



CITY OF OAKLAND

**MEMORANDUM**

---

**TO:** Honorable Mayor & City Council

**FROM:** Erin Roseman  
Director of Finance

**SUBJECT:** FY 2021-23 Proposed Biennial Budget  
Development Questions/  
Responses #3

**DATE:** June 3, 2021

---

**PURPOSE**

The purpose of this memorandum is to transmit to the full City Council and public, responses to questions raised by City Councilmembers and the public related to the Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-23 Biennial Budget. To the extent additional information becomes available on any of the responses below, updates will be provided.

**QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES**

***Section A. Questions From Parks Measure Q Advocates/ Parks And Recreation Advisory Commission (PRAC)***

- 1) Measure Q is estimated to have \$27,500,000 in revenues, an increase of over last year. What is the reason for this increase? How will this new funding be allocated, and what are the associated deliverables?**

This question was addressed in the FY 2021-23 Proposed Biennial Budget Development Question and Answer Informational Memo #2.

Staff would like to add that a facet of Measure Q is to augment the services funded in the Landscaping & Lighting Assessment District (LLAD) fund. LLAD does not have a CPI escalator built-in, thus the purchasing power of this revenue source has declined dramatically since the 1990s. The annual increase in Measure Q helps to in part offset the lack of revenue growth in LLAD in order to maintain services.

- 2) Overtime for additional Parks, bathrooms, and Sanitation \$1 Million FY 21-22 and \$700K FY 22-23. Note: The 2-FTE to increase park restroom cleaning has not yet taken place, the mid-cycle was funding additional staff to increase cleaning to more than one a day at a cost of about \$116,000 per FTE. The number of FTE's needed to meet the deliverable was proposed by OPW, it seems that an additional \$1 million dollars is a very large increase. Please define this increase with service level details. No new FTE is shown.**

The Department of Public Works is recommending that \$1 million of the total \$1.7 million allocation to overtime for restroom cleaning be reallocated to add additional temporary part-time (TPT) Custodians for restroom cleaning blitz program, purchase and install a set of new stand-alone restrooms at Lake Merritt, resurfacing/ repair sections of walking path at Lake Merritt in and around restrooms, perform graffiti abatement at restrooms, and purchase and install vandal/ animal proof litter receptacles at or around park restrooms and waterways:

- TPT Custodians - Add 3.0 FTE TPT Custodial Positions for detail cleaning (organized blitzes) at City Parks. This addition results in 6 total TPT custodians working in two (2) teams of three (3) assigned to perform level cleaning at stand-alone restrooms. Detail cleaning at stand-alone restrooms will consist of pressure washing interior and exterior surfaces, sanitizing fixtures, abating/ removing graffiti from fixtures and surfaces, pressure washing floors and walls, cleaning perimeters, servicing exterior litter receptacles, cleaning, and clearing roof gutters and overhangs. - \$260K
- Lake Merritt Bowling Green Restroom –Demolish current deteriorated restrooms and install a new set of prefabricated, ADA compliant restrooms near the Garden Center across from the Bowling Green - \$350K
- Lake Merritt Restroom Trail Repair - Provide funds to perform repairs of deteriorated sections of the decomposed granite path at Lake Merritt including at or around restrooms - \$300K
- Litter Receptacles - Replace and upgrade litter receptacles in parks to vandal/ critter proof cans, especially around waterfronts to eliminate trash and debris - \$40K
- Restroom Paint Abatement - Purchase materials to abate graffiti and improve the appearance of stand-alone restrooms at various parks throughout the City - \$50K

**3) Provide a detailed description of how the \$2.25 million Carry Forward in Measure Q will be used.**

This question was addressed in the FY 2021-23 Proposed Biennial Budget Development Question and Answer Informational Memo #2. However, the Department of Public Works has received information related to a priority capital project at Union Point Park. The City is under legal mandate to complete the Union Point Park Restoration by April 1, 2022, with several other benchmarks that must be met. Failure to meet the benchmarks could result in significant fines for the City. The cost is estimated at \$1,179,000 for design and construction. The scope of work includes:

- Electrical system replacement for approx. 50 lights and poles, wiring, control boxes and pedestal
- Restroom repairs, doors and hardware (assume 6 doors); add security enclosure

- Restroom plumbing replacement of toilet fixtures, faucets, accessories, piping repairs
- Irrigation system repair/upgrade to sprinkler heads, valves and controls
- Landscaping replacement to damaged areas

Given the legal status of this project, the Administration will be reallocating \$1,179,000 of the \$2.25 million in the first Errata, leaving \$1,071,000 to be allocated by Council.

### **Section B. Questions From CP Bas Budget Team (Set #1)**

#### **Affordable Housing and Homelessness**

**1) Please provide costs for:**

- a. Stipends for the Homeless Advisory Commission's Workgroup of Unsheltered Residents to participate in meetings.**

For reference, the City provides \$900 education stipends to its youth commissioners, which is not income. Finance has requested the counsel of the City Attorney's office on the legality of offering stipends to unsheltered residents. More information will be provided in a future memo.

- b. Adding 1 FTE, Admin to the CAO Homeless Division, ongoing, starting October 1, 2021 to support work on homeless encampments, services and shelter/housing.**

The cost of 1 FTE Administrative Assistant I, SS102 is \$117,848 in year 1 and \$162,819 in year 2.

- c. Adding 1 FTE, Analyst to the CAO Homeless Division, ongoing, starting October 1, 2021 to support work on homeless encampments, services and shelter/housing.**

The cost of 1 FTE Program Analyst I, AP292 is \$106,768 in year 1 and \$147,424 in year 2.

**2) Please provide or confirm Fund Balances for:**

- a. Affordable Housing Trust Fund (1870)**
- b. Measure KK (5330, 5331, 5332)**
- c. Impact Fees (Jobs and Housing, Affordable Housing, Capital Improvements and Transportation Impact Fees)**
- d. Measure W, Vacant Parcel Tax (2270)**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**3) What are the specific uses for these funds — Funds 5610, 5646, 5656, 1885? Can they be used for affordable housing preservation or production, or homeless interventions?**

These are all redevelopment funds that are governed by the Bond Spending Plan. These redevelopment bond funds are generally restricted to the redevelopment areas where they were generated but with the proper legal findings they can be used for affordable housing production outside of these areas. Because of the bond restrictions, these funds cannot be used for operating costs, including operating homeless interventions. EWD has been working with HCD to transfer available funds and commit new funds to affordable housing.

Fund 5610 is the Central District Redevelopment Area. The recent amendment to the Bond Spending Plan (December 15, 2020) committed all \$3.8M of new funds in Fund 5610 to the Fruitvale IIB Project, a 181-unit 100% affordable housing project scheduled to break ground this year. This is in addition to the \$4.2 million in Fund 5610 that was previously committed to other affordable housing projects.

Fund 5646 does not exist.

Fund 5656 is the Coliseum Redevelopment Area. This fund was over appropriated and \$2.2 million in projects had to be eliminated so it doesn't have any available funds for affordable housing.

Fund 1885 is the Subordinated Housing Set-aside Bonds 2011. Post redevelopment dissolution, all the remaining funds are targeted to funding the Brooklyn Basin affordable units and related staffing costs. All funds are committed.

**4) HCD is anticipating \$7.5 million in impact fee revenues that it can dedicate to affordable housing development throughout the City (\$4.5 million in FY 2021-22 and \$3 million in FY 2022-23). What is the amount of impact fees assessed and not yet collected, particularly uncollected installment payments for projects that have started construction?**

The total proposed budget for impact fee revenues are fees that are assessed and not yet collected (\$4.5 million in year 1 and \$3 million in year 2). Most, if not all the revenues received, are committed to existing Notices of Funding Availability (NOFAs). The Finance Department and the Planning & Building Department are currently working to confirm. To the extent that there are any remaining revenues not yet appropriated, they will be included in an Errata to the Proposed Budget.

**5) Please provide possible funding sources for \$2.3M in exit services for the Lake Merritt Lodge? These may be city, county, state or federal sources.**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**6) What is the proposed budget for the First Time Homebuyer Assistance Program? What are potential sources of new funding for the program? What total budget**

**would expand to serve low-income households in Oakland at a number and AMI identified by HCD?**

Current source of funding is from the City's revolving loans programs from repayment proceeds for housing rehabilitation activities. HCD's Mortgage Assistance Program (MAP) assists low and moderate income homebuyers earning no more than 80%, 100%, and 120% AMI to purchase market rate housing. This program has no permanent source of funding since dissolution of Redevelopment Agency and utilizes program income to originate loans. The MAP program could potentially be re-funded with funds from the Affordable Housing Trust Fund but would reduce the amount available for rental production and preservation accordingly. At this moment, \$240K - 300K of CalHome loan revenue is for re-use to make new CalHome down payment assistance loans.

For external potential sources of new loan funding, HCD applied for \$1.1 million of CalHome grants to serve <80% AMI Oakland homebuyers. Application is being reviewed by the State.

**7) What is the cost of City of Oakland matching commitment for the development of the Career Technical Education Hub at 1025 2nd Ave., Oakland, for Transitional Aged Youth (TAY) Housing Portion (for approximately 100-150 Transitional Aged Youth)?**

The City has not been asked to create a matching commitment to the Technical Education Hub from OUSD. The Human Services Department received a PowerPoint presentation regarding this project last week. At this point, the City has not been given a specific matching amount from the Project Leads to support this program.

**Public Safety and Violence Prevention**

**1) Please provide Fund Balances for Asset Forfeiture Funds (2117, 2910, 2912).**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**2) Please provide the cost for 1 FTE fund development staff for DVP, ongoing, starting October 1, 2021 to assist with research, grant writing and reporting to expand DVP funding. (WS – Admin Analyst II)**

The costing for an Administrative Analyst II.AP106 starting October 1, 2021 is \$125,521 for FY 21-22 & \$173,425 for FY 22-23. Please note that the proposed budget includes funding in the Finance Department for citywide grant writing/research support. DVP is intended to be one of the main beneficiaries of this planned investment.

**3) What is the baseline budget and staffing for Ceasefire, what are the changes in the proposed budget?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**4) What is the baseline budget and staffing for traffic enforcement in OPD and OakDOT, what are the changes in the proposed budget?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**5) What is the baseline budget and staffing for investigations, and what are the changes in the proposed budget for sworn and civilian staff?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**6) What is the baseline budget and staffing to respond to Public Records Act requests (OPD, City Attorney, and other departments), what are the changes in the proposed budget, what specifically is the \$650,000 in City Attorney O&M for?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**7) With the funds for MACRO in the proposed budget, what level of staffing, equipment and services will be provided and to which areas of Oakland over the next two years? A response will be provided in future memo (MG ask OFD)**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**8) What is the total cost of one police academy, including overtime? How many recruits have we averaged and how many have graduated and become OPD officers?**

The cost for one police academy in FY22 is \$3,769,034 and the cost for one academy in FY23 is \$3,904,296. Based on the last five academies, there has been an average of 38 Academy Recruits for a total of 192 recruits of which only a total of 86 went on to become Police Officers. Please note that any reduction of planned academies will result in a commensurate reduction of future police services and/or increase in future police overtime.

Academy	Academy Recruits at Start	Academy Recruits Graduated	Completed FTO
180th Academy	34	22	16
181st Academy	33	25	15
182nd Academy	39	23	14
183rd Academy	43	28	24
184th Academy	42	23	17
185th Academy*	39	23	TBD*
<b>Average Per Academy</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>17</b>

**9) What are the service impacts of eliminating 29 Firefighter positions? What is the cost of each position?**

There are no expected service impacts of eliminating 29 positions; however, given the concerns raised by the Council and IAFF, we will propose to freeze, rather than eliminate, these positions in the upcoming Errata. As of May 24, 2021, there are 66.0 vacancies within the sworn fire rep unit. Of these, the 29 proposed positions are currently in excess of the Department's needs and the MOU's minimum staffing requirements. Furthermore, these vacancies would not be able to be completely filled with an additional Academy (approx. cost \$700K-\$1.4M) since the vacancies exist in multiple job classes and recruits are only hired as entry-level/lateral firefighters. The cost savings of this freezing is provided back to the Department in the overtime budget, which more accurately aligns operational expenses. There are 4 different classes proposed to be frozen:

Job Title	FTE	Avg. Cost/FTE Y1	Avg. Cost/FTE Y2
Captain of Fire Department	6.0	\$317,237	\$331,433
Engineer of Fire Department	2.0	\$270,879	\$283,108
Fire Fighter	14.0	\$232,150	\$242,611
Lieutenant of Fire Department	7.0	\$290,021	\$303,104

**10) Provide detail on sworn separations.**

Below is a table that details the sworn separations in FY 2019-20 and in FY 2020-21 through 5/31/21.

Separation Reason	FY 2019-2020		FY 2020 - 2021 (as of 5.31.21)	
	OFD	OPD	OFD	OPD
Discharged - Other	2	6	0	10
Discharged - Job Performance	0	12	2	4
Discharged - Off-duty Conduct	0	2	0	0
Discharged - Violation of City Rules	0	2	0	0
Resignation	8	37	2	26
Resigned - Health/Injury	6	0	2	0
Retirement	8	14	9	19
Retirement (Disability)	1	8	0	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>61</b>

**Jobs and Equitable Recovery**

**1) What is the projected revenue for the Minimum Wage & Labor Standard Enforcement Fee? The projected revenue for the Minimum Wage & Labor Standard Enforcement Fee is \$460,000 in Y1 and \$720,000 in Y2.**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

- 2) What is the cost of 1 FTE Workplace Enforcement Officer in DWES, ongoing starting, October 1, 2021 to educate and enforce workplace standards laws? The cost is \$153,968 in Y1 and \$212,721 in Y2.**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

- 3) Are the Day Laborer Program and Community Minimum Wage Enforcement funded in the proposed budget and at what amount?**

The Day Laborer Program is annually funded for \$220,000 in O&M in the GPF, EWD Org 85311. The Community Minimum Wage Enforcement Program is annually funded for \$290,000 in O&M in the GPF, DWES Org 67111.

- 4) New program to provide facade improvement financial assistance to small businesses as they reopen, and to provide reimbursements in case facades are damaged? What is a potential funding source?**

Most of the Central District funds were previously committed to grants. The recent Bond Spending Plan Amendment allocated \$1,000,000 in new Central District funds (Funds 5611 and 5612) for Façade and Tenant improvement to support businesses in this area. There is also \$180,000 in Funds 5630 and 5638 (Broadway/MacArthur/San Pablo Redevelopment Area), but many of these funds have already been committed. We have approximately \$900K available in the Coliseum Area and just under \$600K in the Central City East Area available for Façade Improvement Program (FIP)/Tenant Improvement Program (TIP) projects, or about \$1.4M in East Oakland. It is our understanding that modification of the current program requirements would require Council (and oversight board?) action and staff have been analyzing the program to prepare a recommendation.

### **Clean, Healthy, Sustainable Neighborhoods**

- 1) What is the cost of 1 FTE Municipal Code Officer in EWD, ongoing, starting, October 1, 2021 to enforce a range of municipal codes with the existing two staff?**

The cost of 1 FTE Municipal Code Officer starting October 1, 2021 is \$117,269 in year 1 and \$162,021 in year 2.

- 2) What is the fund balance for Measure BB (transportation)?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

- 3) What is the fund balance for A1 (county affordable housing funds)? Please confirm that these funds have been fully spent?**

Almost all of Oakland's base allocation of \$49.3M has been allocated, with \$956K remaining. HCD is in discussions with the County regarding how best to commit these remaining funds before December 2021. Since County funds require additional

**HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL**

Subject: FY 2021-23 Biennial Budget Development Questions/Responses #3

Date: June 3, 2021

contracting and monitoring requirements, the preference would be to award to a project that has not yet closed loans. HCD staff have identified 3 pipeline projects that would be good candidates. Oakland projects also secured \$68.4M of the \$80.4M the County made available in the North County pool. (The remaining funds went to two projects in Berkeley.)

Below is a table that summarizes A1 projects:

**City of Oakland Projects with Alameda County A1 Funds as of 05/2021**

Project Name	City	Total Project Units	Total Affordable Units	Base Allocation Amount Approved (multiple rounds)	Base Allocation Funding Round	Regional Allocation Amount Approved, 2/5/19
2227 International - Ancora Place	Oakland	76	76			\$5,370,606
7th & Campbell - OAW	Oakland	79	78	\$12,688,996	Round B	
Aurora Apartments - 657 West MacArthur	Oakland	44	43	\$6,447,872	Round C	
Camino 23	Oakland	37	36	\$4,200,000	Round A	
Casa Arabella - Fruitvale Transit Village II-A	Oakland	94	92	\$6,350,000	Round A	
Coliseum Connections	Oakland	110	55	\$2,500,000	Round A	
Coliseum Place	Oakland	59	58	\$4,400,000	Round B	\$7,398,421
Embark Apartments	Oakland	62	61	\$2,700,000	Round A	\$2,498,909
Empyrean & Harrison	Oakland	147	146			\$4,685,000
Estrella Vista - 3706 San Pablo	Oakland	87	86	\$1,900,000	Round A	
Foon Lok West	Oakland	130	129			\$9,698,000
Fruitvale Studios	Oakland	24	23			\$3,484,309
Fruitvale Transit Village Phase IIB	Oakland	179	177			\$16,227,175
Monarch Homes - 3268 San Pablo	Oakland	51	50	\$7,180,000	Round B	
NOVA Apartments	Oakland	57	56			\$13,766,776
West Grand & Brush	Oakland	59	58			\$5,266,428
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>1,295</b>	<b>1,224</b>	<b>\$48,366,868</b>		<b>\$68,395,624</b>

Base Allocation Awarded	\$48,366,868
TBD by 12/2021 (was 95th & Int'l)	\$956,341
<b>Total Oakland Base Allocation</b>	<b>\$49,323,209</b>
Oakland Total of Regional Allocation	\$68,395,624
2012 Berkeley Way, Berkeley	\$6,162,839
1601 Oxford Street, Berkeley	\$5,834,096
<b>Total Approved for North County Region</b>	<b>\$80,392,559</b>
	<b>BOS 2/5/19</b>

- 4) **What is included in the proposed budget to continue operations at Lake Merritt, specifically to: support safety and equity at Lake Merritt including parking enforcement, traffic controls, municipal code enforcement, litter/trash collection, additional porta-potties and hand washing stations, a permitted vending program on weekends, and development of a parks ambassadors program?**

The proposed budget does not provide on-going funding for the Lake Merritt program. However, the current fiscal year allocated \$500,000 by council amendment. To the extent that any monies are left unspent in the current year, it will be carried forward to be spent in year 1 of the budget.

- 5) **What is the cost of 1 FTE Recreation Center staff person for San Antonio Park in OPRYD, ongoing, starting, October 1, 2021?**

The cost of 1.0 FTE Recreation Center Director starting October 1, 2021 is \$101,304 in year 1, and \$139,962 in year 2.

**6) What is the cost to expand a half-time employee to a FTE Gardener II Position in OPW, ongoing, starting, October 1, 2021 (at the Rose Garden and other parks)?**

Job Class	FTE Count	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
Park Attendant, PT.TR161	-1.00	(\$69,269)	(\$70,773)
Gardener II.TR142	1.00	\$126,353	\$130,746
Total On-going Cost Increase	0.00	\$57,084	\$59,973

**Other**

**1) What is the City’s current capacity to seek and secure outside funding such as state and federal grants and private foundation grants? Which departments have fund development staff, how many staff and how much grant funding have they raised in prior years? How is this work coordinated across departments? Is there a staff person that plays a coordinating role?**

A response will be provided in a future memo, but please note, as mentioned above, that the proposed budget includes funding in the Finance Department for citywide grant writing/research support.

**2) What are the additional administrative costs for the creation of the OAS Department?**

No additional administrative resources have been added to the proposed budget to move Animal Services out of the City Administrator’s Office budget. The change was made to increase transparency, and because OAS acts as a standalone department, not a central citywide service such as belongs in the CAO. The small team of 1 FTE Senior Budget & Management Analyst and 2 FTE Accounting staff housed in the Budget Bureau currently provide fiscal support to City Administrators Office Units, the Mayor’s Office, the Council Office, the Finance Department, the Police Commission, and the Department of Race & Equity. It is expected that this small team will continue to support the new OAS department as well. Staff does not foresee the separation of OAS from CAO causing a additional work. However, staff is concerned overall, notwithstanding this accounting change, that this function is not sufficiently resourced and that without additional capacity this could affect the operations of the served departments.

**3) What is the cost to unfreeze the Council Financial Analyst in Year 2?**

The cost to unfreeze the Financial Analyst for the Council Office in year 2 is \$234,536.

**4) Provide descriptions for use of fund balance and carry forwards. In particular,**

- a. the Planning & Building Department amounts are very large.**

Allowable uses of fund balance and carry forwards are restricted to paying the direct and indirect cost of services and programs related to planning, zoning, building, code enforcement and other related activities.

**b. What is the OPW “One-Time Carryforward of Enhanced Parks Services - Allocation TBD By City Council” of \$2,250,000 in Year 1?**

The \$2,250,000 in carryforward is budgeted with the intent for City Council to identify which projects should be funded. Below are the Department of Public Works recommended uses of the \$2.25 million:

- Caldecott Park - Water restoration – Installation of an above surface water line that would provide a water source to the restrooms and sports field. Design, material, and labor/installation - **\$200K**
- Joaquin Miller Cascades - Renovation of Cascades at Joaquin Miller Park – The cascades are a valuable asset in Joaquin Miller park. Over the years, because of erosion and hill slippage, there are many leaks and cracks in the walls of the cascades and pools. The water is no longer continually functioning. A hose must be manually used for special occasions to give the cascade affect for weddings, etc. - **\$200K.**
- Lake Merritt “The Gardens” – Maintenance area, Greenhouse, Irrigation System, Pathway. All three of these elements are in need of repair. The restrooms are deplorable for staff to use, there is not adequate space for supervisors to conduct routine business, and the area’s paving is broken. The greenhouse has been closed for several years due to the glass windows continual failing. The irrigation system is in need of replacement. There are continual leaks and line breaks. The pathways are eroded and are in need of new pavement. This can be done in conjunction with the irrigation upgrades. - **\$1.5M**
- Irrigation, plant, turf restoration, and ball field infield restoration at Ricky Henderson, McConnel, Carter Gilmore, Popular, Lowell, Ira Jenkins, and Bushrod Fields. - **\$200K**
- Mini Parks/Neighborhood pockets parks - Plant and turf restoration at various Mini Parks/Neighborhood pocket parks city wide. Park Services will equitably target park assets that are nested directly into the community, Park Services provides many of the basic services that affect the daily lives of everyone who lives, works, visits and commutes within the areas of Oakland. - **\$200K**
- Union Point Park - Plant, turf, and irrigation restoration. - **\$50K**
- Lakeside Park - Conversion of bowling green field to volleyball (sand based). (50 feet x 80 feet) or smaller with artificial or turf outer area. - **\$60K**
- Arroyo Viejo Park - Irrigation, plant, and turf restoration. - **\$90K**

**5) What are the adjustments to “O&M per Zero Base Submission”?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**Section C. Questions From CM Kalb**

- 1) How many dollars are in the proposed budget for Job readiness and job placement? Please be specific as to what those dollars are to be spent on. Please indicate how much is from fund 1010 (GPF) and how much from non-GPF funds?**

The City of Oakland is expected to receive \$3.2 million in federal Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) dollars in FY 2021-22. 73% or \$2,548,436 of the funds that are received, are contracted to local nonprofit organizations that provide workforce development services for recently displaced and long-term unemployed adults and low-income youth and young adults between the ages of 16-24. Of the \$2,548,436 contracted out, approximately 21% pays for participant tuition/training and support. The proposed budget has \$732,034 in general fund support to the Workforce Development Division, with \$220,000 earmarked to the Day Laborer program and remaining funding toward personnel. A contracted service provider is competitively procured to provide outreach, employment and social services to day laborers at informal hiring sites.

\$400,000 in Measure HH funds is budgeted to support summer job opportunities for youth ages 16-21. Youth participants are given up to 80 hours of paid work experience at or above the City's minimum wage of \$14.14/hr.

\$499,643 of Army Base billboard revenue is budgeted for oversight and support of the West Oakland Job Resource Center (WOJRC). The budget appropriates \$346,347 directly to the WOJRC to support the ongoing operations of the center. The WOJRC supports job creation, hiring targets and workforce development polices of the City's Local Hire Ordinance and assists Oakland Army Base employers in fulfilling their local hiring goals.

- 2) Please outline proposed Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenditures in the 15 largest (in terms of budget) city departments? What are the largest specific types of expenditures of O&M in those departments and across the city overall?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

- 3) IF those city departments whose O&M is mostly funded through the General Purpose Fund (1010) were to receive a 1.5% cut in O&M, how much would be freed up for reprogramming? What if any service impacts would be likely to occur with this potential 1.5% cut?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

- 4) Please describe and provide dollar amounts for the OUSD-City of Oakland partnerships that are funded in the proposed budget. How does this compare with the 19-21 actuals?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**5) How much of Measure Q funds for FYs 2021-23 will be spent on water quality & storm water needs? Please outline the specific proposed expenditures for this portion of Measure Q.**

Department	Description	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23
Public Works	Assistant Engineer I	\$174,248	\$185,394
Public Works	Full Trash Capture installation and urgent stormwater and storm drain capital projects.	\$414,712	\$1,183,566
Capital Improvement	Storm Drainage Master Plan – Encumbered to the Wood Rogers contract. NTP issued May 2021.	\$775,000	\$0.00
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$1,363,960</b>	<b>\$1,363,960</b>

\*OPW staff will be submitting a request to the Budget Office to allow transfer of 5% of cost savings of Personnel appropriation in current Fiscal Year to O&M budget to meet intent of bond measure and expend funds on voter-approved stormwater improvement initiatives in Measure Q.

**6) Please outline (via chart if possible) how Measure Q dollars will be spent in 2021-23, including any fund balance carry forward.**

See Attachment A.

**7) What is the proposed programmatic line-item budget for each of the following bureaus and divisions:**

- a. Emergency Management Services (OFD)
- b. Aging & Adult Services (HSD)
- c. Workforce Development (EWD)
- d. Cultural Affairs (EWD)
- e. Rent Adjustment Program (HCD)
- f. Code Enforcement (P&B - Building Bureau)
- g. Tree Services (OPW)
- h. Keep Oakland Clean & Beautiful (OPW)
- i. Environmental Services (OPW)
- j. Safe Streets (OakDOT)

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**8) What are the Measure Z (Safety and Services) thresholds/minimums and how do those impact the police budget requirements as it relates to police academies and projected staffing?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**9) Please provide the total amount of funds that is proposed to be spent on programs for affordable home ownership (new Community Land Trust units; First-time home buyers assistance; etc.)**

Current source of funding for affordable home ownership is through the City's revolving loans programs from repayment proceeds for housing rehabilitation activities. In the proposed budget, \$240K - 300K of CalHome loan revenue is allocated for re-use to make new CalHome downpayment assistance loans. No funds are budgeted for the Oakland Community Land Trust.

**10) We proudly passed an Equitable Climate Action Plan (ECAP) last year. Is there any money in the proposed budget to implement any of the new policies/programs/projects identified in the adopted ECAP?**

The proposed budget includes funding for a variety of ECAP Action Items. The following specific items are addressed as described:

- The Capital Improvements Program (CIP) includes significant allocations to address building electrification at City facilities (Action B-5).

The main stream for CIP funding to conform to ECAP has been Measure KK. Funds for the Parks/DHS and Library buckets will be fully programmed in the FY2021-23 proposed CIP; there will be no remaining funding source for significant capital projects/ECAP without a new bond or I-Bond extension.

- The General Plan funding will facilitate a significant portion of aligning ECAP and Planning Policies (Action TLU-1)
- Position funding in Environmental Services (OPW) will allow for progress on many policy development items scheduled over the next two years (Actions TLU-2, TLU-5, B-1, B-2, B-3, MCW-1 through 3, CL-1, CL-2, and CR-5)
- Position funding in other departments (PBD, EWDD, OakDOT) will allow for progress on some policy development items (Actions TLU-6, TLU-7, TLU-9, MCW-4, A-2, A-3)

Two Action Items identified for milestones in this budget cycle which remain without allocated funding are as follows:

- Action A-1 (Fund Creation and Operation of Resilience Hubs) – Staff in OPW, City Administrator's Office, and Mayor's Office have been working on funding requests at the State level to support this item.

Through the CIP, specific projects have been looked at/partially funded through a City Facility Resilience Hub lens. An example is the Lincoln Recreation Center expansion project which was approved to receive \$1.7M I-Bond- Measure KK funds in the FY19-21

budget cycle. Because of community and community partner support, the Lincoln project has evolved and will be planned as a civic resilient hub, the first for City of Oakland. The consultant contract has just been executed. It is anticipated this project will cost upwards of \$20M to add in everything needed. Phasing will be required. Project & Grant Management staff have applied for a State Parks (recreation) grant for \$8.5M; however, if the grant is awarded later this summer, an additional ~\$5M to complete a \$15M grant scope will be required to meet the grant project completion deadline in Dec. 2024.

Since the ECAP was passed July 2020, the CIP has consolidated discussions around our facilities as Civic Resilient Hubs. For example, a project that is recommended for funding is the West Oakland Resilience Hub: a project to study how 3 City facilities - West Oakland Senior Center, West Oakland Library and DeFremery Park - together support the community during disaster and non-disaster. The project would also explore/identify other locations for City Resilient Hubs, in advance of the General Plan. The project is recommended for \$750,000.

Action CL-5 (Establish Oakland Climate Action Network for Inclusive Community Engagement) – This item is proposed to be split funding between the City and Greenlining Institute. Total estimated City costs of funding the program would be \$700,000 over two years (equally split between fiscal years).

Additional information can be found on the City of Oakland Website here:

<https://www.oaklandca.gov/topics/transportation-and-land-use>

<https://www.oaklandca.gov/topics/city-leadership-on-the-ecap>

<https://www.oaklandca.gov/topics/material-consumption-and-waste>

<https://www.oaklandca.gov/topics/green-spaces-and-carbon-removal>

**11) What is the plan to provide the necessary repairs/upgrades to the Studio One facility?**

Studio One project scored a 65.2 out of 100 points. It did not rank high enough to receive funding in this budget cycle. The project is on the Unfunded list. The I-Bond Measure KK OPR/DHS shared bucket will be fully programmed in the FY2021-23 proposed CIP; there will be no remaining funding source for significant capital projects without a new bond or I-Bond extension.

**12) What is the annual aquatics budget for operating our public pools? How does this compare to the annual budget pre-COVID?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**13) How much are we spending on (and how many FTEs are in) our Neighborhood Law Corp. And how does this compare to the past five fiscal years?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**14) Does the budget reflect any of the 2021 Cannabis Regulatory Commission recommendations? If so, please explain.**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**15) How much is being proposed to be spent in the FYs 21-23 budget on Wildfire Prevention, including vegetation management on public land and enforcement on private properties? How does this compare to FYs 2019-21? FY21 Actuals as of 05/26/2021.**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**16) How much is proposed to be spent on homelessness prevention compared to the past two years? How well have we analyzed the effectiveness of the different elements of our prevention efforts, and which prevention element are we planning on emphasizing in the upcoming two years?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**17) What would be the process of moving one of the civilian police auditors positions currently in OPD to the Police Commission under the Commission's Inspector General? Would this move have any budget implications?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**18) How much money would be available for reprogramming if we budgeted for five police academies instead of six during FYs 21-23?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**19) In year 2 of the proposed budget, how would the most recent 3rd quarter actuals report and expected commercial real estate transitions impact the revenue projections for the Real Estate Transfer Tax (RETT) revenue category? I believe that the RETT projections in Year 2 of the proposed budget could be raised by more than \$1M.**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**20) Provide breakdown of proposed police budget increase. How much is due to the negotiated COLA increase?**

A response will be provided in a future memo.

**Section D. Questions From CP Bas Budget Team (Set #2)**

**OPD**

- 1) What is the breakdown of how OPD in the current budget divides Sworn Officers between types of services offered?
- 2) What is the breakdown of how these units serve per beat map, when and at what times do they serve? E.g., Crime Area Response schedule
- 3) Crime data: Provide an overlay of the crime data with the beats and times of day and days of the week (when they are occurring).
- 4) What is the total proposed budget for Ceasefire? Provide detail on: (1) staff levels (sworn and civilian, department - OPD, DVP, other), (2) services - OPD, call-ins, custom notifications, case management for Ceasefire clients, procedural justice training for OPD, and (3) what is funded by Measure Z, GPF or other sources?
- 5) What is the cost to ensure future compliance with SB 1421 for Public Records Act requests regarding peace officers including adequate staffing in OPD and City Attorney's Office as well as creation of a searchable database that allows the public to access these records?
- 6) What OPD staffing is assigned to Chinatown, including the Community Liaison, Community Resource Officer and any other positions/assignments? What is the full-time equivalent and what services will they provide including walking the neighborhood?

**Homelessness**

- 1) Please provide information on proposed allocations of Measure Q and Measure W funds for homelessness. What services and staffing will be provided and in which departments?
- 2) Please provide information on sanitation services to homeless encampments in the proposed budget, including: Porta-potties, handwashing stations, trash pick up (containers, dumpsters), shower services and any other services. Please include the number of encampments to be served, description of services and cost of service per encampment.

**Capital Improvement**

- 1) Since Measure KK facilities funds have been fully allocated in the proposed budget (except for OPD and OFD), what additional funding is available for capital improvement projects that are important for maintenance and public safety?
- 2) What is the unfunded Bella Vista Neighborhood Improvements Transportation? What specific projects does this include?
- 3) Please provide costs for the following:
  - Bella Vista Tot Lot: replace resilient surfacing under the large tot lot play area
  - Athol Tennis Courts:
    - Upgrading the fencing for improved security and maintenance.
    - Upgrading the lighting system.
    - Digital lock for the courts.
  - Clinton Park Rec Center: outstanding repairs from fire

- **San Antonio Neighborhood:**
  - **Add L.E.D. lights on the street poles on E 15th from 15th Ave to 23rd Ave (There are only light poles on the west side of E15th while the large trees on the east side block much of the light from reaching the east side)**
  - **Traffic calming measures (roundabouts, speed humps, etc.) on E 15th (15th to 23rd Ave — prioritizing 19th Ave).**
  - **Adding bollards and/or other traffic safety measures at E18th Street and 5th Ave.**
  - **A traffic light and/or other traffic safety mitigations at E12th Street and 13th Ave.**
- **San Antonio Park:**
  - **Restarting Volunteer Tree Planting Activities (Tree Services has informed us that no new planting can take place in San Antonio Park until the Master Plan is approved)**
  - **New signage in four languages at the three corner entrances to the Park, as well as the road leading into the Park next to the tennis courts (which all have been broken or destroyed). All signs need to be replaced immediately so that Park Hours, Park Rules, and a map can be listed in at least four languages – English, Spanish, Chinese, Vietnamese.**
  - **Construction of gates and barriers at the entrance of surface roads into the Park interior to discourage illegal driving in the Park.**
  - **Surface road repair of the asphalt and driveways.**
  - **Resealing of roofs for the Recreation Center and Kitchen.**
  - **Repainting of the Pergola in preparation for a mural/mosaic that reflects the histories and cultures of the people of the San Antonio neighborhood.**
  - **Replacing the cyclone fence doors to each of the four tennis courts, both on the 18<sup>th</sup> Avenue side, and the ones facing into the Park, which have been removed or damaged to the point that they cannot close.**
  - **Resurfacing the tennis courts.**
  - **Installation of moveable bleachers at the soccer field.**
  - **Repair of concrete bleachers around the basketball courts.**
  - **Updating the lighting system for the basketball courts with timers and photocells (using solar lighting).**
  - **Removal of two large dead trees near the basketball courts on 16th Avenue, which may fall and cause injuries.**
  - **Evaluation of health and maintenance of grove of Oak trees near E 19th Street, close to the Recreation Center.**

Responses to questions in Section D will be provided in a future memo.

**Section E. Questions From VM Kaplan**

- 1) **Where in the mayor's budget proposal is the projected special event revenues shown? Where are the projected expenditures shown?**
- 2) **Please provide a formal list of allowable uses for Fund 2415.**
- 3) **Does the mayor's proposed budget allocate funding for swimming pool(s) repair and opening?**
- 4) **What dollar amount is needed to restore the crossing guards? Please advise.**

Responses to questions in Section E will be provided in a future memo.

For questions, please contact Lisa Agustin, Budget Administrator, at (510) 238-2989.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

---

ERIN ROSEMAN  
Director of Finance

Attachments:

-Attachment A – Parks Measure Q Personnel & Financials

**Fund 2244 Parks Measure Q - Personnel**  
**The 2020 Oakland Parks and Recreation Preservation, Litter Reduction, and Homelessness Support Act**

Category	Job Classification	FY 2021-22	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2021-22
		FTE Count	Proposed Budget	FTE Count	Proposed Budget
Auditing and Evaluation	Business Analyst II.AP117	1.00	\$193,984.00	1.00	\$200,825.00
Water Quality and Litter Reduction	Engineer, Assistant I (Office).ET111	1.00	\$174,248.00	1.00	\$180,394.00
Homelessness	Administrative Assistant II.SS104	1.00	\$130,460.00	1.00	\$135,182.00
	Accountant II.AF021	0.36	\$56,936.00	0.36	\$58,914.00
	Budget & Grants Administrator.AF040	1.00	\$207,647.00	1.00	\$214,863.00
	Case Manager I.AP126	1.00	\$133,855.00	1.00	\$138,506.00
	Health & Human Svcs Prgm Planner.AP196	0.33	\$62,146.00	0.47	\$91,587.00
	Manager, Human Services.EM254	0.60	\$176,309.00	0.60	\$182,401.00
	Program Analyst II, PT.AP362	0.50	\$51,011.00	0.50	\$52,108.00
	Program Analyst II.AP293	0.20	\$32,543.00	0.20	\$33,673.00
	Program Analyst III.SC204	0.23	\$43,315.00	0.23	\$44,820.00
Parks, Landscape Maintenance, and Recreational Services	Administrative Assistant II.SS104	1.00	\$135,213.00	1.00	\$139,982.00
	Administrative Assistant II.SS104	1.00	\$135,213.00	1.00	\$139,982.00
	Construction & Maintenance Mechanic.TR118	2.00	\$342,168.00	2.00	\$353,984.00
	Custodian.TR120	2.00	\$207,738.00	2.00	\$214,912.00
	Gardener Crew Leader.TR140	25.55	\$3,717,486.00	25.55	\$3,846,492.00
	Gardener II.TR142	27.00	\$3,305,889.00	27.00	\$3,420,546.00
	Irrigation Repair Specialist.TR151	4.00	\$626,224.00	4.00	\$648,004.00
	Manager, Park Services.EM196	0.26	\$90,480.00	0.26	\$93,555.00
	Painter.TR159	2.00	\$342,088.00	2.00	\$353,904.00
	Park Attendant, PPT.SS157	2.70	\$256,598.00	2.70	\$265,562.00
	Park Attendant, PT.TR161	13.89	\$936,557.00	13.89	\$956,858.00
	Park Equipment Operator.TR162	6.00	\$894,444.00	6.00	\$925,478.00
	Park Supervisor I.SC193	6.00	\$1,130,478.00	6.00	\$1,169,698.00
	Park Supervisor II.SC194	3.00	\$657,756.00	3.00	\$680,494.00
	Tree Trimmer.TR189	5.00	\$763,580.00	5.00	\$790,088.00
	Tree Worker Driver.TR190	1.00	\$131,223.00	1.00	\$135,756.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>109.62</b>	<b>\$ 14,935,589.00</b>	<b>109.76</b>	<b>\$ 15,468,568.00</b>

Parks Measure Q Fund 2244  
Personnel and Operations/Maintenance

Uncategorized (Not included in Allocation)
Auditing & Evaluation
Water Quality & Litter Reduction
Homelessness
Parks - New
Parks - Old

Department	Org and Desc	Project and Desc	Category	Prog	FY21-22 Comments	Sum of FY21-22 Ongoing	Sum of FY21-22 One Time	Sum of FY21-22 Total	Sum of FY22-23 Ongoing	Sum of FY22-23 One Time	Sum of FY22-23 Total
Public Works	30121 - PWA Fiscal Services	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	O&M	PG_AD01	Measure Q - County Admin Fees	221,734.00	-	221,734.00	221,734.00	-	221,734.00
Finance	08421 - Revenue: Audit	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_AD01	Fund 2244 - Balancer	29,245.00	-	29,245.00	22,435.00	-	22,435.00
Finance	08421 - Revenue: Audit	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_AD01	Audit Budget	36,374.00	-	36,374.00	36,374.00	-	36,374.00
Public Works	30181 - Management Information Systems	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_AD01	Internal Service Allocation	2,342.00	-	2,342.00	2,380.00	-	2,380.00
Public Works	30181 - Management Information Systems	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_AD01	Internal Service Allocation	10,847.00	-	10,847.00	10,778.00	-	10,778.00
Public Works	30181 - Management Information Systems	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	Personnel & OH	PG_AD01	Personnel Costs	193,984.00	-	193,984.00	200,825.00	-	200,825.00
Capital Improvement Program	92245 - Engineer Design: Watershed and Stormwater Program	1005274 - STORM DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN	O&M	PG_IN14	CIP - OPW - Storm Water Master Plan	-	775,000.00	775,000.00	-	-	-
Public Works	30245 - Watershed and Stormwater Program	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_IN14	Internal Service Allocation	2,104.00	-	2,104.00	2,138.00	-	2,138.00
Public Works	30245 - Watershed and Stormwater Program	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_IN14	Internal Service Allocation	9,744.00	-	9,744.00	9,681.00	-	9,681.00
Public Works	30245 - Watershed and Stormwater Program	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_IN14	Fund 2244 - Balancer	(538,502.00)	-	(538,502.00)	-	230,381.00	230,381.00
Public Works	30245 - Watershed and Stormwater Program	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_IN14	OPW - FUND 2244 ZERO BASE	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public Works	30245 - Watershed and Stormwater Program	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_IN14	Stormwater Budget	941,366.00	-	941,366.00	941,366.00	-	941,366.00
Public Works	30245 - Watershed and Stormwater Program	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	Personnel & OH	PG_IN14	Personnel Costs	174,248.00	-	174,248.00	180,394.00	-	180,394.00
City Administrator	02141 - Homelessness	1000002 - DP020 Administrative Project	O&M	PG_NB41	Homelessness Pilot Program - RV Repair	-	250,000.00	250,000.00	-	-	-
City Administrator	02141 - Homelessness	1000002 - DP020 Administrative Project	Personnel & OH	PG_NB41	Personnel Costs	130,460.00	-	130,460.00	135,182.00	-	135,182.00
City Administrator	02141 - Homelessness	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_AD01	One-Time Use of Carryforward For Homelessness Programming - CAO	-	905,000.00	905,000.00	-	-	-
City Administrator	02141 - Homelessness	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_NB41	Dept - Homelessness Funding for Homeless Administrator	905,562.00	-	905,562.00	904,478.00	-	904,478.00
Human Services	78111 - Department of Human Services: Administration	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_SC22	Internal Service Allocation	453.00	-	453.00	457.00	-	457.00
Human Services	78111 - Department of Human Services: Administration	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_SC22	Internal Service Allocation	2,923.00	-	2,923.00	2,692.00	-	2,692.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_SC22	Internal Service Allocation	751.00	-	751.00	758.00	-	758.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_SC22	Internal Service Allocation	4,852.00	-	4,852.00	4,470.00	-	4,470.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	Personnel & OH	PG_SC22	Personnel Costs	207,647.00	-	207,647.00	214,863.00	-	214,863.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004604 - HESG 2020 FY20-22 06-30-22	O&M	PG_2103	General O&M	8,509.00	-	8,509.00	8,509.00	-	8,509.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004606 - COC NCFRRHC 2019 FY20-21	O&M	PG_2103	General O&M	978.00	-	978.00	978.00	-	978.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004607 - COC OHYHC 2019 FY2021 07-31-21	O&M	PG_2103	General O&M	8,147.00	-	8,147.00	8,147.00	-	8,147.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004608 - COC FIT 2019 FY2021 03-31-22	O&M	PG_2103	General O&M	326.00	-	326.00	326.00	-	326.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004609 - COC MCFIT FY2021 08312021	O&M	PG_2103	General O&M	326.00	-	326.00	326.00	-	326.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004610 - COC HFSN 2020 FY21-22 02-28-22	O&M	PG_2103	General O&M	11,856.00	-	11,856.00	11,856.00	-	11,856.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004611 - HOPWA 2020 FY20-23 06-30-23	O&M	PG_2103	General O&M	13,727.00	-	13,727.00	13,727.00	-	13,727.00

**Parks Measure Q Fund 2244**  
Personnel and Operations/Maintenance

ATTACHMENT A

Uncategorized (Not included in Allocation)
Auditing & Evaluation
Water Quality & Litter Reduction
Homelessness
Parks - New
Parks - Old

Department	Org and Desc	Project and Desc	Category	Prog	FY21-22 Comments	Sum of FY21-22 Ongoing	Sum of FY21-22 One Time	Sum of FY21-22 Total	Sum of FY22-23 Ongoing	Sum of FY22-23 One Time	Sum of FY22-23 Total
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004613 - COUNTY SSA TO HFSN FY20-21	O&M	PG_2160	General O&M	4,617.00	-	4,617.00	4,617.00	-	4,617.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004615 - COC NCHYRRH 2019 FY20-21 12-31-21	O&M	PG_2103	General O&M	4,466.00	-	4,466.00	4,466.00	-	4,466.00
Human Services	78199 - DHS Fiscal Operations	1004126 - HESG 2018 FY1820	O&M	PG_2160	General O&M	14,148.00	-	14,148.00	14,148.00	-	14,148.00
Human Services	78361 - CSBG - Admin	1000412 - EXPAND 211 HELP	O&M	PG_SC22	HSD Smartview New Values Add - 211 Contract Transfer	100,000.00	-	100,000.00	100,000.00	-	100,000.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_SC22	Internal Service Allocation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_NB41	Internal Service Allocation	3,612.00	-	3,612.00	3,371.00	-	3,371.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	O&M	PG_SC22	General O&M	-	-	-	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	O&M	PG_NB41	Measure Q Council Parcel Prop Interventions 4.27.21	-	1,174,177.00	1,174,177.00	-	1,367,850.00	1,367,850.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	O&M	PG_NB41	Homelessness Funding	4,467,768.00	-	4,467,768.00	4,467,768.00	-	4,467,768.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1000017 - DP780 Administrative Project	Personnel & OH	PG_NB41	Personnel Costs	133,855.00	-	133,855.00	138,506.00	-	138,506.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1004117 - GPF HUNGER PRGM FY1819	O&M	PG_SC22	General O&M	-	-	-	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1004117 - GPF HUNGER PRGM FY1819	O&M	PG_NB41	Homelessness Funding	100,000.00	-	100,000.00	100,000.00	-	100,000.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1004120 - PW ENCAMPMENT ABATEMENT	O&M	PG_SC22	General O&M	-	-	-	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1004120 - PW ENCAMPMENT ABATEMENT	O&M	PG_NB41	Homelessness Funding	39,600.00	-	39,600.00	39,600.00	-	39,600.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005589 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2022	ISF	PG_NB41	Internal Service Allocation	9,442.00	-	9,442.00	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005589 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2022	O&M	PG_NB41	Homelessness Funding	56,784.00	-	56,784.00	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005589 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2022	Personnel & OH	PG_SC22	Personnel Costs	309,103.00	-	309,103.00	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005589 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2022	Personnel & OH	PG_NB41	Personnel Costs	62,146.00	-	62,146.00	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005589 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2022	Work Orders	PG_NB41	Work Order Budget	106,516.00	-	106,516.00	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005590 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2023	ISF	PG_NB41	Internal Service Allocation	-	-	-	9,864.00	-	9,864.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005590 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2023	O&M	PG_NB41	Homelessness Funding	-	-	-	56,784.00	-	56,784.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005590 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2023	Personnel & OH	PG_SC22	Personnel Costs	-	-	-	319,808.00	-	319,808.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005590 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2023	Personnel & OH	PG_NB41	Personnel Costs	-	-	-	64,305.00	-	64,305.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005590 - CDBG CHS ADMIN FY2023	Work Orders	PG_NB41	Work Order Budget	-	-	-	106,516.00	-	106,516.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005592 - CDBG CHS PROJ DELIVERY FY2023	Personnel & OH	PG_NB41	Personnel Costs	-	-	-	27,282.00	-	27,282.00
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005626 - GPF HIGH PRIORITY FY2022	Personnel & OH	PG_SC22	Personnel Costs	51,011.00	-	51,011.00	-	-	-
Human Services	78411 - Community Housing Services	1005627 - GPF HIGH PRIORITY FY2023	Personnel & OH	PG_SC22	Personnel Costs	-	-	-	52,108.00	-	52,108.00
Non-Departmental	90591 - City-Wide Activities	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_AD01	One-Time Use of Carryforward For Homelessness Programming - CAO	-	(905,000.00)	(905,000.00)	-	-	-
Non-Departmental	90591 - City-Wide Activities	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_SC22	Moved Homelessness Funding From Non-Dept to CAO	(905,562.00)	-	(905,562.00)	(905,562.00)	-	(905,562.00)

**Parks Measure Q Fund 2244**  
Personnel and Operations/Maintenance

ATTACHMENT A

Uncategorized (Not included in Allocation)
Auditing & Evaluation
Water Quality & Litter Reduction
Homelessness
Parks - New
Parks - Old

Department	Org and Desc	Project and Desc	Category	Prog	FY21-22 Comments	Sum of FY21-22 Ongoing	Sum of FY21-22 One Time	Sum of FY21-22 Total	Sum of FY22-23 Ongoing	Sum of FY22-23 One Time	Sum of FY22-23 Total
Non-Departmental	90591 - City-Wide Activities	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_SC22	General O&M	905,562.00	-	905,562.00	905,562.00	-	905,562.00
Public Works	30556 - Facilities: Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_IN02	Internal Service Allocation	10,553.00	-	10,553.00	10,717.00	-	10,717.00
Public Works	30556 - Facilities: Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_IN02	Internal Service Allocation	48,880.00	-	48,880.00	48,566.00	-	48,566.00
Public Works	30556 - Facilities: Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_IN02	OPW - FUND 2244 ZERO BASE	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public Works	30556 - Facilities: Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_IN02	General O&M	115,000.00	-	115,000.00	115,000.00	-	115,000.00
Public Works	30556 - Facilities: Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	Personnel & OH	PG_IN02	Personnel Costs	891,994.00	-	891,994.00	922,800.00	-	922,800.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_NB07	Internal Service Allocation	44,384.00	-	44,384.00	45,074.00	-	45,074.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_NB07	Internal Service Allocation	774,927.00	-	774,927.00	722,689.00	-	722,689.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_AD01	One-Time Carryforward of Enhanced Parks Services - Amount TBD upon Q3 Report - Allocation TBD By City Council	-	2,250,000.00	2,250,000.00	-	-	-
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_NB07	OPW - FUND 2244 ZERO BASE	(84,293.00)	-	(84,293.00)	(100,000.00)	-	(100,000.00)
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_NB07	General O&M	155,000.00	-	155,000.00	155,000.00	-	155,000.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_NB35	Add O&M - For Additional Park Equipment or As needed	-	650,030.00	650,030.00	-	369,823.00	369,823.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	Overtime	PG_NB35	Add Overtime For Additional Parks, Bathrooms, and Sanitation Services	-	1,000,000.00	1,000,000.00	-	700,000.00	700,000.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	Personnel & OH	PG_AD01	One-Time Carryforward of Enhanced Parks Services - Amount TBD upon Q3 Report - Allocation TBD By City Council	-	(2,250,000.00)	(2,250,000.00)	-	-	-
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	Personnel & OH	PG_NB07	Personnel Costs	3,965,816.00	-	3,965,816.00	4,102,914.00	-	4,102,914.00
Public Works	30654 - Tree Services	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_AD01	Internal Service Allocation	1,634.00	-	1,634.00	1,659.00	-	1,659.00
Public Works	30654 - Tree Services	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_AD01	Internal Service Allocation	7,562.00	-	7,562.00	7,512.00	-	7,512.00
Public Works	30654 - Tree Services	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_NB07	Internal Service Allocation	3,360.00	-	3,360.00	3,412.00	-	3,412.00
Public Works	30654 - Tree Services	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_NB07	Internal Service Allocation	15,560.00	-	15,560.00	15,461.00	-	15,461.00
Public Works	30654 - Tree Services	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	ISF	PG_NB09	Internal Service Allocation	36,396.00	-	36,396.00	33,132.00	-	33,132.00
Public Works	30654 - Tree Services	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	Personnel & OH	PG_AD01	Personnel Costs	135,213.00	-	135,213.00	139,982.00	-	139,982.00
Public Works	30654 - Tree Services	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	Personnel & OH	PG_NB07	Personnel Costs	283,939.00	-	283,939.00	293,744.00	-	293,744.00
Public Works	30674 - Illegal Dumping	1005343 - MEASURE Q - ENHANCED SERVICES	O&M	PG_NB41	Council Addition - Enhanced Encampment Clean-up - O&M and OT	-	461,617.00	461,617.00	-	651,784.00	651,784.00
Public Works	30654 - Tree Services	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	Personnel & OH	PG_NB09	Personnel Costs	610,864.00	-	610,864.00	632,100.00	-	632,100.00
Public Works	30651 - Park Building Maintenance: Administration	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_IN02	Internal Service Allocation	2,675.00	-	2,675.00	2,716.00	-	2,716.00
Public Works	30651 - Park Building Maintenance: Administration	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_IN02	Internal Service Allocation	12,385.00	-	12,385.00	12,306.00	-	12,306.00
Public Works	30651 - Park Building Maintenance: Administration	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	Personnel & OH	PG_IN02	Personnel Costs	225,693.00	-	225,693.00	233,537.00	-	233,537.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_NB07	Internal Service Allocation	85,406.00	-	85,406.00	86,734.00	-	86,734.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_NB07	Internal Service Allocation	395,623.00	-	395,623.00	393,076.00	-	393,076.00

**Parks Measure Q Fund 2244**  
Personnel and Operations/Maintenance

ATTACHMENT A

Uncategorized (Not included in Allocation)
Auditing & Evaluation
Water Quality & Litter Reduction
Homelessness
Parks - New
Parks - Old

Department	Org and Desc	Project and Desc	Category	Prog	FY21-22 Comments	Sum of FY21-22 Ongoing	Sum of FY21-22 One Time	Sum of FY21-22 Total	Sum of FY22-23 Ongoing	Sum of FY22-23 One Time	Sum of FY22-23 Total
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_NB10	Internal Service Allocation	5,770.00	-	5,770.00	5,861.00	-	5,861.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	ISF	PG_NB10	Internal Service Allocation	26,729.00	-	26,729.00	26,557.00	-	26,557.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	Personnel & OH	PG_NB07	Personnel Costs	6,922,156.00	-	6,922,156.00	7,153,584.00	-	7,153,584.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000010 - DP300 Administrative Project	Personnel & OH	PG_NB10	Personnel Costs	392,114.00	-	392,114.00	402,758.00	-	402,758.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000983 - LAKE MERRITT MNTNNC	ISF	PG_NB07	Internal Service Allocation	2,904.00	-	2,904.00	2,948.00	-	2,948.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000983 - LAKE MERRITT MNTNNC	ISF	PG_NB07	Internal Service Allocation	13,445.00	-	13,445.00	13,360.00	-	13,360.00
Public Works	30652 - Landscape Maintenance	1000983 - LAKE MERRITT MNTNNC	Personnel & OH	PG_NB07	Personnel Costs	245,346.00	-	245,346.00	253,876.00	-	253,876.00
<b>Grand Total</b>						<b>23,190,106.00</b>	<b>4,310,824.00</b>	<b>27,500,930.00</b>	<b>24,181,092.00</b>	<b>3,319,838.00</b>	<b>27,500,930.00</b>