		1 56		5		15 307
Property taxes Restricted cash and investments		123		-		-		56 648		- 11,489		12,260
	Ф.		Ф.	- 420	_		Ф.		Φ.		Φ.	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	7,332	\$	438	\$		\$	1,929	\$	15,071	\$	24,770
LIABILITIES												
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	2	\$	-	\$	1	\$	4	\$	1	\$	8
Due to other funds		-		-		2		-		-		2
TOTAL LIABILITIES		2		-		3		4		1		10
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES												
Unavailable revenue - property tax		72						43				115
FUND BALANCES												
Restricted		7,258		438		_		1,882		15,070		24,648
Unassigned		-		-		(3)		-		-		(3)
TOTAL FUND BALANCES		7,258		438		(3)		1,882		15,070		24,645
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOV	VS											
OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$	7,332	\$	438	\$	-	\$	1,929	\$	15,071	\$	24,770

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Oł	eneral ligation Bonds	Lease Financing			JPFA Fund		Other Assessment Bonds		Special Revenue Bonds		Total
REVENUES												
Property taxes	\$	6,431	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,431
Fines and penalties		13		-		-		-		-		13
Interest and investment income		34		1		1		28		80		144
Grants		-		314		-		-		-		314
Other						-		413				413
TOTAL REVENUES		6,478		315		1		441		80		7,315
EXPENDITURES												
Current:												
Agencies/Departments:												
Finance		-		-		-		46		-		46
Other		4		2		2		51		8		67
Debt service:												
Principal repayment		4,700		1,320		5,160		335		30,895		42,410
Bond issuance cost		11		-		-		-		-		11
Interest charges		7,584		411		3,901		260		38,790		50,946
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		12,299		1,733		9,063		692		69,693		93,480
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES												
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES		(5,821)	((1,418)		(9,062)		(251)		(69,613)		(86,165)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)												
Transfers in		1,364		1,420		9,061		312		69,005		81,162
Transfers out		-		-		(1,364)		-		(2,082)		(3,446)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING												
SOURCES (USES)		1,364		1,420		7,697		312		66,923		77,716
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES		(4,457)		2		(1,365)		61		(2,690)		(8,449)
Fund balances - beginning		11,715		436		1,362		1,821		17,760		33,094
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$	7,258	\$	438	\$	(3)	\$	1,882	\$	15,070	\$	24,645

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

			(General Obli	gation B	onds					Lease Fi	nancing	ţ			
	Original Budget		Final Budget		Act Budg Ba	etary	P	ariance ositive egative)	Origi Bud		nal dget	Bud	ctual lgetary asis	Variance Positive (Negative)		
REVENUES								,			 					
Property taxes	\$	12,294	\$	12,294	\$	6,431	\$	(5,863)	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
Fines and penalties		-		-		13		13		-	-		-		-	
Interest and investment income		-		-		34		34		-	-		1		1	
Grants		-		-		-		-					314		314	
Other		-		-		-		-		21,500	21,500		-		(21,500)	
TOTAL REVENUES		12,294		12,294		6,478		(5,816)		21,500	 21,500		315		(21,185)	
EXPENDITURES																
Current:																
Agencies/Departments:																
Finance		-		-		-		-		-	-		-		-	
Other		10		10		4		6		2	2		2		-	
Debt service:																
Principal repayment		4,780		4,779		4,700		79		22,819	22,820		1,320		21,500	
Bond issuance cost		-		22		11		11		-	-		-		-	
Payment to refund bond escrow agent		-		11,213		-		11,213		-	-		-		-	
Interest charges		7,546		7,546		7,584		(38)		413	413		411		2	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		12,336		23,570		12,299		11,271		23,234	 23,235		1,733		21,502	
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDIT URES		(42)		(11,276)		(5,821)		5,455		(1,734)	(1,735)		(1,418)		317	
OVER (UNDER) EAF ENDIT UNES		(42)		(11,270)		(3,821)		3,433		(1,/34)	 (1,733)		(1,416)		317	
OT HER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)																
Payment to refund bond escrow agent		-		11,213		-		(11,213)		-	-		-		-	
Transfers in		-		-		1,364		1,364		1,734	 1,734		1,420		(314)	
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		-		11,213		1,364		(9,849)		1,734	1,734		1,420		(314)	
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES		(42)		(63)		(4,457)		(4,394)		-	(1)		2		3	
Fund balances - beginning		11,715		11,715		11,715		-		436	436		436		-	
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$	11,673	\$	11,652	\$	7,258	\$	(4,394)	\$	436	\$ 435	\$	438	\$	3	

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds (continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

		JP	FA Fund		Other Assessment Bonds									
	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)						
REVENUES														
Interest and investment income	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28	\$ 28						
Other					709	709	413	(296)						
TOTAL REVENUES	-	-	1	1	709	709	441	(268)						
EXPENDITURES														
Current:														
Agencies/Departments:														
Finance	-	-	-	-	63	63	46	17						
Other	5	:	5 2	3	50	42	51	(9)						
Debt service:														
Principal repayment	5,160	5,160	5,160	-	335	335	335	-						
Payment to refund bond escrow agent	-	-	-	-	-	(3,018)	-	(3,018)						
Interest charges	3,901	3,90	3,901		262	262	260	2						
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	9,066	9,06	9,063	3	710	(2,316)	692	(3,008)						
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES														
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(9,066	(9,06)	(9,062)	4_	(1)	3,025	(251)	(3,276)						
OT HER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)														
Payment to refund bond escrow agent	-	-	-	-	-	(3,018)	-	3,018						
Transfers in	9,066	-	9,061	9,061	25	25	312	287						
Transfers out	-	-	(1,364)	(1,364)	(24)	(33)	-	33						
TOT AL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	9,066	-	7,697	7,697	1	(3,026)	312	3,338						
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	-	(9,06)	5) (1,365)	7,701	-	(1)	61	62						
Fund balances - beginning	1,362	1,362	1,362	-	1,821	1,821	1,821	-						
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 1,362	\$ (7,70	\$ (3)	\$ 7,701	\$ 1,821	\$ 1,820	\$ 1,882	\$ 62						

Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actual Nonmajor Governmental Funds – Debt Service Funds (continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

			Special Rev	enue Bonds	
	Original Budget		Final Budget	Actual Budgetary Basis	Variance Positive (Negative)
REVENUES					
Interest and investment income	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 80	\$ 80
TOTAL REVENUES			-	80	80
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
Agencies/Departments:					
Other	15		15	8	7
Debt service:					
Principal repayment	30,895		30,895	30,895	-
Interest charges	38,857		38,857	38,790	67
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	69,767		69,767	69,693	74
EXCESS (DEFICIENCY) OF REVENUES					
OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	(69,767	<u> </u>	(69,767)	(69,613)	154
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Transfers in	69,767		69,767	69,005	(762)
Transfers out	-		-	(2,082)	(2,082)
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	69,767		69,767	66,923	(2,844)
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	-		-	(2,690)	(2,690)
Fund balances - beginning	17,760		17,760	17,760	-
FUND BALANCES - ENDING	\$ 17,760	\$	17,760	\$ 15,070	\$ (2,690)

This page is intentionally left blank.

INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS

Internal service funds account for operations that provide goods or services to other City departments and agencies, or to other governments, on a cost-reimbursement basis.

The **Equipment Fund** accounts for the purchase of automotive and rolling equipment, and the related maintenance service charges and related billings for various City departments.

The **Radio Fund** accounts for the purchase, maintenance and operation of radio and other communication equipment being used by various City departments.

The **Facilities Fund** accounts for the repair and maintenance of City facilities, and for provision of custodial and maintenance services related thereto.

The **Reproduction Fund** accounts for the acquisition, maintenance and provision of reproduction equipment and services related to normal governmental operations.

The Central Stores Fund accounts for inventory provided to various City departments on a cost reimbursement basis.

The **Purchasing Fund** accounts for procurement of materials, equipment and services essential to providing governmental services for the City.

The **Information Technology Fund** accounts for maintenance and operation of the information technology services for various City departments.

Combining Statement of Fund Net Position Internal Service Funds June 30, 2016

	Equipment	Radio	Facilities	Reproduction	Central Stores	Purchasing	Information Technology	Total
ASSETS	-		-	•				-
Current assets:								
Cash and investments	\$ 678	\$ 6,955	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 469	\$ 8,102
Interest receivable	-	8	-	-	-	-	1	9
Accounts receivable	38	89	44	-	-	-	-	171
Grants receivable	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	87
Inventories	575	-	-	-	-	-	-	575
Restricted cash and investments	3,080	6,911	-	-	-	-	9,458	19,449
Prepaid expenses			7					7
Total current assets	4,458	13,963	51				9,928	28,400
Capital assets:								
Land and other assets not being depreciated	-	3,766	2,895	-	-	-	7,990	14,651
Facilities and equipment, net of depreciation	22,232	6,983	1,329			_		30,544
Total capital assets	22,232	10,749	4,224	-	-	-	7,990	45,195
TOTAL ASSETS	26,690	24,712	4,275	=	-	-	17,918	73,595
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES								
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	1,222	239	2,026	86	101	153		3,827
LIABILITIES								
Current liabilities:								
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	557	172	1,651	124	_	4	484	2,992
Accrued interest payable	109	72	21	-	-	-	171	373
Due to other funds	-	-	9,711	1,584	4,064	227	-	15,586
Other liabilities	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	7
Capital leases, notes and other payables	3,475	3,194	264	-	-	-	2,100	9,033
Total current liabilities	4,141	3,438	11,654	1,708	4,064	231	2,755	27,991
Non-current liabilities:								
Capital leases, notes and other payables	11,491	10,586	861	-	_	-	12,560	35,498
Net pension liability	11,273	2,883	18,806	897	695	1,246	· -	35,800
Total non-current liabilities	22,764	13,469	19,667	897	695	1,246	12,560	71,298
TOTAL LIABILITIES	26,905	16,907	31,321	2,605	4,759	1,477	15,315	99,289
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES								
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	702	236	1,183	60	34	75		2,290
NET POSITION								
Net investment in capital assets	10,346	3,880	3,099	-	-	-	2,788	20,113
Unrestricted (deficit)	(10,041)	3,928	(29,302)	(2,579)	(4,692)	(1,399)	(185)	(44,270)
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 305	\$ 7,808	\$ (26,203)			\$ (1,399)	\$ 2,603	\$ (24,157)

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Net Position Internal Service Funds

For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Equipment	Radio	Facilities	Reproduction	Central Stores	Purchasing	Information Technology	Total
OPERATING REVENUES								
Charges for services	\$ 23,213	\$ 7,274	\$ 34,553	\$ 1,362	\$ 358	\$ 719	\$ 2,972	\$ 70,451
Other	68	14	57					139
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	23,281	7,288	34,610	1,362	358	719	2,972	70,590
OPERATING EXPENSES								
Personnel	6,028	1,317	10,803	409	471	713	-	19,741
Supplies	1,325	139	1,082	106	3	9	-	2,664
Depreciation and amortization	5,298	1,946	148	-	-	-	-	7,392
Contractual services and supplies	216	420	579	-	-	6	64	1,285
Repairs and maintenance	1,590	625	4,349	3	-	-	-	6,567
General and administrative	2,308	92	4,098	268	18	49	-	6,833
Rental	833	231	415	468	17	-	1	1,965
Other		179	5,322	4		3	1	5,509
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	17,598	4,949	26,796	1,258	509	780	66	51,956
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	5,683	2,339	7,814	104	(151)	(61)	2,906	18,634
NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)								
Interest and investment income (loss)	12	44	(44)	(7)	(15)	(1)	28	17
Interest expense	(298)	(205)	(51)	-	-	-	(331)	(885)
Federal and state grants	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	87
Insurance claims and settlements	343	-	116	-	-	-	-	459
Other	212		48			14		274
TOTAL NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)	356	(161)	69	(7)	(15)	13	(303)	(48)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TRANSFERS	6,039	2,178	7,883	97	(166)	(48)	2,603	18,586
Transfers in	-	-	550	-	-	-	-	550
Transfers out	(513)		(35)					(548)
Change in net position	5,526	2,178	8,398	97	(166)	(48)	2,603	18,588
Net position - beginning	(5,221)	5,630	(34,601)	(2,676)	(4,526)	(1,351)		(42,745)
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$ 305	\$ 7,808	\$ (26,203)	\$ (2,579)	\$ (4,692)	\$ (1,399)	\$ 2,603	\$ (24,157)

Combining Statement of Cash Flows Internal Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2016 (In Thousands)

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	¢	Equipment		Radio		Facilities		oduction		ntral ores	Purchasing		Information Technology			Total
C-111		22.212	¢.	7.061	Ф	24.650	¢.	1 262	¢.	250	¢.	710	Φ.	2.072	¢.	70.525
Cash from other sources	\$	23,213 68	\$	7,261 14	\$	34,650 57	\$	1,362	\$	358	\$	719	\$	2,972	\$	70,535 139
Cash paid to employees		(6,554)		(1,430)		(11,679)		- (444)		(521)		- (786)		-		(21,414)
Cash paid to suppliers		` ' '		` ' '		` ' '		(/		` ′		` /		418		. , ,
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN)		(9,503)		(9,503)		(15,584)		(843)		(46)		(64)		410		(35,125)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		7,224		(3,658)		7,444		75		(209)		(131)		3,390		14,135
OI LIATING ACTIVITIES		1,224		(3,030)		7,		13		(20)		(131)		3,370		14,133
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL																
FINANCING ACTIVITIES																
Proceeds of interfund loans		-		_		-		(68)		-		118		-		50
Repayment of interfund loans		-		-		(5,839)		-		224		-		-		(5,615)
Other		555		-		164		-		-		14		-		733
Transfers in		-		-		550		-		-		-		-		550
Transfers out		(513)		-		(35)		-		-		-		-		(548)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN)	<u> </u>															
NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES		42		-		(5,160)		(68)		224		132		-		(4,830)
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND																
RELATING FINANCING ACTIVITIES																
Acquisition of capital assets		(12,721)		(2,038)		(1,930)		-		-		-		(7,990)		(24,679)
Lease proceeds		-		7,095		-		-		-		-		17,000		24,095
Repayment of long-term debt		(6,080)		(2,465)		(254)		-		-		-		(2,340)		(11,139)
Interest paid on long-term debt		(368)		(172)		(55)		-		-		-		(160)		(755)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) CAPITAL AN	D															
RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES		(19,169)		2,420		(2,239)				-		-		6,510		(12,478)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES																
Interest received (paid)		12		36		(45)		(7)		(15)		(1)		27		7
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS Cash and cash equivalents - beginning		(11,891) 15,649		(1,202) 15,068		- -		- -		- -		- -		9,927 -		(3,166) 30,717
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - ENDING	\$	3,758	\$	13,866	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	9,927	\$	27,551

Combining Statement of Cash Flows Internal Service Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2016 (In Thousands)

			- ·				Central			Information						
	<u>Equip</u>	ment		Radio	Fa	cilities	Rej	production		Stores	Pu	rchasing	Tec	hnology		Total
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) TO I																
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIE		T 500	Φ.	2 220	Φ.	5 014	Φ.	104	Φ.	(4.74)	Φ.	(64)	Φ.	2005	Φ.	10.624
Operating income (loss)	\$	5,683	\$	2,339	\$	7,814	\$	104	\$	(151)	\$	(61)	\$	2,906	\$	18,634
ADJUSTMENTS TO RECONCILE OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)															
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIV	,															
Depreciation		5,298		1,946		148		_		_		_		_		7,392
Changes in assets, liabilities, and		-,		,-												.,
deferred outflows and inflows of resources:																
Receivables		_		(13)		97		_		-		-		-		84
Inventories		(167)		-		-		-		_		-		-		(167)
Other assets		-		-		(7)		-		_		-		-		(7)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(3,064)		(7,817)		267		6		(8)		3		484		(10,129)
Other liabilities		-		-		1		-		-		-		-		1
Net pension liability and related pension deferred items		(526)		(113)		(876)		(35)		(50)		(73)		-		(1,673)
Total adjustments		1,541		(5,997)		(370)		(29)		(58)		(70)		484		(4,499)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN)				<u>, </u>												
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$	7,224	\$	(3,658)	\$	7,444	\$	75	\$	(209)	\$	(131)	\$	3,390	\$	14,135
OI LIVATING ACTIVITIES	Ψ	1,224	Ψ	(3,030)	Ψ	7,	Ψ	13	Ψ	(20))	Ψ	(131)	Ψ	3,370	Ψ	14,133
RECONCILIATION OF CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	:															
TO THE STATEMENT OF FUND NET POSITION	•															
Cash and investments	\$	678	\$	6,955	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	469	\$	8,102
Restricted cash and investment	Ψ	3.080	Ψ	6,911	Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	9,458	Ψ	19,449
TOTAL CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$	3,758	\$	13,866	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	9,927	\$	27,551
1017L CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	Ψ	3,730	Ψ	13,000	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	7,741	Ψ	41,331

FIDUCIARY FUNDS

Fiduciary funds, including pension and private purpose trusts, account for resources held by the City which must be spent as provided in legal trust agreements and related state laws.

PENSION TRUST FUND

The **Police and Fire Retirement System (PFRS) Fund** is a closed benefit plan administered by a Board of Trustees which covers uniformed police and fire employees. Membership in the plan is limited to uniformed employees hired prior to July 1, 1976. All subsequent hires are covered under the California Public Employees Retirement System.

PRIVATE PURPOSE TRUST FUNDS

Private Purpose Trust Funds include: (a) *the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency Trust Fund*, which accounts for the custodial responsibilities that are assigned to the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency with the passage of AB X1 26, that are not related to the Former Oakland Redevelopment Agency projects or parks, recreation or cultural activities; (b) *the Other Private Purpose Trust Fund*, which accounts for the operations of certain trust funds, such as the Major Gifts Funds or the Youth Opportunity Program Fund, and retiree medical payments; and (c) the *Private Pension Trust Fund* accounts for employee deferred compensation fund.

CITY OF OAKLAND

Combining Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Private Purpose Trust Funds June 30, 2016

(In Thousands)

	Red	Dakland evelopment uccessor Agency rust Fund	Private Purpose Trust Fund	Private Pension Trust Fund	Total
ASSETS		_	_	_	
Cash and investments	\$	56,197	\$ 5,280	\$ -	\$ 61,477
Receivables:					
Accrued interest and dividends		395	6	-	401
Accounts receivable		2,705	6	-	2,711
Due from the City		2,311	-	-	2,311
Prepaid expenses		2,125	-	-	2,125
Restricted:					
Cash and investments:					
Short-term investments		18,347	-	-	18,347
U.S. government bonds		3,498	-	-	3,498
Notes and loans receivable (net of allowance					
for uncollectable of \$46,675)		16,977	-	-	16,977
Property held for resale		2,818		-	2,818
TOTAL ASSETS		105,373	5,292		110,665
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES Unamortized loss on refunding of debt		6,396		<u>-</u>	 6,396
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		202	66	_	268
Accrued interest payable		7.648	_	_	7.648
Due to the City		6,024	_	37	6,061
Other		48	100	_	148
Total current liabilities		13,922	 166	 37	14,125
Non-current liabilities:					
Due within one year		30,299	_	_	30,299
Due in more than one year		385,424	_	_	385,424
Total noncurrent liabilities	-	415,723	 	 	 415,723
TOTAL LIABILITIES		429,645	 166	 37	 429,848
		,	 	 	 ,,
NET POSITION					
RESTRICTED FOR REDEVELOPMENT DISSOLUT	ΓΙΟΝ				
DISSOLUTION AND OTHER PURPOSES	\$	(317,876)	\$ 5,126	\$ (37)	\$ (312,787)

CITY OF OAKLAND

Combining Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Private Purpose Trust Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

(In Thousands)

	Red St	Dakland evelopment accessor Agency ust Fund	Private Purpose Trust Fund	Po	rivate ension Trust Fund	Total
ADDITIONS						
Trust receipts	\$	68,468	\$ 662	\$	135	\$ 69,265
Interest		335	18		-	353
Federal and state grants		3,019	-		-	3,019
Other income		2,826	-		-	2,826
TOTAL ADDITIONS		74,648	 680		135	 75,463
DEDUCTIONS:						
Administrative expenses		1,964	-		169	2,133
Oakland Police Department		-	113		-	113
Human Services		-	162		-	162
Economic & Workforce Development		19,295	-		-	19,295
Bond issuance cost		681	-		-	681
Interest on debt		24,345	-		-	24,345
TOTAL DEDUCTIONS		46,285	275		169	46,729
Change in net position		28,363	405		(34)	28,734
Net position - beginning		(346,239)	4,721		(3)	(341,521)
NET POSITION - ENDING	\$	(317,876)	\$ 5,126	\$	(37)	\$ (312,787)

STATISTICAL SECTION

INDEX TO STATISTICAL SECTION

This part of the City of Oakland's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures and required supplemental information says about the City's overall financial health.

Financial Trends

Schedules one through five contain trend information to assist in understanding how the City's financial performance and well-being have changed over times.

Revenue Capacity

Schedules six through twelve report tax revenues by sources which include: property taxes, state taxes and local taxes.

Debt Capacity

Schedules thirteen through sixteen present information that helps in understanding the City's current level of outstanding debt, the legal debt margin, and the ability to issue additional debt in the future.

Pledged Revenue Coverage

Schedule seventeen contains pledged revenue coverage for the City and the Port of Oakland, a component unit of the City. This schedule assists in understanding the revenues pledged for repayment of debt service.

Demographic and Economic Information

Schedules eighteen and nineteen provide the demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the City's financial activities take place.

Operating Information

Schedules twenty through twenty-two contain service and infrastructure data to assist in understanding how the City's financial reports relate to the services the City provides and the activities it performs.

Sources: The City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the relevant years.

SCHEDULE 1

NET POSITION BY COMPONENT

(in thousands)

	2007	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>		2015	<u>2016</u>
Governmental activities Net investment in capital assets	\$ 353,715	\$ 401,881	\$ 442,793	\$ 478,689	\$ 538,815	\$ 663,785 \$	712,606	\$ 876,703	\$	1,025,789	\$ 1,079,164
Restricted	422,523	432,630	451,087	488,251	517,454	559,393	425,786	433,080		547,286	555,205
Unrestricted	(67,261)	(213,693)	(268,904)	(417,504)	(469,662)	(304,010)	(334,451)	(327,965)	((1,841,834)	(1,789,831)
Total net position - governmental activities	\$ 708,977	\$ 620,818	\$ 624,976	\$ 549,436	\$ 586,607	\$ 919,168 \$	803,941	\$ 981,818	\$	(268,759)	\$ (155,462)
Business-type activities Net investment in capital assets	\$ 109,886	\$ 111,881	\$ 113,961	\$ 113,718	\$ 114,297	\$ 122,911 \$	129,542	\$ 143,295	\$	155,257	\$ 171,743
Unrestricted	2,173	7,731	15,037	26,126	37,429	44,061	53,341	53,039		27,182	28,057
Total net position - business-type activities	\$ 112,059	\$ 119,612	\$ 128,998	\$ 139,844	\$ 151,726	\$ 166,972 \$	182,883	\$ 196,334	\$	182,439	\$ 199,800
Primary government											
Net investment in capital assets	\$ 463,601	\$ 513,762	\$ 556,754	\$ 592,407	\$ 653,112	\$ 786,696 \$	842,148	\$ 1,019,998	\$	1,181,046	\$ 1,250,907
Restricted	422,523	432,630	451,087	488,251	517,454	559,393	425,786	433,080		547,286	555,205
Unrestricted	(65,088)	(205,962)	(253,867)	(391,378)	(432,233)	(259,949)	(281,110)	(274,926)	((1,814,652)	(1,761,774)
Total net position - primary government	\$ 821,036	\$ 740,430	\$ 753,974	\$ 689,280	\$ 738,333	\$ 1,086,140 \$	986,824	\$ 1,178,152	\$	(86,320)	\$ 44,338

Note: The City began to report accrual information when it implemented GASB Statement 34 in fiscal year 2002.

Source: City of Oakland Statement of Net Position

SCHEDULE 2

CHANGES IN NET POSITION

(in thousands)

						(, usumus)												
		2007		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016
Expenses																				
Governmental activities:																				
General government	\$	91,119	\$	102,218	\$	94,957	\$	83,295	\$	75,381	\$	83,131	\$	93,942	\$	79,806	\$	82,493	\$	99,183
Public safety		348,436		412,050		424,435		411,333		372,587		351,566		363,597		379,809		383,904		432,862
Life enrichment		105,728		115,315		119,659		119,254		123,538		-		-		-		-		-
Community services		=		-		=		-		-		122,829		107,779		116,961		121,740		134,799
Community and economic development		183,968		203,406		182,327		222,226		158,209		138,596		81,182		83,657		75,268		85,396
Public works		101,075		79,348		74,081		70,757		88,321		101,892		75,158		109,177		105,619		114,597
Interest on long-term debt		79,864		74,545		71,552		73,735		93,618		68,948		62,744		59,026		68,033		54,335
Total governmental activities expenses	\$	910,190	\$	986,882	\$	967,011	\$	980,600	\$	911,654	\$	866,962	\$	784,402	\$	828,436	\$	837,057	\$	921,172
Business-type activities:																				
Sewer	\$	29,365	\$	30,502	\$	25,530	\$	26,899	\$	27,971	\$	31,227	\$	34,504	\$	37,306	\$	36,957	\$	39,270
Parks and recreation		1,087		384		652		520		740		492		643		855		681		872
Total business-type activities	\$	30,452	\$	30,886	\$	26,182	\$	27,419	\$	28,711	\$	31,719	\$	35,147	\$	38,161	\$	37,638	\$	40,142
Total primary government expenses	\$	940,642	\$	1,017,768	\$	993,193	\$	1,008,019	\$	940,365	\$	898,681	\$	819,549	\$	866,597	\$	874,695	\$	961,314
Program Revenues (see schedule 3)																				
Governmental activities:																				
Charges for services:																				
General government	\$	13,741	\$	22,276	\$	21,128	\$	24,382	\$	20,360	\$	19,924	\$	17,756	\$	54,509	\$	55,148	\$	49,540
Public safety	φ	9,803	φ	10,331	φ	15,733	φ	14,900	Ψ	13,573	φ	13,283	Ψ	7,610	φ	15,472	Ψ	18,329	Ψ	21,104
Life enrichment		3,992		5,110		11,084		8,128		8,483		13,263		7,010		13,472		10,329		21,104
Community services		3,772		3,110		11,004		0,120		0,403		8,302		6,342		6,326		7,375		7,454
ž		16,437		45,466		47,223		48,765		42,418		41,507		19,025		39,413		61,022		58,439
Community and economic development Public works		31,269		27,113		30,887		39,283		84,834		83,017		76,098		36,954		40,419		41,772
Operating grants and contributions		106,903		91,278		94,353		97,177		123,149		89,620		89,424		119,063		92,865		90,090
		100,903		91,278		94,333		97,177		123,149		30,607		26,179				70,322		54,043
Capital grants and contributions Total governmental activities program revenues	\$	182,145	\$	201,574	\$	220,408	\$	232,635	\$	292,817	\$	286,260	\$	242,434	\$	42,148 313,885	\$		\$	322,442
Business-type activities:																				
Charges for services:																				
Sewer	\$	29,838	\$	33,264	\$		\$		\$	41,832	\$		\$	52,919	\$		\$		\$	58,703
Parks and recreation		237		487		796		286		118		575		372		503		295		711
Operating grants and contributions		21		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total business-type activities program revenue		30,096	\$	33,751	\$	36,178	\$	39,615	\$	41,950	\$	48,775	\$	53,291	\$	53,449	\$		\$	59,414
Total primary government program revenues	\$	212,241	\$	235,325	\$	256,586	\$	272,250	\$	334,767	\$	335,035	\$	295,725	\$	367,334	\$	403,319	\$	381,856
Net (Expense)/Revenue																				
Governmental activities	\$	(735,868)	\$	(785,308)	\$	(746,603)	\$	(747,965)	\$	(618,837)	\$	(580,702)	\$	(541,968)	\$	(514,551)	\$	(491,577)	\$	(598,730)
Business-type activities		(356)		2,865		9,996		12,196		13,239		17,056		18,144		15,288		20,201		19,272
Total primary government net expense	\$	(736,224)	\$	(782,443)	\$	(736,607)	\$	(735,769)	\$	(605,598)	\$	(563,646)	\$	(523,824)	\$	(499,263)	\$	(471,376)	\$	(579,458)
General Revenues and Other Changes																				
in Net Position																				
Governmental activities:																				
Taxes																				
Property taxes	\$	317,666	\$	358,338	\$	359,851	\$	346,859	\$	324,516	\$	288,923	\$	256,333	\$	240,779	\$	267,534	\$	279,764
Sales and use taxes	Ψ	67,723	Ψ	73,928	Ψ	67,642	Ψ	57,745	Ψ	65,068	Ψ	66,940	Ψ	70,498	Ψ	71,997	Ψ	63,718	Ψ	77,365
Motor vehicle in-Lieu tax		-		.5,,20		07,012		-		-		-		-				177		166
Gas tax		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		12,030		8,653
Local taxes		256,658		235,470		214.266		216,072		220,684		222,237		244,207		263,017		275,496		318,352
Other		108,048		50,153		81,885		58,374		35,672		53,172		7,076		19,671		12,745		20,987
Interest and investment income		48,073		47,852		25,917		10,894		8,592		7,078		6,358		6,653		6,362		4,596
Transfers		600		600		1,200		1,463		1,476		1,893		1,911		2,002		2,002		2,144
Special and extraordinary items		59,020		000		1,200		1,405		1,470		273,020		(156,902)		88,309		107,696		2,144
Total governmental activities	\$	857,788	\$	766,341	\$	750,761	\$	691,407	\$	656,008	\$	913,263	\$	429,481	\$	692,428	\$		\$	712,027
Business-type activities:	φ	657,766	φ	700,541	φ	750,701	φ	071,407	Ψ	050,000	φ	713,203	Ψ	427,401	φ	072,420	Ψ	747,700	Ψ	112,021
Interest and investment income	\$	1,745	ď	1,434	¢	590	¢	113	ď	119	ď	83	ď	(24)	¢	165	ď	142	ď	233
	Ф		Ф	1,434	Э	390	Э	113	Ф	119	Ф	6.5	Ф	(24)	Э	103	Э	142	Ф	233
Other Transfers		(600)		(600)		(1,200)		(1.462)		(1,476)		(1,893)		(1,911)		(2,002)		(2,002)		(2,144)
	d's			(600)		(610)		(1,463)	d	(1,357)								(1,860)	d.	
Total business-type activities Total primary government	\$	1,147 858,935	\$	834 767,175	\$	750,151	_	(1,350) 690,057		654,651	_	(1,810)		(1,935) 427,546		(1,837) 690,591	\$	745,900	_	(1,911) 710,116
Total plinking government	ф	0.50,755	φ	101,113	Ф	150,151	φ	0,00,007	φ	0.54,051	φ	711,433	φ	721,340	φ	0,0,371	Ψ	745,700	Ψ	/10,110
Change in Net Position					_															
Governmental activities	\$	129,743	\$	(18,967)	\$	4,158	\$	(56,558)	\$	37,171	\$	332,561	\$	(112,487)	\$	177,877	\$	256,183	\$	113,297
Business-type activities	_	791		3,699		9,386		10,846		11,882		15,246		16,209		13,451		18,341		17,361
Total primary government	\$	130,534	\$	(15,268)	\$	13,544	\$	(45,712)	\$	49,053	\$	347,807	\$	(96,278)	\$	191,328	\$	274,524	\$	130,658

Note: The City began to report accrual information when it implemented GASB Statement 34 in fiscal year 2002.

Source: City of Oakland Statement of Activities

SCHEDULE 3

PROGRAM REVENUES BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

(in thousands)

Function/Program		<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Governmental activities:											
Charges for services:											
General government	\$	13,741	\$ 22,276	\$ 21,128	\$ 24,382	\$ 20,360	\$ 19,924	\$ 17,756	\$ 54,509	\$ 55,148	\$ 49,540
Public safety		9,803	10,331	15,733	14,900	13,573	13,283	7,610	15,472	18,329	21,104
Life enrichment		3,992	5,110	11,084	8,128	8,483	-	-	-	-	-
Community services		-	-	-	-	-	8,302	6,342	6,326	7,375	7,454
Community and economic development		16,437	45,466	47,223	48,765	42,418	41,507	19,025	39,413	61,022	58,439
Public works		31,269	27,113	30,887	39,283	84,834	83,017	76,098	36,954	40,419	41,772
Operating grants and contributions	3	106,903	91,278	94,353	97,177	123,149	89,620	89,424	119,063	92,865	90,090
Capital grants and contributions		-	_	-	-	-	30,607	26,179	42,148	70,322	54,043
Subtotal governmental activities	\$	182,145	\$ 201,574	\$ 220,408	\$ 232,635	\$ 292,817	\$ 286,260	\$ 242,434	\$ 313,885	\$ 345,480	\$ 322,442
Business-type activities:											
Charges for services:											
Sewer	\$	29,838	\$ 33,264	\$ 35,382	\$ 39,329	\$ 41,832	\$ 48,200	\$ 52,919	\$ 52,946	\$ 57,544	\$ 58,703
Parks and recreation		237	487	796	286	118	575	372	503	295	711
Operating grants and contributions	s	21	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Subtotal business-type activities	\$	30,096	\$ 33,751	\$ 36,178	\$ 39,615	\$ 41,950	\$ 48,775	\$ 53,291	\$ 53,449	\$ 57,839	\$ 59,414
Total primary government	\$	212,241	\$ 235,325	\$ 256,586	\$ 272,250	\$ 334,767	\$ 335,035	\$ 295,725	\$ 367,334	\$ 403,319	\$ 381,856

Source: City of Oakland Statement of Activities

SCHEDULE 4

FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

(in thousands)

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>							
General Fund											
Reserved	\$ 138,891	\$ 126,575	\$ 116,543	\$ 103,372	2						
Unreserved	143,016	121,109	120,406	129,678	3_						
Total general fund	\$ 281,907	\$ 247,684	\$ 236,949	\$ 233,050)						
					2011	2	2012	2013	2014	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
General Fund (1) Restricted					\$ 106,692			\$ 165,400	\$ 156,462	\$ 164,242	\$ 186,804
Committed					3,890		70,284	-	-	-	-
Assigned					65,985		6,256	58,452	73,843	64,680	58,203
Unassigned					48,794		68,681	21,791	23,546	37,409	65,129
Total general fund					\$ 225,361	\$	255,929	\$ 245,643	\$ 253,851	\$ 266,331	\$ 310,136
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>							
All Other Governmental Funds											
Reserved	\$ 797,702	\$ 828,314	\$ 788,476	\$ 761,679)						
Unreserved, reported in:											
Special revenue funds	32,444	8,129	9,553	(16,486	5)						
Capital projects funds	98,912	73,147	41,322	66,136	<u>5</u>						
Total all other governmental funds	\$ 929,058	\$ 909,590	\$ 839,351	\$ 811,329)						
					<u>2011</u>	2	2012	2013	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	2016
All Other Governmental Funds (1) Restricted					\$ 481,124			\$ 248,517			\$ 303,631
Committed					139,178		13,420	16,075	13,902	13,527	18,610
Assigned					188,722		179,063	61,373	90,647	33,603	45,335
Unassigned					(2,669)	(1,416)	(9,849)	(5,236)	(7,997)	(9,891)
					\$ 806,355	\$	455,527	\$ 316,116	\$ 369,368	\$ 372,798	\$ 357,685

Note:

Source: City of Oakland Balance Sheet, Governmental Funds

⁽¹⁾ The City implemented GASB Statement No. 54 under which governmental fund balances are reported as restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigend compared to reserved and unreserved.

SCHEDULE 5

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

(in thousands)

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	<u>2016</u>
Revenues			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					· <u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Taxes (see Schedule 6)	\$ 616,754	\$ 648,153	\$ 641,086	\$ 622,901	\$ 612,328	\$ 578,100	\$ 569,193	\$ 576,744	\$ 619,821	\$ 684,334
Licenses and permits	20,390	19,319	14,467	12,124	13,297	12,079	13,331	16,694	22,451	29,362
Fines and penalties	26,859	23,497	29,348	31,220	29,440	27,204	26,657	26,958	25,612	23,972
Interest/investment net income	49,141	49,894	27,520	11,495	9,147	7,558	6,330	6,738	6,409	4,579
Charges for services	75,242	76,735	77,285	82,289	124,707	126,750	86,842	109,022	134,230	125,580
Other intergovernmental revenues	-	33,561	35,588	45,116	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal and State grants										
and subventions	97,382	94,428	87,971	98,850	121,184	115,046	102,802	152,062	167,045	140,119
Other revenues	74,758	24,200	40,587	32,116	32,290	34,427	39,278	19,641	18,025	12,334
Total revenues	960,526	969,787	953,852	936,111	942,393	901,164	844,433	907,859	993,593	1,020,280
Expenditures										
General government	67,194	45,600	40,838	35,710	33,781	50,992	71,990	36,733	41,454	47,208
Finance and Human Resource	26,018	35,761	34,863	30,943	28,756	27,371	15,337	26,137	29,967	31,906
Information Technology	-	13,666	12,975	9,137	8,276	7,746	7,753	9,080	9,597	12,261
Contracting and Purchasing	-	2,280	1,959	2,100	2,082	-	-	-	-	-
Race and Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	84
Police Department	206,561	225,893	231,789	218,129	205,292	220,115	331,382	225,959	242,999	270,594
Fire Department	112,699	118,429	119,711	111,583	111,339	125,585	200,054	114,561	130,533	137,802
Community Service Department:										
Parks & Recreation	19,148	20,872	20,308	20,259	20,914	20,465	21,260	23,094	25,326	26,386
Library	24,631	23,833	21,824	20,927	21,633	22,704	22,623	25,612	26,215	26,725
Museum	6,976	6,883	6,584	6,146	6,749	-	-	-	-	-
Human Services	53,228	56,239	62,382	59,441	63,031	61,851	60,978	66,883	68,707	71,916
Community & Economic Development	169,233	206,908	197,285	227,505	175,750	96,407	-	-	-	-
Planning and Building	-	-	-	-	-	11,170	22,616	24,882	23,408	25,451
Economic & Workforce Development	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21,190	19,316	19,395
Cultural and community services	-	-	-	-	-	-	431	-	-	-
Housing & Community Development	-	-	-	-	-	19,132	43,420	33,650	23,047	27,173
Oakland Public Works	91,490	71,971	64,288	57,133	71,099	69,763	72,497	96,208	85,041	82,156
Other	10,641	10,597	20,099	8,328	27,062	18,460	13,243	16,043	13,300	18,594
Capital outlay	49,895	46,312	44,418	61,233	63,532	71,703	103,905	98,316	123,433	99,609
Debt service										
Bond issuance costs	4,467	4,210	864	1,558	828	359	1,958	209	829	251
Other refunding cost	-	5,674	-	-	-	-	3,110	-	11,213	-
Principal	79,964	92,940	138,854	105,742	86,965	125,570	74,886	80,559	129,906	48,932
Interest	69,682	71,528	65,157	69,097	89,514	67,175	58,208	59,314	56,737	51,589
Total expenditures	991,827	1,059,596	1,084,198	1,044,971	1,016,603	1,016,568	1,125,651	958,430	1,061,028	998,032
Excess of revenues over (under)										
expenditures	(31,301)	(89,809)	(130,346)	(108,860)	(74,210)	(115,404)	(281,218)	(50,571)	(67,435)	22,248
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
Issuance of debt/bond	143,988	-	40,228	67,693	56,870	83,775	-	-	-	-
Issuance of refunding bonds	102,590	241,410	-	-	-	-	216,085	-	128,895	-
Capital leases		-	-	-	-	-	16,150	14,901	-	-
Premiums/discounts on issuance of bonds	1,963	11,313	(779)	908	(2,052)	8,538	(1,129)		15,472	(78)
Payment to refund bond escrow	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1
agent	(22,729)	(221,250)	-	-	-	(57,998)	(3,018)	-	(143,717)	-
Property sale proceeds	618	4,045	8,723	5,013	4,481	32,213	67	5,442	309	66
Insurance claims and settlements	-	-	-	1,641	548	1,627	3,726	865	5,477	4,314
Transfers in	97,397	98,691	130,095	106,409	103,786	344,831	119,617	115,397	113,270	109,259
Transfers out	(95,897)	(98,091)	(128,895)	(104,725)	(102,086)	(342,843)	(117,473)	(112,883)	(110,756)	(107,117)
Total other financing sources (uses)	227,930	36,118	49,372	76,939	61,547	70,143	234,025	23,722	8,950	6,444
Special and extraordinary items	59,020	-	-	-	-	(274,999)	(102,504)	88,309	74,395	
Net change in fund balances	255,649	(53,691)	(80,974)	(31,921)	(12,663)	(45,261)	(47,193)	(26,849)	(58,485)	28,692
Total fund balance - beginning	955,316	1,210,965	1,157,274	1,076,300	1,044,379	1,031,716	711,456	561,759	623,219	639,129
Total fund balance - beginning Total fund balance - ending	\$ 1,210,965	\$1,157,274	\$1,076,300	\$1,044,379	\$1,031,716	\$ 986,455	\$ 664,263	\$ 534,910	\$ 564,734	\$ 667,821
- January Chang	Ψ 1,210,700	71,101,217	71,070,500	71,0 (7,51)	71,001,710	÷ >50,455	2 001,200	7 227,710		- 007,021
Debt service as a percentage of										
noncapital expenditures	15.89%	17.00%	20.33%	18.13%	18.85%	20.97%	13.74%	17.06%	20.56%	11.53%

Note: Debt ratio was calculated by dividing principal and interest by total government expenditures excluding capital outlay \$125,962 for fiscal year 2016.

General government include Mayor, Council, City Administrator, City Attorney, City Auditor and City Clerk

Source: City of Oakland Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds

SCHEDULE 6

TAX REVENUES BY SOURCE, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

(in thousands)

						Motor																
Fiscal					Ve	hicle in-		В	usiness		Utility	Re	eal Estate	Tr	ansient			,	Voter			
Year	P	roperty	Sale	es & Use		lieu	Gas	I	icense	Co	nsumption	T	ransfer	Oc	cupancy	Pa	arking	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{j}}$	pproved	Fr	anchise	Total
2007	\$	314,468	\$	58,006	\$	2,268	\$ 7,449	\$	50,339	\$	51,426	\$	61,505	\$	12,303	\$	16,202	\$	29,778	\$	13,010	\$ 616,754
2008		358,074		64,812		1,811	7,305		52,542		52,524		36,205		12,400		15,747		32,942		13,791	648,153
2009		359,699		56,090		1,282	9,749		54,291		52,701		34,267		10,599		14,196		33,772		14,440	641,086
2010		349,084		45,503		1,251	10,991		54,141		51,107		36,971		10,085		13,885		35,228		14,655	622,901
2011		326,576		51,910		2,168	10,990		53,138		53,440		31,608		12,484		13,460		41,700		14,854	612,328
2012		288,923		55,659		221	11,060		58,712		51,434		30,653		13,822		15,975		35,812		15,829	578,100
2013		254,488		60,494		-	10,004		60,371		50,752		47,406		15,831		15,565		38,247		16,035	569,193
2014		241,730		58,912		-	13,085		62,905		50,422		59,060		18,468		16,661		38,835		16,666	576,744
2015		268,400		63,718		177	12,030		66,677		50,594		62,665		21,569		18,398		37,443		18,150	619,821
2016		279,798		77,365		166	8,653		75,504		51,006		89,594		25,671		20,175		37,793		18,609	684,334
Change																						
2007-2016		-11.0%		33.4%		-92.7%	16.2%		50.0%		-0.8%		45.7%		108.7%		24.5%		26.9%		43.0%	11.0%

Note: Reflects revenues of the General, Special Revenue, Debt Service, Capital Projects Funds, and Oakland Redevelopment Agency in FY2007 - FY2011, General, Special Revenue, Debt Service, Capital Projects Funds, Oakland Redevelopment Agency, and Housing Successor Agency in FY2012, General, Special Revenue, Debt Service, Capital Projects Funds, and Low and Moderate Income Housing Asset Fund in FY2013 - FY2016

Source: City of Oakland Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds

SCHEDULE 7

ASSESSED VALUE AND ESTIMATED VALUE OF TAXABLE PROPERTY

(in thousands)

Fiscal <u>Year</u>	<u>Land</u>	<u>Improvements</u>	Personal <u>Property</u>	Total Assessed <u>Value</u>	Less: Tax-Exempt <u>Property</u>	Less: Redevelopment Tax Increments	Total Taxable Assessed <u>Value</u>	Total Direct Tax <u>Rate</u>	Estimated Taxable Assessed <u>Value</u>	Taxable Assessed Value as a Percentage of <u>Estimated Taxable Value</u>
2007	\$ 11,410,672	\$ 24,862,440	\$ 1,894,048	\$ 38,167,160	\$ 2,347,281	\$ 9,552,758	\$ 26,267,121	5.667	\$ 148,855,775	17.65%
2008	12,472,317	27,192,312	2,132,949	41,797,578	2,478,761	9,552,758	29,766,059	5.508	163,951,453	18.16%
2009	13,222,782	28,429,996	2,205,480	43,858,258	2,584,624	10,425,138	30,848,496	5.414	167,013,757	18.47%
2010	12,708,080	27,749,554	2,110,456	42,568,090	2,691,489	9,753,604	30,122,997	5.674	170,917,885	17.62%
2011	12,479,365	26,787,417	1,985,401	41,252,183	2,768,044	9,030,570	29,453,569	5.692	167,649,715	17.57%
2012	12,560,758	27,225,464	2,154,330	41,940,552	3,084,118	9,247,268	29,609,166	5.677	168,091,235	17.61%
2013	12,723,234	27,848,261	2,266,536	42,838,031	3,322,453	9,496,227	30,019,351	5.562	166,967,630	17.98%
2014	13,031,396	29,441,439	2,569,502	45,042,337	4,245,848	9,625,116	31,171,373	5.470	170,507,410	18.28%
2015	13,960,804	31,789,840	1,925,481	47,676,125	4,288,050	10,353,808	33,034,267	5.527	182,580,394	18.09%
2016	14,968,239	34,219,483	2,098,503	51,286,225	3,862,329	11,932,782	35,491,114	5.136	182,282,362	19.47%

Note: Total Direct Tax Rate is "per \$10,000 assessed value".

SCHEDULE 8

DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING PROPERTY TAX RATES

		City Dir	ect Rates					Ow	erlapping Ra	<u>ites</u>			
Fiscal Year	Basic Rate	Debt Service Fund	1981 Pension Liability	Total Direct Rate	Alameda County	Education	Education Debt	BART and AC Transit	BART Debt	Other	East Bay Municipal Utility Debt	East Bay Regional Parks District	East Bay Reg. Parks District Debt
2007	0.3485	0.0607	0.1575	0.5667	0.3086	0.2165	0.1074	0.0517	-	0.0505	0.0068	0.0242	0.0085
2008	0.3485	0.0448	0.1575	0.5508	0.3086	0.2165	0.1030	0.0517	-	0.0505	0.0065	0.0242	0.0080
2009	0.3485	0.0354	0.1575	0.5414	0.3086	0.2165	0.1197	0.0517	-	0.0505	0.0064	0.0242	0.0100
2010	0.3485	0.0614	0.1575	0.5674	0.3086	0.2165	0.1689	0.0517	0.0057	0.0505	0.0065	0.0242	0.0108
2011	0.3485	0.0632	0.1575	0.5692	0.3086	0.2165	0.1697	0.0517	0.0031	0.0505	0.0067	0.0242	0.0084
2012	0.3485	0.0617	0.1575	0.5677	0.3086	0.2165	0.1741	0.0517	0.0041	0.0505	0.0067	0.0242	0.0071
2013	0.3485	0.0502	0.1575	0.5562	0.3086	0.2165	0.1818	0.0517	0.0043	0.0505	0.0068	0.0242	0.0051
2014	0.3485	0.0410	0.1575	0.5470	0.3086	0.2165	0.2199	0.0517	0.0075	0.0505	0.0066	0.0242	0.0078
2015	0.3485	0.0467	0.1575	0.5527	0.3086	0.2165	0.2157	0.0517	0.0045	0.0505	0.0047	0.0242	0.0085
2016	0.3485	0.0076	0.1575	0.5136	0.3086	0.2165	0.1876	0.0517	0.0026	0.0505	0.0034	0.0242	0.0067

Note: Rates per \$1,000 assessed value

SCHEDULE 9

PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAX PAYERS

	Taxable Assessed	Percentage of Total City Taxable Assessed	2016 (2) Percentage of Total City Taxable Taxable Assessed Assessed Value Value					
<u>Taxpayer</u>	 Value	Value	Rank		Value	Value	Rank	
Kaiser Foundation Hospitals				\$	583,440,396	1.230%	1	
Digital 720 2ND LLC					500,388,017	1.055%	2	
SIC Lakeside Drive LLC	\$ 195,518,292	0.546%	2		222,308,130	0.469%	3	
Broadway Franklin LLC					212,313,000	0.448%	4	
CIM Oakland Center 21 LP					187,195,980	0.395%	5	
Children Hospital Med Ctr of Northern California					171,516,198	0.362%	6	
Kaiser Foundation Health Plan Inc	143,883,711	0.402%	3		161,470,905	0.340%	7	
DWF IV 1999 Harrison LLC					142,797,199	0.301%	8	
CIM Oakland 1 Kaiser Plaza LP					136,078,132	0.287%	9	
555 Oakland City Center LLC					135,810,337	0.286%	10	
Oakland City Center Venture LLC	210,683,258	0.588%	1		N/A			
1800 Harrison Foundation	114,900,203	0.321%	4		N/A			
Oakland Property LLC	105,741,512	0.295%	5		N/A			
Clorox Company	93,590,595	0.261%	6		N/A			
555 Twelfth Street Venture LLC	89,186,247	0.249%	7		N/A			
Brandywine Ordway LLC	89,151,972	0.249%	8		N/A			
KSL Claremont Resort, Inc	83,480,444	0.233%	9		N/A			
SSR Western Multifamily LLC	64,567,437	0.180%	10		N/A			
Total	\$ 1,190,703,671	3.324%		\$	2,453,318,294	5.173%	ı	

Notes:

^{(1) 2007} based on total assessed value less tax-exempt property of \$35,819,878,951

^{(2) 2016} based on total assessed value less tax-exempt property of \$47,423,895,746

SCHEDULE 10

PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS

(in thousands)

Fiscal Year	Tax				ithin the f the Levy	Collec	ctions in	Total Collections to Date			
Ended June 30,		or the cal Year		Amount	Percent of Levy	Subsequent Year		Amount		Percent of Levy	
2007	\$	79,357	\$	75,654	95.33%	\$	-	\$	75,654	95.33	
2008		86,220		81,048	94.00%		-		81,048	94.00	
2009		89,482		84,063	93.94%		-		84,063	93.94	
2010		85,706		82,015	95.69%		-		82,015	95.69	
2011		83,960		81,013	96.49%		-		81,013	96.49	
2012		84,590		81,823	96.73%		-		81,823	96.73	
2013		85,791		83,756	97.63%		-		83,756	97.63	
2014		87,270		85,643	98.14%		-		85,643	98.14	
2015		92,969		91,419	98.33%		-		91,419	98.3	
2016		101,746		99,849	98.14%		-		99,849	98.14	

Voter-Approved I	Debt Tax Roll										
Fiscal Year	Taxe	es Levied		Collected wi		Collec	ctions in		Total Colle to Dat		
Ended	fo	or the			Percent	Subsequent				Percent	
June 30,	Fise	cal Year		Amount	of Levy	Year		Amount		of Levy	
2007	\$	75,071	\$	70,586	94.03%	\$	_	\$	70,586	94.03%	
2008	*	76,453	_	70,621	92.37%	T	_	T	70,621	92.37%	
2009		75,753		70,494	93.06%		_		70,494	93.06%	
2010		83,581		79,172	94.72%		-		79,172	94.72%	
2011		85,262		81,506	95.59%		-		81,506	95.59%	
2012		85,076		82,413	96.87%		-		82,413	96.87%	
2013		82,312		80,328	97.59%		-		80,328	97.59%	
2014		80,745		78,989	97.83%		-		78,989	97.83%	
2015		89,871		88,335	98.29%		-		88,335	98.29%	
2016		99,114		97,543	98.42%		-		97,543	98.42%	

Note: Collections in subsequent year data not available

SCHEDULE 11

TAXABLE SALES BY CATEGORY

(in thousands)

	2	<u> 2007</u>		<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Auto & Transportation	\$	912,876	\$	840,330	\$ 695,919	\$ 580,398	\$ 651,555	\$ 674,154	\$ 743,329	\$ 838,029	\$ 894,683	\$ 933,844
Business & Industry		613,457		691,322	574,628	490,566	512,453	642,399	655,454	653,875	558,343	578,225
General Consumer Goods		549,394		536,955	505,460	480,781	496,571	548,072	559,941	574,519	605,914	586,743
Restaurants and Hotels		483,765		527,276	515,602	525,068	566,973	606,936	681,562	751,108	855,561	953,697
Building & Construction		495,607		465,797	416,701	344,171	325,085	378,922	374,421	434,677	456,964	474,895
Food & Drugs		330,643		341,677	342,922	366,461	359,148	386,236	402,383	417,291	440,323	490,278
Fuel & Service Stations	1	1,186,535		1,236,876	638,147	433,207	620,279	888,349	733,489	704,208	632,457	502,608
Total	\$ 4	4,572,277	\$	4,640,233	\$ 3,689,379	\$ 3,220,652	\$ 3,532,064	\$ 4,125,068	\$ 4,150,579	\$ 4,373,707	\$ 4,444,245	\$ 4,520,290
City direct sales tax rate		1.5%		1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	2.0%	2.0%

Source: HdL Companies

SCHEDULE 12

DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING SALES TAX RATES

Fiscal Year	City Direct Rate	State of California
2007	1.50%	7.25%
2008	1.50%	7.25%
2009	1.50%	8.25%
2010	1.50%	8.25%
2011	1.50%	8.25%
2012	1.50%	7.25%
2013	1.50%	7.50%
2014	1.50%	7.50%
2015	2.00%	7.50%
2016	2.00%	7.50%

Source: California State Board of Equalization

SCHEDULE 13

RATIOS OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE

(in thousands)

Governmental Activities

Business-type Activities

Fiscal Year	General Obligation Bonds	Tax Allocation Bonds	Certificates of Participation	Lease Revenue Bonds	Pension Obligation Bonds	Accreted Interest	Special Assessment Bonds	Notes Payable	Capital Leases	Premiums Discounts Refunding Loss	Pledge Oblig. For Authority Debt	Sewer Fund Notes Payable	Sewer Fund Bonds	Total Primary Government	Percentage of Personal Income (1)	Per Capita
2007	\$ 345,214	\$ 514,475	\$ 45,795	\$ 325,105	\$ 313,625	\$ 104,356	\$ 6,800	\$ 17,090	\$ 31,809	\$ 2,852	\$ 85,350	\$ 4,126	\$ 59,305	\$ 1,855,902	20.36%	\$ 4
2008	331,528	496,630	40,495	323,340	282,705	125,743	6,200	19,045	26,968	(2,454)	82,450	3,346	57,720	1,793,716	17.00%	4
2009	317,188	505,765	10,375	296,985	248,455	148,580	5,645	17,610	23,235	(2,167)	79,350	2,540	56,090	1,709,651	15.29%	4
2010	366,248	488,900	7,210	270,670	210,595	172,971	8,298	14,295	18,483	450	76,000	1,708	54,380	1,690,208	14.46%	4
2011	349,431	523,905	3,895	242,800	195,637	172,121	7,963	12,295	17,068	(1,278)	72,450	848	52,580	1,649,715	14.83%	4
2012	326,609	-	-	210,530	174,777	157,211	7,475	10,140	13,498	4,630	68,700	574	50,695	1,024,839	9.07%	3
2013	309,793	-	-	176,850	367,394	162,874	6,690	7,815	39,228	20,219	56,895	291	48,710	1,196,759	9.66%	3
2014	290,449	-	-	141,555	348,512	169,923	6,365	5,330	51,349	18,390	53,225	-	38,555	1,123,653	8.57%	3
2015	206,530	-	-	109,955	330,433	165,290	6,020	3,150	65,645	25,989	49,445	-	41,431	1,003,888	7.27%	3
2016	201,830	-	-	91,110	313,223	159,476	5,685	2,060	71,850	24,054	45,512	-	39,123	953,923	6.52%	2

⁽¹⁾ Per capita income \$34,587 multiplied by population 422,856 gives personal income \$14,625,320,472

SCHEDULE 14

RATIOS OF GENERAL BONDED DEBT OUTSTANDING

(in thousands)

General Bonded Debt Outstanding

Fiscal Year	Net Bonded Debt (1)	Assessed Value (2)	Percentage of Actual Taxable Value of Property (%)	Per Capita (3) (in dollars)
2007	\$ 1,792,471	\$ 35,820,000	5.0041	\$ 4,314.09
2008	1,732,650	39,319,000	4.4066	4,123.56
2009	1,651,021	41,274,000	4.0001	3,884.13
2010	1,634,120	39,877,000	4.0979	3,794.40
2011	1,596,287	38,484,140	4.1479	4,062.50
2012	973,570	38,856,435	2.5056	2,462.61
2013	1,147,758	39,515,578	2.9046	2,874.24
2014	1,085,098	40,796,490	2.6598	2,683.53
2015	962,457	43,388,075	2.2183	2,344.01
2016	914,800	47,423,896	1.9290	2,163.38

⁽¹⁾ Source: City of Oakland Annual Debt Service Roll Forward Schedule as of June 30, 2016

⁽²⁾ Source: County of Alameda.

⁽³⁾ Population 422,856 as of 1/1/16 per State of California Demographic Information by City.

SCHEDULE 15

DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES DEBT

Governmental Unit	Estimated Percentage <u>Applicable</u>	City Share of <u>Debt</u>
Direct Bonded Debt		
City of Oakland General Obligation Bonds	100	\$ 201,830,000
City of Oakland Lease Revenue Bonds	100	91,110,000
City of Oakland Pension Obligations	100	313,223,000
City of Oakland 1915 Act Bond Obligations	100	5,685,000
City of Oakland Accreted Interest on Appreciation Bonds	100	159,476,000
City of Oakland Unamortized Premium and Discount	100	24,054,000
City of Oakland Notes and Capital Leases	100	73,910,000
City of Oakland and Coliseum Authority General Fund Obligations	100	45,512,000
Total Direct Bonded Debt:		\$ 914,800,000
Overlapping Bonded Debt		
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District Certificates of Participation	23.835	\$ 5,073,280
Alameda County and Coliseum Authority General Fund Obligation	20.121	171,436,353
Alameda County Pension Obligations	20.121	9,479,223
Bay Area Rapid Transit District	7.937	41,833,149
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Special District #1	52.418	3,850,102
East Bay Regional Park District	12.160	18,233,312
Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	0.767	3,224,679
Peralta Community College District	55.243	226,891,287
Peralta Community College District Pension Obligation	55.243	90,573,018
Berkeley & Castro Valley Unified School District	0.003 & 0.129	88,072
Oakland Unified School District	99.999	932,535,675
Oakland Unified School District Certificates of Participation	99.999	36,044,640
San Leandro Unified School District	7.446	13,988,772
San Leandro Unified School District General Fund Obligations	7.446	372,300
Castro Valley Unified School District Certificates of Participation	0.129	6,979
City of Emeryville 1915 Act Bonds	4.183	185,307
City of Piedmont 1915 Act Bonds	4.792	139,447
Total Overlapping Bonded Debt:		\$ 1,553,955,595
Total Direct and Overlapping Debt		\$ 2,468,755,595
Less: East Bay M.U.D. Special District #1 (100% self-supporting)		 3,850,102
Total Net Direct and Overlapping Bonded Debt		\$ 2,464,905,493

Source: City of Oakland Treasury Division

SCHEDULE 16

LEGAL DEBT MARGIN INFORMATION

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Debt limit	\$ 985,017,038 \$	1,116,227,253 \$	1,156,818,628 \$	1,129,612,382 \$	1,104,508,857 \$	1,110,343,736 \$	1,125,725,668 \$	1,168,926,502 \$	1,238,785,017 \$	1,330,916,765
Total net debt applicable to limit	 345,214,363	331,528,315	317,188,697	366,247,851	349,430,620	326,608,202	309,791,916	290,448,558	206,530,000	201,830,000
Legal debt margin	\$ 639,802,675 \$	784,698,938 \$	839,629,931 \$	763,364,531 \$	755,078,237 \$	783,735,534 \$	815,933,752 \$	878,477,944 \$	1,032,255,017 \$	1,129,086,765
Total net debt applicable to the limit as a percen-										
tage of debt limit (%)	35.05%	29.70%	27.42%	32.42%	31.64%	29.42%	27.52%	24.85%	16.67%	15.16%

Source: County of Alameda and City of Oakland Annual Debt Service Roll Forward (General Obligation Debt Total as of June 30, 2016).

SCHEDULE 17

PLEDGED-REVENUE COVERAGE, PORT OF OAKLAND, OAKLAND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND OAKLAND REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY

(thousands of dollars)

Net Revenue Available for

	A	arrable for						
Fiscal Year	De	ebt Service	Pı	rincipal	Iı	nterest	Total	Coverage
PORT OF OAKLAND								
2007	\$	138,458	\$	19,892	\$	62,756	\$ 82,648	167.53%
2008		144,931		19,800		70,474	90,274	160.55%
2009		130,173		19,724		75,578	95,302	136.59%
2010		147,860		35,593		78,018	113,611	141.96%
2011		155,502		36,500		69,378	105,878	146.87%
2012		161,254		48,763		59,571	108,334	149.68%
2013		170,128		45,812		61,612	107,424	158.37%
2014		160,769		42,661		56,615	99,276	161.94%
2015		164,643		46,471		54,813	101,284	162.56%
2016		158,738		50,236		52,786	103,022	154.08%
OAKLAND REDEVELO	OPMENT A	AGENCY						
2009		3,456,253		505,595		343,747	849,342	406.93%
2010		3,100,961		488,900		316,344	805,244	385.10%
2011		3,041,760		523,905		378,570	902,475	337.05%
OAKLAND REDEVELO	OPMENT S	SUCCESSOR AG	<u>ENCY</u>					
2012		2,949,755		503,540		348,207	851,747	346.32%
2013		2,856,580		480,995		318,894	799,889	357.12%

Notes: (from Port of Oakland)

Source: Port of Oakland

⁽¹⁾ Revised from 130.15% to reflect the application of \$9.5 million bond reserve funds from Series F, Series K, Series L and Series N to debt service.

⁽²⁾ Revised from 148.85% to reflect the application of unspent Series M bond proceeds to debt service.

⁽³⁾ Revised from 161.41% to reflect additional Net Revenue Available for Debt Service.

SCHEDULE 18

DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS

Calendar		Personal Income (thousands of	Per Capita Personal	Median	School	Unemployment
Year	Population	dollars)	Income	Age	Enrollment	Rate (%)
2007	415,492	\$ 9,114,233	\$ 21,936	33.3	39,802	7.4
2008	420,183	10,554,157	25,118	36.1	39,705	9.6
2009	425,068	11,182,689	26,308	36.7	38,826	17.1
2010	390,757	10,607,099	27,145	37.1	38,450	17.2
2011	392,333	11,107,340	28,311	36.3	38,540	16.3
2012	394,832	11,281,140	28,572	36.2	37,742	14.3
2013	399,699	12,402,660	31,030	36.6	36,180	11.3
2014	405,703	13,154,920	32,425	36.4	37,040	9.0
2015	419,539 (1)	14,100,286	33,609	36.2	37,147	5.7
2016	422,856	14,625,320	34,587	36.2	37,075	5.8

Source: Population - State of California Department of Finance

Per Capita Income and Median Age - Demographics Now.com (2006-2013), U.S. Census Bureau (2014-2016)

School Enrollment - Oakland Unified School District

Unemployment Rate - State of California Employment Development Department

 $^{(1)\ 2015\} population\ is\ updated\ with\ newly\ available\ data\ from\ the\ California\ Department\ of\ Finance,\ personal\ income\ is\ also\ updated\ accordingly.$

SCHEDULE 19

PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS

		<u>2016</u>			2008 (I)	
<u>Employer</u>	Number of Employees	Rank	Percent of Total Employment	Number of Employees	Rank	Percent of Total Employment
Kaiser Permanente/Kaiser Foundation	12,287	1	6.13%	8,885	1	5.09%
Oakland Unified School District	5,080	2	2.53%	5,723	2	3.28%
County of Alameda	4,490	3	2.24%	N/A		
City of Oakland	3,500	4	1.75%	3,804	3	2.18%
Bay Area Rapid Transit	3,288	5	1.64%	3,166	4	1.81%
State of California	3,168	6	1.58%	N/A		
UCSF Children's Hospital Oakland	2,675	7	1.33%	2,526	5	1.45%
Alameda Health Systems (Highland Hospital)	2,300	8	1.15%	N/A		
Southwest Airlines	2,256	9	1.13%	2,328	7	1.33%
Sutter Hospitals, Medical Foundation, & Support Services	2,257	10	1.13%	2,072	8	1.19%
U. S. Postal Service	N/A			2,413	6	1.38%
East Bay Municipal Utility District	N/A			1,596	9	0.91%
Federal Express	N/A	_		1,556	10	0.89%
Total	41,301	_		34,069	_	

Source: City of Oakland Economic and Workforce Development Department and County of Alameda

Total employment of 200,500 from State of California Employment Development Department is used to calculate the percentage of employment in 2016.

Note:

(1) Data pertaining to principal employers for 2007 was not readily available. As such, the City used 2008 data as its base year.

SCHEDULE 20

FULL-TIME-EQUIVALENT CITY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

Function/Program	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Aging, Health & Human Services	213	208	204	217	231	218	219	219	214	213
Community & Economic Development Agency	262	419	380	364	241	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economic & Workforce Development Department	N/A	46	44	42						
Fire										
Firefighters and officers	456	462	448	434	427	411	410	393	426	427
Civilians	81	82	77	78	69	64	68	96	67	102
General Government										
Management services	222	211	204	184	169	280	280	169	205	224
Finance	210	209	196	176	172	63	55	124	128	134
Retirement Services	7	5	5	5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Personnel Resources Management	41	53	47	35	35	33	29	40	42	37
Contracts and Purchasing	N/A	22	22	22	23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Neighborhood Services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
Information Technology	76	92	88	67	62	60	55	59	54	70
Library	160	150	140	133	135	134	138	139	136	132
Cultural Arts/KTOP	22	21	20	12	12	8	8	8	8	N/A
Museum	58	55	51	42	38	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Parks and Recreation	81	76	92	82	87	83	84	82	85	88
Planning, Building & Neighborhood Preservation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	119	111	111	107	118
Police										
Officers	725	746	791	763	627	634	621	633	710	760
Civilians	335	432	303	305	279	311	350	458	458	423
Public Works	727	561	546	482	593	593	588	611	623	622
Housing & Community Development	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	44	43	45	45	44
Total	3,676	3,804	3,614	3,401	3,205	3,055	3,063	3,233	3,352	3,436

Source: City of Oakland Payroll Division

SCHEDULE 21

OPERATING INDICATORS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

Function/Program	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
General Government										
Building permits issued	16,488	14,957	13,055	12,951	13,648	13,696	13,513	14,680	15,117	18,693
Building inspections conducted	89,388	95,064	77,845	71,931	70,016	48,500	55,951	58,844	56,414	39,947
Authorized new dwelling units	2,035	704	395	555	528	237	486	420	806	1,641
Commercial value (in thousands)	171,157	213,696	117,876	95,851	108,767	150,613	65,152	100,239	238,592	306,809
Residential value (in thousands)	611,036	258,617	196,362	168,872	179,374	159,723	253,516	181,087	246,776	495,481
Police										
Dispatched calls	299,283	289,032	315,522	265,277	236,517	221,775	249,050	226,275	252,550	253,877
Field Contacts	7,221	9,641	8,393	20,220	23,391	16,638	21,280	33,570	34,418	39,240
Physical arrests	14,908	16,866	18,183	15,056	15,029	10,617	7,908	7,577	12,224	12,911
Parking violations	470,008	459,459	496,655	450,656	386,494	368,641	326,030	331,692	323,542	330,615
Traffic violations	39,098	44,897	51,019	33,484	20,731	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fire										
Emergency responses	61,470	49,784	51,255	49,887	51,041	46,672	55,334	55,284	58,413	59,254
Fires extinguished	2,021	3,800	2,601	1,143	1,073	1,207	1,108	1,783	1,282	1,782
Inspections	2,631	3,062	3,258	2,087	2,211	2,390	3,292	3,292	2,398	2,862
Port of Oakland										
Imports (in tonnage)	16,081,289	16,203,404	14,664,473	13,014,470	14,868,310	14,709,453	14,609,772	14,478,498	15,204,325	15,154,727
Exports (in tonnage)	14,710,407	16,191,383	16,258,547	17,357,582	17,647,626	18,429,153	18,370,822	18,473,839	17,663,308	15,848,375
Total tonnage	30,791,696	32,394,787	30,923,020	30,372,052	32,515,936	33,138,606	32,980,594	32,952,337	32,867,633	31,003,102
Containers	1,369,123	1,363,367	1,273,805	1,161,082	1,316,473	1,318,925	1,328,379	1,325,855	1,359,195	1,294,532
Other public works										
Street resurfacing (miles)	14.58	13.83	18.63	18.50	11.50	21.21	3.95	16.95	9.60	9.40
Potholes repaired	12,574	11,758	8,515	10,062	8,262	11,614	12,005	9,719	13,751	14,117
Parks and recreation										
Athletic field permits issued	543	330	340	346	378	409	409	409	429	450
Community center admissions	1,436,682	1,423,577	1,342,657	1,454,124	1,653,451	1,790,720	1,144,097	902,414	698,273	1,174,383
Library										
Volumes in collection	1,956,249	1,242,415	1,316,849	1,452,930	1,535,451	1,268,857	1,259,091	1,245,060	1,130,583	1,120,958
Total volumes borrowed	2,270,755	2,328,712	2,436,806	2,469,588	2,585,613	2,619,930	2,576,157	2,534,678	2,421,548	2,560,066
Water										
New connections	389	474	297	192	111	127	167	132	139	184
Water main breaks	261	251	410	242	263	260	278	303	339	336
Average daily consumption (gallons/fami	203	N/A								
Peak daily consumption (thousands of										
gallons)	385,000	N/A								
Wastewater										
Average daily sewage treatment (thousan	ds									
of gallons)	75,500	75,000	66,000	68,000	70,000	63,000	61,000	56,000	55,000	57,000

Source: City of Oakland, Port of Oakland, and East Bay Municipal Utility District Note: Port of Oakland data based on prior calendar year; fiscal year data unavailable.

SCHEDULE 22

CAPITAL ASSET STATISTICS BY FUNCTION/PROGRAM

Function/Program	2007	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	2012	2013	<u>2014</u>	2015	<u>2016</u>
Aviation facilities										
Airports operated	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Paved airport runways	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Total length of runways (in feet)	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038	25,038
Area of airport (in acres)	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
Police										
Stations	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Patrol units	630	622	633	602	592	634	610	600	619	697
Fire stations	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
Harbor facilities										
Miles at waterfront	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Berthing length at wharves (in feet)	23,063	23,063	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233	23,233
Harbor area (in acres)	786	786	786	786	779	779	779	779	779	779
Hospitals	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Library branches	16	15	15	15	16	16	16	16	16	16
Museums	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Other public works										
Streets (in lane miles)	2,287	2,288	2,323	1,963	1,965	1,965	1,965	1,965	1,965	1,965
Streetlights	33,952	36,219	36,219	36,219	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000	38,000
Traffic signals	680	671	688	688	688	720	632	635	639	642
Parks and recreation										
Acreage	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
Swimming pools	5	7	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	6
Tennis courts	36	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44
Playgrounds	89	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106	106
Baseball/softball diamonds	46	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Soccer/football fields	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Community centers	29	34	34	34	33	33	33	33	33	33
Water										
Water mains (miles)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fire hydrants	6,705	6,719	6,733	6,738	6,759	6,697	6,812	6,823	6,720	6,735
Storage capacity (thousands of gallons)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Wastewater		_	_	_	_			_		
Sanitary sewers (miles)	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29
Treatment capacity (million gallons per day)	120	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320	320

Source: City of Oakland, Port of Oakland, and East Bay Municipal Utility District Note: Harbor Facilities data based on prior calendar year; fiscal year data unavailable.

GENERAL INFORMATION

The City of Oakland is located on the eastern side of the Oakland/San Francisco Bay in the County of Alameda. Its western border offers 19 miles of coastline, while the rolling hills to the east present views of the Bay and the Pacific Ocean. In between are traditional, well-kept neighborhoods, a progressive downtown and superior cultural and recreational amenities. It is the administrative site for the County of Alameda, the regional seat for the federal government, the district location of primary state offices, and the transportation hub and center of commerce for the Bay Area.

With an estimated population of over 422,800, ranking the eighth largest city in the State of California, Oakland is a city of contrasts. It has a thriving industrial port located near restored historic buildings. Major corporate headquarters are in close proximity to traditional businesses and small shops. Historic structures continue to be preserved and revitalized while new buildings are built.

Oakland has grown rapidly since World War II. It has striven to balance this growth by preserving its abundant natural beauty and resources. The City has over 100 parks within its borders and several recreational areas along its perimeter. The downtown area includes Lake Merritt, the largest saltwater lake within a U.S. city. Its shoreline is a favorite retreat for joggers, office workers and picnickers. At dusk, the area sparkles as the lake is lit with the "Necklace of Lights." Lake Merritt is the oldest officially declared wildlife sanctuary in the United States, dating back to 1870.

CITY GOVERNMENT

The City of Oakland has a Mayor-Council form of government. The Mayor is elected at-large for a four-year term, and can be re-elected only once. The Mayor is not a member of the City Council; however, he or she has the right to vote as one if the Councilmembers are evenly divided.

The City Council is the legislative body of the City and is comprised of eight Councilmembers. One Councilmember is elected "at-large", while the other seven Councilmembers represent specific districts. All Councilmembers are elected to serve four-year terms. Each year the Councilmembers elect one member as President of the Council and one member to serve as Vice Mayor.

The City Administrator is appointed by the Mayor and is subject to confirmation by the City Council. The City Administrator is responsible for the day-to-day administrative and fiscal operations of the City.

COMMERCIAL SECTORS

Oakland has made significant gains in diversifying its economic base. The economy offers a healthy mix of trade, government, information technology, financial, medical, publishing, and service-oriented occupations. Industries with the largest growth in jobs in the last few years are professional and business services, education and healthcare, government, leisure and hospitality, traditional and specialty food production.

Oakland is abundant in resources that are available to its businesses and residents. State-of-the-art transportation, communications, and utility facilities keep the City running smoothly. Waterfront restaurants, shops, and a movie theater at Jack London Square, as well as the burgeoning Old Oakland and Uptown districts provide lively nighttime attractions. In addition, new office and retail buildings, public facilities, hotels, park enhancements, farmer's markets, outdoor cinema, art galleries and scores of public art installations and the annual Art & Soul festival have created a cosmopolitan environment in the downtown. The City's neighborhood retail areas continue to grow; as evidenced by Oakland's 10 Business

Improvement Districts. While manufacturing remains an important segment of the City's economy, some industrial areas have been converted into live/work use.

A variety of incentives are available to companies located in the City's Foreign Trade and Recycling Market Development Zones. The Economic Development staff links businesses with the many services available to them throughout the area and serves as an ombudsman for companies dealing with the City. City staff works with merchants in each commercial district to promote the district, obtain loans, expedite permits, and arrange for City services.

Oakland is a city of rich history, impressive growth, and a promising future. Located within the **nation's largest metropolitan area**, California's eighth largest city is strategically positioned as the economic heart of the East Bay. Oakland has a diverse business base and opportunities for expansion in business services, retail, and the cutting-edge advanced technology industries. Downtown Oakland has the infrastructure and the amenities for both traditional and emerging enterprises, and it offers competitively priced office space.

As the economic, transportation, and civic hub of the East Bay, Oakland offers tremendous opportunity for retailers. The City's approximately 422,856 residents per capita income in 2016 averaged \$34,587. Portions of Oakland are among the wealthiest consumer markets in California; average household income for this area was over \$72,000. Estimated annual taxable sales were \$4.5 billion in 2016. Compared to other East Bay cities, Oakland sees a significant number of auto-related purchases, with opportunities available in consumer goods, building materials, and office products.

The City of Oakland has transformed itself into one of the most desirable communities to live and to do business in the country. Testimony to this transformation is well publicized in various media and comments by public officials. For example, the City is:

- "...Nation's best City for Foodies." (San Francisco Chronicle, 2016);
- "...ranked 3rd best place for Tech Careers." (Value Penguin, 2016);
- "...most diverse large city in the U.S." (WalletHub, 2016);
- "...5th Best City for Basketball Fans." (WalletHub, 2016);
- "...ranked no.13 among America's Fastest-Growing Cities 2016." (Forbes, 2016);
- "...among the 52 Places to Go in 2016." (New York Times, 2016)
- "...9th Best City for Baseball Fans." (WalletHub, 2015);
- "...no. 14 among most Bikeable Cities of 2015." (Redfin, 2015);
- "...no. 4 on Trulia's top 5 most pet-friendly rental markets." (Trulia, 2015);
- "...no. 2 Overall in Mid-Sized American Cities of the Future and no. 1 in Connectivity." (fDilntelligence.com, 2015);
- "...seven Oakland companies named among ICIC and Fortune Inner City's 100 winners." (ICIC and Fortune 2014);
- "...Oakland uptown was named among nation's 10 best neighborhoods." (American Planning Association 2014);
- "...named in the Rockefeller Foundation's new 100 Resilient Cities Network." (Rockefeller Foundation 2013):
- "...ranked America's most exciting city." (real estate website Movoto 2013);
- "...named among top 15 cities for tech startups." (National Venture Capital Association 2013);

HISTORY

Oakland's first inhabitants, the Ohlone Indians, arrived about 1200 B.C. and lived in small tribal groups on the edge of the hills surrounding the Bay. The Ohlone Indians were a stocky hunting and gathering group who lived in such harmony with nature that they left no permanent mark on the landscape. They maintained such a peaceful attitude with each other that they had no word for war.

Spanish explorers first entered the area that is now Oakland by land in 1772. They reported the natural geography as possibly the most perfect on earth. Near the shore were magnificent oaks; on the hills stood acres of giant redwoods. In the spring, wildflowers filled the valley with golden poppies and purple iris. Deer, rabbits, bears and wildcats roamed the woods. Creeks tumbled into a Bay filled with salmons, crabs, sturgeons, smelts, lobsters, clams, and mussels. The marsh that would become Lake Merritt was alive with wildfowls.

Spain established a Presidio and a Mission on the west side of the Bay in 1776, and Mission San Jose (south of Oakland) is now Fremont. Mission San Jose had jurisdiction over Oakland, the area the Spaniards called Encinal, "grove of evergreen oaks." European diseases and settler hostility obliterated the Ohlones and most of their culture within a few years.

Development as a commercial and transportation center began with the California Gold Rush of 1849, when Oakland became the mainland staging point for passengers and cargo traveling between the Bay and the Sierra foothills.

Oakland was incorporated as a city in 1852, and construction of shipping wharfs began immediately. Ferry service between Oakland and San Francisco had existed for years, but by building large wharfs and dredging a shipping channel, Oakland became an independent destination. Oakland grew steadily through the 19th century. After the devastating earthquake in 1906, many people and businesses chose to relocate from San Francisco to Oakland. Oakland's population more than doubled between 1900 and 1910.

Oakland benefited from the general prosperity of the area through the 1920s. California farms expanded their markets, contributing to canning, processing and shipping companies based in Oakland. Automakers and steel companies led the industrial expansion throughout the East Bay. Construction businesses had plenty of work as homes went up south and east of the inner city and new high-rise office buildings were built in downtown Oakland.

World War II brought tremendous changes to Oakland. Huge numbers of workers moved to the Bay Area to work in local shipyards and many of these people, as well as large numbers of military personnel who mustered out at Treasure Island and the Oakland Army Base, chose to remain in the Bay Area. The population grew by almost one third between 1940 and 1950.

Oakland has a rich literary and cultural heritage. Such historical notables as writers Bret Harte, Jack London, Joaquin Miller, Ina Donna Coolbrith, Jessica Mitford, Narman Jayo, Ishmael Reed, and Gertrude Stein; architect Julia Morgan; and dancer Isadora Duncan are just a few who have left their cultural mark on the City. It is also the birthplace of the West Coast Blues.

TRANSPORTATION

Located in the geographical center of the Bay Area, Oakland has been recognized as an important transportation hub for more than 100 years. The combination of train, bus, marine, aviation, freeways (I-880, I-580, Hwy 13, and Hwy 24) guarantees ease of travel for local residents and efficient channels of commerce for businesses relying on the City's easy access. Oakland is the headquarters of the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District (AC Transit), and the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) system. Oakland's Port is a primary sea terminal for transporting cargo between the Western United States and the Pacific Rim, Latin America, and Europe. Air cargo service is minutes away at the Oakland International Airport.

The Port of Oakland

The Port of Oakland is located on the east (or mainland) side of San Francisco Bay, one of the most beautiful natural harbors in the world. The Port is the fifth busiest container port in the United States and among the top 30 in the world. It is served by two railroad companies: the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) and the Union Pacific.

The Port handles over 98 percent of Northern California's container traffic, which includes service by over 30 container lines. It has technically advanced facilities available not only for containers but for break-bulk, heavy-lift, and other specialized cargo. The Port has approximately 1,000 acres of developed terminal facilities and container storage and handling areas with 35 ship-to-shore container cranes in operation at these facilities. All Vision 2000 terminal facilities are open and operating. They consist of the 120 acre Hanjin container terminal, the 150 acre Stevedoring Services of America container terminal and the 85 acre intermodal rail terminal operated by the BNSF. The Port of Oakland is the only California container port that handles more exports than imports. It is the premier seaport for exporting agricultural goods from the Central Valley and the gateway for 90 percent of California's wine exports.

Oakland International Airport

Oakland International is San Francisco Bay Area's most convenient airport and was ranked #1 for ontime arrivals in North America as measured by FlightStats.com in 2009. Strategically located at the center of the region, Oakland International handles over 9 million passengers and 1,000,000 metric tons of air cargo annually. It is the fourth largest international airport in California, and the second largest airport in the Bay Area, it offers approximately 150 commercial passenger flights daily to domestic and international destinations. The airport is comprised of two airfields: South Field (the main commercial airfield) and North Field (primarily used by general and corporate aviation and some cargo companies). Oakland offers flights to more than 40 destinations in the U.S., including services to four Hawaiian Islands as well as service to Mexico and Europe. The Port is also partnering with Bay Area Rapid Transit to operate the Oakland Airport Connector, a 3.2-mile extension of BART from the Coliseum/Oakland Airport BART Station to Oakland International Airport.

Air Cargo at Oakland International Airport

Oakland International handles 1,000,000 metric tons of cargo every year, and it is the largest air cargo facility in Northern California. Five all-cargo carriers currently serve Oakland International. Additionally, air cargo is on the domestic and international passenger carriers that serve the airport. About one in every four employees works in a job related to cargo. FedEx maintains their West Cost North American Asia-Pacific hub at Oakland. UPS also has a major West Coast hub at Oakland.

Mass Transit

Local bus service is provided by Alameda-Contra Costa (AC) Transit, the public bus system serving 13 cities (and adjacent unincorporated communities) in 364 square miles along the east shore of San Francisco Bay. Serving approximately 192,000 daily riders, AC Transit operates a network of more than 149 bus lines, over 90% of which make transfer connections with the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) system. AC Transit buses also serve the Amtrak Station and ferry terminal at Jack London Square, the Oakland International Airport, and many other Bay Area attractions including downtown San Francisco.

BART is a 104-mile, automated rapid transit system serving over 3 million people in the three BART counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Francisco counties, as well as northern San Mateo County. Trains traveling up to 80 mph connect 22 Bay Area cities and 44 stations. Travel time between downtown Oakland and downtown San Francisco averages only 11 minutes on BART.

Other modes of transportation include the Alameda/Oakland Ferry Service that links Oakland with San Francisco. Nine major U.S. and California highways pass through Oakland. Daily service to rail destinations throughout the U.S. is offered at the Oakland Amtrak Station. Greyhound Bus Lines offers daily bus service to cities throughout the United States.

Car-sharing is offered by City CarShare and Zipcar. There are over 90 miles of bike lanes, routes and paths for the public. Oakland was one of the first cities to pilot the "sharrow" lane – shared-lane pavement markings to indicate road lanes shared by cyclists and motorists.

EDUCATION

The Oakland Unified School District is governed by the Board of Education consisting of seven elected members and three mayoral appointees. The day-to-day operations are managed by the Superintendent of Schools.

The District operates 54 elementary, 13 middle, and 19 high schools. They also operate 30 child development centers. In addition, there are over 30 charter schools and several adult education schools in Oakland.

There are two community colleges and four four-year institutions inside the city limits, with the world-renowned U.C. Berkeley campus located nearby. In addition, Samuel Merritt University offers degrees in nursing, occupational therapy, physical therapy, physician assistant, and podiatric medicine and is the largest source of new registered nurses in California. Also, a variety of evening extension courses is offered in Oakland by nine other Bay Area colleges, including U.C. Berkeley. A wide array of non-profit, county and City-sponsored skills enhancement training programs are provided to Oakland residents, and career development is successfully encouraged at area high school academies.

HEALTH CARE

Oakland's medical facilities are among the best in the nation. The medical community provides the latest and most sophisticated medical technology for the diagnosis and treatment of disease. Over 1,500 physicians, 250 dentists, and four major hospitals are located within the City. Overall, the health care industry in Oakland employs approximately 14,000 people.

PUBLIC SAFETY

Oakland's public safety providers actively engage all segments of the City's diverse residential and business communities in efforts to increase public safety and quality of life. Current police initiative partner law enforcement agencies with local community-based social service organizations to create/enhance programs that offer ex-offenders services (training and education) and support (substance abuse recovery and mental health counseling), while maintaining strict accountability and supervision of parolees and those on probations.

Oakland's first responders, committed to proactive emergency preparedness, response, and mitigation, continue to develop and enhance their skills, assess local risks, and prepare strategies to ensure the safety and security of the City's residential and commercial sectors in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack.

PARKS AND RECREATION

Sports, performing arts, boating, camping, gardens, and many other leisure activities are available at more than 140 parks, playgrounds, community centers, and other recreational facilities operated by the City. There are two public golf courses and a third driving range. Five public pools offer seasonal lap and recreational swimming, instruction and showers. The Parks and Recreation Department operates more than 40 tennis courts. Oakland's Feather River Camp, a family camp located in the Plumas National Forest, is operated by the nonprofit group Camps in Common and offers both tent and cabin sites for overnight camping. Families and groups enjoy the rustic amenities, swimming, a variety of activities, and theme weeks offered at the camp throughout the summer months. Instruction in sailing, wind surfing and kayaking are available at Lake Merritt. Boats are available for rent, including paddleboats, kayaks, rowboats, canoes, and sailboats. The City provides public boat launches at its seven-acre, waterfront park on the estuary and at Lake Merritt. The Port of Oakland owns and operates three marinas with berths.

Oakland is at the heart of the East Bay Regional Park District, a splendid system of 65 parks covering more than 119,000 acres and 29 regional hiking trails stretching 1,200 miles in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties.

CULTURAL ARTS

Oakland is home to one of the most vibrant visual, performing and cultural arts communities in the West Coast. It is experiencing a dynamic cultural renaissance and economic revitalization throughout downtown, the waterfront, and neighborhoods. There are more than 5,000 professional artists living and working in Oakland; 25 dance companies; 36 music groups and organizations; 12 theater companies; 40 visual arts galleries and 15 historic and museum sites.

The Cultural Arts & Marketing Division is the City's local arts agency which provides services to the arts community and sponsors culturally enriching programs, exhibitions, and events to celebrate Oakland's creative and cultural diversity. Through its three program areas, the Cultural Funding Program, Public Art Program, and Special Projects, the Cultural Arts & Marketing Division seeks to broaden and strengthen community participation in the development, support, and promotion of Oakland's rich artistic and cultural heritage at the local, regional, and national level.

Cultural Funding Program—The City of Oakland, through a competitive application process, awards over \$1.1 million in contracts over the years to Oakland-based nonprofit organizations and individual

artists that collectively provide more than 5,000 arts and cultural activities to Oakland residents and visitors.

Public Art Program—The City of Oakland Public Art Program serves Oakland residents and visitors of all ages by commissioning permanent and temporary works of art to help create a positive vision and identity for the City and its neighborhoods. The Public Art Program supports downtown and neighborhood revitalization by engaging a diverse range of artists in contributing to the quality of the visual environment while communicating Oakland's historical, social and cultural significance. Public Art Program Staff administers a variety of programs, including site-specific public art connected to City capital improvements.

Special Projects—The City collaborates with other community organizations, businesses, public institutions, and City agencies to produce programs, events, festivals, and celebrations that promote Oakland's art and culture. Current projects include: Oakland Artisan Marketplace, Art & Soul Oakland Festival, and support for 20 major festivals citywide.

Galleries—Three new exhibition spaces downtown showcase high quality art by Oakland Bay Area visual artists in a variety of expressive mediums. They include the Craft and Cultural Arts City of Oakland/State of California Gallery, established through a 'partnership in the arts' collaboration, the Oakland Art Gallery, and the Galleries of Oakland space in City Hall. The Third Thursday Oakland Art Night, through which art patrons can tour downtown galleries until 8pm on the third Thursdays, is a newly created program.

Oakland Museum of California—It brings together collections of art, history and natural science under one roof to tell the extraordinary stories of California and its people. Oakland Museum of California connects collections and programs across disciplines, advancing an integrated, multilayered understanding of this ever-evolving state. With more than 1.8 million objects, the Museum is a leading cultural institution of the Bay Area and a resource for the research and understanding of California's dynamic cultural and environmental heritage.

Paramount Theater—This 1931 movie place, authentically restored in 1973, is Oakland's premiere live performance facility. The theater hosts an impressive variety of popular attractions, including the Oakland East Bay Symphony and the Oakland Ballet, hosts classical performance, Broadway shows, R&B concerts, gospel musicals, comedy performances and many special engagements.

Malonga Casquelourd Center for the Arts —The beautifully restored turn-of-the-century Arts Center, formerly known as the Alice Arts Center, is one of the area's busiest performing arts facilities. Patrons can participate in a variety of arts programs or rent spaces for arts events and activities. This restored 1920s building is a popular multicultural, multidisciplinary performing arts complex sponsored by the city. The 400-seat theater and five performance spaces showcase drama, ballet, and African and contemporary dance.

The Oakland School for the Arts—It is a California Distinguished School and has been recognized by the national Arts Schools Network as an Exemplary School. Oakland School for the Arts (OSA) is part of the revitalization of uptown Oakland. Located in the recently restored historic Fox Theater, OSA anchors the uptown arts movement with its shows, productions and performances.

SPORTS

Oakland is a magnet for sports fans of all types. Whatever the season, Oakland pro and amateur games frequently garner large crowds and broad national media coverage. In the last three decades, Oakland's professional sports teams have won six world championships in three major sports.

- Golden State Warriors The Warriors continue to showcase exciting basketball. In 2015, the Warriors won their first NBA Championship in 40 years. The celebratory parade and rally marking the victory was held in downtown Oakland on June 19.
- Oakland Athletics The Oakland Athletics have won six American League Championships and four baseball World Series titles.
- Oakland Raiders From dominance in three Super Bowl victories to improbable come-from-behind victories, the Raiders have been involved in some of professional football's most incredible moments.

MEDIA

Oakland has its own daily and weekly regional newspapers, radio stations and a television station with daily award-winning newscasts. In addition to media and cable companies located in Oakland, the City is served by other major Bay Area newspapers, seven television stations (including the three major networks) and the Public Broadcasting System. Over 30 other Bay Area radio stations are easily received in Oakland.

This page is intentionally left blank.