


FILED
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
OAKLAND

OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

2013 JUN -7 AM 9:16

RESOLUTION No. 84461 C.M.S.

Approved as to Form and Legality


City Attorney

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO ENTER INTO NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE TOP-RANKED PROPOSERS FOR THE ZERO WASTE SERVICES REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

WHEREAS, the City of Oakland's Franchise Agreement for Solid Waste and Yard Waste Collection and Disposal Services with Waste Management of Alameda County, and the Agreement for Residential Recycling Service with California Waste Solutions expire on June 30, 2015; and

WHEREAS, on December 5, 2006 through Resolution No. 80286 C.M.S. the City Council adopted a Zero Waste Strategic Plan; and

WHEREAS, on January 17, 2012 through Resolution No. 83689 C.M.S the City Council adopted a Zero Waste System Design that provides the framework for developing new Contracts under a single franchise for citywide garbage and organics collection services, a single franchise for citywide residential recycling, and landfill capacity procured separately from collection and processing services; and

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2012 through Resolution No. 83729 C.M.S. the City Council adopted a process and schedule for releasing a Request for Proposals (RFP) for three new zero waste service franchise contracts for solid waste/organic, recycling, and landfill disposal ("Franchise Contracts"), which included a provision for staff to return to City Council for authorization to enter negotiations with top-ranked proposers; and

WHEREAS, on April 3, 2012 through Resolution No. 83783 C.M.S. the City Council adopted a resolution establishing proposal evaluation criteria and weighting; and

WHEREAS, on June 19, 2012 the City Council approved a motion establishing several economic benefit provisions to be included in the Contracts and as preference points in evaluation of the proposals; and

WHEREAS, the City developed a RFP for Zero Waste Services, which included model service contracts containing provisions adopted by City Council for this procurement;

WHEREAS, on August 3, 2012 the City issued the RFP for Zero Waste Service for Disposal Services, and on September 5, 2012 issued the RFP for Zero Waste Service for Collection Services; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2013 the City received responses to the RFP for Zero Waste Services; and

WHEREAS, the City has evaluated, scored, and ranked the responsive proposals received according to the process and criteria approved by City Council; now therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council authorizes the City Administrator to enter into contract negotiations with the top-ranked proposers in each of the three service groups identified in the RFP for Zero Waste Services in order to comply with the policy direction provided by the City Council, as summarized in *Exhibit A* to provide the best value to the City and the community.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, JUN 18 2013

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:


AYES - BROOKS, GALLO, ~~GIBSON, McElhaneey~~, KALB, KAPLAN, REID, ~~SCHAAT~~ and PRESIDENT
~~KERNIGHAN~~ - 5

NOES - 0

ABSENT - Gibson McElhaneey, Schaaf, Kernighan - 3

ABSTENTION -

ATTEST:


LaTonda Simmons
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council
of the City of Oakland, California

Zero Waste System Design and RFP Policy Decisions Summary

January – June 2012

	Policy Decision
1.	Approved the Zero Waste System Design that shall include a single franchise for citywide garbage and organics (G&O) collection services which comprises: a. Single family dwelling garbage and organics collection and processing without changes to the existing three-cart system b. Multifamily dwelling (MFD) garbage and organics collection and processing with: • a two-container system: one container for recyclables and the other container for all other discards (“mixed materials”), which will be processed at a material recovery facility (MRF) to recover organic materials for composting • three-container service options for collection of source-separated organics from MFDs c. Commercial recycling collection and processing will be required services in the G&O or Residential Recycling (RR) franchise contracts, provided on a non-exclusive basis. d. City services – same collection services as provided presently, with some add-ons, including illegal dumping pick-up services e. Recycling collection services for large public events f. Solid waste transfer and transport to the City’s selected landfill g. Bulky Pickup Service for MFD/Condominiums
2.	Approved the Zero Waste System Design that shall include a single franchise for citywide residential recycling (RR) collection and processing services.
3.	Approved the Zero Waste System Design that shall include landfill capacity procured separately from collection and processing services.
4.	Approved the Zero Waste System Design that shall include a permit system that “licenses” recyclers serving Oakland businesses.
5.	Approved the Zero Waste System Design that shall include a non-exclusive franchise system to regulate construction and demolition (C&D) debris hauling, including both solid waste and recycling.
6.	Approved the Zero Waste System Design that shall include G&O and RR franchise contracts that have 10-year terms plus two 5-year options, and Landfill Disposal franchise contract that has a 20-year term, plus two 5-year options.
7.	Approved the Zero Waste System Design that shall include specialty organics recycling (e.g., animal feed) as a not-exclusively-franchised, fee-for-service activity.
8.	Directed that respondents to the RFP shall be eligible to submit proposals for, and may be awarded, more than one contract. Proposals for each contract shall be evaluated separately, and the City shall accept alternative proposals for multiple contracts.
9.	Directed that the entire RFP process shall be managed by a Project Manager, and conducted by a designated Process Coordinator who shall facilitate the review and evaluation work of several teams composed of City staff, the City’s technical assistance consultant, and other experts in the field.
10.	Directed that the evaluation process shall identify a top-ranked proposal for each of the three

	Policy Decision
	contracts, which shall be published in a City Council report. Staff shall seek City Council authorization to enter into negotiations with the respondent submitting the top-ranked proposal or proposals for each contract in order to finalize contracts. Staff shall return to City Council with a recommendation once negotiations are completed.
11.	Directed that the RFP schedule for establishing new contracts shall be as described in the City Council report dated February 14, 2012.
12.	Directed that the Protocol for Process Integrity shall be as described in the City Council report dated February 14, 2012.
13.	Directed that the RFP process shall be overseen by an Executive Management Team, which shall include the Public Works Director and representatives from the City Administrator's Office, Finance and Management Agency, and Office of the City Attorney.
14.	Directed that the evaluation criteria and weighting for the proposals for the G&O Garbage and Organics franchise and RR franchise shall be as described in the City Council report dated March 27, 2012.
15.	Directed that evaluation criteria and weighting for the proposals for the Landfill Disposal franchise shall be as described in the City Council report dated March 27, 2012.
16.	Directed that Zero Waste System contracts shall provide for a solid waste industry-related index to calculate annual adjustments to customer rates.
17.	Directed that Zero Waste System contracts shall include a provision for withholding full annual adjustment of compensation to the G&O franchise and to the RR franchise if the annual diversion performance requirement is not met.
18.	Directed that Zero Waste System contracts shall include a provision for the denial of contract extension if the G&O or RR franchisee fails to meet the contract diversion performance standard in year seven of the contract.
19.	Directed that the disposal tipping fee shall include payment of Alameda County Measure D fees on franchised Oakland solid waste that may be disposed in a landfill outside of Alameda County.
20.	Directed that G&O RFP responses shall include two options for addressing the impact of the delinquent bill payments on proposed customer rates: the alternate lien process and no lien process, as described in the Public Works Committee Report dated April 24, 2012.
21.	Directed that new Zero Waste System contracts shall include provisions on City policies for Equal Benefits, Living Wage and Campaign Contributions, as they are included in the existing contracts.
22.	Directed that new Zero Waste System Contracts shall require contractors to remove on the initial job application the requirement to disclose felony history as long as it complies with governing laws.
23.	Directed that new G&O and RR Zero Waste System contracts shall require contractors to pay Competitive Wages and Benefits, defined as wages and benefits equivalent to or better than collectively bargained contracts in use in Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Santa Clara, and San Mateo Counties.
24.	Directed that the Zero Waste System RFP shall encourage maximization of local business presence and participation, including participation by local non-profits and public agencies for the G&O and RR contracts by providing up to three (3) preference points in half-point increments beyond the 100 points established for proposal evaluation based on the economic value to Oakland of their existing and proposed operations in Oakland, with only the most

	Policy Decision
	valuable proposal eligible for the full three (3) points.
25.	Directed that the new G&O and RR Zero Waste System contracts shall require local hiring such that 50% of all new hires must be Oakland residents, and the Zero Waste System RFP shall award up to one (1) local hire preference point in half point increments beyond the 100 points established for the proposal evaluation for commitment to train and hire local disadvantaged workers, and award up to two (2) local hire preference points in half point increments for commitment to maintain a certain total percent of FTEs (full time equivalent positions) who are Oakland residents, in their workforce, including management positions, on a year-by-year basis with initial points taking into consideration the number of FTEs, in addition to percent of workforce.
26.	Directed that new G&O and RR Zero Waste System contracts shall require that employment preference be offered for the qualified displaced employees of the current solid waste franchise and residential recycling contractor. The employees, for at least 90 days, shall not be discharged except for cause.
27.	Directed that the Zero Waste System RFP shall award up to two points for Landfill Disposal proposals including in-county landfills.
28.	Directed that the Zero Waste System RFP shall require proposers to submit labor peace plans for labor disputes or unrest during the franchise term.
29.	Directed that the Zero Waste System RFP shall require proposers for the G&O franchise to submit proposals that include a customer service call center located in Alameda County. Proposers may also submit proposals that include a customer service call center outside of Alameda County and indicate the cost differential.
30.	Directed that the Zero Waste System RFP shall seek proposals for Zero Waste Services from all qualified firms (including those based in Arizona) to establish competition to avoid significant additional costs to City rate payers.
31.	Directed that the Zero Waste System RFP shall require proposers for the G&O franchise to submit proposals that include within the rate structure for Multi-Family Dwellings a third "green" container at no additional charge whenever the property owner requests it. Proposers may also submit proposals that include a third "green" container option for Multi-Family Dwellings at a clearly identified additional charge.
32.	Directed that the RFP and contracts shall include terminology sufficient to ensure compliance with the payment provisions and the economic benefits required by the RFP, in addition to those that are stated in the proposals submitted by the selected G&O and RR proposers. Enforcement mechanisms shall include use of liquidated damages, access to the financial securities posted, and ultimately the potential of early termination. The RFP shall make clear that the failure to maintain compliance with the forgoing provisions may also result in the City denying an extension of the franchise in contract year ten. These enforcement remedies may be cumulative.