Applicant/Consultants to Prepare a DRAFT Findings Form as Follows Based on Their Investigation and Determinations for the Site and to Enable the Chief of Building Services to Prepare the Final Findings Form.

## COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AGENCY BUILDING SERVICES DIVISION PLANCHECK AND ENGINEERING SERVICES SECTION

## CREEK PROTECTION, STORM WATER MANAGEMENT AND DISCHARGE CONTROL PERMIT and FINDINGS

| Project:  |   |
|---|---|
| Review completed by:  | Date:   |
| A creek protection permit may be issued support each finding are made and specific  | only if certain findings and facts which  |
|   | permit may be issued only if the answer to<br>ing each answer are specified following each                                      |
| with imposition of Conditions For Issuan  | Services the following findings can be met ace, pursuant to OMC Section 13.16.190, the eek Protection Permit upon imposition of |
| Findings and  | Supporting Facts  |
| Pursuant to OMC Section 13.16.200, the following findings are made in support of the decision to issue/not issue a Creek Protection Permit: (please explain why, and include facts from the record that support any answer) |   |
|   | vity (during construction and after project is e a substantial adverse impact on the creek?                                     |
| In making the above finding, the Chief of B following factors:  | Building Services must, at a minimum, consider the  |

|    | 1. Will the proposed activity discharge a substantial amount of pollutants into the creek Yes/No   | <b>.</b> |
|----|--|----------|
|    | 2. Will the proposed activity result in substantial modifications to the natural flow of wain the creek?   Yes/No  | ıter     |
|    | 3. Will the proposed activity deposit a substantial amount of new material into the creek cause substantial bank erosion or instability? Yes/No                          | c or     |
|    | 4. Will the proposed activity result in substantial alteration of the capacity of the creek?  Yes/No   |          |
|    | 5. Are there any other factors which would indicate that the proposed activity will adve affect the creek? Yes/No  | rsely    |
| В. | Yes/No: Will the proposed activity substantially adversely affect the ripactorridor, including riparian vegetation, animal wildlife or result in loss of wildli habitat? |          |
| C. | Yes/No: Will the proposed activity substantially degrade the visual qualit and natural appearance of the riparian corridor?  | у        |
| D. | Yes/No Is the proposed activity inconsistent with the intent and purpose of OMC Chapter 13.16?   | es       |

| E. Yes/No Will the proposed activity substantially endanger public or private property?   |
|---|
| F. Yes/No Will the proposed activity (directly or indirectly) substantially threaten the public's health or safety?   |
| The following below is not part of the Applicant/Consultants' DRAFT Findings Except Provide DRAFT Attachments as Indicated Below  |
| Based on the forgoing, the Creek Protection Permit for the above described project is hereby [GRANTED/DENIED]. If GRANTED, this Permit is subject to all conditions of approval which are hereby incorporated by this reference.  |
| Pursuant to OMC section 13.16.450 the applicant or any interested party may appeal this decision within ten calendar days to the City Planning Commission by the payment of the appropriate fees and the submittal of the appropriate form. The appeal shall state specifically wherein it is claimed there was an error or abuse of discretion by the Chief of Building Services or wherein his/her decision is not supported by substantial evidence in the record. |
| Calvin Wong, Chief, Building Services   |
| <u>Please list any attachments to this form:</u> The findings and supporting facts for this Creek Protection Permit are set forth in this three page form together with the following pages of attachments.   |
| Check box of attachments included below:  1) Conditions of Approval 2) Mitigation Measures 3) Other: Specify  |